

## **A protocol for the process evaluation of a Structured E-parenting Support (STEPS) in the OPTIMA randomised controlled trial.**

Ellen Hedström<sup>1</sup>, Katarzyna Kostyrka-Allchorne<sup>2,3</sup>, Blandine French<sup>4,5</sup>, Cristine Glazebrook<sup>4,5</sup>, Charlotte L. Hall<sup>4,5</sup>, Hanna Kovshoff<sup>1</sup>, Nancy Lean<sup>3</sup> and Edmund J.S. Sonuga-Barke<sup>3,6</sup>.

1. Centre for Innovation in Mental Health, School of Psychology, Faculty of Environmental and Life Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
2. Department of Psychology, School of Biological and Behavioural Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, UK.
3. Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, UK.
4. NIHR MindTech MedTech Co-operative, Academic Unit of Mental Health & Clinical Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK.
5. Centre for ADHD and Neurodevelopmental Disorders Across the Lifespan CANDAL Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham, UK.
6. Department of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Aarhus University, Denmark.

Correspondence: Professor Edmund J.S. Sonuga-Barke, School of Academic Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK; Email: [edmund.sonuga-barke@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:edmund.sonuga-barke@kcl.ac.uk)

**Funding:** This project is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Program Grants for Applied Research (RP-PG-0618-20003). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funding body played no role in the study design and conduct.

## Abstract

**Introduction:** *Structured E-parenting Support* (STEPS) is a digital application (app) designed to help parents manage behaviour of their children who are referred to mental health services and are waiting for an assessment or treatment. STEPS is currently being evaluated in The Online Parent Training for the Initial Management of ADHD (OPTIMA) randomized controlled trial. Alongside the examination of STEPS' clinical and cost-effectiveness, we are conducting a process evaluation to better understand the contextual factors that may influence study outcomes. The purpose of this protocol is to describe the aims, objectives, and methodology of the process evaluation prior to it taking place to add to the fidelity and rigour of the trial process and outcomes. Our goal is to adapt STEPS to optimise its benefits in future applications. **Methods:** In line with the Medical Research Council guidelines for evaluating complex interventions, the process evaluation will adopt a mixed method design using qualitative data collected from clinicians and parent interviews and app usage data from participants assigned to the intervention arm. **Analysis:** Qualitative data from semi-structured interviews and free text box responses included in trial questionnaires will be analysed thematically using framework analysis to better understand how parents use STEPS, how it works and key factors that could aid or hinder its effective implementation in routine clinical practice. **Ethics:** The application for ethical approval for the study was submitted to the North West - Liverpool Central Research Ethics Committee and received a favourable opinion on further information on 26 November 2021, reference number 21/NW/0319. **Dissemination:** The process evaluation aims to explore how a digital app might support parents in managing their child's behaviour. Implications for policy and research will be explored and the clinical implications of offering the app to a wider audience to address the lack of support to parents as highlighted in this paper. We plan to publish findings in international, peer-reviewed journals as well as present at conferences.

Trial registration: The trial has been prospectively registered on 18 November 2021; ISRCTN 8 16523503. <https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN16523503>.

Keywords: Process evaluation, ADHD, Conduct problems, Randomized Controlled Trial, Digital health, Parenting intervention

### Strengths and Limitations of this study

- Framework analysis allows for in-depth data analysis using a rigorous and transparent methodology.
- Outcomes for quantitative data such as app usage metrics will be integrated with qualitative findings.
- Inclusion of members from the OPTIMA patient and public involvement panel to advise on best practice in working with participants as well as assisting in data analysis and interpretation of the study results.
- All eligible participants were invited to partake in interviews, including those who did not complete all timepoints and those who did not download or use the app, to further understand barriers to uptake and usage of the STEPS app.
- A potential limitation of this study is the crossover of team members working on both the RCT and the process evaluation which may influence the interpretation of the qualitative data.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a common neuro-developmental condition characterised by symptoms of inattention and/or impulsivity-hyperactivity (1). Children referred for ADHD assessment may also present with co-morbidities such as symptoms of conduct problems (2), which can negatively impact the family (3). The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends families should receive support as soon as possible after their referral, however, despite these recommendations, parents frequently endure long waiting times for diagnostic assessment and treatment. The average time between seeking help and receiving an ADHD diagnosis has been estimated as 18.3 months in the UK: the longest average interval compared to other European countries (4). Lengthy waiting times and scarcity of services are the most common barriers to accessing mental health services for children and adolescents as reported by parents (5). Furthermore, these waiting times are likely to get even longer, given consistent rises in the number of referrals to Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) (6).

