

Journal Pre-proofs

Study on the structure vs activity of designed Non-Precious metal electrocatalysts for CO₂ conversion

Wangchao Yuan, Nivetha Jeyachandran, Tingke Rao, Azeem Ghulam Nabi, Matteo Bisetto, Devis Di Tommaso, Tiziano Montini, Cristina Giordano

PII: S0167-577X(23)00352-X
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2023.134167>
Reference: MLBLUE 134167

To appear in: *Materials Letters*

Received Date: 5 November 2022
Revised Date: 15 January 2023
Accepted Date: 4 March 2023

Please cite this article as: W. Yuan, N. Jeyachandran, T. Rao, A. Ghulam Nabi, M. Bisetto, D. Di Tommaso, T. Montini, C. Giordano, Study on the structure vs activity of designed Non-Precious metal electrocatalysts for CO₂ conversion, *Materials Letters* (2023), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2023.134167>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Study on the Structure vs Activity of Designed Non-Precious Metal Electrocatalysts for CO₂ Conversion

Wangchao Yuan^{a,#}, Nivetha Jeyachandran^{a,#}, Tingke Rao^a, Azeem Ghulam Nabi^a, Matteo Bisetto^b, Devis Di Tommaso^a, Tiziano Montini^b, Cristina Giordano^{a,*}

^a*Department of Chemistry, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E14NS, UK*

^b*Department of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Center for Energy, Environment and Transport Giacomo Ciamiciam, INSTM Trieste Research Unit and ICCOM-CNR Trieste Research Unit, University of Trieste, Via Licio Giorgieri 1, 34127 Trieste, Italy*

*c.giordano@qmul.ac.uk

[#]*These authors contribute equally to the paper.*

Abstract

This work investigates Cu and Cu-Sn nanocatalysts with controlled composition and morphology for electrochemical CO₂ reduction reaction to added value chemicals, showing that bimetallic materials possess active sites with increased specific activity toward activation and reduction of CO₂ compared to monometallic, where Cu showed high selectivity for the competitive hydrogen evolution reaction, while bimetallic Cu-Sn electrocatalysts were selective towards CO and formates. Nanoparticles were prepared via a straightforward chemical process, leading to small, well-defined and crystalline nanoparticles, either mono or bimetallic, where Cu and Sn precursors are blended in one step to achieve either alloyed or core-shell structures.

Keywords: bimetallic electrocatalysts, copper-tin nanoparticles, urea-glass-route, CO₂RR

Introduction

The electrochemical CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR) has emerged as a potentially strategy for converting CO₂ into value-added chemicals including methanol, methane, formic acid, formaldehyde, ethylene and ethanol [1]. The main challenges in CO₂RR lie in the activation of CO₂ while minimizing competitive pathways such as the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER).

Copper (Cu) materials are the most promising for producing CO and other multi-carbon products [1] but lacks selectivity [2]. Strategies adopted to improve Cu

performances include surface modification [3], metal doping [4] and alloying [5–8] to promote the adsorption/activation of CO₂ and improve stability, and nano-structuring [9] to expose a higher fraction of corner/edge surface atoms. These findings increased the interest in the tailored synthesis of Cu nanoparticles with fine control over crystallinity, size, and porosity to maximize catalytic activity and tailor selectivity. The present contribution introduces an alternative green methodology, based on a sol-gel procedure called urea-glass-route [10], to prepare monometallic Cu and Sn, and bimetallic Cu-Sn nanoparticles. Compared to standard preparation methods, this route led to small, yet well-defined and crystalline nanoparticles with controlled composition and structure (from nano-alloy to core-shell) in a straightforward way, without the use of any additives, support or co-catalysts. The as-prepared particles were tested for CO₂RR: interesting, while monometallic systems favour H₂ production, bimetallic electrocatalysts produce mainly CO and formates.

Result and Discussion

Monometallic Cu(0) and Sn(0) nanoparticles were synthesized modifying the urea-glass-route [10], using different strategies to achieve CuSn alloy (*strategy A*) and either Cu@Sn (*strategy B*) or Sn@Cu (*strategy C*) core-shell nanoparticles (see SI).

