

Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance and Left Ventricular Non- compaction:

Does It Matter How We Measure Trabeculations?

Steffen E Petersen, MD DPHIL FESC FACC

London, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: Steffen E. Petersen, MD DPhil FESC FACC
Reader in Advanced Cardiovascular Imaging,
Honorary Consultant Cardiologist,
Centre Lead for Advanced Cardiovascular Imaging,
William Harvey Research Institute,
NIHR Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit at Barts,
The London Chest Hospital,
Bonner Road,
London,
E2 9JX,
UK
Email: s.e.petersen@qmul.ac.uk

Acknowledgements:

This work forms part of the research contributing to the translational research portfolio of the Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit at Barts which is supported and funded by the National Institute for Health Research

Left Ventricular Non-Compaction (LVNC) is an intriguing, but poorly understood condition that can lead to heart failure, malignant arrhythmias and thromboembolic events. It can be associated with neuromuscular disorders and can co-exist with other cardiac malformations (1).

Diagnostic imaging criteria were first described for native echocardiography by Chin (2), Jenni (3) and Stollberger (1) and followed by CMR criteria proposed by Petersen (4) and Jacquier (5). It is important to emphasise here that currently there is no diagnostic tool, neither genetic nor imaging, that can, in all patients, reveal the underlying truth of whether or not somebody is affected by LVNC. The absence of such a 'gold-standard' is the main reason why all cardiac imaging studies have limitations when attempting to determine their diagnostic accuracies with a basis of a *likely* diagnosis of LVNC and not a *definitive* diagnosis. To avoid a circular argument in diagnostic accuracy studies for LVNC the imaging findings alone should not be considered sufficient for a diagnosis of LVNC, but should be supported with evidence of malignant arrhythmias, neuromuscular disorders or a family history of LVNC or thromboembolic events. Another observation holds true for most LVNC diagnostic accuracy studies: In a derivation dataset, cut-off values for continuous variables, such as the non-compaction to compaction ratio, are typically determined using receiver-operating characteristics curves and trade off sensitivity and specificity according to the purpose of the test; rarely is the diagnostic accuracy of a cut-off value validated in a validation dataset.

Sensitivity and specificity are independent of the prevalence of disease. A useful diagnostic test provides information that changes the likelihood of having disease (post-test probability) from the likelihood of having disease without the test information (pre-test probability). The positive and negative predictive values quantify this information of the test as a function of sensitivity, specificity and pre-test probability (this may be the prevalence of disease). **Figure 1** represents the relationships between pre- and post-test probabilities over the entire range of pre-test probabilities given the sensitivities and specificities published (4, 5). An uninformative test (50% sensitivity and 50% specificity) does not change the probabilities and equals the identity line (45 degree line) in the figure. The graph also shows that in the range of pre-test probabilities that are consistent with the currently published range of LVNC prevalence (0.014 to 0.05%) (6) both CMR criteria do not provide sufficient diagnostic information, as the post-test probability remains low. Both CMR criteria help refine the post-test probability in the low and intermediate pre-test range. Above a pre-test probability of 10%, the post-test probability is above 90% for the Petersen criteria showing the value of CMR as a rule-in test in such a population. Similarly, the negative CMR test result in the same population reduced the post-test probabilities to very low likelihoods and thus underlines its potential use as a rule-out test.

Currently no prediction rule is available based on the above clinical information to determine the pre-test probability for LVNC. Patients with a family history of LVNC, known LVNC complications, associated muscular disorders or regional wall motion abnormalities would have higher than

background prevalence pre-test probabilities of having LVNC. To illustrate the use of CMR in diagnosing or excluding LVNC in intermediate probabilities consider the following CMR screening in a family with an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern. The nephew of an index patient has a pre-test probability of 25% compared to the child of the index patient who has 50%. Here, positive CMR scans using Petersen criteria lead to a post-test probability of having LVNC of 96.6% and 98.9%, respectively. Negative CMR scans lead to a post-test probability of having LVNC of 4.5% and 12.4%. (4)

The clinical value of CMR in the diagnosis of LVNC is currently unclear due to a lack of prospective population-based studies including cardiac imaging and LVNC cohorts. The natural history of LVNC with normal ejection fraction compared to normally functioning and normally trabeculated hearts remains unknown and the natural history of LVNC with impaired LV systolic function compared to matched dilated cardiomyopathy patients is unclear. This information could inform how to best manage such patients. Many open questions remain, such as should patients with heavily trabeculated hearts and normal function be treated to reduce the likelihood of adverse remodelling or thromboembolic events? Should LVNC patients with impaired systolic function be managed differently from those with dilated cardiomyopathy?