A robust body of research has established the efficacy of parent training as a psychosocial intervention for children and young people (7). Research has found that parent training may reduce conduct problems in children with ADHD (8). Moreover, Daley et al. (9) conducted a meta-analysis on behavioural interventions that established improvements in parenting quality as well as a reduction in child ADHD symptoms and conduct problems. However, despite evidence of its efficacy, parent training may not be made available until a diagnosis has been established, leaving parents without support during the lengthy waiting period which can have a detrimental effect on children and their families (10).

Considering evidence that parent training can have a positive outcome for both parent and child, and to provide families with much-needed timely and accessible support, we have developed a digital parenting application called Structured E-Parenting Support (STEPS). Research suggests that digital health interventions (DHIs), such as mobile apps, may have great potential to deliver large-scale, cost-effective support (11). However, there is a real need to understand how health and digital research can work together for effective implementation (12).

### **The STEPS app and OPTIMA trial**

STEPS has been designed to support parents of children with ADHD-type symptoms that are accompanied by challenging behaviour and who are awaiting clinical diagnostic assessment. Its structure, content and approach are described in Appendix A. STEPS draws inspiration from some of the principles underpinning the New Forest Parenting Program (NFPP) (13), an

established face-to-face parent program based on a long track record of research and clinical practice relating to parenting of child behaviour problems. However, its content, structure, and approach, tailored to the digital delivery, are substantively different from the NFPP. STEPS is delivered via a mobile app that aims to improve parents’ understanding of their child’s challenging behaviour and increase their perceived self-efficacy to manage such behaviours, as well as facilitate effective parent-child communication. STEPS has one preparatory module, “Introduction”, followed by eight separate intervention modules (steps) to be followed in order. Each of the eight steps is designed to take about 20 minutes if completed in one go. The content is delivered via short, pre-recorded videos, audio clips and text, and parents can download resources as well as make notes on their own reflections within each of the modules (steps) (Table 1).

**Table 1.**

*The STEPS app modules’ titles and aims.*

MODULE Title	Module Aim
1. Make a fresh start	To encourage parents to see their child and themselves in a new, more positive way.
2. Look after yourself	To emphasize the importance for parents to find time for themselves and to make links with other parents.
3. Get their cooperation	To explain ways parents can communicate more effectively with their children
4. Build their confidence	To highlight the importance for parents to create situations in which they can praise their child
5. Lead by example	To help parents think of ways they can avoid losing their temper with their children when they are being difficult.
6. Guide & support them	To show how parents can help their children navigate difficult situations where they may find themselves getting upset.
7. Give them structure	To demonstrate how vital it is that everyone signs up to and follows the house rules
8. Reducing conflict	To explain how using rewards and sanctions can promote better behaviour in children.

In our previous study, parents rated the app's usability level as very high; the overall STEPS usability score on the System Usability Scale was 94.8 (SD 4.8) out of 100 (14). Moreover, feedback received was used to optimise the app in preparation for the trial. For example, we improved and simplified the registration process, improved video playback and added captions to videos.

The efficacy and cost-effectiveness of STEPS is currently being evaluated in the Online Parent Training for The Initial Management of ADHD referrals (OPTIMA) randomized controlled trial (15). Optima is a two-arm, superiority parallel randomised controlled trial with an internal pilot (14). Participant recruitment took place from May 2022 to July 2023 and during this time 352 parents were randomly assigned to either the intervention group (access to the STEPS app for 3 months) or the Wait as Usual comparison group (WAU) on completion of baseline measures. Randomisation was carried out online via a secure platform provided by Sealed Envelope in a 1:1 ratio and stratification by trial centre location (London, Nottingham, Southampton) using random permuted blocks procedure with varying block sizes. The randomisation system used a unique identifying number.

Questionnaires are administered via Sealed Envelope, every three months at five timepoints. Participants were recruited from mental health services across London, Nottingham, Portsmouth, Southampton and Gloucester, after initial eligibility has been established via a positive screen for high levels of hyperactivity ( $\geq 8$ ) and conduct problems ( $\geq 4$ ) as measured by the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (16). As part of the screening process, researchers checked whether parents had a phone with an operating system that was compatible with the app and were sufficiently proficient in English to be able to use the app and understand it. Participation in the study does not impact clinical care the family receives, or the time spent on the waitlist. There are no restrictions on concomitant care, which has been monitored carefully during the trial through the child and adolescent service use questionnaire (17). During the study, trial administrators have been on hand to help parents with any technical issues if the app visual download and use guide, which they received from the study team, was insufficient.

The primary outcome of the OPTIMA trial is the severity of behaviour problems at 3 months post-randomisation compared to WAU care using parent-reported child behaviour problems measured with the eight-item ODD subscale of the Swanson Nolan and Pelham Rating Scale (SNAP-IV) (18). For the process evaluation, the mean difference between timepoint one and

two of the primary outcome will be measured for the intervention arm only. Data relating to the study outcomes comparing the two groups will be published in separate papers.

