5

Long noncoding RNAs at the crossroads of cell cycle and genome integrity

Giulia Guiducci¹ and Lovorka Stojic¹

10

¹ Barts Cancer Institute, Centre for Cancer Cell and Molecular Biology, John Vane Science Centre, Charterhouse Square, Queen Mary University of London, London EC1M 6BQ, UK

15

Correspondence: Stojic, L (<u>l.stojic@qmul.ac.uk</u>)

20

https://www.bartscancer.london/staff/dr-lovorka-stojic/ www.stojiclab.com

25 Twitter handle: @stojic_lovorka

5 Abstract

The cell cycle is controlled by guardian proteins that coordinate the process of cell growth and cell division. Alterations in these processes lead to genome instability, which has a causal link to many human diseases. Beyond the well-characterized role of protein-coding genes, an

10 increasing body of evidence has revealed that long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) actively participate in the regulation of cell cycle and safeguarding of genome integrity. LncRNAs are versatile molecules that act via a wide array of mechanisms. In this review, we discuss how IncRNAs are implicated in the control of cell cycle and maintenance of genome stability and how changes in IncRNA-regulatory networks lead to proliferative diseases such as cancer.

15

Keywords: long noncoding RNAs, cell cycle, cell division, genome stability, cancer.

5 Emerging roles for IncRNAs in cell cycle control and genome stability

Multiple protein networks are involved in regulation of the cell cycle (Box 1), which is crucial to avoid the transmission of any errors during DNA replication and mitosis to the progeny. Alterations in any of these processes can lead to **genome instability** (see Glossary) which

- 10 underlies many human diseases. One of the surprising discoveries of the postgenomic era revealed that only 2% of the mammalian genome codes for protein-coding genes, with long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) representing a significant portion of the human genome [1-3]. Despite more than 50,000 IncRNAs being annotated to date [2, 3], functional characterization has been performed only for a subset. However, evidence is accumulating that many IncRNAs
- 15 play important roles in the regulation of cell cycle and genome stability [4, 5]. Understanding the role of IncRNAs in this context will not only provide new insights into regulation of fundamental cellular processes but also reveal novel pathogenetic mechanisms that contribute to human diseases such as cancer.
- LncRNAs are a heterogenous class of RNA molecules that are transcribed by RNA polymerase II (Pol II), are longer than 200 nucleotides and have low coding potential [6]. LncRNAs exhibit cell-type and tissue-specific expression, not only in healthy conditions, but also in pathological states, and are subjected to rapid turnover during evolution [5, 7]. LncRNAs can regulate numerous cellular processes including cell cycle, proliferation, apoptosis and DNA damage response (DDR), all pathways whose dysregulation has been associated with cancer [4, 8, 9]. Mechanisms through which lncRNAs regulate these pathways include transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene regulation, chromatin organization, cell signalling and post-translational regulation of protein activity [5, 10]. LncRNAs are known to exhibit distinct subcellular localization [11], being found in the cytoplasm and/or nucleus where
- 30 Indeed, the biological significance of IncRNAs cellular distribution on the DDR is starting to emerge [12-16].

changes in IncRNA subcellular localization often have a profound effect on their function.

- 5 Expression of IncRNAs is deregulated in cancer and many cancer-associated IncRNAs are implicated in the cell cycle progression and maintenance of genome stability [2, 5, 17], whose misregulation is the hallmark of cancer [8]. In this review, we discuss recent findings that shed light on how IncRNAs control cell cycle and maintain genome stability. We highlight emerging IncRNA-mediated mechanisms in these processes (Fig. 1, Key Figure and Fig. 2) to better understand how alterations in IncRNA-regulatory networks contribute to human diseases such as cancer. For the purpose of this review we will categorize IncRNAs based on specific stages of the cell cycle where they have been implicated in, including quiescence (Box 2), DNA replication and cell division (Table 1), and those that directly or indirectly contribute to the DDR and maintenance of genome stability (Table 2).
- 15

Box 1. An overview of the cell cycle regulation (see Figure for Box 1)

The cell cycle is a tightly controlled process of cell growth and cell division that is required for normal development and tissue homeostasis, a process usually altered in cancer [18]. The mammalian cell cycle is divided into four main stages: G1 (gap 1), S-phase (DNA

- 20 synthesis), G2 (gap 2) and mitosis (M, cell and nuclear division). Quiescence (G0), the fifth stage of the cell cycle, is a reversible cell cycle arrested state where cells cannot proliferate but still maintain their ability to re-enter the cell cycle and resume proliferation. The main players of the cell cycle are four different classes of cyclins (the A-, B-, D- and E-type), CDKs, CDKi, retinoblastoma protein (Rb)- and p53-related pathways. Different CDKs have distinct
- 25 roles during cell cycle progression and their activities are regulated not only by cyclins, but also by phosphorylation or dephosphorylation. For example, CDK4 and 6 are active during G1 phase, CDK2 functions during both G1 and S phase while CDK1 kinase is active during G2 and mitosis. In turn, INK4 (p16^{INK4a}, p15^{INK4b}, p18^{INK4c} and p19^{INK4d}) and/or Cip/Kip (p21^{Cip1}, p27^{Kip1} and p57^{Kip2}) are families of CDKi, which can bind to cyclin-CDK complexes and inhibit
- 30 *their activities in specific stage of the cell cycle. While* $p21^{Cip1}$, $p27^{Kip1}$ and $p57^{Kip2}$ inhibit a broader spectrum of cyclin-CDK complexes, $p15^{INK4b}$, $p16^{INK4a}$, $p18^{Ink4C}$ and $p19^{Ink4D}$ specifically inhibit CDK4/6 activity.

- In early G1-phase D-type cyclins (cyclin D1, D2 and D3) bind to CDK4/CDK6, which is essential for CDK activation and for cells to enter the G1-phase of the cell cycle. The Rb tumor suppressor protein, which binds and inhibits the E2F transcription factors, is a target of cyclin D-CDK4/6 and cyclin E-CDK2 complexes. Phosphorylation of Rb leads to dissociation of Rb-E2F complex and activation of the E2F-dependent transcription of cell cycle genes. As the cell cycle progresses, CDK2 can now bind to cyclin E to control entry to S-phase or cyclin A during S-phase. In the G2-phase of the cell cycle, cyclin A binds to CDK1 and promotes cell cycle progression during the G2-phase. Finally, for cells to enter mitosis cyclin A is replaced
- by cyclin B and cyclin B-CDK1 complex is responsible for triggering mitosis where replicated chromosomes are equally distributed to daughter cells. To exit mitosis, cyclin B is degraded 15 leading to inactivation of the cyclin B-CDK1 complex.

LncRNAs regulating G1-phase of the cell cycle

The first study that identified cell cycle-regulated lncRNAs examined by custom microarrays **noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs)** transcribed from 56 cell cycle-linked loci, and identified lncRNAs periodically expressed during the cell cycle in human cells [19]. The expression of some of

- 20 these IncRNAs was found to be responsive to DNA damage and deregulated in human cancers. The cell cycle-dependent expression of IncRNAs was also confirmed using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) in human cervical cancer cell line (HeLa) [20]. The FANTOM 6 project, which used antisense LNA-modified GapmeR antisense oligonucleotide (ASOs) to deplete 285 IncRNAs in human primary fibroblasts, identified several IncRNAs associated with cell
- 25 cycle defects further supporting the role of IncRNAs in cell cycle progression [21]. By analyzing cell cycle-staged single-cell RNA-seq data from mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs), which have a shorter G1-phase, IncRNAs were shown to regulate cell cycle and contribute to cell type-specific adaptations of ubiquitous processes [22]. Another study performed deep RNA-seq on synchronized human cancer cells and identified >2000 IncRNAs that had cell cycle 30 phase-specific expression [23]. In particular, 42% of genes that had elevated expression in

- 5 specific stage of the cell cycle were ncRNAs with the majority being lncRNA genes. Interestingly, most of these lncRNAs had higher expression in the G1-phase. Although differential expression alone does not prove a role in the cell cycle, it is reasonable to hypothesize that some of these cell cycle-regulated lncRNAs might play a role in G1/S transition.
- 10 Besides analyses of IncRNA expression during the cell cycle, the link between individual IncRNAs and G1/S transition has been specifically investigated. These G1-phase related IncRNAs control expression of cyclins, CDKs, CDKi and tumor suppressor genes by acting as protein scaffolds or as transcriptional, post-transcriptional or epigenetic regulators. For example, *ncRNA*_{CCND1} (*ncRNA transcribed upstream of the CCND1 gene*) negatively
- 15 regulates *CCND1* transcription in human cells through recruitment and activation of the **RNA** binding protein (RBP) TLS (Translocated in LipoSarcoma), leading to cell cycle arrest [24]. *NcRNA_{CCND1}*, which is transcribed from the promoter region of *CCND1* is also the first example of IncRNA expressed upon DNA damage. LncRNA *LAST* (*LncRNA-Assisted Stabilization of Transcripts*), which cooperates with the single-stranded DNA/RNA-binding factor CNBP,
- also controls *CCND1* function in human cells by regulating its mRNA stability [25]. Furthermore, overexpression of tumor suppressive lncRNA *GAS5* (*Growth Arrest Specific 5*) leads to G1 cell cycle arrest and inhibition of cell proliferation in human cancer cells via negative and positive regulation of *CDK6* [26] and *P27^{Kip1}* levels [27], respectively. Additional examples of lncRNAs controlling G1-phase through post-transcriptional mechanisms are *Gadd7* (*growth-arrested DNA damage-inducible gene 7*) [28, 29], *CCAT1* (*colon cancer-associated transcript-1*) [30-32] and *MIR100HG* [33].

In addition to cyclins and CDKs, IncRNAs can also regulate CDKi levels. Together with *ARF* (*alternate reading frame*), *p16^{INK4a}* and *p15^{INK4b}* genes are located within the *INK4/ARF* locus, which is critical for cell cycle progression. Given the importance of the *INK4/ARF* locus in tumor suppression [34], its transcription must be tightly regulated. LncRNA *ANRIL* (*antisense non-coding RNA in the INK4 locus, p15AS* or *CDKN2B-AS1*) is involved in epigenetic repression of the *INK4/ARF* locus, and is also required for cell cycle progression in

- 5 human cells. Mechanistically, *ANRIL* recruits Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 and 2 (PRC1/PRC2) to the *INK4/ARF locus* resulting in a decrease of *p15^{INK4b}* and *p16^{INK4a}* levels [35, 36]. Another study showed that *KNCQ1OT1*, an **imprinted IncRNA**, regulates *p57^{Kip2}* by binding to PRC2 and G9a chromatin regulators, which through epigenetic modifications repress *p57^{Kip}* transcription [37, 38].
- 10 Rb-mediated E2F regulation has a key role in controlling G1-phase. Many IncRNAs act downstream of E2F1 and contribute to E2F1-regulatory networks such as H19[39], ANRIL [40], MA-linc1 (mitosis-Associated Long Intergenic Non-Coding RNA 1) [41] and ERIC (E2F1-Regulated Inhibitor of Cell death) [42]. For example H19 IncRNA, which acts as a primary miRNA precursor generating miRNA-675, downregulates Rb [43] and promotes breast cancer
- 15 cell proliferation [39].