Details on phases and structural properties of the synthesized samples were achieved by XRD study. Patterns were indexed and fitted by the Rietveld method. **Table SI.1** reports weight fractions, mean crystallite size and cell parameters of the phases identified in each sample. **Figure 1** shows the XRD patterns of CuSn samples prepared via the different strategies. For CuSn alloys, the XRD pattern (**Figure 1.A**) shows the formation of three phases identified as SnO₂, intermetallic Cu₃Sn and Cu(0). Here, Cu(0) peaks are slightly shifted (to lower angles) compared to those of monometallic Cu(0), somehow suggesting a (partial) incorporation of Sn in the Cu structure. This finding seems plausible considering the atomic radii of the two metals: 128pm and 140pm for Cu and Sn, respectively. The formation of an alloyed Cu-Sn phase is also evident by the comparison with the pattern of the physical mixture (PM), which only shows two distinct phases: the expected patterns of pristine Cu(0) and Sn(0).

Cu@Sn-B XRD pattern shows the typical Cu(0) reflections, with asymmetric peaks and a visible shift towards lower angles (compared to monometallic Cu), suggesting again a structure expansion (confirmed by Rietveld analysis) due to intercalation of Sn atoms (**Figure 1.B**). Although the Cu@Sn pattern showed a Cu-rich phase, the presence of Sn closely connected to Cu was observed via elemental mapping (*vide infra*).

Finally, Sn@Cu-C XRD pattern (**Figure 1.C**) shows again the formation of Cu(0), Cu₃Sn, Sn and SnO₂ phases, and a slight shift toward lower angles of the Cu(0) phase, indicating again the close proximity of Sn to Cu.




Figure 1. XRD patterns of CuSn (1:1) samples prepared with different strategies (A, B, C). The ICDD patterns of pure Cu (ICDD 00-003-1005), Sn (ICDD 04-004-7747) and corresponding CuSn physical mixture are also reported for comparison. Right: magnified 42-44° range, around the Cu (111) peak.

XRD study highlighted that samples prepared with different strategies lead to different products, but in each case Cu is the leading phase for the formation of a Cu(O) phase is always observed, albeit affected by the presence of Sn; conversely, Cu strongly affects the chemical behaviour of Sn. Increasing the initial amount of urea (from R3 to R5) favors Cu-Sn interactions, as indicated by a higher content of the intermetallic Cu₃Sn phase. Surprisingly, no carbon phase (typical side product when urea is used) has been ever observed.

Journal Pre-proofs




Figure 2. Representative SEM and TEM images of CuSn R3 (A, D), Cu@Sn-B R5 (B,E) and Sn@Cu-C R5 (C, F).

For information on large-scale morphology and general homogeneity, electron microscopy (transmission, TEM, and scanning, SEM) was used alongside elemental mapping analysis. **Figure SI.5** reports representative images of monometallic samples, showing that both Cu(0) and Sn(0) samples are composed by highly interconnected, polycrystalline and polydisperse particles, with size larger than the average crystalline size determined by XRD. Corresponding elemental mapping of monometallic Cu(0) showed the homogeneous presence of Cu (**Figure SI.6**), while the monometallic Sn(0) sample shows two phases (**Figure SI.7**), the second layered phase was identified as carbon. For the bimetallic phases (**Figure 2**), CuSn alloy (*via* strategy A) shows a layered structure, very “bulky” and with no evident porosity. For Cu@Sn sample (*via* strategy B), clusters of elongated structure, polydisperse in size, are observed. In each case, elemental mapping has nicely showed that Cu and Sn are in close contact (**Figure SI.9-SI.13**). In some cases, the presence of oxygen is also observed but mainly onto the carbon phase. TEM investigation (**Figure 2**), have shown, the formation of relatively small nanoparticles’ clusters (in line with XRD results) but, more interesting, the formation of Cu@Sn core-shell nanoparticles. Although, at this magnification, TEM does not provide phase identification, the presence of the so-called “ghosts” around the particles (shining reflection) indicated the metallic nature of those phases. Here, the occasional presence of a layered structure was also observed.

Electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction

The role of alloying and morphology for bimetallic Cu-Sn was investigated toward the electrocatalytic CO₂RR. CVs under CO₂ atmosphere reaching various negative potentials show similar trends (**Figure SI.19**): monometallic materials show higher current densities, indicating a higher tendency to exploit electrons for catalyzing electrocatalytic processes. Comparison of preliminary CVs and CAs under inert Ar or CO₂ (**Figure SI.20**) shows that very limited differences are observed for the monometallic Cu R3 samples, while for the bimetallic Cu@Sn-B and Sn@Cu-C, the current densities under CO₂ atmosphere are much higher than the case of Ar, suggesting a significant contribution of CO₂RR process. A comparison on materials' performances from this study and similar systems present in literature is reported in Table SI.4.




Figure 3. Performance in electrocatalytic CO₂RR at the potential of -1.5 V vs Ag/AgCl sat. of monometallic (left) and bimetallic (right) materials: (A, B) Chronoamperometries; (C, D) Production rate and (E, F) Faradaic Efficiencies (FE) for the detected products.

The performance of the materials towards CO₂RR was investigated in details by chronoamperometry (CA) applying a constant potential for 1h. As a representative example, the results obtained at -1.5 V vs Ag/AgCl sat. are reported in **Figure 3** while the results obtained for other potentials (-1.3 and -1.7 V vs Ag/AgCl sat.) are presented **Figures SI.21-22**. Similar trends have been obtained at different potentials, with the measured current densities and the production rates increasing as the potential becomes more negative. Notably, only at the most negative potential, detectable amounts of ethylene have been observed. All the monometallic materials produce H₂ as main product, with Cu systems having higher current densities than Sn ones. All the monometallic materials had showed a Faradic Efficiency to (FE_{H₂}) around 60 – 70 % (**Figure 3.E**), indicating a high selectivity in promoting H₂ production. Conversely, bimetallic materials show higher selectivity for production of carbon-based compounds from CO₂. Despite lower current densities (**Figure 3.B**), Cu@Sn-B

and Sn@Cu-C show increased production and FEs toward formate and CO (**Figure 3.D-F**). A similar effect is observed for all the investigated potentials (**Figure 3, SI.21-22**), with the selectivity for the products of CO₂RR increasing as the applied potential becomes more negative. It must be underlined that the overall FEs for some bimetallic materials (Sn@Cu and CuSn prepared with different urea:metal ratio, R) significantly differ from 100% because of parasitic or secondary reactions, probably related to reduction of SnO_x species.

The electrochemical tests results clearly indicate a higher selectivity of bimetallic materials toward CO₂RR process: compared to the results obtained from monometallic catalysts, bimetallic materials bear higher activity toward CO₂RR, strongly limiting the production of molecular H₂. To rationalize the preference towards HER or CO₂RR, we have calculated the adsorption process and the elementary steps for the concerted proton-electron transfer to convert CO₂ to formic acid and carbon monoxide and of the competitive HER (see SI). On pure copper, H₂ has a weaker surface binding than COOH and CO, the first two intermediates of the CO₂RR, leading to easier desorption. The energetics of the reaction is modified on Cu-Sn, which promotes the formation of C1 and C2 products.

Conclusion

Copper, tin and copper-tin electrocatalysts for CO₂RR were prepared via a sol-gel based route. This novel route allowed to obtain small, yet well-defined and crystalline nanoparticles with controlled composition (namely mono or bimetallic) and different structure (from nano-alloy to core-shell) in a straightforward way, without the use of additives and support. Three strategies were explored to change catalyst's final structure. It was observed that the Cu(0) leading phase is affected by the presence of Sn; conversely, Cu strongly affects the behaviour of Sn. Cu-Sn electrocatalysts exhibited improved activity towards CO and formates formation, showing that bimetallic materials possess active sites with an increased specific activity toward CO₂RR.