### **Process Evaluation Aims and Objectives**

Establishing a methodology by which the process evaluation will adhere to a priori is useful to ensure rigour and improve trial quality. Using Medical Research Council (MRC) guidelines (19), this protocol describes the method for the process evaluation of STEPS within the OPTIMA trial. Furthermore, the Standard Protocol Items: recommendations for interventional trials (SPIRIT) checklist has been utilised to provide evidence-based guidance in producing this protocol and is a widely accepted standard for trial protocols (20). Specific objectives are to:

1. To assess the i) reach ii) dose iii) fidelity iv) impact and v) context of the intervention. Table 2 defines the components of process evaluation and shows the methods by which the required information is gathered.
2. To describe how parents implement STEPS.
3. To explore parents' and clinicians' views concerning the value of STEPS and to describe this in the context of their respective needs.
4. To explore external factors that may have acted as barriers to, or facilitators of, STEPS uptake and engagement.
5. To consider the sustainability of the STEPS app beyond the trial and, if shown to be effective, the possible ways it could be incorporated into the clinical pathways.
6. Evaluation of mechanisms of impact (mediating factors contributing to the outcome) and context (intrapersonal and environmental factors influencing app usage).

**Table 2.***The STEPS Process evaluation components and methodology.*

	Description	Data collected	Method of evaluation
Reach	The extent to which the intervention reached the intended participants as outlined above in criteria	Data capture via a secure web platform (SE) including age, ethnic origin, education and income of parents and age, gender and ethnicity of child collected at baseline.	Basic statistics including means, ranges and standard deviations. Attrition rates to be calculated at each timepoint.
Dose	Level of intervention delivered and received	STEPS app data downloaded via the application developers Bitjam.	STEPS usage data including time spent per STEP before moving on to the next one, time spent within each STEP and number of STEPS completed. Mean times, ranges and standard deviations will be calculated.
Fidelity	Was the intervention delivered as intended including exploring adaptations or changes made during the study	Data from captured via a secure web platform (SE) on trial expectations.  Recordings and minutes from regular PPI panel meetings.  Participant feedback on app communication/support	Trial expectations collected at baseline as multiple choice and free text boxes.  PPI panel feedback on suggestions for change/adaptations.  Participant responses to support material provided throughout the app usage (written instructions/video guides)
Impact	Did the intervention produce change? If so, how?	Parent and clinician interviews.  Quantitative data exploring changes in outcome measures (ODD) between timepoint 1 and 2.	30-45 minute parent interviews on the experiences of using the STEPS app including technology, engagement with the STEPS, effect on child behaviour and suggestions of adaptations to the app (see appendix B). Interviews with clinicians on any noticed effects on patients if applicable, barriers to use within the service and suggestions on effective implementation.  SNAP-IV ODD subscales measured at baseline and 3 months
Context	External factors influencing change in parent and/or child behaviour and intervention uptake.	Parent interviews exploring changes in child behaviour.	Interviews as above.

Note. ODD=Oppositional Defiance Disorder; PPI=Patient and Public involvement; SE=Scaled Envelope; SNAP IV O (oppositional problems) (20,21)



Following MRC guidelines for process evaluations (19), a logic model has been developed (Table 3) to elucidate the mechanisms by which the STEPS intervention will produce an outcome and inform the framework of the qualitative analysis. A logic model can be useful in representing the theory of the intervention and its outcomes and helps to clarify the main aspects of the intervention as well as aid in data collection and analysis (21). The STEPS logic model clarifies the current issues in parent support for those waiting on a diagnosis for their child as well as expands on the implications for STEPS use beyond the study. Moreover, by providing a step-by-step process from developing the research question to understanding how outcomes were achieved, it ensures that researchers adhere to the pre-determined process of delivery and analysis.