In this issue of iJACC, Stacy et al. (7) present, for a number of diagnostic CMR criteria which are similar but slightly modified compared to those in previously published studies, associations with prevalent (existing) heart failure and with incident (future) combined clinical events (death, heart failure readmission, embolic events or ventricular arrhythmias). The motivation for

this retrospective study with chart reviews to determine outcomes is to investigate whether end-systolic measures of LVNC are feasible and can improve clinical recognition of LVNC. 122 patients (2.5% of the 4,762 patients screened) are included as reports mention trabeculations or LVNC. The authors find that measures of end-systolic non-compaction to compaction ratios (ES-NCCR) are the strongest predictors of not only prevalent heart failure (adjusted odds ratio 29.4 (CI: 6.6-125), but also incident combined clinical events (adjusted odds ratio 8.6 (CI 2.5-33), albeit with very wide confidence interval reflecting uncertainty. This study is welcomed as it provides some much-needed insight into the not entirely benign natural history of some patients with a LVNC diagnosis based on the CMR findings. However, no firm conclusions can be drawn, as to whether ES-NCCR is superior to end-diastolic measures for diagnosis of LVNC leading to related events. Given the above explanations regarding the relationship of pre-and post-test probabilities of LVNC and the arguments presented in **Figure 1**, it is likely that a large proportion of the so-called LVNC population does not have the disease. In particular patients with normal ejection fraction may have no disease. It is possible that ES-NCCR may identify LVNC patients with more pronounced impairment of regional or global dysfunction due to the reduced contraction of the trabeculations. Whether this approach is superior to using a diastolic measure with attention to regional and global function is unclear. Even though the authors adjusted the odds ratios for co-variables including heart failure, it is possible that the adjusted odds ratios for end-systolic parameters were higher compared to the end-diastolic ones due to the lack of contraction of trabeculations when ejection fraction is impaired. Whether end-

systolic parameters are more useful in diagnosing LVNC or predicting clinical events in normal ejection fraction remains unclear.

The study by Stacey and colleagues is limited by its retrospective nature and relatively small sample size, leaving it unable to provide sufficient information on the natural history of patients with normal ejection fraction and impaired ejection fraction separately (and to compare the latter group to dilated cardiomyopathy patients).

Prospective studies are needed to document the natural progression of LVNC and to determine clinical and imaging predictors of adverse outcomes, which could be the basis for a simple diagnostic prediction rule. This is a task for a coordinated effort between the international imaging and heart muscle disease communities to come together to plan, raise funds and organise such a study.

References

1. Stöllberger C, Finsterer J, Blazek G. Left ventricular hypertrabeculation/noncompaction and association with additional cardiac abnormalities and neuromuscular disorders. *Am J Cardiol* 2002;90(8):899–902.
2. Chin TK, Perloff JK, Williams RG, Jue K, Mohrmann R. Isolated noncompaction of left ventricular myocardium. A study of eight cases. *Circulation* 1990;82(2):507–513.
3. Jenni R, Oechslin E, Schneider J, Jost CA. Echocardiographic and pathoanatomical characteristics of isolated left ventricular non-compaction: a step towards classification as a distinct cardiomyopathy. *Heart* 2001.
4. Petersen SE, Selvanayagam JB, Wiesmann F, et al. Left ventricular non-compaction: insights from cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46(1):101–105.

5. Jacquier A, Thuny F, Jop B, et al. Measurement of trabeculated left ventricular mass using cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis of left ventricular non-compaction. *Eur Heart J* 2010;31(9):1098–1104.
6. Oechslin EN, Attenhofer Jost CH, Rojas JR, Kaufmann PA, Jenni R. Long-term follow-up of 34 adults with isolated left ventricular noncompaction: a distinct cardiomyopathy with poor prognosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000;36(2):493–500.
7. Stacey RB, et al. *ijACC*

Figures




Figure 1: Relationship between pre- and post-test probability for published sensitivities and specificities to diagnose left ventricular non-compaction. Petersen criteria: sensitivity 86%, specificity 99%; Jacquier criteria: sensitivity 93.7%, specificity 93.7%. An uninformative test has a sensitivity and specificity of 50%. In very low pre-test probabilities consistent with the reported LVNC prevalence of 0.014 to 0.5% neither CMR criteria are very informative. In low and intermediate pre-test probabilities both CMR criteria are informative, one slightly better at ruling out disease one better at ruling in disease.



**AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION
CORRESPONDING AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY AND COPYRIGHT TRANSFER
AGREEMENT IMAGES**

Journal JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging
Manuscript # JIMG032513-0264
Title Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance and Left Ventricular Non-compaction: Does It Matter How We Measure Trabeculations?
Authors Steffen E. Petersen
Date March 27, 2013 17:37 GMT
IP Address 80.122.33.58

Journal publishers and authors share a common interest in the protection of copyright: authors principally because they want their creative works to be protected from plagiarism and other unlawful uses, publishers because they need to protect their work and investment in the production, marketing and distribution of the published version of the image. In order to do so effectively, publishers request a formal written transfer of copyright from the author(s) for each image published. Publishers and authors are also concerned that the integrity of the official record of publication of an image (once refereed and published) be maintained, and in order to protect that reference value and validation process, we ask that authors recognize that distribution (including through the Internet/WWW or other on-line means) of the authoritative version of the image as published is best administered by the Publisher.

