Porosity characterisation of solid-state battery electrolyte with terahertz time-domain spectroscopy

S. Kiritharan a, S. Lucas b, R. Degl’Innocenti a,1, X. Hua c, R. Dawson b, H. Lin a,*

a Department of Engineering, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YW, United Kingdom
b LiNa Energy White Cross Industrial Estate, Unit 1 Sharpes Mill, South Road, Lancaster, LA1 4XQ, United Kingdom
c Department of Chemistry, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YR, United Kingdom

HiLIGHTS
• Demonstrated sensitivity of terahertz radiation to NaSICON-based electrolyte.
• NaSICON densities quantified using effective medium theory.
• Estimated densities correlates to Archimedes analysis.
• Estimated densities agrees with to mercury porosimetry data.

A R T I C L E  I N F O
Keywords:
Terahertz
THz-TDS
Porosity
NaSICON
Solid state electrolyte

A B S T R A C T
With a growing interest on inorganic ceramics based solid-state electrolytes for all-solid-state batteries, there is a need to maximise their density to optimise electrochemical performance and fuel impermeability. In this paper, we demonstrate the sensitivity of terahertz time-domain spectroscopy (THz-TDS) combined with effective medium theory to quantify the porosity or density of sodium superionic conductor (NaSICON)-based solid-state electrolyte (SSEs) pellets prepared at densities in the range of 2.2–2.9 g cm⁻³, corresponding to 50–90 % relative densities sintered at 900–1150 °C. The results of which, have been validated against complementary Archimedes analysis and mercury porosimetry highlighting the potential of THz-TDS for rapid, contactless, non-destructive electrolyte characterisation.

1. Introduction

There is a growing interest on solid-state electrolytes (SSEs) for all-solid-state batteries due to superior thermal stability, lower flammability, improved durability and potentially higher energy density [1,2]. The widely investigated SSEs generally take the form of inorganic ceramics or organic polymers where inorganic SSEs are superior in terms of ionic conductivity (<10⁻⁵ S cm⁻¹ for organic polymers compared against 10⁻³ S cm⁻¹ for inorganic ceramics [3,4]). With a high stability in ambient and high temperature conditions, as well as the possibility of manufacturing at scale, inorganic solid oxides such as perovskite, sodium superionic conductor (NaSICON), lithium superionic conductor, garnet and lithium phosphorus oxynitride are considered as the closest for widespread application [5]. These solid oxide SSEs can be manufactured in a number of ways such as pressing the powder followed by thermal treatment and subsequent polishing [2] or by screen printing, atomic layer deposition and tape casting for scale-ups [6]. Common to all manufacturing strategies, there is a need to maximise the densities of solid oxide SSEs or minimise their porosities. This is important to minimise the amount of discrete grains present in the microstructure and reduce the associated grain boundaries [7] for maximising electrolytes ionic conductivity [8] and minimising fluid permeability [9–11]. In particular, grain boundaries can reduce the bulk ionic conductivity by at least an order of magnitude [12,13] and reduce fluids permeance by a factor of three [14]. The latter point is particularly important to reduce the likelihood of dendrite formation and hence the potential for cell short-circuiting and eventual device failure [15–17].

Common techniques to analyse the extent of densification of
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inorganic ceramics SSEs include the Archimedes method, also known as hydrostatic weighing, helium pycnometry and mercury porosimetry [18,19]. Archimedes density determination is a straightforward technique that gives the sample bulk density but can be susceptible to errors such as deviating values with the presence of air bubbles at the surface, or drops of water lost upon immersing the sample [20]. Helium pycnometry flows helium through the sample to determine the skeletal volume of the solid in the sample, which is then used to calculate the bulk density of the solid, excluding the void spaces. Measurement times are typically at the minutes time scale. In contrast, mercury porosimetry applies pressurised mercury as a non-wetting liquid into the pores of a sample. As an elevated pressure is needed to force mercury into smaller pores, a pore size distribution can be produced using gradually increasing pressures, at the expense of a longer measurement time, usually in the order of hours at increased computational complexity. Owing to the use of mercury, the technique is also destructive. As both of these techniques rely on fluids entering the sample through open and connecting pores, closed pores are therefore not probed. Therefore the extracted densities tend to be an overestimate [21–23]. X-ray computed microtomography is an alternative technique that is able to measure the electron density differences of the imaged material through 3D reconstructions and can provide information on pore sizes and distributions non-invasively and non-destructively [24]. Even though this technique can provide detailed information on both closed and open pores, measurement times are typically in the order of hours, in addition to the computationally expensive image processing and tomographic reconstructions required for subsequent analysis [25]. Other techniques such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) have also been used to characterise structural properties of inorganic ceramics SSEs [26–30] but the extracted information do not translate to a quantifiable measure of densification or porosity [31] thus often used to further support understanding. SEM measurements, for example allows sample morphology to be seen through cross-sectional images taken [32] while XRD is used to characterise crystallographic structures, phase purities and the level of crystallinity as a result of densification [33].