Some IncRNAs such as *MALAT1* (*Metastasis Associated Lung Adenocarcinoma Transcript 1*) [44] and *MA-linc1* [41] can affect more than one phase of the cell cycle. *MALAT1* is an abundant IncRNA that functions in G1/S transition and mitosis, and is deregulated in cancer [45-47]. p53 is a downstream mediator in *MALAT1* function and is required to induce

- 20 G1- or S-phase arrest in *MALAT1*-depleted cells [44]. *MALAT1's* role in G1/S transition is independent of its function in mitosis, which is consistent with *MALAT1* expression being highest during the G1/S and M-phase. In addition to *MALAT1*, expression of *LAST* and *MIR100HG* is also peaking in the G1-phase indicating that expression of some IncRNAs is closely associated with its specific cell cycle stage function.
- 25 Since dysregulation of IncRNAs involved in G1/S transition have been observed in cancer, cardiovascular and overgrowth syndromes [26, 30-33, 38, 39, 41, 43, 45-48], these findings indicate that IncRNA-mediated cell cycle regulation has an important impact on physiological processes as well as pathogenesis of diseases.

LncRNAs regulating S-phase of the cell cycle

- 5 The role of IncRNAs in S-phase progression is less characterized compared to IncRNAs involved in G1-phase. An elegant study by *Marchese et al.* showed that *CONCR* (*COhesion regulator NonCoding RNA*), a IncRNA with peak expression in mid-late G1-phase, controls DNA replication and cell cycle progression [49]. *CONCR* interacts with and regulates the activity of a DNA helicase involved in DNA replication, and its depletion leads to sister
- 10 chromatid cohesion and S-phase progression defects in human cells. Moreover, CONCR is activated by transcription factor MYC and its upregulation is observed in several cancers [49]. Similarly, SUNO1 (S-phase-upregulated Non-coding-1) is a lncRNA whose levels are enriched during G1/S and early S-phase and SUNO1-depleted cells display S-phase progression defects in human cell lines [23]. Mechanistically, SUNO1 promotes transcription of genes
- 15 involved in cell proliferation by stabilizing the interaction between the RNA helicase DDX5 and Pol II on chromatin. In keeping with its role in promoting cell survival, increased levels of *SUNO1* have been associated with tumorigenicity in colorectal mucosa and poor colon cancer prognosis. Despite *SUNO1*-depleted cells being sensitive to **DNA damaging agents**, its function in the DDR remains to be identified. Since other IncRNAs were shown to bind
- 20 DNA/RNA helicases [50, 51], it remains to be seen whether the interaction between IncRNAs and DNA/RNA helicases is a common mechanism for IncRNAs to regulate S-phase.

By using nascent RNA-seq in HeLa cells, *Ali et al.* identified S-phase specific lncRNAs with functions in cell cycle control and cancer [52]. LncRNA *SCAT7* (*S-phase Cancer-Associated Transcript*) regulates the FGF/FGFR signalling and affects proliferation, invasion

- 25 and migration. Depletion of SCAT7 led to reduced FGF/FGFR signalling, which in turn affected expression of cell cycle genes such as CCND1. This work also represents a valuable resource of IncRNA-based oncogenic drivers with potential prognostic value. Subsequently, a similar study identified S-phase enriched IncRNAs in the non-transformed diploid cell line hTERT-RPE1, and showed diverse regulatory potential of *linc00704, MIAT* and *LUCAT1* IncRNAs in
- 30 S-phase progression [53].

Taken together, these findings indicate that IncRNAs involved in S-phase progression act either as effectors of DNA/RNA helicases or as regulators of pivotal signaling pathways.

5 LncRNAs regulating G2/M transition of the cell cycle

Growing evidence suggests that RNA-based mechanisms contribute to the fidelity of cell division through the activity of RBPs and different ncRNAs present on the mitotic spindle, kinetochores and centrosomes, the major components of the mitotic apparatus [54]. Although centromeric lncRNAs have been linked to chromosome segregation and kinetochore formation in Drosophila [55], the majority of lncRNAs are not present on mitotic chromatin [11, 56]. A Mitocheck consortium used a genome-wide siRNA library targeting 21,000 protein-coding genes and identified new proteins in cell division [57]. Once RNAi, ASO and CRISPR/Cas9-based libraries became available for lncRNAs, similar approaches were employed to identify lncRNAs involved in different cellular processes including cell division (Box 3). By targeting 600 cancer-relevant lncRNAs and analyzing their impact on cell division in HeLa Kyoto cells, *linc00152* was identified as regulator of mitosis whose function was linked to the microtubule cytoskeleton and ubiquitin pathway [58]. However, no mitotic defects were observed in other human cell lines after *linc00152* depletion, possibly due to lncRNA cell type-

20 specific functions [59, 60]. A second study performed a larger RNAi imaging screen by depleting 2231 lncRNAs in HeLa cells, and identified lncRNAs with key roles in mitotic progression, chromosome segregation and cytokinesis [56]. These include *linc00899* and *C1QTNF1-AS1* that regulate mitosis through diverse mechanisms and whose expression is also altered in cancer [61, 62]. For instance, nuclear *linc00899* was shown to regulate mitotic progression in multiple cell lines by binding and suppressing the transcription of *TPPP*, a microtubule-binding protein. By identifying lncRNAs with a role in mitosis and cytokinesis, these screens represent the basis for future studies aimed to understand how lncRNAs govern the fidelity of cell division.

Besides regulating the mitotic microtubule network certain IncRNAs control cell division 30 by mediating interactions between proteins involved in mitosis. For example, IncRNA *GiniR (antisense transcripts Genomic Instability Inducing RNA)* [15] interacts with centrosomal protein Cep112 and BRCA1, a protein involved in DNA repair [63] and centrosome biogenesis

5 [64]. Overexpression of *GiniR* in mouse fibroblasts leads to mitotic defects and genome instability. Intriguingly, *Ginir* was found to be cytoplasmic in normal and nuclear in transformed cells indicating that nuclear localization of *Ginir* might contribute to its oncogenic nature [15].

In parallel, IncRNAs were shown to modulate activities of protein kinases by acting as chaperones, allosteric regulators or preventing their post-translational modifications [10].

- 10 Polo-Like Kinase 1 (PLK1) is a major regulator of mitosis, whose spatio-temporal activity is central to error-free chromosome segregation [65]. Several IncRNAs such as *IncRNA-RI* (*IncRNA Radiation Induced*) [66] and *APAL (Aurora A/Polo-like-kinase 1 [PLK1]–associated IncRNA*) [67] regulate PLK1 expression or activity. *OIP5-AS1* IncRNA was shown to regulate stability of cyclin G Associated Kinase (GAK), which is important for mitotic progression [68].
- 15 Depletion of *IncRNA-RI*, *APAL* and *OIP5-AS1* led to mitotic defects indicating that IncRNAmediated regulation of mitotic kinases is essential for error-free cell division. By exploring the role of IncRNAs in skeletal myogenesis, IncRNA *SAM* (*Sugt1 associated muscle*) was shown to regulate proliferation of mouse muscle stem cells and myoblasts [69]. Since loss of *SAM* induced degradation of Sugt1, a protein involved in kinetochore assembly, these data suggest that *SAM*-Sugt1 interaction is required for fidelity of cell division.

In addition to G1/S transition roles described above, *MALAT1* [44] and *MA-linc1* [41] can also regulate mitosis. For example, *MALAT1* controls the expression of *B-MYB*, a transcription factor regulating expression of genes involved in mitosis. Notably *MALAT1*-depleted cells show mitotic defects and reduced levels of *B-MYB* due to its aberrant splicing.

- 25 Differently from *MALAT1* and other IncRNAs involved in G1/S progression whose levels are cell cycle regulated [23, 25, 33, 44, 49, 52, 53], the expression of IncRNAs involved in G2/M phase does not seem to follow the same pattern. The reason for this could be either poor quantification of mitosis-specific levels of IncRNAs due to the shorter duration of mitosis or the IncRNA concentration is less crucial in mechanisms controlling the G2/M transition.
- In summary, IncRNAs control cell division by regulating gene expression or modulating activities and stability of mitotic proteins. Their expression is often altered in cancer [41, 45, 47, 58, 61, 62, 67, 70] similarly to IncRNAs involved in other cell cycle stages.

5 Box 2. LncRNAs involved in cell cycle quiescence (G0)

Quiescence is a state of reversible proliferative arrest where cells are not dividing but still have the ability to re-enter the cell cycle upon receiving an appropriate stimulus [71]. Several IncRNAs have been showed to act in the G0 maintenance or in G0/G1 transition. LncRNA PAPAS (promoter and pre-rRNA antisense) was found to be upregulated in quiescent

- 10 mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and human cells [72]. By interacting with a histone lysine methyltransferase, PAPAS facilitates chromatin compaction in growth-arrested cells at specific genomic loci, contributing to the maintenance of a G0-specific transcriptional program. Another example is a PDCD4-AS1 IncRNA, which is expressed in human quiescent cells together with its sense counterpart, the tumor suppressor PDCD4. PDCD4-AS1 increases the
- 15 stability of PDCD4, which by negatively regulating CCND1 expression, promotes the maintenance of G0-phase [73]. Similarly to PDCD4, expression of PDCD4-AS1 correlates with overall survival of triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) patients, indicating a tumor suppressive role also for PDCD4-AS1.
- Quiescence has also been associated with cancer stemness, which protects cancer stem cells (CSCs) from antiproliferating anticancer drugs leading to CSC-mediated resistance to conventional therapies [74]. Several IncRNAs such as GAS5 have been identified as key players in maintaining the "stemness" state of tumors [75]. In addition, GAS5 was found to be highly expressed in a small fraction of human pancreatic cancer cells and its depletion released these cells from the cell cycle arrest [76]. Another study found IncRNA DANCR
- 25 (Differentiation Antagonizing Non-Protein Coding RNA) to be upregulated in human leukemia stem cells (LSCs), which have abnormal self-renewal capacity and increased chemotherapy resistance [77]. Depletion of DANCR in LSCs led to decreased stem cell renewal and quiescence by downregulation of WNT pathway. Serum stimulation can also promote cell cycle re-entry and induce expression of IncRNAs regulating G0/G1 transition. For example,
- 30 increased level of MIR222HG in serum-stimulated human fibroblasts dictates the cell cycle reentry post quiescence by binding to ILF3/ILF2 RBPs and promoting stability of its interacting mRNAs [78].

