



OPEN ACCESS

Original research

Characterisation of patients with severe asthma in the UK Severe Asthma Registry in the biologic era

David J Jackson,^{1,2} John Busby,³ Paul E Pfeffer ,⁴ Andrew Menzies-Gow,⁵ Thomas Brown,⁶ Robin Gore,⁷ Martin Doherty,⁸ Adel H Mansur ,^{9,10} Simon Message,¹¹ Robert Niven,¹² Mitesh Patel,¹³ Liam G Heaney,^{14,15} on behalf of the UK Severe Asthma Registry

► Supplement material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-215168>).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Liam G Heaney, Centre for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK; l.heaney@qub.ac.uk

Received 29 April 2020

Revised 15 September 2020

Accepted 14 October 2020

ABSTRACT

Background The UK Severe Asthma Registry (UKSAR) is the world's largest national severe asthma registry collecting standardised data on referrals to UK specialist services. Novel biologic therapies have transformed the management of type 2(T2)-high severe asthma but have highlighted unmet need in patients with persisting symptoms despite suppression of T2-cytokine pathways with corticosteroids.

Methods Demographic, clinical and treatments characteristics for patients meeting European Respiratory Society / American Thoracic Society severe asthma criteria were examined for 2225 patients attending 15 specialist severe asthma centres. We assessed differences in biomarker low patients (fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) <25 ppb, blood eosinophils <150/μL) compared with a biomarker high population (FeNO ≥25 ppb, blood eosinophils ≥150/μL).

Results Age (mean 49.6 (14.3) y), age of asthma onset (24.2 (19.1) y) and female predominance (62.4%) were consistent with prior severe asthma cohorts. Poor symptom control (Asthma Control Questionnaire-6: 2.9 (1.4)) with high exacerbation rate (4 (IQR: 2, 7)) were common despite high-dose treatment (51.7% on maintenance oral corticosteroids (mOCS)). 68.9% were prescribed biologic therapies including mepolizumab (50.3%), benralizumab (26.1%) and omalizumab (22.6%). T2-low patients had higher body mass index (32.1 vs 30.2, $p<0.001$), depression/anxiety prevalence (12.3% vs 7.6%, $p=0.04$) and mOCS use (57.9% vs 42.1%, $p<0.001$). Many T2-low asthmatics had evidence of a historically elevated blood eosinophil count (0.35 (0.13, 0.60)).

Conclusions The UKSAR describes the characteristics of a large cohort of asthmatics referred to UK specialist severe asthma services. It offers the prospect of providing novel insights across a range of research areas and highlights substantial unmet need with poor asthma control, impaired lung function and high exacerbation rates. T2-high phenotypes predominate with significant differences apparent from T2-low patients. However, T2-low patients frequently have prior blood eosinophilia consistent with possible excessive corticosteroid exposure.

INTRODUCTION

Severe asthma has been defined by the European Respiratory Society / American Thoracic Society

Key messages

What is the key question?

What are the demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics of patients referred to UK specialist severe asthma services?

What is the bottom line?

Patients have substantial unmet need despite significant background treatment. Clear differences exist between T2-low and T2-high phenotypes although the majority of the T2-low group have evidence of prior T2-high disease, possibly reflecting effective suppression of T2 pathways with corticosteroids.

Why read on?

This study reports a comprehensive description of severe asthma patients in the UK from the largest national registry of its kind in the world.

(ERS/ATS) as asthma which requires treatment with high-dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) plus a second controller (and/or systemic corticosteroids) to prevent it from becoming 'uncontrolled' or which remains 'uncontrolled' despite this therapy.¹ Although some estimates have suggested that severe asthma affects approximately 5%–10% of the total asthma population,^{1,2} it is well recognised that many patients prescribed high-dose inhaled treatment remain poorly controlled because of suboptimal adherence to treatment or poor inhaler technique despite regular use. Once these two critical aspects of asthma care have been addressed the true prevalence of patients who remain poorly controlled on high-dose inhaled treatment falls to approximately 3%–4%.³ Exploring the clinical characteristics and disease expression in a large well-characterised severe asthma cohort is vital to understanding the heterogeneity and unmet need in these patients.