**Table 3.***STEPS Logic Model*

<b>Problem</b>	<b>Proposed Solution</b>	<b>Input and intervention</b>	<b>Mechanisms of impact</b>	<b>Intended outcomes</b>	<b>Intended impact</b>
<p>ADHD is accompanied by Oppositional Defiant Disorder in up to 90% of referred cases.</p> <p>This is associated with child and parent distress and impairment which often drives referrals.</p> <p>Lengthy waiting times to receive assessment and diagnosis which can add to parent and child stress.</p>	<p>To provide low-intensity, unguided support for parents to help them better manage their children's challenging behaviour while they are awaiting formal clinical assessment.</p>	<p>Parents are screened via a secure hosting platform (MHE) or through local health services utilising the SDQ (<math>\geq 4</math> conduct problems and <math>\geq 8</math> attention and hyperactive problems).</p> <p>Access to STEPS is given post randomization to the intervention group (n=172) via research administrators and supported via text/email to download and utilise the app. The self-guided, parent training intervention, STEPS, is delivered via a mobile phone application.</p> <p>Parents work through 8 modules (steps) with content delivered via short videos and audio clips. Parents can download additional resources</p>	<p>STEPS draws on the evidence-based NFPP. It includes education about ADHD and uses behavioural techniques, including an emphasis on praise. The delivery of the intervention is underpinned by social learning theory.</p> <p>Modelling techniques are used to develop parenting skills (mastery) and to increase confidence (self-efficacy).</p>	<p>Increased parental understanding of ADHD and its impact on child behaviour.</p> <p>Increased knowledge of strategies to manage challenging child behaviour.</p> <p>Development of a positive parenting style.</p> <p>Improved parent-child communication</p> <p>Improved parental well-being and confidence in managing their child's oppositional behaviour. Reduced levels of oppositionality and defiance in children.</p>	<p>Improved support for parents while waiting on an assessment and diagnosis for their child.</p> <p>Cost-effective and time-efficient delivery of parent training, potentially reducing load on stretched child mental health services.</p> <p>Implementation of STEPS into the care pathway for children with symptoms of ADHD.</p> <p>Extension of parent training to more difficult-to-reach families and those too busy to attend training sessions.</p> <p>Impact on a broader range of family members and key adults (e.g., fathers/grandparents/childminders)</p>

<b>Problem</b>	<b>Proposed Solution</b>	<b>Input and intervention</b>	<b>Mechanisms of impact</b>	<b>Intended outcomes</b>	<b>Intended impact</b>
Lack of parenting support during waiting times can further add to parent stress and unwanted child behaviour.		<p>and are prompted to reflect on progress via written or audio notes.</p> <p>Engagement is encouraged through use of pre-recorded digital buddies.</p> <p>Parents receive text reminders to engage with the app, tips on app usage and encouragement on completion of a STEP. These are sent via automated text and emails from research admins.</p>	A range of pre-recorded scripts using digital buddies to describe scenarios allows parents to choose a family dynamic that feels relatable to them.	By using digital buddies parents feel less alone and more supported in their journey by being able to relate to their chosen buddy.	

ADHD=Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder; MHE=myHealthe; NFPP=New Forest Parenting Program; SDQ=Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire; STEPS= Structured E-parenting support

## **METHOD**

### **Design**

This mixed-method process evaluation integrates qualitative and quantitative data. Qualitative data will be gathered from semi-structured interviews with parents and clinicians to explore the implementation of the intervention and the perceived impact of the intervention on parenting and child behaviour as well as expectations about the trial as reported by participants via free text responses on the trial questionnaires. Parallel to this, we will use quantitative data such as demographic data and app usage metrics. Table 2 describes the methods and evaluation of data collection.

### **Qualitative data collection**

Qualitative data collection will include semi-structured interviews with parents and clinicians and text gathered from Sealed Envelope asking parents about their trial expectations.

### **Parent Interviews**

Participants who meet the following criteria will be invited to interview; i) have consented to be contacted for interviews via the study consent form (optional consent statement), ii) have been randomised to the STEPS arm. Participants will be invited to take part in interviews irrespective of whether they engaged with the STEPS app or not. Views of participants who have not completed any of the steps are very important in the context of understanding barriers to usage. We aim to recruit n=50 parents for interviews.

Participants who have consented to be contacted about interviews will be approached by a researcher other than the one who has enrolled them on the trial to avoid unblinding.

Selection and allocation of eligible participants is completed by the trial manager and trial administrators. Researchers invite participants via email explaining the interview process. The default method for conducting interviews will be a video/phone call (30-45 minutes duration). Participants who wish to complete the interview via email will be sent an adapted interview schedule. Offering a range of ways to engage in the interviews will ensure that those who feel unable to speak with a researcher on the phone will also be able to take part to give a breadth of views from parents.

The interview schedule has been developed by a team of experienced qualitative researchers in collaboration with the OPTIMA Patient and Public Involvement group (PPI). Once the team had finalised the interview schedule, the three researchers involved in conducting the

interviews, piloted the interviews with members from the PPI group and colleagues. Initially up to an hour had been allocated for the interviews but the pilot showed that 30-45 minutes was adequate time to cover all the questions. Furthermore, the PPI group felt that a decrease in the time required from the parents was more commensurate with the compensation for participation, a £20 Amazon gift voucher. The interview schedules remained dynamic and in the early stage of interviewing, the qualitative team worked together to adapt and add questions.