Given the growing evidence of quiescence-specific IncRNAs and their link to cancer stemness, future studies will be required to address the mechanism how IncRNAs control the cell cycle re-entry and identify the upstream signalling networks that regulate IncRNA expression at the quiescence and proliferation crossroad.

10 Box 3. High-throughput methods for functional profiling of IncRNAs

RNAi-, ASO- and CRISPR-based technologies have been used to perturb IncRNA expression and identified IncRNAs involved in pluripotency, differentiation, proliferation, growth, signaling, cell division and resistance to targeted therapeutics. One of the first loss-of function (LOF) approaches was a high-throughput shRNA screen targeting 226 IncRNAs in

- 15 mouse ESC [79] indicating the importance of IncRNAs in maintenance of the pluripotency. Subsequently, other shRNA screens identified IncRNAs involved in pluripotency [80] and mouse fibroblast proliferation [81]. To identify IncRNAs involved in cell division, two RNAibased screens were coupled with immunostaining [56] or live-cell imaging in human cancer cells [58].
- 20 CRISPR-Cas9 technology has been a break-through for manipulating IncRNA expression. The CRISPR-deletion screen targeted 700 cancer-associated IncRNAs and identified 51 candidates involved in cell proliferation of liver cancer cells [82]. An alternative CRISPR screen exploited sgRNA libraries targeting IncRNA splice sites and led to identification of IncRNA-mediated phenotypes in different cellular models [83]. A large
- 25 **CRISPR interference (CRISPRi)**-based screen targeted 16,041 IncRNAs in seven human cell lines and identified 499 IncRNAs affecting cell growth [59]. Interestingly, majority of IncRNAs had a phenotype only in one cell type indicating that IncRNAs can have cell-type specific functions regardless of their expression [59, 60]. A CRISPRi screen was also used to identify IncRNAs as new therapeutic targets in human glioblastoma [60]. Simultaneously, gain-
- 30 of function (GOF) methods based on **CRISPR-activation (CRISPRa)** or **CRISPR/Cas9** synergistic activation mediator (SAM) system have identified IncRNAs involved in AKT signaling [84] and drug resistance in melanoma [85] or acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [86]

- 5 using human breast, melanoma and AML cell lines, respectively. Since majority of CRISPR screens have been performed in cancer cell lines, it is possible that cell-type specific function of IncRNAs could be due to higher mutational load of cancer cell lines and their ongoing instability [87, 88].
- However, CRISPR-based approaches have some limitations. For instance, CRISPR-10 screens targeting lncRNA splice sites can result in false positives [89], while CRISPRi can inadvertently affect expression of neighboring genes [90] or lncRNAs close to other transcriptional units [91]. Instead, the FANTOM 6 project used ASOs to deplete lncRNAs in human primary fibroblasts and analyzed the effect of lncRNA depletion on cell growth and morphology [21]. They found a concordance between RNAi and ASO-mediated phenotype
- 15 only for 3 out 9 IncRNAs. Since each LOF method can give rise to method-specific off-target effects [92], multiple LOF and GOF methods should be used when analyzing the function of individual IncRNAs [6].

LncRNAs that safeguard genome integrity

20

Maintenance of genome stability depends on DNA replication fidelity, DNA repair and accurate chromosome segregation throughout the cell cycle. Defects in any of these processes can lead to genome instability, a hallmark of cancer, aging and other diseases [8, 93]. Genome instability is known to activate the multifaceted DDR pathway, an integrated

25 protein signaling network in charge of detecting DNA damage and triggering its repair (Box 4). Recent studies suggest that IncRNAs play an important role in this context by establishing multiple interactions with DNA, RNAs or proteins through which they can control different pathways impinging on genome stability [9].

5 Box 4. An overview of the multifaceted DNA damage response pathway (see Figure for Box 4)

DDR is a genome stability surveillance system consisting of DNA repair proteins, tumor suppressor genes, DNA damage-induced kinases, cell cycle checkpoints and apoptosis genes, which operate collectively to prevent the deleterious consequences of DNA damage

- 10 [93]. DDR activation leads to cell cycle arrest to allow repair of the DNA damage or apoptosis to remove cells bearing severe levels of DNA damage. Deficiency in DDR is associated with increased mutational load, genome instability and a variety of human disease such as neurodegeneration, immunodeficiencies, cancer and aging. DDR pathway is often deregulated in cancer cells and leads to resistance to DNA damage inducing agents,
- 15 presenting a hurdle to successful cancer treatment.

Treatment of cells with different DNA damaging agents such as ultraviolet (UV) and ionizing radiation (IR), topoisomerase poisons, alkylating and crosslinking agents activate specific DNA repair pathways to physically remove the damage. This includes **base excision repair (BER), nucleotide excision repair (NER), mismatch repair (MMR), homologous**

- 20 recombination (HR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ). The main DNA damage sensors are ATM, ATR and DNA-PKcs kinases, which are activated by DNA damage and replication stress and initiate DNA damage signalling. A key factor in the DDR is also the tumor suppressor p53 whose function is conserved across all vertebrates [94]. p53 acts as a transcriptional regulator to control cell cycle arrest and apoptosis upon DNA damage.
- 25 Most studies have focused on proteins involved in DDR pathway but recent evidence indicate unforeseen and important functions of RNA-based mechanisms in different stages of the DDR. In particular, IncRNAs have emerged as key players in DNA damage signalling and DNA repair, specifically in cancer cells [9]. Many of the IncRNAs involved in cell cycle control are also involved in DDR and are often deregulated in cancer. Indeed, many IncRNAs have cancer type-specific abberant expression and are involved in the onset and progression of cancer [17]. Expression of many IncRNAs is directly induced by DNA damage or alternatively damaging agents are used to activate p53, which then activates IncRNA expression. These

5 IncRNAs are involved in cell cycle arrest or apoptosis indicating their key roles in the DDR pathway. Understanding the varied mechanisms by which IncRNAs control cell cycle, DDR and DNA repair should provide new insights into the origins of cancer as well as the molecular basis for chemo- or radio-resistance, which in the future may open new RNA-based avenues for anti-cancer interventions.

10

Increasing evidence suggests that many IncRNAs have important roles in p53 signalling, where IncRNAs can control expression or activity of p53, or are regulated by p53 and mediate the p53 response [95]. The IncRNA *PANDA* (*p21 Associated NcRNA DNA damage Activated*) is transcribed antisense to $p21^{Cip1}$, a p53 target and a key regulator of G1/S

- 15 progression, and its levels are induced upon DNA damage in a p53-dependent manner [19]. PANDA interacts with transcription factor NF-YA and inhibits apoptosis in human fibroblasts. DINO (Damage Induced Noncoding) is another IncRNA induced upon DNA damage in human fibroblasts and human cancer cells [96]. DINO is required for p53-dependent gene expression, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis and its depletion abrogates DNA damage signalling. Similar to
- 20 PANDA [97], DINO can bind to and promote p53 protein stabilisation. Thus, by binding to transcription factors, IncRNAs contribute to DDR by creating a feedback loop to amplify cellular signalling networks.

LincRNA-p21 is another p53-regulated IncRNA, which triggers p53-dependent apoptosis upon DNA damage in human and mouse fibroblasts [98]. While nuclear *lincRNA*-

p21 regulates p53-dependent apoptosis and cell cycle arrest upon DNA damage by binding to RBP hnRNP-K [98, 99], cytoplasmic *lincRNA-p21* is involved in translation and hypoxia-enhanced glycolysis [100, 101]. *SNHG1 (small nucleolar RNA host gene 1)* is another example how lncRNA subcellular distribution can affect p53 regulatory networks upon DNA damage. *SNHG1* is retained in the nucleus after DNA damage in human cancer cells to compete with p53 for binding to RBP hnRNPC [12] leading to higher p53 levels and apoptosis.

Since the majority of human cancers acquire mutations that abrogate p53 function, it is not surprising that expression of p53-regulated lncRNAs is also altered in cancer [102-105].

- 5 For example, IncRNA LINC-PINT, which is induced upon DNA damage [106] is downregulated in multiple types of cancer [107]. Furthermore, LINC-PINT has tumor suppressive function by inhibiting invasion and migration of human colon and lung cancer cells [107]. NEAT1, a nuclear IncRNA acting as an integral part of **paraspeckles**, is another p53-regulated IncRNA involved in a negative feedback loop that attenuates oncogene-dependent activation of p53 [108].
- 10 Depletion of *NEAT1* leads to **replication stress** and its targeting can increase the sensitivity of human breast cancer cells to genotoxic chemotherapeutics. Similarly, mouse *Neat1* was also shown to be a p53 target by suppressing transformation and cancer initiation [109]. In addition, *NEAT1* was also shown to be deregulated in various cancers and its dysregulation is linked to tumor progression [110, 111].
- Several IncRNAs such as *lincRNA-RoR* (*Regulator of Reprogramming*) and *MEG3* (*human maternally expressed gene 3*), act upstream of p53 to fine-tune p53 levels following DNA damage. *LincRNA-RoR* negatively regulates p53-mediated apoptosis in human induced pluripotent stem cells [112], and suppresses p53 mRNA translation in human cancer cells [113]. High expression of *LincRNA-RoR* has been observed in human breast cancer where it correlates with worse survival, suggesting an oncogenic function for *lincRNA-RoR* [114]. On
- the other hand, the imprinted IncRNA *MEG3* binds and activates p53 targets to induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in human cancer cells [115, 116]. However, in cardiac fibroblasts *MEG3* does not affect the p53 response indicating that *MEG3* regulates p53 in a cell type-specific manner [117]. *MEG3* can also protect endothelial function by regulating DDR [118], and is downregulated in multiple cancers where *MEG3's* tumor suppressive role was linked to its tertiary structural elements [119].