The British Thoracic Society (BTS) Difficult Asthma Registry was established in 2007 and was the first national registry to describe the clinical and demographic characteristics in a large patient group with well-characterised severe asthma.⁴ This 'real-world' data describing a population frequently underrepresented in clinical trials due to comorbidities or smoking history has been useful in helping to define clinical outcomes and health economic



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Jackson DJ, Busby J, Pfeffer PE, *et al.* *Thorax* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-215168

costs associated with routine clinical care, as well as the significant morbidity associated with systemic corticosteroids in this population.^{5–14}

With our improved understanding of the central role of type-2 (T2) inflammation in severe asthma alongside the advent of biologic therapies targeting elements of the T2 inflammatory cascade, the original BTS Registry underwent a number of important structural changes and was renamed the UK Severe Asthma Registry (UKSAR). The data to be collected were initially agreed by consensus between UK Expert Physicians and in a subsequent Delphi Consensus of International Severe Asthma Experts, there was 95% agreement with the UKSAR data fields.¹⁵ The patient population is well-characterised, with the participating centres all being specialist multidisciplinary units for asthma care with all patients undergoing systematic assessment prior to inclusion in the registry.

The aims of the current article are to describe the demographic and clinical characteristics of severe asthma patients in the UKSAR, to describe current biologic selection in eligible patients and to examine the difference between patients who are T2 biomarker-high and T2 biomarker-low at registration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients in UKSAR are enrolled to the registry after referral to Specialist UK Severe Asthma Centres with uncontrolled asthma (ie, severe symptoms or frequent exacerbations) at the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) treatment steps 4 and 5. The UKSAR has database ethical approval from the Office of Research Ethics Northern Ireland (15/NI/0196) and all patients provide written informed consent. All clinical centres in England are part of the NHS England Specialist Commissioning Network and operate on a ‘hub and spoke’ basis which aims to provide standardised multidisciplinary assessment and access to therapies under guidance issued by the National Institute for Clinical and Healthcare Excellence. Northern Ireland has a single-centre regional service (Belfast) and UKSAR also includes a single specialist regional centre in Scotland (online supplemental appendix 1).

Data were collected from November 2016 to February 2020. Baseline (at the time of registration) demographic and clinical variables are listed in (online supplemental appendix 2, but in brief, UKSAR captures 105 core variables classified into nine categories: patient demographics, medical history, investigations, lung function, allergy testing, Asthma Control Questionnaire, EuroQoL Questionnaire, asthma medication, and systematic assessment summary and management plan. Follow-up data are collected annually. The information recorded at each visit are listed in online supplemental appendix 3, however, follow-up data are not reported in this manuscript. The number of exacerbations was defined as a count of exacerbations requiring rescue systemic corticosteroids in the past 12 months. The number of hospitalisations and emergency department admissions for asthma was the number in the past 12 months. Asthma control was measured using the Asthma Control Questionnaire-6 (ACQ6). In this analysis, all patients were assessed by their treating clinician as fulfilling the criteria for severe asthma as defined by ERS/ATS Guidelines.¹ T2-biomarker low patients were defined as fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) <25 ppb and blood eosinophil count <150 cells/ μ L at registration. These cut-points were chosen as phase 3 clinical trials of targeted anti-interleukin 5 (IL5) and anti-IL4R biologic therapies have shown little benefit below these thresholds in patients with severe asthma.^{16 17} The T2-high comparator cohort was defined when both these biomarkers were above these thresholds.

All patients entered into UKSAR have undergone a thorough systematic multidisciplinary assessment as previously described in UK services.^{18 19} At this assessment, centres are asked to confirm that patients fulfil the ERS/ATS criteria for asthma that they have evaluated and optimised adherence to their current treatment plan and a management plan including additional treatments is registered (see online supplemental). Consecutive patients completing this assessment, and consenting to registry participation are entered on to the UKSAR.

Statistical analysis

This was a hypothesis generating study with no pre-specified hypotheses. Descriptive statistics were calculated for the entire cohort and for specific patient subgroups. Mean (with SD) and median (with IQR) were presented for continuous variables as appropriate. Categorical variables were summarised using counts and percentages. Univariate hypothesis tests between groups were conducted using t-test, Mann-Whitney U test or χ^2 test. All analyses were conducted using the STATA V.16 software package (StataCorp).