Questions explore the technical experience of downloading and using the app, views on content and features of the app, such as the STEPS buddies, and feedback on if/how the app influenced aspects of parenting and child behaviour management. They will also ask participants about their thoughts on the effectiveness of STEPS in reducing their child's behavioural challenges and, if applicable, the perceived mechanisms by which STEPS is effective (see appendix B for full interview schedule). Interviews with parents took place between October 2022 and November 2023. All parents who were recruited into the intervention arm were invited to take part in interviews whether they had downloaded the app or not. Invitations went out three months after randomization, ensuring parents had the full three-month usage period of the app. All de-identified transcripts and email responses will be stored in electronic form on a KCL OneDrive for Business and SharePoint location. The original recordings or emails will be deleted from OneDrive for Business after transcription.

### **Clinician Interviews**

Clinicians form no active part in the OPTIMA RCT with the study being independent of any clinical input from CAMHS or other healthcare providers. However, to be eligible for participation in the OPTIMA trial, parents must be on a current wait list for their child to receive clinical support and clinics have been informed of the nature of the OPTIMA RCT. It is therefore important to gain clinical perspectives to effectively evaluate the STEPS app in terms of future directions and implementation. Managers in the clinical services that have supported OPTIMA RCT recruitment will be approached with a request to circulate the clinician information sheet to members of the team. Clinicians interested in taking part are asked to contact the team directly. The clinicians who are interviewed have no active involvement in the trial, the STEPS intervention or the collection of outcome data. Some participants may disclose their use of the STEPS app but the clinician is not asked to probe for this. The purpose of the interviews with clinicians is to get their views about the impact of

STEPS, potential factors influencing parent engagement and perceived barriers to effectiveness with the aim of facilitating implementation into clinical services.

Clinician interviews can help add depth to the qualitative data in terms of understanding the clinical context in relation to any outcomes shared by parents in terms of contact with services or receiving an assessment and/or diagnosis. Our aim is to include n=10 interviews from clinicians to give adequate representation across the three sites although if more clinicians come forward to be interviewed, they will be able to partake. Clinicians will all be interviewed via phone/video call and data stored as per the participants' data above. There is no incentive for clinicians to take part.

### **Quantitative data collection**

To establish intervention adherence, the number of completed STEPS modules will be measured (min = 0; max = 8), with completion of two modules constituting adherence to the intervention. Other collected app usage events will include: the number of started modules, the number of videos watched, the time spent watching videos (in seconds), the number of audio clips listened to and the time spent listening to audio clips (in seconds), the number of reflections recorded, the number of items saved to favourites, and the number of accessed text resources. These will be used to provide descriptive information about app usage patterns. To determine the intervention's reach, the process evaluation will use data collected from parents at baseline (pre-randomisation) via Sealed Envelope, including demographic data about the parent, such as parent's gender, parent ethnicity, parental education, parent employment status, parent relationship status and family socioeconomic status based on total household income as well as child's age, sex and ethnicity. To describe the severity of oppositional and defiant disorder symptoms and hyperactivity/impulsivity and inattention symptoms in the sample, the respective subscales from the parent-completed SNAP questionnaire will be used (22,23). The 8 items of the SNAP-IV ODD subscale have excellent internal consistency ( $\alpha=0.93$ ) and the subscale has been shown to be sensitive to change in clinical trials (24). Furthermore, given that ADHD and ASD often co-occur, parent-rated scores for the Social Communication Questionnaire-Lifetime (SCQ-L) will be included (25). The SCQ-L, used in this study to characterise the sample of participants receiving the intervention, has been found to have good internal consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha=0.82$ ). A cut-off  $\Rightarrow 15$  differentiated young people with a clinical diagnosis of ASD from those without ASD (sensitivity = 0.70 and specificity = 0.67) (26). At baseline, parents are

asked about their trial expectations. Parents are also asked about previous engagement in parent training (yes/no answer), expectations of receiving parent training (strongly disagree to strongly agree), and expectations of the STEPS app (strongly disagree to strongly agree).