Several other IncRNAs can contribute to DDR independently of p53. One such IncRNA is *DDSR1* (*DNA damage-sensitive RNA1*), which is induced by DNA damage in an ATMdependent manner [120]. *DDSR1* binds to BRCA1 and hnRNPUL1, a RBP involved in DNA repair [121] (Fig. 2a). Depletion of *DDSR1* impairs DDR by increasing the accumulation of BRCA1 at the laser-induced double-strand breaks (DSBs). Induction of *ANRIL* upon DNA damage in human fibroblasts and cancer cells is also ATM dependent and increased levels of

30

- 5 *ANRIL* were shown to suppress the expression of *INK4B–ARF–INK4A*, forming a negative feedback loop to the DDR [40]. Recently *HITT* (*HIF-1α inhibitor at translation level*) was identified as the first IncRNA that binds and inhibits ATM activation in human cancer cells [122]. *HITT* is downregulated in many cancers and can restrain HR activity, which might have a role in sensitising cancer cells to genotoxic treatments. The expression of some IncRNAs
- 10 such as estrogen-regulated CUPID1 and CUPID2 (CCND1-upstream intergenic DNA repair 1 and 2) can be affected by single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that contribute to the risk of developing breast cancer by modulating pathway choice of DSB repair [123].

In addition to *DDSR1* and *HITT*, other IncRNAs can also serve as a platform for DDR proteins and thus directly contribute to DNA repair. For instance, IncRNA *PRLH1* (*p53*-

- 15 regulated IncRNA for HR repair 1) regulates HR-mediated DSB repair in human liver cancer cells through binding and stabilization of RNF169, an E3 ubiquitin ligase which acts as a negative regulator of the ubiquitin-dependent signaling at sites of DNA damage [124]. *MALAT1* was shown to bind PARP1 and Ligase III [47], key proteins in **alternative NHEJ** (alt-NHEJ) [125], and its depletion inhibits alt-NHEJ activity. Another example is *LINP1* (*IncRNA*)
- 20 *in nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) pathway 1*) that can enhance DSB repair in human breast cancer cells by acting as a scaffold for DNA-PKcs and Ku70/80, major players of the NHEJ [126] (Fig. 2b). Indeed, *LINP1* depletion leads to reduced level of DNA-PKcs after DNA damage and increased the sensitivity of human breast cancer cells to radiation treatment, indicating that *LINP1* is a functional IncRNA regulating DDR in TNBC. Furthermore, *LINP1* is
- 25 overexpressed not only in TNBC but also other cancers [16, 127] suggesting that *LINP1*dependent repair mechanism is a broad mechanism present in multiple cancers. Interestingly, *LINP1* is another IncRNA whose cellular distribution changes upon DNA damage, with *LINP1* translocating from the cytosol to the nucleus upon IR treatment of HeLa cells [16]. Recently, *LINP1* was shown to be a flexible RNA molecule that can self-assemble into phase-separated
- 30 condensates through RNA-RNA interactions and interact with Ku70/80 [128]. Furthermore, *LINP1* can substitute PAXX, a protein involved in NHEJ that binds Ku70/80 and is recruited to DNA damage sites [129]. This work [128] together with structural studies on *MEG3* [119]

5 represents the starting platform for future studies to unravel how lncRNA structure-to-function relationships impact lncRNA function in the cell cycle and in genome stability.

Some IncRNAs can also be recruited to the site of DNA damage or are directly formed at the DNA lesion sites to contribute to the DDR. Within 5 minutes after generation of DSBs, *BGL3* IncRNA localizes to the chromatin and accumulates at the site of DNA damage in human

- 10 cancer cells [14] (Fig. 2c). *BGL3* binds to PARP1 and BARD1, key regulators of DDR [130]. Accordingly, *BGL3* depletion causes genome instability and also sensitises cells to DNA damaging agents. Thus, *BGL3* is required for HR and acts as RNA scaffold to enhance interaction between BRCA1/BARD1 complex and other DDR proteins. *GiniR* is another IncRNA that binds BRCA1 and its high levels can impair the interaction between BRCA1 and
- 15 Cep112 leading to mitotic defects and genome instability [15]. Intriguingly, these studies indicate that the BRCA1/BARD1 complex is a very common interactor of IncRNAs, which is consistent with the findings that many DNA repair proteins have RNA-binding capacities [131]. DSBs can also serve as a promoter for Pol II and induce the synthesis of damage-induced IncRNAs (dilncRNAs) in mouse and human cells [132], which contribute to efficient DNA repair 20 indicating the importance of site-specific ncRNAs in DDR (Fig. 2d).

Compelling evidence of how IncRNAs contribute to DDR comes from a study on IncRNA *NORAD* (*noncoding RNA activated by DNA damage*), which act as a decoy for RBPs to maintain genome stability. Inactivation of *NORAD* leads to increased rate of chromosome segregation errors resulting in aneuploidy and chromosomal instability (CIN) in human normal

- and cancer cells [133, 134] (Fig. 2e). NORAD is a conserved cytoplasmic IncRNA that maintains genome stability by sequestrating PUMILIO proteins, RBPs that repress expression of genes involved in mitosis, DNA replication and repair [133-135]. Interestingly, deletion of *Norad* in mice leads to premature aging, aneuploidy, and mitochondrial defects [136]. Since genome instability is a hallmark of cancer and aging, the *Norad-Pumilio* regulatory axis could have an important role in the maintenance of genome stability for normal physiology and
 - aging. Other *NORAD*-mediated mechanisms in genome stability have also been described. These include the formation of the high-order ribonucleoprotein complex with *NORAD* binding

5 to proteins involved in DNA replication and repair [13]. Although the origin of chromosome segregation errors in *NORAD*-depleted cells has not been determined, cells without *NORAD* exhibit reduced replication speed suggesting that these errors could be a consequence of DNA replication defects [13]. Notably, *NORAD* can change its localization to the nucleus upon DNA damage in human colon cancer cells [13], although another study did not observe a

similar re-distribution [135]. In addition to NORAD, CCAT2 (Colon cancer associated transcript
2) is another IncRNA that contributes to CIN in human colon cancer cells [137, 138] and promotes colon cancer progression [32].

Some IncRNAs can have pleiotropic roles in genome stability, as observed with some IncRNAs involved in cell cycle regulation [41, 44]. One of them is IncRNA *GUARDIN* that regulates genome stability through two mechanisms [139] (Fig. 2f). Cytoplasmic *GUARDIN* acts as a miRNA sponge and indirectly contribute to DNA repair by stabilizing the expression of TRF2, an essential factor for telomerase protection. Simultaneously, *GUARDIN* promotes DNA repair by promoting the formation of the BRCA1/BARD1 complex, which regulates HR [63]. Accordingly, *GUARDIN* depletion leads to enhanced cytotoxicity to genotoxic drugs suggesting that *GUARDIN* may represent a new target for cancer treatment.

In summary, IncRNAs have a multi-faceted role in the DDR by regulating p53 signalling, directly binding DNA repair proteins at the site of DNA lesions or acting indirectly in transcriptional and translational regulation of genes involved in genome stability and DNA repair. Most studies on IncRNAs involved in the DDR employed DNA damaging agents that

25 induce DSBs, which might have introduced a publication bias towards these agents. This could also explain why IncRNAs were frequently observed to bind to proteins involved in HR and NHEJ. By using various damaging agents to trigger other repair pathways, further work will reveal if IncRNAs can also bind other DNA repair proteins in order to maintain genome integrity.

Concluding Remarks

The main challenges in deciphering the mechanisms whereby IncRNAs regulate cell cycle progression and safeguard genome integrity relate to the diversity of the IncRNA *modus operandi*. Since IncRNA genes can function through their RNA transcripts, by the action of their transcription or via regulatory DNA elements, multiple LOF and GOF methods are required to establish whether the function of IncRNAs in cell cycle progression and genome stability is RNA-dependent.

The cell cycle and DDR are evolutionary conserved processes but the mechanisms contributing to their control might not be similarly conserved. In this context, IncRNAs contribute to diversity and precise control of the cell cycle progression and represent an additional regulatory layer in the maintenance of genome stability in conjunction with the wellcharacterized protein-based mechanisms. We noted that the majority of IncRNAs with a function in the cell cycle act during the G1-phase, which correlates with their higher expression

in the G1/S phase and the larger number of core protein-coding genes controlling G1-phase compared to other cell cycle stages [23]. Although we categorize lncRNAs according to their roles in the cell cycle, future studies should dissect lncRNA-mediated mechanisms affecting cell proliferation without directly interfering with the cell-cycle control machinery. Further, lncRNA structure-to-function and sequence-to-function studies will be crucial to determine
 their role in cell cycle and genome stability. Since CRISPR-Cas13 system has been used to detect lncRNAs in live cell, the field could exploit this powerful system to investigate lncRNAs

dynamics during the cell cycle and after DNA damage [140].

Continued efforts of the scientific community and constant technological improvement will help provide further insight into the RNA-based regulatory networks and uncover new 30 mechanisms of how IncRNAs control cell cycle to safeguard genome integrity (see Outstanding Questions).

5 Glossary

25

- **Genome instability**: chromosomal, microsatellite and nucleotide-associated instability are three types of genome instability occurring from defects in cell division, replication stress, defects in DDR and telomere maintenance.
- Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs): heterogeneous class of ncRNAs that are longer than 200 nucleotides.
 - DNA damage response (DDR): a network of multiple DNA repair pathways, damage tolerance processes and cell-cycle checkpoints that sense, detect and repair DNA lesions.
- Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs): RNA molecules transcribed from mammalian genome but are not translated into proteins.
 - Functional ANnoTation Of the Mammalian genome (FANTOM): international research consortium focused on functional annotation of the mammalian genome and characterization of transcriptional regulatory networks.
- Antisense oligonucleotide (ASO): single stranded DNA or RNA molecule that targets RNAs to induce its silencing or degradation via the RNase H endonuclease.
 - **RNA binding proteins (RBPs)**: proteins that directly bind to single or double stranded RNA molecules through RNA binding domains.
 - **Imprinted IncRNA**: IncRNA transcribed from a gene that is regulated in a parent-oforigin specific manner.
 - DNA damaging agents: reactive molecules that damage DNA and are widely used to treat cancer.
 - **Mitocheck consortium:** a study providing in depth analysis of cell division phenotypes after RNAi-mediated depletion of ~21,000 proteins (<u>https://www.mitocheck.org/</u>).
- RNA interference (RNAi): process of post-transcriptional gene silencing involving RNA-dependent cleavage of RNA molecules.