The terahertz portion of the electromagnetic spectrum is situated between 0.1 and 4 THz and can penetrate through non-metallic materials. Terahertz time-domain spectroscopy (THz-TDS) is a technique to coherently generate and detect broadband terahertz radiation. In particular, a femtosecond near-infrared pulses are focused onto a terahertz emitter, such as a semiconductor photoconductive antenna or drops of water lost upon immersing the sample [20]. Helium pycnometry flows helium through the sample to determine the skeletal volume of the solid in the sample, which is then used to calculate the bulk density of the solid, excluding the void spaces. Measurement times are typically at the minutes time scale. In contrast, mercury porosimetry applies pressurised mercury as a non-wetting liquid into the pores of a sample. As an elevated pressure is needed to force mercury into smaller pores, a pore size distribution can be produced using gradually increasing pressures, at the expense of a longer measurement time, usually in the order of hours at increased computational complexity. Owing to the use of mercury, the technique is also destructive. As both of these techniques rely on fluids entering the sample through open and connecting pores, closed pores are therefore not probed. Therefore the extracted densities tend to be an overestimate [21–23]. X-ray computed microtomography is an alternative technique that is able to measure the electron density differences of the imaged material through 3D reconstructions and can provide information on pore sizes and distributions non-invasively and non-destructively [24]. Even though this technique can provide detailed information on both closed and open pores, measurement times are typically in the order of hours, in addition to the computationally expensive image processing and tomographic reconstructions required for subsequent analysis [25]. Other techniques such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) have also been used to characterise structural properties of inorganic ceramics SSEs [26–30] but the extracted information do not translate to a quantifiable measure of densification or porosity [31] thus often used to further support understanding. SEM measurements, for example allows sample morphology to be seen through cross-sectional images taken [32] while XRD is used to characterise crystallographic structures, phase purities and the level of crystallinity as a result of densification [33].

The terahertz time-domain spectroscopy (THz-TDS) is a technique to coherently generate and detect broadband terahertz radiation. In particular, a femtosecond near-infrared pulses are focused onto a terahertz emitter, such as a semiconductor photoconductive antenna or nonlinear crystal, where each optical pulses produce sub-picosecond pulses with a frequency bandwidth from several hundred GHz to a few THz. These generated terahertz pulses in turn interact with the sample of interest where the resulting terahertz electric fields are measured by photoconduction or electro-optical detection with a coherent scheme. The key advantage of this technique is that the amplitude and phase of the terahertz pulse can both be measured for accurately extracting the sample’s dielectric response in the form of complex refractive index at terahertz frequencies. This an intrinsic material property that includes frequency-dependent optical constants in the form of refractive index and absorption coefficient. \( \text{Effective Medium Approximation (TB-EMA)} [39] \) where both approaches can be found elsewhere [40]. Sample porosity can be determined from the extracted refractive index using effective medium theory provided that scattering is negligible. Examples of effective medium theory include zero porosity approximation (ZPA) or Bruggeman’s Effective Medium Approximation (TB-EMA) [39] where both approaches consider two media in the sample i.e. solid electrolyte and air voids within the solid matrix. In ZPA, a linear relationship between 0.1 and 4 THz and can penetrate through non-metallic materials. Terahertz time-domain spectroscopy (THz-TDS) is a technique to coherently generate and detect broadband terahertz radiation. In particular, a femtosecond near-infrared pulses are focused onto a terahertz emitter, such as a semiconductor photoconductive antenna or nonlinear crystal, where each optical pulses produce sub-picosecond pulses with a frequency bandwidth from several hundred GHz to a few THz. These generated terahertz pulses in turn interact with the sample of interest where the resulting terahertz electric fields are measured by photoconduction or electro-optical detection with a coherent scheme. The key advantage of this technique is that the amplitude and phase of the terahertz pulse can both be measured for accurately extracting the sample’s dielectric response in the form of complex refractive index at terahertz frequencies. This an intrinsic material property that includes frequency-dependent optical constants in the form of refractive index and absorption coefficient. \( \text{Effective Medium Approximation (TB-EMA)} [39] \) where both approaches can be found elsewhere [40]. Sample porosity can be determined from the extracted refractive index using effective medium theory provided that scattering is negligible. Examples of effective medium theory include zero porosity approximation (ZPA) or Bruggeman’s Effective Medium Approximation (TB-EMA) [39] where both approaches consider two media in the sample i.e. solid electrolyte and air voids within the solid matrix. In ZPA, a linear relationship between the sample’s effective refractive index to its porosity is assumed [23] and is described as the following,
2.3. Archimedes density