## **DATA ANALYSIS**

### **Qualitative data analysis**

Our objectives are to explore the reach, dose, fidelity, impact and context of the intervention. Qualitative analysis will use a framework approach (27), utilising NVivo version 14, complemented by quantitative analysis. Framework analysis sits within the broader qualitative methodology of thematic analysis and allows researchers to compare data across cases as well as within cases, ensuring the individual's view is retained (27). Framework analysis is a flexible but rigorous method used in health research to integrate qualitative data from different informants and sources. It uses inductive or deductive approaches to identify, describe and interpret patterns (28). Three researchers will take part in both interviewing, transcribing and analysing transcripts with two senior members of the research team taking part in verifying a selection of transcripts. PPI members will work with the research team during the interpretation and verification stages of analysis. Specifically, PPI members will individually review a selection of transcripts to verify the researchers' interpretation of the data and also take part in group meetings to discuss codes and meanings. Although several members of the PPI team have prior experience in qualitative research, 2-3 hours of training on the introduction to qualitative research and how to read and code transcripts will be provided by the research team. Finally, the analysis will be overseen by experts in framework analysis and regular meetings between the researchers analysing the transcripts and the larger qualitative team, will ensure fidelity and cohesiveness in the coding process. The team will start by identifying a coding framework that aligns with the objectives of the study. Creating a data set, researchers will map out the codes and start looking for themes and relationships in the data set. As data moves from codes to themes, the original research questions as well as existing literature will be referred to and discussed and reviewed within the multidisciplinary team to ensure transparency and avoid bias. The method is appropriate for incorporating data from semi-structured interviews, PPI panel discussions and free text box data from questionnaires.

### **Quantitative data analysis**

Descriptive data on the study sample will be presented to include means, SD, medians, ranges, n values and percentages. Quantitative data measuring changes in oppositional behaviour (SNAP-IV ODD) between baseline and 3 months and making within-group comparisons will also help to assess the impact of the app.

### **Data integration**

The qualitative data extracted from interviews with parents and clinicians as well as text box data exploring parents' expectations about the study will provide the main source of data to explore the aims and objectives of the process evaluation. Alongside this, descriptive data from the online questionnaires will be used, both to provide context to the qualitative data in terms of demographics, but also to help refine the themes emerging from the qualitative data analysis. Mixed methods afford multiple perspectives and seek to converge the findings (29). Researchers will analyse the data synchronously and integrate the outcomes from the different datasets to provide a holistic overview of the results.

### **Patient and Public Involvement (PPI)**

The OPTIMA RCT and STEPS app were developed in conjunction with an advisory board made up of parents of children with neurodevelopmental disorders including ADHD. The PPI group was established early on in the overall OPTIMA programme of research prior to the RCT taking place. The group advised the team about how the design and functionality of the app could be optimised as part of the panel group discussions as well as individually in the usability study (14). This was implemented and piloted before the RCT. The PPI group also supported the team in ensuring that the trial procedures were acceptable to the participants and that any participant-facing documents were written in clear and accessible language. Finally, they also helped with the development of the schedules for the parent interviews. In addition to regular PPI panel meetings throughout the study period, panel members advised on subjects such as how to communicate with parents most effectively, how to structure compensation for participating parents' time in the study and other study management-related questions. Further, members will be involved in the data analysis process, reading transcripts and taking part in meetings to discuss codes and meanings with OPTIMA researchers.

### **Ethics and Dissemination**

All participants in the study consented to take part via e-consent on Sealed Envelope after having received written and oral information about the study including a brief participant information sheet (PIS) with condensed information in an easy-to-understand format and as well as a full PIS for their reference. All parents received a counter-signed, by the researcher,



copy of their consent form. The study received ethical approval from the North West - Liverpool Central Research Ethics Committee on 26 November 2021, reference number 21/NW/0319. Findings will be published in open-access, peer-reviewed scientific journals as well as be presented at conferences.

## **DISCUSSION**

STEPS is a digital, self-guided app that is currently being evaluated in the OPTIMA RCT (15). To better understand the study outcomes and contextual factors influencing these, we are conducting a process evaluation using qualitative and quantitative data gathered from parents, clinicians, app usage and demographic data. We expect the results to allow us to understand how the app has worked, such as if it worked as intended, with the aim of understanding the implications of the potential wider use of STEPS, especially within a clinical setting. In understanding the strengths and weaknesses of the intervention, how the intervention was delivered and whether the intended audience received the intervention and how the app can be further developed and improved to attain its intended purpose, we aim to provide a cost-effective and self-guided support to parents awaiting clinical assessment and/or diagnosis for their child.

Research suggests that DHIs may have great potential to deliver large-scale, cost-effective support (11). The STEPS app may be able to bridge the gap between lengthy waiting times for a diagnosis of ADHD and the strains of managing difficult child behaviour. Furthermore, the study will contribute to a body of research that aims to understand how digital interventions work and the factors that contribute to their efficacy, with the aim of improving and understanding the practical implication of using STEPS as a viable DHI to be accessed by a wider population.

### **Strength and limitations**

Integrating qualitative and quantitative data provides a comprehensive evaluation of the way in which the intervention has worked. Capturing the lived experience of parents through interviews will give valuable insight into both the mechanisms of how the app works as well as the impact on parenting and child behaviour. The data from the app provide detailed measures of how the app was used by participants and will help to better understand how the app was utilised (e.g., the number of times app was used or the length of time per each app use). Some caution must be exercised when analysing these data in terms of potential errors such as parents opening the app but not actually using it.