 CRISPR/Cas9: genome editing method involving the RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease which introduces DNA double strand breaks at specific genomic loci.

10

15

25

- **CRISPR interference (CRISPRi)**: genome editing method involving Cas9 protein without its endonuclease activity (dCas9) fused to a Krüppel-associated box (KRAB) repression domain that can be directed to a specific genomic locus to prevent transcription.
- CRISPR activation (CRISPRa): genome editing method involving dCas9 fused to active domains such as VP64 to enhance gene expression.
- CRISPR/Cas9 synergistic activation mediator (CRISPR SAM): engineered protein complex activating gene expression composed of dCas9 fused to an active VP64 domain.
 - Base excision repair (BER): DNA repair pathway that deals with single-base modifications where the damaged base is recognized and removed.
 - Nucleotide excision repair (NER): DNA repair pathway that corrects bulkier and/or helix distorted lesions often induced by UV irradiation.
- **Mismatch repair (MMR):** DNA repair pathway that deals with base-base mismatches and insertion/deletions mispairs generated during DNA replication and recombination.
 - Homologous recombination (HR): DNA repair pathway repairing DSBs. The HR process requires a homologous template to direct DNA repair and is generally recognised as a high-fidelity pathway. HR functions on stalled or collapsed replication forks in S phase and also contributes to DSB repair during G2 phase.
 - Nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ): second major pathway to correct DSBs, operating predominately during G1 phase.
 - **Paraspeckles**: nuclear membranelles bodies that regulate gene expression through the retention of RNAs and proteins.
- Replication stress: a major source of genome instability arising from the impairment of DNA replication.

- Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs): a genetic variation of a single base pair present in a large fraction of the population.
 - Alternative-NHEJ: a highly error prone DNA repair pathway that repair DSBs in less -efficient backup reactions.
 - CRISPR-Cas13: CRISPR-Cas system involving Cas13 ribonuclease for targeted RNA
- 10 knockdown.

Acknowledgments

We apologise to the colleagues whose work was not able to be included due to the space

15 limitations. We thank Drs Faraz Mardakheh and Sarah McClelland for critical reading and suggestions, and anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript. The work in Stojic laboratory is supported by the Barts Charity Grant.

Declaration of Interests

20

The authors declare no competing interests.

Table 1. LncRNAs involved in cell cycle regulation

		Mechanism	Dogulation	Link to	Ref.
Cell cycle phase	LncRNA	wechanism	Regulation	disease	Rei.
G2 M S S S S	ncRNA _{CCND1}	Suppression of CCND1 transcription by binding to TLS	Induced upon IR	Unknown	[24]
	LAST	Stabilization of <i>CCND1</i> mRNA by binding to CNBP	c-MYC regulated; elevated levels during G1- phase	Potential oncogene	[25]
	GAS5	Negative regulation of <i>CDK6</i> mRNA. Enhancement of E2F1 binding to the p27 ^{Kip1} promoter increasing p27 ^{Kip1} levels.	Unknown	Downregulated in multiple cancers	[26, 27]
	Gadd7	Negative regulation of <i>CDK6</i> mRNA by binding to TDP43	Induced upon UV, cisplatin, alkylating agents and growth arrest signals	Unknown	[28, 29]
	CCAT1	Downregulation of CCND1 and CDK4	c-MYC regulated	Upregulated in multiple cancers	[30-32]

		by binding to miRNAs			
	MIR100HG	Binding to HuR to promote its association with target mRNAs	c-MYC regulated; elevated levels during G1- phase	Upregulated in multiple cancers	[33]
	ANRIL	Epigenetic silencing of the INK4/ARF locus by binding to PRC1/PRC2	ATM/E2F1- regulated	Deregulated in multiple cancers and cardiovascular diseases	[35, 36, 40, 48]
	KNCQ10T1	Suppression of p57 ^{kip2} transcription through recruitment of PRC2 and G9a	Paternal expression	Involved in overgrowth disease and cancer	[37, 38]
	H19	Downregulation of <i>Rb</i> mRNA through miRNA-675	E2F1- and cell cycle regulated	Deregulated in multiple cancers	[39, 43]
	MA-linc1	Unknown	E2F1- regulated	High levels of <i>MA-linc1</i> correlate with reduced survival of breast and lung cancer patients	[41]
	ERIC	Inhibition of E2F1- induced apoptosis	E2F1- regulated; induced upon etoposide	Unknown	[42]

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Death search th	Els al 1		[]
		Positive regulation	Elevated	Deregulated in	
	MALAT1	of genes involved in	levels during	multiple cancers	[44-46]
		G1/S transition	G1/S-phase		
			Mid/late G1-		
		Binding to DDX11	phase		
	CONCR	helicase and	expression;	Upregulated in	[49]
	CONCR	modulation of its	induced upon	multiple cancers	[49]
		activity	5-FU and		
G2 G2 G2 G1			doxorubucin		
		Interaction with		High expression	
		DDX5 to promote	S-phase	of SUNO1	
	SUNO1	transcription of	specific	correlates with poor prognosis	[23]
		genes involved in	expression		[20]
		proliferation	expression	of colon cancer	
		promeration		patients	
S	SCAT7	Regulation of	S-phase		
		FGF/FGFR,	specific	Upregulated in	[52]
		PI3K/AKT and		multiple cancers	[02]
		MAPK signalling	expression		
	Linc00704	Regulation of gene	S-phase	Upregulated in	
	MIAT	expression to	specific	different types of	[53]
	LUCAT1	promote S-phase	expression	cancer	[00]
	LUCATI	progression	expression	Cancer	
	Linc00152	Unknown	Unknown	Upregulated in	[58]
M				multiple cancers	[00]
G2 G1	Linc00899	Repression of	Not cell cycle-	Downregulated	[56,
		TPPP transcription	regulated	in breast cancer	62]
s	C1QTNF1-	Unknown	Not cell cycle-	Downregulated	[56,
	AS1	GIRIOWI	regulated	in	61]

			hepatocellular	
			carcinoma	
GiniR	High expression of <i>GiniR</i> perturbs the Cep112/BRCA1 interaction leading to mitotic defects	Developmental regulation	<i>Ginir</i> overexpression induces oncogenic transformation	[15]
LincRNA-RI	Binding to miRNA- 210-3p and regulation of <i>PLK1</i> mRNA stability	Induced by IR	Unknown	[66]
APAL	Binding to PLK1 and Aurora A to promote PLK1 activity	Unknown	Deregulated in multiple cancers	[67]
OIP5-AS1	Binding to GAK mRNA leading to decreased GAK levels	Unknown	Deregulated in multiple cancers	[68, 70]
SAM	Interaction with Sugt1 to regulate kinetochore assembly	Highly expressed in mouse myoblasts and satellite cells	Loss of <i>SAM</i> impairs muscle regeneration after injuries	[69]
MALAT1	Positive regulation of <i>B-MYB</i> expression	Elevated levels during mitosis	Deregulated in multiple cancers	[44-46]
MA-linc1	Repression of <i>Purα</i> expression	E2F1- regulated	High levels of MA-linc1	[41]

				correlate with	
				reduced survival	
				of breast and	
				lung cancer	
				patients	
		Chromatin			
	PAPAS	compaction by	Upregulated in		[70]
	PAPAS	interacting with	G0	Unknown	[72]
GO		Suv4-20h2			
M		Stabilization of		Downregulated	
G2		PDCD4 mRNA by	Upregulated in	during breast	1 701
S G1	PDCD4-AS1	forming RNA	G0	cancer	[73]
		duplexes		progression	
		Negative regulation			
		of glucocorticoid	Sox2- regulated during G0	Involved in	
	GAS5	receptor		cancer	[75,
		transcriptional		stemness	76]
		activity	phase		
		Post-transcriptional		Involved in	
			l la lucionaria di		[1
	DANCR	regulation of β -	Unknown	cancer	[77]
		catenin		stemness	
		Interaction with			
		ILF2/3 to positively	Induced upon	Uprogulated in	[70
	MIR222HG	regulate the	serum	Upregulated in	[78,
		stability of	stimulation	prostate cancer	141]
		DNM3OS IncRNA			
			l		

Table 2. LncRNAs involved in the maintenance of genome stability

	DNA damaging	DNA			Link to	
LncRNA	agents	lesions	Mechanism	Regulation	disease	
SUNO1	Doxorubucin, Etoposide, HU	DNA breaks	Unknown	FOS, JUND and EGR1- regulated	High <i>SUNO1</i> expression correlates with poor prognosis of colon cancer patients	[
PANDA	Doxorubicin, Etoposide	DNA breaks	Inhibition of apoptotic gene expression by sequestering NF-YA	p53- regulated	Deregulated in multiple cancers	[19 1 1
DINO	Doxorubicin, Etoposide	DNA breaks	Binding and stabilization of p53; inducing p53 target genes	p53- regulated	Unknown	[
lincRNA-p21	Doxorubicin	DNA breaks	Repression of <i>p21^{Cip1}</i> transcription by recruiting hnRNPK	p53- regulated	Downregulated in leukemia and colon cancer	[98 1 1
SNHG1	Doxorubicin	DNA breaks	Stabilization of p53 by disruption of p53- hnRNPC interaction	Nuclear retention upon doxorubicin	Upregulated in various cancers and associated with poor prognosis	[

LINC-PINT	Doxorubicin	DNA breaks	Silencing of genes involved in cell growth and proliferation by binding to PRC2	p53- regulated	Downregulated in multiple cancers	[1
NEAT1	Doxorubicin, UV, ROS	DNA breaks, DNA adducts, SSBs, oxidized bases	Modulation of ATR signalling and attenuation of oncogene-dependent activation of p53	p53- regulated	Deregulated in in multiple cancers	[1
LincRNA- RoR	Doxorubicin, UV	DNA breaks, DNA adducts	Suppression of p53 translation through binding to hnRNP-I	p53- regulated	Overexpressed in breast cancer; high expression of <i>lincRNA-ROR</i> associates with poor prognosis	[11] 114
MEG3	Doxorubicin	DNA breaks	Binding to p53 and activation of p53 target genes	Maternal expression	Downregulated in multiple cancers and during cardiac remodeling	[1
DDSR1	Campothecin, Etoposide, Neocarzinostatin, Bleomycin	DNA breaks	Binds to BRCA1 and regulates BRCA1/RAP80 recruitment to laser- induced DSBs to promote HR; controls expression of DDR genes	ATM-NF-κB mediated upregulation upon DNA damage	Unknown	[12