Acknowledgements

NJ acknowledges mini-Centre-for-Doctoral-Training in CO₂-Conversion@QMUL for PhD scholarship. W.Y&T.R. acknowledge the Chinese-Council-Scholarship for PhD scholarship. AGN acknowledges the Pakistan HEC-QMUL PhD Scholarships for funding. DDT acknowledged the ACT programme (Project No 299668), which funded the FUNMIN project. MB thanks University of Trieste for funding his PhD position. TM&MB acknowledge the financial support from University of Trieste (project FRA 2022), INSTM consortium and Italian Ministry of University and Research (project PRIN 2017PBXPN4).

References

- [1] Y. Li, F. Cui, M.B. Ross, D. Kim, Y. Sun, P. Yang, *Nano Letters* 17 (2017) 1312–1317.
- [2] D.D. Zhu, J.L. Liu, S.Z. Qiao, *Advanced Materials* 28 (2016) 3423–3452.
- [3] S. Shen, J. He, X. Peng, W. Xi, L. Zhang, D. Xi, L. Wang, X. Liu, J. Luo, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* 6 (2018) 18960–18966.
- [4] J. Dean, Y. Yang, N. Austin, G. Vesper, G. Mpourmpakis, *ChemSusChem* 11 (2018) 1169–1178.
- [5] W. Xiong, J. Yang, L. Shuai, Y. Hou, M. Qiu, X. Li, M.K.H. Leung, *ChemElectroChem* 6 (2019) 5951–5957.
- [6] S. Sarfraz, A.T. Garcia-Esparza, A. Jedidi, L. Cavallo, K. Takanebe, *ACS Catal.* 6 (2016) 2842–2851.
- [7] X. Chen, D.A. Henckel, U.O. Nwabara, Y. Li, A.I. Frenkel, T.T. Fister, P.J.A. Kenis, A.A. Gewirth, *ACS Catal.* 10 (2020) 672–682.
- [8] M. Morimoto, Y. Takatsuji, R. Yamasaki, H. Hashimoto, I. Nakata, T. Sakakura, T. Haruyama, *Electrocatalysis* 9 (2018) 323–332.
- [9] B. Yang, C. Liu, A. Halder, E.C. Tyo, A.B.F. Martinson, S. Seifert, P. Zapol, L.A. Curtiss, S. Vajda, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 121 (2017) 10406–10412.
- [10] C. Giordano, T. Corbiere, *Colloid and Polymer Science* 291 (2013) 1297–1311.




Figure 1. Left: XRD patterns of CuSn (1:1) samples prepared with different strategies (A, B, C). The ICDD patterns of pure Cu (ICDD 00-003-1005), Sn (ICDD 04-004-7747) and corresponding CuSn physical mixture are also reported for comparison. Right: magnified 42-44° range, around the Cu (111) peak.




Figure 2. Representative SEM and TEM images of CuSn R3 (A,D), Cu@Sn-B R5 (B,E) and Sn@Cu-C R5 (C, F).




Figure 3. Performance in electrocatalytic CO₂RR at the potential of – 1.5 V vs Ag/AgCl sat. of monometallic (left) and bimetallic (right) materials: (A, B) Chronoamperometries; (C, D) Production rate and (E, F) Faradaic Efficiencies (FE) for the detected products.

CRedit author statement

CG, DDT, TM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, supervision, **NY, WY, TR, MB, CG, TM:** Investigation and Validation, **DDT, AGN:** Formal analysis, **ALL:** Data curation, **ALL:** Writing- Original draft preparation, **ALL:** Writing- Reviewing and Editing.

Highlights

- Alloy and core-shell bimetallic electrocatalysts nanoparticles for CO₂RR designed.
- The role of the metals on the catalysts' activity/selectivity was investigated.
- The bimetallic electrocatalysis outperformed the corresponding monometallic ones.

- The best performance to reduce CO₂ showed by the core-shell system.
- The catalytic findings were rationalized by density functional calculations.

Journal Pre-proofs