To avoid any delay in the publication of your image, please read the terms of this agreement, complete all required information, and electronically submit your form as quickly as possible.

To be published in the following journal (please check):

Journal of the American College of Cardiology(JACC) *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions* *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging* *JACC: Heart Failure*

Image entitled: Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance and Left Ventricular Non-compaction: Does It Matter How We Measure Trabeculations?

JACC number: JIMG032513-0264

Corresponding author's printed name: Dr. Petersen

First author's printed name: Dr. Steffen E. E. Petersen

I hereby assign to the American College of Cardiology Foundation the copyright in the image identified above and any supplemental tables, illustrations or other information submitted therewith (the "image") in all forms and media (whether now known or hereafter developed), throughout the world, in all languages, for the full term of copyright and all extensions and renewals thereof, effective when and if the image is accepted for publication. This transfer includes the right to adapt the presentation of the image for use in conjunction with computer systems and programs, including reproduction or publication in machine-readable form and incorporation in electronic retrieval systems.

Authors retain or are hereby granted (without the need to obtain further permission) rights to use the image for traditional scholarship communications, for teaching, and for distribution within their institution, as set out in the General Terms of Publication (see note 1), and also agree to the other terms.

- I am the sole author of the image
 I am one author signing on behalf of all co-authors of the image

- The image is a 'work made for hire' and I am signing as an authorized representative of the employing company
- I am a US Government employee and there is no copyright to transfer but I affirm the author warranties (see notes 3 & 4)
- I am a co-author who is not a US Government employee but whose co-authors are government employees (see note 4)
- I am an employee of the UK
- Canadian or Australian Government claiming Crown Copyright but I affirm the author warranties (see note 5)
- I am a co-author who is not claiming Crown Copyright but whose co-authors are employees of the UK
- Canadian or Australian Government (see note 5)

FUNDING

- The underlying research reported in the image was funded by the US National Institute of Health**
- The underlying research reported in the image was performed by a Howard Hughes Medical Institute investigator**

Elsevier will automatically submit your image to PubMed Central if the research was funded by the NIH (as indicated by checking the appropriate box above)

Please mark **one or more** of the above boxes (as appropriate) and then input your name and date.

Signed: Steffen Petersen

Title and Company (if employer representative): Reader, QMUL

Date: March 27, 2013

Data Protection: By submitting this form you are consenting that the personal information provided herein may be used by the American College of Cardiology Foundation, Elsevier, and its affiliated companies worldwide to contact you concerning the publishing of your image and occasionally for marketing purposes. We respect your privacy. If you **do** not wish to receive news, promotions and special offers about our products and services, then please mark this box. See also the Elsevier website at <http://www.elsevier.com> and click *Privacy Policy*.

Please complete this electronic file by filling out all required criteria and clicking the "Submit" button at the bottom of this page. You will receive a PDF version of your completed electronic form via email. Please print this copy and retain for your files.

Journal of the American College of Cardiology(JACC)
JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions
JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging
JACC: Heart Failure
 3655 Nobel Drive, Suite 630
 San Diego, California 92122-1005
 Fax: (858) 558-3148
 Email: jaccsd@acc.org
jaccint@acc.org
jaccimg@acc.org
jacchf@acc.org

General Terms of Publication

1. As an author you (or your employer or institution) may do the following:

- make copies (print or electronic) of the image for your own personal use, including for your own classroom teaching use;
- make copies and distribute such copies (including through e-mail) of the image to research colleagues, for the personal use by such colleagues (but not commercially or systematically, e.g. via an e-mail list or list server);
- post a pre-print version of the image on Internet websites including electronic pre-print servers, and to retain indefinitely such version on such servers or sites;
- post a revised personal version of the final text of the image (to reflect changes made in the peer review and editing process) on your personal or institutional website or server, with a link to the journal homepage (on elsevier.com);
- present the image at a meeting or conference and to distribute copies of the image to the delegates attending such meeting;
- for your employer, if the image is a 'work for hire', made within the scope of your employment, your employer may use all or part of the information in the image for other intra-company use (e.g. training);
- retain patent and trademark rights and rights to any process or procedure described in the image;
- include the image in full or in part in a thesis or dissertation (provided that this is not to be published commercially);
- use the image or any part thereof in a printed compilation of your works, such as collected writings or lecture notes (subsequent to publication of the image in the journal); and
- prepare other derivative works, to extend the image into book-length form, or to otherwise re-use portions or excerpts in other works, with full acknowledgement of its original publication in the journal.