			1	1	1	1
MALAT1	PARP inhibitor, bortezomib	Site-specific induction of DSBs	Binding to PARP1 and Ligase III to regulate alt-NHEJ	MALAT1 inhibition induces DNA damage	Overexpressed in multiple myeloma	[
ANRIL	Neocarzinostatin, Etoposide, Bleomycin	DNA breaks	Suppression of INK4/ARF locus; regulation of cell cycle, apoptosis and HR	ATM-E2F1 regulated	Deregulated in multiple cancers and cardiovascular diseases	[4(
CUPID1 CUPID2	IR	DNA breaks	Regulation of RAD51 recruitment at DSBs to promote HR and control end resection	Estrogen- regulated	Genomic locus affected by the breast cancer risk variant at 11q13	[
HITT	Doxorubicin, Etoposide, Bleomycin	DNA breaks	Binding and inhibition of ATM activity to restrain HR	EGR1- regulated	Downregulated in colon cancer	[
PRLH1/ linc01419	Adriamycin	DNA breaks	Binding and stabilization of RNF169 to promote HR	p53- regulated	Upregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma	[
LINP1	Doxorubicin, IR	DNA breaks	Recruitment of Ku70/80 and DNA- PKcs at DSBs to promote NHEJ; replacement of PAXX function	EGF- regulated	Overexpressed in multiple cancers	[1

BGL3	Camptothecin, Etoposide, IR, HU	DNA breaks	Regulation of BRCA1/BARD1 complex at DSBs to promote HR and control end resection	Recruited to DNA damage site after laser-induced DSBs	Negative correlation of <i>BGL3</i> expression with survival rate of breast cancer patients	[
GiniR	None	Unknown	Perturbation of Cep112/Brca1 interaction	Development al regulation	<i>Ginir</i> overexpression induces oncogenic transformation	[
dilncRNAs	None	Site-specific induction of DSBs	Precursors for small ncRNAs to promote DDR	Expressed upon DSBs	Unknown	[1
NORAD	Doxorubicin, Camptothecin, UV	DNA breaks, DNA adducts	Decoy for PUMILIO; <i>NORAD</i> forms also a ribonucleoprotein complex	Induced by DNA damage and indirectly regulated by p53	Loss of <i>NORAD</i> is associated with premature aging	[1 1
CCAT2	Bleomycin, 5-FU, Oxaliplatin	DNA breaks	Binding to and stabilization of BOP1	WNT- regulated	Upregulated in colon cancer	[1 1
GUARDIN	Doxorubicin, IR	DNA breaks	Sponging with miR- 23a to regulate TRF2; RNA scaffold for BRCA1/BARD1 complex to promote HR and NHEJ	p53- regulated	Downregulated in colon cancer with p53 mutation	[1

HU, Hydroxyurea; UV, Ultraviolet radiation; Reactive oxygen species, ROS; Double strand breaks, DSBs; Single strand breaks, SSBs; IR, Ionizing radiation; 5-Flurouracil, 5-FU.

5 **Figure legends**:

30

Box 1. An overview of the mammalian cell cycle progression. The cell cycle is regulated by different cyclin/CDK complexes and CDK inhibitors throughout the different stages of the cell cycle.

Box 4. The components of the DNA damage response pathway.

10 **Figure 1, Key Figure.** LncRNA-mediated control of the cell cycle progression and genome stability.

Figure 2. Emerging mechanisms how IncRNAs mediate DDR to maintain genome stability.

- (A) DDSR1 modulates HR by interacting with hnRNPUL1 and BRCA1. Loss of DDSR1 or
- 15 hnRNPUL1 leads to aberrant recruitment of BRCA1 and its recruitment factor RAP80 at laser-induced DSBs.
 - (B) In response to DSBs, Ku70/80 recruits DNA-PKcs to the damage site. Depletion of LINP1 leads to reduction in chromatin-associated DNA-PKcs. LINP1 promotes NHEJ repair by acting as a scaffold for DNA-PKcs and Ku70/Ku80.
- 20 (C) At early time points after DNA damage, *BGL3* is recruited to damaged sites by interacting with PARP1. Later on, *BGL3* binds BARD1 to control HR. Depletion of *BGL3* leads to compromised recruitment of BRCA1/BARD1 and its downstream factors (Rad51, RPA70) at DSBs.
 - (D) DSBs can induce synthesis of dilncRNAs that act as precursors for small ncRNAs. Both
- 25 classes of ncRNAs contribute to DNA damage signalling and repair by forming a scaffold for their recruitment to DNA damage site.
 - (E) Upon DNA damage, NORAD localizes more to the nucleus (i) or in the cytoplasm (ii). NORAD can form a higher-order ribonucleoprotein complex by binding to proteins involved in DNA replication and repair (i,[13]), or can sequester PUMILIO proteins, which repress genes necessary for genome integrity (ii, [133-135]. Inactivation of NORAD leads to chromosome segregation errors and aneuploidy-induced genome instability.

5 (F) Cytoplasmic *GUARDIN* can sequester miR-23a to stabilise TRF2 expression and prevents chromosome end-to-end fusion. Simultaneously, *GUARDIN* acts as a scaffold for BRCA/BARD1 complex and regulates HR and NHEJ. It is not clear whether *GUARDIN* is involved in the formation of BRCA/BARD1 complex in the nucleus to regulate DNA repair.

5 References

1. Djebali, S. et al. (2012) Landscape of transcription in human cells. Nature 489 (7414), 101-8.

2. Iyer, M.K. et al. (2015) The landscape of long noncoding RNAs in the human transcriptome. Nat Genet 47 (3), 199-208.

 3. Hon, C.C. et al. (2017) An atlas of human long non-coding RNAs with accurate 5' ends. Nature 543 (7644), 199-204.
 4. Kitagawa, M. et al. (2013) Cell cycle regulation by long non-coding RNAs. Cell Mol Life Sci 70 (24), 4785-94.

5. Statello, L.e.a. (2020) Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs and its biological

- functions. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology doi: 10.1038/s41580-020-00315-9.
 Kopp, F. and Mendell, J.T. (2018) Functional Classification and Experimental Dissection of Long Noncoding RNAs. Cell 172 (3), 393-407.
 Hezroni, H. et al. (2015) Principles of long noncoding RNA evolution derived from direct comparison of transcriptomes in 17 species. Cell Rep 11 (7), 1110-22.
- 20 8. Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R.A. (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144 (5), 646-74.
 9. Theorem P. (2018) Regulation of DNA Double Strend Preek Repair by Non Coding PNA

9. Thapar, R. (2018) Regulation of DNA Double-Strand Break Repair by Non-Coding RNAs. Molecules 23 (11).

10. Lin, C. and Yang, L. (2018) Long Noncoding RNA in Cancer: Wiring Signaling Circuitry. Trends Cell Biol 28 (4), 287-301.

25 Circuitry. Trends Cell Biol 28 (4), 287-301.
11. Cabili, M.N. et al. (2015) Localization and abundance analysis of human lncRNAs at single-cell and single-molecule resolution. Genome Biol 16, 20.
12. Shen, Y. et al. (2017) Nuclear retention of the lncRNA SNHG1 by doxorubicin attenuates hnRNPC-p53 protein interactions. EMBO Rep 18 (4), 536-548.

13. Munschauer, M. et al. (2018) The NORAD lncRNA assembles a topoisomerase complex critical for genome stability. Nature 561 (7721), 132-136.
14. Hu, Z. et al. (2020) BGL3 lncRNA mediates retention of the BRCA1/BARD1 complex at DNA damage sites. EMBO J 39 (12), e104133.
15. D. J. S. et al. (2010) Nuclei and S. EMBO J 39 (12).

- 15. Panda, S. et al. (2018) Noncoding RNA Ginir functions as an oncogene by associating
 with centrosomal proteins. PLoS Biol 16 (10), e2004204.
 Wang, Y. et al. (2018) LINPL facilitates DNA demage repair through non-homologous
- 16. Wang, X. et al. (2018) LINP1 facilitates DNA damage repair through non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) pathway and subsequently decreases the sensitivity of cervical cancer cells to ionizing radiation. Cell Cycle 17 (4), 439-447.
- 17. Huarte, M. (2015) The emerging role of lncRNAs in cancer. Nat Med 21 (11), 1253-61.
 18. Malumbres, M. and Barbacid, M. (2009) Cell cycle, CDKs and cancer: a changing paradigm. Nat Rev Cancer 9 (3), 153-66.
 19. Hung, T. et al. (2011) Extensive and coordinated transcription of noncoding RNAs within cell-cycle promoters. Nat Genet 43 (7), 621-9.

20. Dominguez, D. et al. (2016) A high-resolution transcriptome map of cell cycle reveals novel connections between periodic genes and cancer. Cell Res 26 (8), 946-62.

21. Ramilowski, J.A. et al. (2020) Functional annotation of human long noncoding RNAs via molecular phenotyping. Genome Res 30 (7), 1060-1072.
22. Piagini A. et al. (2020) The Contribution of line RNAs at the Interface between Cell

22. Biasini, A. et al. (2020) The Contribution of lincRNAs at the Interface between Cell Cycle Regulation and Cell State Maintenance. iScience 23 (7), 101291.

23. Hao, Q. et al. (2020) The S-phase-induced lncRNA SUNO1 promotes cell proliferation by controlling YAP1/Hippo signaling pathway. Elife 9.
24. Wang, X. et al. (2008) Induced ncRNAs allosterically modify RNA-binding proteins in cis to inhibit transcription. Nature 454 (7200), 126-30.

- 5 25. Cao, L. et al. (2017) LAST, a c-Myc-inducible long noncoding RNA, cooperates with CNBP to promote CCND1 mRNA stability in human cells. Elife 6.
 26. Guo, X. et al. (2015) GAS5 Inhibits Gastric Cancer Cell Proliferation Partly by Modulating CDK6. Oncol Res Treat 38 (7-8), 362-6.
 27. Luo, G. et al. (2017) LncRNA GAS5 Inhibits Cellular Proliferation by Targeting
- P27(Kip1). Mol Cancer Res 15 (7), 789-799.
 28. Liu, X. et al. (2012) Long non-coding RNA gadd7 interacts with TDP-43 and regulates Cdk6 mRNA decay. EMBO J 31 (23), 4415-27.
 29. Hollander, M.C. et al. (1996) A novel DNA damage-inducible transcript, gadd7, inhibits cell growth, but lacks a protein product. Nucleic Acids Res 24 (9), 1589-93.
- 30. Li, G.H. et al. (2019) Long non-coding RNA CCAT1 is a prognostic biomarker for the progression of oral squamous cell carcinoma via miR-181a-mediated Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Cell Cycle 18 (21), 2902-2913.
 31. Chen, L. et al. (2018) Long non-coding RNA CCAT1 promotes multiple myeloma progression by acting as a molecular sponge of miR-181a-5p to modulate HOXA1
- expression by acting as a molecular sponge of hink-181a-5p to modulate HOAA1
 expression. Cell Cycle 17 (3), 319-329.
 32. Ozawa, T. et al. (2017) CCAT1 and CCAT2 long noncoding RNAs, located within the 8q.24.21 'gene desert', serve as important prognostic biomarkers in colorectal cancer. Ann Oncol 28 (8), 1882-1888.