An Archimedes setup is used to measure the bulk sample density and analysed using Eq 7

$$\rho_{\text{sample}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{water}} m_{\text{air}}}{m_{\text{air}} - m_{\text{water}}}$$

(7)

where \(\rho_{\text{sample}}\) corresponds to the density of the sample and \(\rho_{\text{water}}\) is the density of water, which takes the value of 0.998 g cm\(^{-3}\), while \(m_{\text{air}}\) and \(m_{\text{water}}\) are mass readings of the sample when dry and suspended in water, respectively.

2.4. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)

A pellet sintered at each of the sintering temperatures was formed into a coin cell using layers of elemental sodium metal electrodes as the working and counter electrodes. The coin cell was pressurised with a manually operated hydraulic press. To quantify the ionic conductivity of the electrolytes, EIS using SP-240 potentiostat (BioLogic, France) was performed on the coin cells at frequencies between 100 mHz and 1 MHz with an alternating current at a maximum potential difference of 10 mV for three cycles. The acquired data was fitted to a modified Randles circuit using the associated EC Lab software (BioLogic, France) to calculate the respective ionic conductivities (see SI).

2.5. X-ray diffraction (XRD)

XRD patterns were acquired on two pellets (1100 and 1150 °C) on the top surface and the middle section, made accessible after pellets were sanded down by ~13 mm, using a Rigaku Miniflex diffractometer (Rigaku Corporation, Japan) using a Cu-K\(\alpha\) source in the 2\(\theta\) range of 12–75°. The patterns were refined using Rietveld refinement method with the Rigaku Smartlab Studio II to provide insight on the sample phase purity.

2.6. Mercury porosimetry

Measurements were acquired using PoreMaster 60 (Anton Paar GmbH, Austria) and Quantachrome PoreMaster with a pressure range of 49.895 pounds per square inch absolute (PSIA), inclusive of the atmospheric pressure. The total sample porosity is calculated by expressing the intruded volume of mercury as a proportion of the sample volume. Due to destructive nature of mercury porosimetry, only one pellet at each of the sintering temperatures with the exception of 1150 °C were analysed.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. THz-TDS

The extracted frequency-dependent effective refractive indices and absorption coefficients of the samples sintered at different temperatures are shown in Fig. 2. It should be noted that spectral oscillations observed at frequencies higher than 0.6 THz are due to noise, approaching the experimental apparatus’ dynamic range. As expected, the amorphous morphology of the ceramics materials results in featureless, monotonically increasing absorption spectra, with the refractive index spectra decreasing with increasing frequency \([41]\). Fig. 2 further shows that the extracted optical constants generally increase with increasing sintering temperatures resulting in higher densities with the exception of the samples at 1150 °C. As refractive index is related to porosity \([42]\), Fig. 3 shows the refractive indices at 0.4 THz as a function of sintering temperatures where a large error bar for the 1150 °C batch is observed due to thermally induced phase change at elevated temperatures (See section 3.4). The bulk solid’s refractive index is estimated to be \(\sim 3.27\) and is determined by extrapolating from samples’ refractive indices and geometric densities for the theoretical maximum at 3.25 g cm\(^{-3}\) \([43]\). Fig. 3 also shows the densities of the samples analysed using both the ZPA and TB-EMA models where only a marginal difference is observed between the two models. The TB-EMA model has consistently been more accurate as the ZPA model assumes a linear relationship.

3.2. Archimedes density and mercury porosimetry

Fig. 4 compares the extracted relative densities using THz-TDS against absolute densities using Archimedes density measurements and relative densities from mercury porosimetry. It can be seen that there is a direct correlation to the absolute densities, while a close quantitative agreement with mercury porosimetry, with discrepancies possibly due to the localised nature of terahertz measurements compared to the bulk nature of these complementary measurements. As a quick check, the Archimedes density measurement value extrapolated for a 100 % dense sample is found to be \(\sim 3.10\) g cm\(^{-3}\), which is slightly lower than the prior reported theoretical maximum of 3.25 g cm\(^{-3}\) \([43]\). It should also be noted that comparison against Archimedes data for the outlier data points at 1150 °C is also in agreement at lower densities with similar error.

Mercury porosimetry analysis further provides insight into the relative pore size distributions, which shows that at 900 °C, the pore sizes
were in the range of 7 μm up to 200 μm. Upon an increasing sintering temperature, the smallest and the very largest pores were removed. As the pellets continue to densify with higher sinter temperatures, the range of pore sizes converges to 10–20 μm (see SI).