All copies, print or electronic, or other use of the paper or image must include the appropriate bibliographic citation for the image's publication in the journal.

• **Requests from third parties**

Requests for all uses not included above, including the authorization of third parties to reproduce or otherwise use all or part of the image (including figures and tables), should be referred to the Elsevier Global Rights Department by going to our website at <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/permissions> and selecting 'Permissions'.

• **Author warranties**

- The image you have submitted to the journal for review is original, has been written by the stated authors and has not been published elsewhere.
- The image is not currently being considered for publication by any other journal and will not be submitted for such review while under review by the journal.
- The image contains no libellous or other unlawful statements and does not contain any materials that violate any personal or proprietary rights of any other person or entity.
- You have obtained written permission from copyright owners for any excerpts from copyrighted works that are included and have credited the sources in your image.
- If the image was prepared jointly with other authors, you have informed the co-author(s) of the terms of this copyright transfer and that you are signing on their behalf as their agent, and represent that you are authorized to do so.

• **US Government employees**

- If all co-authors are US Government employees there is no copyright to transfer. Please sign the form, to confirm the author warranties.
- If there is a number of co-authors, of which at least one is a US Government employee (and this work was prepared in such capacity) and at least one is not a government employee, the non-government author should sign this form, indicating transfer of those rights which such author has (also on behalf of any other non-government co-authors).

• **Crown Copyright**

- UK Government employee authors may elect to transfer copyright.
- UK Government employees wishing to claim Crown Copyright should mark the appropriate box overleaf, sign the form to affirm the author warranties and attach the completed authorization form as per HMSO guidelines at <http://www.hmso.gov.uk/copyright/guidance/articles/htm>.
- The work of Canadian or Australian Government employees is automatically subject to Crown Copyright. Please mark the appropriate box and sign the form to affirm the author warranties.
- If there is a number of co-authors, of which at least one is claiming Crown Copyright and at least one is not an employee of the UK, Canadian or Australian Government, the non-government author should sign this form, indicating transfer of those rights which such author has (also on behalf of any other non-government co-authors).

• **Elsevier's AiP (Articles in Press) service**

Elsevier may choose to publish an abstract or portions of the paper before we publish it in the journal. Please contact our Production department immediately if you do not want us to make any such prior publication for any reason, including disclosure of a patentable invention. ***If you have checked a box indicating that you are NOT signing on behalf of all co-authors on the manuscript, the Editorial Office will be in contact to collect the remaining signatures. If you checked the box indicating that you are signing on behalf of all co-authors, please complete this form and submit. Nothing further will be needed.**

- I am not signing on behalf of all co-authors of the manuscript.
- I am one author signing on behalf of all co-authors of the manuscript.

Name(printed): Steffen Petersen

Title (if employer representative): Reader, QMUL **Date:** March 27, 2013

local_p_id: 88978

time: 1364405967

ip address: 80.122.33.58



AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION
AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITIES: RELATIONSHIP WITH INDUSTRY AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

In addition to disclosing relationship with industry and/or financial disclosures on the *cover letter* and *title* page of your manuscript, we ask that each author sign this form.

To be published in the following journal (please check):

Journal of the American College of Cardiology(JACC) *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions* *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging* *JACC: Heart Failure*

Article entitled: Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance and Left Ventricular Non-compaction: Does It Matter How We Measure Trabeculations?

Manuscript number: JIMG032513-0264

Corresponding Author: Dr. Petersen

Corresponding author's printed name: Petersen

First author's printed name: Petersen

***Corresponding Author's Responsibility**

To avoid delay in the publication of your manuscript, **complete and submit this form as quickly as possible.**

After you have submitted your electronic form, you will receive an email confirmation that includes a PDF copy. Please print and retain for your files. **If for some reason you are unable to complete this form electronically**, please contact the JACC office directly or print this form, fill in the spaces manually, and email, fax, or post mail a copy to:

Journal of the American College of Cardiology(JACC)

JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions

JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging

JACC: Heart Failure

3655 Nobel Drive, Suite 630

San Diego, California 92122-1005

Fax: (858) 558-3148

Email: jaccsd@acc.org jaccint@acc.org jaccimg@acc.org jacchf@acc.org

Complete the section below, type your name into the Type Name box and input the date. *By submitting this form, you attest to being an author on the paper.*

Type Name: Petersen Date: March 27, 2013

Title and Company (if employer representative): Reader, QMUL

I have **relationship with industry** to disclose.

1. Type **relationship with industry** to disclose: Consultancy

I have **financial information** to disclose.

1. Type **financial information** to disclose: Consultancy

local_p_id: 88978

time: 1364405845

ip address: 80.122.33.58