25

33. Sun, Q. et al. (2018) MIR100 host gene-encoded lncRNAs regulate cell cycle by modulating the interaction between HuR and its target mRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 46 (19), 10405-10416.

34. Gil, J. and Peters, G. (2006) Regulation of the INK4b-ARF-INK4a tumour suppressor locus: all for one or one for all. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 7 (9), 667-77.

35. Yap, K.L. et al. (2010) Molecular interplay of the noncoding RNA ANRIL and
methylated histone H3 lysine 27 by polycomb CBX7 in transcriptional silencing of INK4a. Mol Cell 38 (5), 662-74.

36. Kotake, Y. et al. (2011) Long non-coding RNA ANRIL is required for the PRC2 recruitment to and silencing of p15(INK4B) tumor suppressor gene. Oncogene 30 (16), 1956-62.

- 37. Pandey, R.R. et al. (2008) Kcnq1ot1 antisense noncoding RNA mediates lineage-specific transcriptional silencing through chromatin-level regulation. Mol Cell 32 (2), 232-46.
 38. Arima, T. et al. (2005) ZAC, LIT1 (KCNQ1OT1) and p57KIP2 (CDKN1C) are in an imprinted gene network that may play a role in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. Nucleic Acids Res 33 (8), 2650-60.
- 39. Berteaux, N. et al. (2005) H19 mRNA-like noncoding RNA promotes breast cancer cell proliferation through positive control by E2F1. J Biol Chem 280 (33), 29625-36.
 40. Wan, G. et al. (2013) Long non-coding RNA ANRIL (CDKN2B-AS) is induced by the ATM-E2F1 signaling pathway. Cell Signal 25 (5), 1086-95.
 41. Bida, O. et al. (2015) A novel mitosis-associated lncRNA, MA-linc1, is required for cell
- 45 cycle progression and sensitizes cancer cells to Paclitaxel. Oncotarget 6 (29), 27880-90.
 42. Feldstein, O. et al. (2013) The long non-coding RNA ERIC is regulated by E2F and modulates the cellular response to DNA damage. Mol Cancer 12 (1), 131.
 43. Keniry, A. et al. (2012) The H19 lincRNA is a developmental reservoir of miR-675 that suppresses growth and Igf1r. Nat Cell Biol 14 (7), 659-65.
- 50 44. Tripathi, V. et al. (2013) Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 controls cell cycle progression by regulating the expression of oncogenic transcription factor B-MYB. PLoS Genet 9 (3), e1003368.

45. Gutschner, T. et al. (2013) The noncoding RNA MALAT1 is a critical regulator of the metastasis phenotype of lung cancer cells. Cancer Res 73 (3), 1180-9.

- 46. Kim, J. et al. (2018) Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 suppresses breast cancer metastasis. Nat Genet 50 (12), 1705-1715.
 47. Hu, Y. et al. (2018) Targeting the MALAT1/PARP1/LIG3 complex induces DNA damage and apoptosis in multiple myeloma. Leukemia 32 (10), 2250-2262.
 48. Aguilo, F. et al. (2016) Long Non-coding RNA ANRIL and Polycomb in Human Cancers
- and Cardiovascular Disease. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 394, 29-39.
 49. Marchese, F.P. et al. (2016) A Long Noncoding RNA Regulates Sister Chromatid Cohesion. Mol Cell 63 (3), 397-407.
 50. Das, M. et al. (2018) DDX5/p68 associated lncRNA LOC284454 is differentially expressed in human cancers and modulates gene expression. RNA Biol 15 (2), 214-230.
- 15 51. Yari, H. et al. (2019) LncRNA REG1CP promotes tumorigenesis through an enhancer complex to recruit FANCJ helicase for REG3A transcription. Nat Commun 10 (1), 5334.
 52. Ali, M.M. et al. (2018) PAN-cancer analysis of S-phase enriched lncRNAs identifies oncogenic drivers and biomarkers. Nat Commun 9 (1), 883.
 53. Yildirim, O. et al. (2020) S-phase Enriched Non-coding RNAs Regulate Gene Expression
- and Cell Cycle Progression. Cell Rep 31 (6), 107629.
 54. Ito, K.K. et al. (2020) The Emerging Role of ncRNAs and RNA-Binding Proteins in Mitotic Apparatus Formation. Noncoding RNA 6 (1).
 55. Rosic, S. et al. (2014) Repetitive centromeric satellite RNA is essential for kinetochore formation and cell division. J Cell Biol 207 (3), 335-49.
- 56. Stojic, L. et al. (2020) A high-content RNAi screen reveals multiple roles for long noncoding RNAs in cell division. Nat Commun 11 (1), 1851.
 57. Neumann, B. et al. (2010) Phenotypic profiling of the human genome by time-lapse microscopy reveals cell division genes. Nature 464 (7289), 721-7.
 58. Notzold, L. et al. (2017) The long non-coding RNA LINC00152 is essential for cell cycle
- progression through mitosis in HeLa cells. Sci Rep 7 (1), 2265.
 59. Liu, S.J. et al. (2017) CRISPRi-based genome-scale identification of functional long noncoding RNA loci in human cells. Science 355 (6320).
 60. Liu, S.J. et al. (2020) CRISPRi-based radiation modifier screen identifies long non-coding RNA therapeutic targets in glioma. Genome Biol 21 (1), 83.
- 61. Han, W. et al. (2019) Potential of C1QTNF1-AS1 regulation in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Mol Cell Biochem 460 (1-2), 37-51.
 62. Zhou, W. et al. (2019) Long noncoding RNA LINC00899 suppresses breast cancer progression by inhibiting miR-425. Aging (Albany NY) 11 (22), 10144-10153.
 63. Tarsounas, M. and Sung, P. (2020) The antitumorigenic roles of BRCA1-BARD1 in
- 40 DNA repair and replication. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 21 (5), 284-299.
 64. Matsuzawa, A. et al. (2014) The BRCA1/BARD1-interacting protein OLA1 functions in centrosome regulation. Mol Cell 53 (1), 101-14.
 65. Pintard, L. and Archambault, V. (2018) A unified view of spatio-temporal control of mitotic entry: Polo kinase as the key. Open Biol 8 (8).
- 66. Wang, Z.D. et al. (2016) Long noncoding RNA lnc-RI is a new regulator of mitosis via targeting miRNA-210-3p to release PLK1 mRNA activity. Sci Rep 6, 25385.
 67. Luo, M.L. et al. (2020) The Role of APAL/ST8SIA6-AS1 lncRNA in PLK1 Activation and Mitotic Catastrophe of Tumor Cells. J Natl Cancer Inst 112 (4), 356-368.
 68. Kim, J. et al. (2017) LncRNA OIP5-AS1/cyrano suppresses GAK expression to control
- mitosis. Oncotarget 8 (30), 49409-49420.
 69. Li, Y. et al. (2020) Long noncoding RNA SAM promotes myoblast proliferation through stabilizing Sugt1 and facilitating kinetochore assembly. Nat Commun 11 (1), 2725.
 70. Li, Y. et al. (2019) Long noncoding RNA OIP5-AS1 in cancer. Clin Chim Acta 499, 75-80.

- 71. Marescal, O. and Cheeseman, I.M. (2020) Cellular Mechanisms and Regulation of Quiescence. Dev Cell 55 (3), 259-271.
 72. Bierhoff, H. et al. (2014) Quiescence-induced LncRNAs trigger H4K20 trimethylation and transcriptional silencing. Mol Cell 54 (4), 675-82.
 73. Jadaliha, M. et al. (2018) A natural antisense lncRNA controls breast cancer progression
- by promoting tumor suppressor gene mRNA stability. PLoS Genet 14 (11), e1007802.
 74. Chen, W. et al. (2016) Cancer Stem Cell Quiescence and Plasticity as Major Challenges in Cancer Therapy. Stem Cells Int 2016, 1740936.
 75. Tu, J. et al. (2018) Gas5 is an essential lncRNA regulator for self-renewal and pluripotency of mouse embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell
- Res Ther 9 (1), 71.
 76. Sharma, N.S. et al. (2019) Long non-coding RNA GAS5 acts as proliferation "brakes" in CD133+ cells responsible for tumor recurrence. Oncogenesis 8 (12), 68.
 77. Bill, M. et al. (2019) Expression and functional relevance of long non-coding RNAs in acute myeloid leukemia stem cells. Leukemia 33 (9), 2169-2182.
- 20 78. Sun, Q. et al. (2020) Antagonism between splicing and microprocessor complex dictates the serum-induced processing of lnc-MIRHG for efficient cell cycle reentry. RNA 26 (11), 1603-1620.

79. Guttman, M. et al. (2011) lincRNAs act in the circuitry controlling pluripotency and differentiation. Nature 477 (7364), 295-300.