RESULTS

Demographic, clinical characteristics and comorbidities

Two thousand two hundred and twenty-five adult patients with severe asthma according to ERS/ATS criteria registered between November 2016 and February 2020 from 15 centres across the UK are included in this data. The mean age at registration was 49.6 years (14.3) with a mean age at onset of 24.2 years (19.1). 62.4% were female and 79.1% Caucasian. Self-reported atopic disease was recorded in 62.8%; in those reporting a history of atopic disease and proceeding to skin prick test or radioallergen sorbent (RAST) test, 72% (858 of 1189 patients) were positive to a perennial environmental allergen. In those reporting no atopic disease, 29% (207 of 707) were positive to skin prick or RAST-positive suggesting clinical history was of limited utility in identifying atopy in this group. Only 3.5% reported current smoking whereas 68.3% of patients were never-smokers. Patients were poorly controlled with a mean ACQ6 of 2.9 (1.4), and a median of 4 (IQR: 2, 7) exacerbations in the previous year. Lung function highlighted significant airflow obstruction and gas trapping with a FEV₁ of 65.3 (21.3) % predicted, a FEV₁/FVC of 63.4% (17.3%) and a residual volume of 132% (44.1%). Reported comorbidities included gastro-oesophageal reflux (16.9%), nasal polyposis (16.4%), depression or anxiety (8.3%) and eczema (2.9%) (table 1).

Biomarker profile

The median blood eosinophil count at registration was 0.33 (IQR: 0.16, 0.60) cells $\times 10^9$ /L with the median highest historical result recorded as 0.62 (IQR: 0.40, 1.00) cells $\times 10^9$ /L. Median FeNO levels were 39.0 (IQR: 20.0, 75.0) ppb and the median total IgE was 181 (IQR: 60, 480) IU/mL (table 2). These levels highlight a predominantly T2-high profile in patients entered into the UKSAR.

Asthma medication patterns

All patients were on high-dose ICS and long-acting beta agonist therapy with a median ICS dose of 2000 (IQR: 1600, 2000) mcg BDP equivalent. Of these, 53.5% were additionally on a long-acting antimuscarinic antagonist (LAMA), 48.9% on a leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) and 27.2% on theophylline. There was no evidence of greater airflow obstruction among those prescribed a LAMA (FEV₁/FVC ratio: 63.2%) compared

Table 1 Demographic, clinical characteristics and comorbidities*

Number of patients	2225
Age at first assessment	49.6 (14.3)
18–34	378 (17.0%)
35–54	979 (44.0%)
55–79	855 (38.5%)
80+	11 (0.5%)
Age at onset of symptoms	24.2 (19.1)
<12	715 (36.2%)
12–18	184 (9.3%)
>18	1076 (54.5%)
Gender	
Female	1389 (62.4%)
Male	836 (37.6%)
Ethnicity	
Caucasian	1744 (79.1%)
Non-Caucasian	462 (20.9%)
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.8 (7.1)
Smoking status	
Never smoker	1490 (68.3%)
Ex-smoker	617 (28.3%)
Current smoker	76 (3.5%)
Atopic disease	1378 (62.8%)
Spinal bone density (T-Score)	−0.7 (1.4)
Femoral neck bone density (T-Score)	−0.4 (1.1)
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	65.3 (21.3)
FVC (% predicted)	83.1 (19.7)
FEV ₁ /FVC	63.4 (17.3)
Residual volume (% predicted)	132.0 (44.1)
Total lung capacity (% predicted)	103.9 (18.7)
ACQ6 Score	2.9 (1.4)
Exacerbations requiring rescue steroids in last year	4 (2, 7)
Exacerbations requiring hospital admission in last year	0 (0, 1)
Invasive ventilation (ever)	193 (10.0%)
Eczema	63 (2.9%)
Nasal polyps	356 (16.4%)
Gastro-oesophageal reflux	367 (16.9%)
Depression or anxiety	180 (8.3%)

*Mean (SD), median (IQR) or count (%) as appropriate.
ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; BMI, body mass index.

with those not prescribed one (FEV₁/FVC: 64.2%), $p=0.111$. 51.7% were on maintenance oral corticosteroids (mOCS) with a median daily dose of 10 (IQR: 5, 15) mg prednisolone. 68.9% were prescribed biologic therapy including mepolizumab (50.3%), omalizumab (22.6%), benralizumab (26.1%), reslizumab (0.6%) and dupilumab (0.3%) (table 2).