3.3. Ionic conductivity

EIS ionic conductivities are shown in Fig. 5 as a function of pellet sintering temperature, where as expected, ionic conductivities increase with increasing sintering temperatures. This increase is by more than an order of magnitude, i.e. from $1 \times 10^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 1000 °C to $3.3 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 1100 °C (with the exception of 1150 °C sample, see Section 3.4). This order of magnitude of difference in conductivities is due to inclusion of grain boundaries in line with prior results [12]. The maximum measured ionic conductivity of $3.3 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ is in agreement with the previously reported value of $3.35 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ [44]. It should be noted that the 900 °C batch did not have sufficient density to withstand the pressure of coin cell preparation thus resulting in no EIS measurement.

3.4. XRD and phase change

In order to investigate the outlier data point at 1150 °C in Figs. 2–5, Fig. 6 compares the XRD patterns for the pellets sintered at 1100 °C and 1150 °C against a reference pattern of NaSICON [45] where impurities are also highlighted.

By performing a Rietveld analysis on the obtained patterns as detailed in SI, Fig. 7 compares the refined phase proportions, where apparent differences are observed. In particular, the pellet sintered at 1150 °C has a greater proportion of low density NaSICON phase ‘NaSI-CON low’ than the 1100 °C profile thus suggesting that the electrolyte has undergone phase change at this sintering temperature [46]. The phase change is also more pronounced at the middle of the pellet. The low density NaSICON phase has a calculated unit cell density of 3.09 g cm$^{-3}$ compared to the standard phase of 3.40 g cm$^{-3}$, which is comparable to the theoretical maximum of 3.25 g cm$^{-3}$ [43] but higher due
to being unit cell density. The significant proportion of the lower density NaSICON phase found in the pellets sintered at 1150 °C therefore results in a lower average density as observed with Archimedes data, consistent with terahertz measurements.

3.5. Discussions

Given that there is a close agreement between the presented results against complementary Archimedes analysis and mercury porosimetry, it therefore confirms the validity of our assumption on negligible scattering at 0.4 THz or at wavelength of 750 μm. This is at least an order of magnitude greater than the 10s of μm pore sizes from mercury porosimetry (see SI). Furthermore, as TB-EMA is slightly more accurate than ZPA, our results also suggests that the pores are likely to be spherical in shape without much asymmetry. Despite the agreeable results, practitioners of the technique should generally be aware of the following: 1) THz-TDS provides only provide bulk properties but not the detailed micro-structures e.g. pore size distribution. For these information, x-ray computed microtomography and mercury porosimetry are suitable modalities though mercury porosimetry is destructive and both would require relatively long measurement and analysis times (several hours at least); 2) The sampled volume is determined by the spot size of the incident terahertz beam, which is typically at 1–2 mm but can be reduced down to sub-mm with tighter focusing [34]; 3) Determining porosity/density from extracted effective refractive indices will require effective medium theory models to be established and an estimation of bulk material refractive index, which is material dependent; 4) Owing to the strong attenuating nature of these electrolytes, sample thickness will be limited down to sub-mm for terahertz beam penetration thus applicable to free-standing SSEs for ex-situ characterisation. The key advantages of THz-TDS, however, are the non-destructive/non-invasive and contactless aspect the measurements, which means that different techniques can be used to study the same sample. Moreover, the rapid nature at which measurements can be acquired (10–100 ms) and analysed (several seconds) suggest that it may find use in manufacturing quality control as process in-line sensor or for inspecting spatial variations across large-area SSEs, which is becoming increasingly popular [5, 47]. At the same time, the semi-transparency of terahertz radiation to a range of materials [41], which could function as viewing windows to coin cells also highlights the potential for studying SSE’s in-situ dynamics. However, such an investigation would require careful cell design, measurement and analysis, which is the subject of further study.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we have demonstrated the sensitivity of THz-TDS to resolve the porosity or density of NaSICON-based SSEs pellets prepared at densities in the range of 2.2–2.9 g cm⁻³, corresponding to 50–90% relative densities sintered at 900° to 1150 °C, where the results have been benchmarked against Archimedes analysis, mercury porosimetry and the consequential effect on the SSEs’ ionic conductivities shown.
Using effective medium theory in the form of ZPA and TB-EMA, the density can be quantified at sufficient fidelity across NaSICONs. The results show that while measurement and analysis are more complex compared to conventional techniques like Archimedes analysis and mercury porosimetry, THz-TDS is an emerging, contactless, non-destructive characterisation technique with clear potential to complement existing methods and to open up new opportunities for rapid SSE testing, enabling a greater material understanding for performance optimisation.
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