Limitations in terms of breadth of participant involvement may occur, for example, participants who do not engage with the study may be less likely to respond to invites to take part in interviews. Participants' interview invites clearly state that the researchers are interested in all views, including those who did not engage with the STEPS app to ensure as wide reach as possible is attained.

Interviews with clinicians may provide limited data as many parents in the study will not yet have been assessed, even after completing the final 12-month timepoint, meaning clinicians may have limited feedback/views from the parents regarding the app.

### **Acknowledgements**

Thank you to Cathy Laver-Bradbury for providing advice on the STEPS concept and content. Special thanks go to Catherine Thompson for her work on an earlier prototype—New Forest On-Line. The authors would like to acknowledge and thank the expert members of the PPI panel. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

### **Authors' contributions**

ESB led the overall conception and design of the study and is the overall OPTIMA Chief Investigator. EH, KKA and ESB led the drafting of this manuscript. KKA is responsible for coordinating the study. BF and EH are responsible for enrolling participants and administering outcome measures and coordinating recruitment. EH and NL are responsible for participant interviews, transcription and qualitative data analysis. NL provides administrative support for the project and for the patient and public involvement activities. CH, HK and CG contributed to the conception and design of the study. HK and CG had overall responsibility for qualitative data analysis. All authors have read and approved the final version of this manuscript.

### **Funding**

This project is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Programme Grants for Applied Research (RP-PG-0618-20003). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funding body played no role in

the study design and conduct. This work was also supported in part by the NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley National Health Service Foundation Trust and King's College London to ESB.

### **Competing interests**

STEPS concepts and content were designed by Edmund Sonuga-Barke together with other members of the OPTIMA team; David Daley, Johnny Downs, Jana Kreppner, Hanna Kovshoff and Margaret Thompson. STEPS visual design and digital implementation were completed by TOAD with funding provided to ESB by the South London & Maudsley NHS Trust. Videos were produced by EyeWitness Productions Ltd. funded by Solent NHS Trust. ESB has received speaker fees, consultancy or research funding from Takeda, Neurotech Solutions, QBtech and Medice. He has received royalties from the New Forest Parenting Programme.

## REFERENCES

1. Dalrymple RA, Maxwell LM, Russell S, Duthie J. NICE guideline review: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: diagnosis and management (NG87). *Archives of disease in childhood-education and practice*. 2020 Oct 1;105(5):289-93.
2. Jensen PS, Martin D, Cantwell DP. Comorbidity in ADHD: Implications for research, practice, and DSM-V. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1997 Aug 1;36(8):1065-79.
3. Theule J, Wiener J, Tannock R, Jenkins JM. Parenting stress in families of children with ADHD: A meta-analysis. *Journal of emotional and behavioral disorders*. 2013 Mar;21(1):3-17.
4. Fridman M, Banaschewski T, Sikirica V, Quintero J, Chen KS. Access to diagnosis, treatment, and supportive services among pharmacotherapy-treated children/adolescents with ADHD in Europe: data from the Caregiver Perspective on Pediatric ADHD survey. *Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment*. 2017 Mar 30:947-58.
5. Reardon T, Harvey K, Baranowska M, O'brien D, Smith L, Creswell C. What do parents perceive are the barriers and facilitators to accessing psychological treatment for mental health problems in children and adolescents? A systematic review of qualitative and quantitative studies. *European child & adolescent psychiatry*. 2017 Jun;26:623-47.
6. Ball WP, Black C, Gordon S, Ostrovska B, Paranjothy S, Rasalam A, Ritchie D, Rowlands H, Rzewuska M, Thompson E, Wilde K. Inequalities in children's mental health care: analysis of routinely collected data on prescribing and referrals to secondary care. *BMC psychiatry*. 2023 Dec;23(1):1-8.
7. Garbacz LL, Brown DM, Spee GA, Polo AJ, Budd KS. Establishing treatment fidelity in evidence-based parent training programs for externalizing disorders in children and adolescents. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*. 2014 Sep;17:230-47.
8. Hartman RR, Stage SA, Webster-Stratton C. A growth curve analysis of parent training outcomes: Examining the influence of child risk factors (inattention,