- 80. Lin, N. et al. (2014) An evolutionarily conserved long noncoding RNA TUNA controls pluripotency and neural lineage commitment. Mol Cell 53 (6), 1005-19.
 81. Beermann, J. et al. (2018) A large shRNA library approach identifies lncRNA Ntep as an essential regulator of cell proliferation. Cell Death Differ 25 (2), 307-318.
 82. Zhu, S. et al. (2016) Genome-scale deletion screening of human long non-coding RNAs
- using a paired-guide RNA CRISPR-Cas9 library. Nat Biotechnol 34 (12), 1279-1286.
 83. Liu, Y. et al. (2018) Genome-wide screening for functional long noncoding RNAs in human cells by Cas9 targeting of splice sites. Nat Biotechnol.
 84. Koirala, P. et al. (2017) LncRNA AK023948 is a positive regulator of AKT. Nat Commun 8, 14422.
- 85. Joung, J. et al. (2017) Genome-scale activation screen identifies a lncRNA locus regulating a gene neighbourhood. Nature 548 (7667), 343-346.
 86. Bester, A.C. et al. (2018) An Integrated Genome-wide CRISPRa Approach to Functionalize lncRNAs in Drug Resistance. Cell 173 (3), 649-664 e20.
 87. Ben-David, U. et al. (2018) Genetic and transcriptional evolution alters cancer cell line
- drug response. Nature 560 (7718), 325-330.
 88. Ghandi, M. et al. (2019) Next-generation characterization of the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia. Nature 569 (7757), 503-508.
 89. Horlbeck, M.A. et al. (2020) Fitness effects of CRISPR/Cas9-targeting of long noncoding RNA genes. Nat Biotechnol 38 (5), 573-576.
- 90. Rosenbluh, J. et al. (2017) Complementary information derived from CRISPR Cas9 mediated gene deletion and suppression. Nat Commun 8, 15403.
 91. Goyal, A. et al. (2017) Challenges of CRISPR/Cas9 applications for long non-coding RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 45 (3), e12.
 92. Stojic, L. et al. (2018) Specificity of RNAi, LNA and CRISPRi as loss-of-function
- methods in transcriptional analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 46 (12), 5950-5966.
 93. Jackson, S.P. and Bartek, J. (2009) The DNA-damage response in human biology and disease. Nature 461 (7267), 1071-8.
 94. Kastenhuber, E.R. and Lowe, S.W. (2017) Putting p53 in Context. Cell 170 (6), 1062-1078.

- 5 95. Grossi, E. et al. (2016) Expanding the p53 regulatory network: LncRNAs take up the challenge. Biochim Biophys Acta 1859 (1), 200-8.
 96. Schmitt, A.M. et al. (2016) An inducible long noncoding RNA amplifies DNA damage signaling. Nat Genet 48 (11), 1370-1376.
 97. Kotake, Y. et al. (2016) Long Non-coding RNA, PANDA, Contributes to the
- Stabilization of p53 Tumor Suppressor Protein. Anticancer Res 36 (4), 1605-11.
 98. Huarte, M. et al. (2010) A large intergenic noncoding RNA induced by p53 mediates global gene repression in the p53 response. Cell 142 (3), 409-19.
 99. Dimitrova, N. et al. (2014) LincRNA-p21 activates p21 in cis to promote Polycomb target gene expression and to enforce the G1/S checkpoint. Mol Cell 54 (5), 777-90.
- 15 100. Yoon, J.H. et al. (2012) LincRNA-p21 suppresses target mRNA translation. Mol Cell 47 (4), 648-55.

101. Yang, F. et al. (2014) Reciprocal regulation of HIF-1alpha and lincRNA-p21 modulates the Warburg effect. Mol Cell 53 (1), 88-100.

102. Wang, Y. et al. (2017) Discovery and validation of the tumor-suppressive function of
long noncoding RNA PANDA in human diffuse large B-cell lymphoma through the
inactivation of MAPK/ERK signaling pathway. Oncotarget 8 (42), 72182-72196.
103. Kotake, Y. et al. (2017) Long Noncoding RNA PANDA Positively Regulates
Proliferation of Osteosarcoma Cells. Anticancer Res 37 (1), 81-85.
104. Zhai, H. et al. (2013) Clinical significance of long intergenic noncoding RNA-p21 in

colorectal cancer. Clin Colorectal Cancer 12 (4), 261-6.
105. Isin, M. et al. (2014) Investigation of circulating lncRNAs in B-cell neoplasms. Clin Chim Acta 431, 255-9.
106. Marin-Bejar, O. et al. (2013) Pint lincRNA connects the p53 pathway with epigenetic silencing by the Polycomb repressive complex 2. Genome Biol 14 (9), R104.

- 30 107. Marin-Bejar, O. et al. (2017) The human lncRNA LINC-PINT inhibits tumor cell invasion through a highly conserved sequence element. Genome Biol 18 (1), 202.
 108. Adriaens, C. et al. (2016) p53 induces formation of NEAT1 lncRNA-containing paraspeckles that modulate replication stress response and chemosensitivity. Nat Med 22 (8), 861-8.
- 35 109. Mello, S.S. et al. (2017) Neat1 is a p53-inducible lincRNA essential for transformation suppression. Genes Dev 31 (11), 1095-1108.
 110. Shin, V.Y. et al. (2019) Long non-coding RNA NEAT1 confers oncogenic role in triple-negative breast cancer through modulating chemoresistance and cancer stemness. Cell Death Dis 10 (4), 270.
- 111. Li, S. et al. (2018) Pan-cancer analysis of long non-coding RNA NEAT1 in various cancers. Genes Dis 5 (1), 27-35.
 112. Loewer, S. et al. (2010) Large intergenic non-coding RNA-RoR modulates reprogramming of human induced pluripotent stem cells. Nat Genet 42 (12), 1113-7.
 113. Zhang, A. et al. (2013) The human long non-coding RNA-RoR is a p53 repressor in

response to DNA damage. Cell Res 23 (3), 340-50.
114. Hou, L. et al. (2018) Long noncoding RNA ROR promotes breast cancer by regulating the TGF-beta pathway. Cancer Cell Int 18, 142.
115. Zhou, Y. et al. (2007) Activation of p53 by MEG3 non-coding RNA. J Biol Chem 282 (34), 24731-42.

50 116. Zhu, J. et al. (2015) Long Noncoding RNA MEG3 Interacts with p53 Protein and Regulates Partial p53 Target Genes in Hepatoma Cells. PLoS One 10 (10), e0139790.
117. Piccoli, M.T. et al. (2017) Inhibition of the Cardiac Fibroblast-Enriched lncRNA Meg3 Prevents Cardiac Fibrosis and Diastolic Dysfunction. Circ Res 121 (5), 575-583.

- 5 118. Shihabudeen Haider Ali, M.S. et al. (2019) LncRNA Meg3 protects endothelial function by regulating the DNA damage response. Nucleic Acids Res 47 (3), 1505-1522.
 119. Uroda, T. et al. (2019) Conserved Pseudoknots in lncRNA MEG3 Are Essential for Stimulation of the p53 Pathway. Mol Cell 75 (5), 982-995 e9.
 120. Sharma, V. et al. (2015) A BRCA1-interacting lncRNA regulates homologous
- recombination. EMBO Rep 16 (11), 1520-34.
 121. Polo, S.E. et al. (2012) Regulation of DNA-end resection by hnRNPU-like proteins promotes DNA double-strand break signaling and repair. Mol Cell 45 (4), 505-16.
 122. Zhao, K. et al. (2020) A long noncoding RNA sensitizes genotoxic treatment by attenuating ATM activation and homologous recombination repair in cancers. PLoS Biol 18

(3), e3000666.
123. Betts, J.A. et al. (2017) Long Noncoding RNAs CUPID1 and CUPID2 Mediate Breast Cancer Risk at 11q13 by Modulating the Response to DNA Damage. Am J Hum Genet 101 (2), 255-266.

124. Deng, B. et al. (2019) An LTR retrotransposon-derived lncRNA interacts with RNF169 to promote homologous recombination. EMBO Rep 20 (11), e47650.

125. Audebert, M. et al. (2004) Involvement of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 and XRCC1/DNA ligase III in an alternative route for DNA double-strand breaks rejoining. J Biol Chem 279 (53), 55117-26.

20

40

126. Zhang, Y. et al. (2016) Long noncoding RNA LINP1 regulates repair of DNA double-

- strand breaks in triple-negative breast cancer. Nat Struct Mol Biol 23 (6), 522-30.
 127. Zhang, C. et al. (2018) TGF-beta/SMAD4-Regulated LncRNA-LINP1 Inhibits Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Lung Cancer. Int J Biol Sci 14 (12), 1715-1723.
 128. Thapar, R. et al. (2020) Mechanism of efficient double-strand break repair by a long non-coding RNA. Nucleic Acids Res 48 (19), 10953-10972.
- 129. Ochi, T. et al. (2015) DNA repair. PAXX, a paralog of XRCC4 and XLF, interacts with Ku to promote DNA double-strand break repair. Science 347 (6218), 185-188.
 130. Ray Chaudhuri, A. and Nussenzweig, A. (2017) The multifaceted roles of PARP1 in DNA repair and chromatin remodelling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 18 (10), 610-621.
 131. Bader, A.S. et al. (2020) The roles of RNA in DNA double-strand break repair. Br J

Cancer 122 (5), 613-623.
 132. Michelini, F. et al. (2017) Damage-induced lncRNAs control the DNA damage response through interaction with DDRNAs at individual double-strand breaks. Nat Cell Biol 19 (12), 1400-1411.

133. Lee, S. et al. (2016) Noncoding RNA NORAD Regulates Genomic Stability by Sequestering PUMILIO Proteins. Cell 164 (1-2), 69-80.

134. Tichon, A. et al. (2016) A conserved abundant cytoplasmic long noncoding RNA modulates repression by Pumilio proteins in human cells. Nat Commun 7, 12209.
135. Elguindy, M.M. et al. (2019) PUMILIO, but not RBMX, binding is required for regulation of genomic stability by noncoding RNA NORAD. Elife 8.

- 136. Kopp, F. et al. (2019) PUMILIO hyperactivity drives premature aging of Norad-deficient mice. Elife 8.
 137. Ling, H. et al. (2013) CCAT2, a novel noncoding RNA mapping to 8q24, underlies metastatic progression and chromosomal instability in colon cancer. Genome Res 23 (9), 1446-61.
- 138. Chen, B. et al. (2020) The Long Noncoding RNA CCAT2 Induces Chromosomal Instability Through BOP1-AURKB Signaling. Gastroenterology 159 (6), 2146-2162 e33.
 139. Hu, W.L. et al. (2018) GUARDIN is a p53-responsive long non-coding RNA that is essential for genomic stability. Nat Cell Biol 20 (4), 492-502.

- 5 140. Yang, L.Z. et al. (2019) Dynamic Imaging of RNA in Living Cells by CRISPR-Cas13 Systems. Mol Cell 76 (6), 981-997 e7.
 141. Sun, T. et al. (2018) Expression of lncRNA MIR222HG co-transcribed from the miR-221/222 gene promoter facilitates the development of castration-resistant prostate cancer. Oncogenesis 7 (3), 30.
- 10 142. Groff, A.F. et al. (2016) In Vivo Characterization of Linc-p21 Reveals Functional cis-Regulatory DNA Elements. Cell Rep 16 (8), 2178-2186.
 143. Yu, J. et al. (2019) Upregulation of lncRNA SNHG1 is associated with metastasis and poor prognosis in cancers: A meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 98 (16), e15196.