- impulsivity, and hyperactivity problems), parental and family risk factors. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry*. 2003 Mar;44(3):388-98.
9. Daley D, Van der Oord S, Ferrin M, Danckaerts M, Doepfner M, Cortese S, Sonuga-Barke EJ, European ADHD Guidelines Group. Behavioral interventions in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials across multiple outcome domains. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2014 Aug 1;53(8):835-47.
  10. Gullhav AN, Skomsvoll JF, Heimstad R, Schultz JS. Reducing waiting times from 65 to under 40 days for children and adolescents receiving mental health services using a new scheduling policy. *Health Services Management Research*. 2022 Aug 31:09514848221122895.
  11. Murray E, Hekler EB, Andersson G, Collins LM, Doherty A, Hollis C, Rivera DE, West R, Wyatt JC. Evaluating digital health interventions: key questions and approaches. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2016 Nov 1;51(5):843-51.
  12. Duffy A, Christie GJ, Moreno S. The challenges toward real-world implementation of digital health design approaches: narrative review. *JMIR Human Factors*. 2022 Sep 9;9(3):e35693.
  13. Sonuga-Barke EJ, Thompson M, Abikoff H, Klein R, Brotman LM. Nonpharmacological interventions for preschoolers with ADHD: The case for specialized parent training. *Infants & Young Children*. 2006 Apr 1;19(2):142-53.
  14. Kostyrka-Allchorne K, Chu P, Ballard C, Lean N, French B, Hedstrom E, Byford S, Cortese S, Daley D, Downs J, Glazebrook C, Goldsmith K, Hall C, Kovshoff H, Kreppner J, Sayal K, Shearer J, Simonoff E, Thompson M, Sonuga-Barke E Remote Recruitment Strategy and Structured E-Parenting Support (STEPS) App: Feasibility and Usability Study *JMIR Pediatr Parent* 2023;6:e47035 URL: <https://pediatrics.jmir.org/2023/1/e47035>. DOI: 10.2196/47035
  15. Kostyrka-Allchorne K, Ballard C, Byford S, Cortese S, Daley D, Downs J, French B, Glazebrook C, Goldsmith K, Hall CL, Hedstrom E. Online Parent Training for The Initial Management of ADHD referrals (OPTIMA): the protocol for a randomised controlled trial of a digital parenting intervention implemented to support parents and children on a treatment waitlist. *Trials*. 2022 Dec 12;23(1):1003.
  16. Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry*. 1997 Jul;38(5):581-6.

17. Barrett, B., Byford, S., Sharac, J., Hudry, K., Leadbitter, K., Temple, K., . . . consortium, P. (2012). Service and wider societal costs of very young children with autism in the UK. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 42(5), 797-804.
18. Swanson JM, Kraemer HC, Hinshaw SP, Arnold LE, Conners CK, Abikoff HB, Clevenger W, Davies M, Elliott GR, Greenhill LL, Hechtman L. Clinical relevance of the primary findings of the MTA: success rates based on severity of ADHD and ODD symptoms at the end of treatment. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2001 Feb 1;40(2):168-79.
19. Moore GF, Audrey S, Barker M, Bond L, Bonell C, Hardeman W, Moore L, O’Cathain A, Tinati T, Wight D, Baird J. Process evaluation of complex interventions: Medical Research Council guidance. *bmj*. 2015 Mar 19;350.
20. Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis A, Gøtzsche PC, Krleža-Jerić K, Hróbjartsson A, Mann H, Dickersin K, Berlin JA, Doré CJ. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2013 Feb 5;158(3):200-7.
21. Morgan trimmer et al. Creating a logic model for an intervention: evaluation in health and wellbeing (internet). (2018, August 07). Available from <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/evaluation-in-health-and-wellbeing-creating-a-logic-model>
22. Swanson JM. The SNAP Rating Scale for the Diagnosis of the Attention Deficit Disorder.
23. Swanson JM. School-based assessments and interventions for ADD students. KC publishing; 1992.
24. Johnson, M., Gillberg, C., Vinsa, I., Fransson, G., Samuelsson, L., Jakobsson, K., Östlund, S., Fernell, E. and Gillberg, C., 2023. A randomized controlled trial of a new intervention in early symptomatic syndromes eliciting neurodevelopmental clinical examinations: PR-ESSENCE. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 32(1), pp.63-74.
25. Rutter M. Social communication questionnaire. (No Title). 2003.
26. Ung, D., Johnco, C., McBride, N.M., Howie, F., Scalli, L. and Storch, E.A., 2016. Optimizing the screening of autism spectrum disorders in outpatient clinics: An examination of the Social Communication Questionnaire-Lifetime. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 27, pp.21-28.

27. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, Rashid S, Redwood S. Using the framework method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC medical research methodology*. 2013 Dec;13(1):1-8.
28. Goldsmith, L. J. (2021). Using framework analysis in applied qualitative research. *The Qualitative Report*, 26(6), 2061-2076.  
doi:<https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2021.5011>
29. Almeida F. Strategies to perform a mixed methods study. *European Journal of Education Studies*. 2018 Aug 30.