Comparison of biologic prescribed and non-prescribed patients with severe asthma

A comparison of the demographic and clinical characteristics between patients prescribed biologic therapies and those treated with conventional therapies highlighted significant differences in several domains. While the age and gender distribution were

Table 2 Medication and biomarkers*

Number of patients	2225
Blood eosinophil count (N/10 ⁹ L)	0.33 (0.16, 0.60)
Highest blood eosinophil count (N/10 ⁹ L)†	0.62 (0.40, 1.00)
FeNO (ppb)	39.0 (20.0, 75.0)
IgE (IU/mL)	181 (60, 480)
Maintenance oral steroids	1142 (51.7%)
Maintenance oral steroid dose (mg)	10 (5, 15)
Inhaled steroid dose (mcg, BDP equivalent)	2000 (1600, 2000)
LAMA	1161 (53.5%)
Theophylline	595 (27.2%)
SABA	2089 (95.1%)
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	1048 (48.9%)
Maintenance macrolide	199 (9.3%)
Nebuliser	533 (24.5%)
Prior anti-IgE therapy	251 (11.5%)
Initiate/continue biologic therapy	1524 (68.9%)
Biologic therapy name	
Omalizumab	329 (22.6%)
Dupilumab	5 (0.3%)
Mepolizumab	731 (50.3%)
Benralizumab	380 (26.1%)
Reslizumab	9 (0.6%)

*Mean (SD), median (IQR) or count (%) as appropriate.

†Highest blood count is the highest recorded in available prior medical records.

FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; LAMA, long-acting antimuscarinic antagonist; SABA, short acting β_2 -agonist.

similar, a greater proportion of those prescribed biologics were Caucasian (80.6% vs 75.5%; $p=0.024$). Patients on biologics were also more likely to be never-smokers (70.8% vs 62.7%) and less likely to be current smokers (2.2% vs 6.4%; $p<0.001$).

Patients treated with biologics had evidence of more severe airflow obstruction (FEV₁ 64.2% vs 67.7%; $p<0.001$), greater air trapping (residual volume 136.3% vs 120.7%; $p<0.001$), higher registration (0.36 vs 0.30; $p<0.001$) and historic (0.70 vs 0.50; $p<0.001$) blood eosinophil and FeNO levels (41 ppb vs 36 ppb; $p<0.001$). Prescription of non-biologic agents also differed significantly between patients additionally on biologic therapies. Specifically, patients starting on biologics had higher rates of mOCS (59.9% vs 33.5%; $p<0.001$) and LAMA use (54.6% vs 50.9%; $p<0.001$), despite lower LTRA use (45.4% vs 56.2%; $p<0.001$) (table 3).

Further differences between biologic and non-biologic treated patients were observed with regards to comorbidities, with nasal polyposis being more prevalent in biologic patients (18.2% vs 12.3%; $p<0.001$), while gastro-oesophageal reflux (13.2% vs 25.4%; $p<0.001$) and psychological morbidity (6.2% vs 13.0%; $p<0.001$) being more common in biologic untreated patients (table 3).

Clinical characteristics of anti-IgE versus anti-IL5/5R treated patients

Analysis of the clinical and phenotypic characteristics of the biologic treated patients highlighted a number of significant differences between those prescribed the anti-IgE mAb omalizumab from those prescribed the anti-IL-5/5R mAbs mepolizumab, reslizumab or benralizumab.

Table 3 Biologic population versus non-biologic population*

	No biologic therapy (n=687)	Biologic therapy (n=1524)	P value
Age at first assessment	49.1 (14.3)	49.7 (14.3)	0.332
18–34	118 (17.2%)	257 (16.9%)	
35–54	315 (45.9%)	659 (43.3%)	
55–79	251 (36.5%)	599 (39.4%)	
80+	3 (0.4%)	7 (0.5%)	
Age at onset of symptoms	23.6 (18.9)	24.5 (19.2)	0.321
<12	230 (37.3%)	481 (35.7%)	
12–18	57 (9.3%)	124 (9.2%)	
>18	329 (53.4%)	742 (55.1%)	
Gender			0.964
Female	428 (62.3%)	951 (62.4%)	
Male	259 (37.7%)	573 (37.6%)	
Ethnicity			0.024
Caucasian	514 (75.5%)	1218 (80.6%)	
Non-Caucasian	167 (24.5%)	293 (19.4%)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.8 (7.4)	30.9 (6.9)	0.747
Smoking status			<0.001
Never smoked	428 (62.7%)	1053 (70.8%)	
Ex-smoker	211 (30.9%)	403 (27.1%)	
Current smoker	44 (6.4%)	32 (2.2%)	
Atopic disease	457 (66.7%)	912 (60.9%)	<0.001
Spinal bone density (T-Score)	−0.7 (1.4)	−0.7 (1.4)	0.912
Femoral neck bone density (T-Score)	−0.5 (1.1)	−0.4 (1.1)	0.446
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	67.7 (22.0)	64.2 (20.8)	0.001
FVC (% predicted)	83.2 (20.0)	83.0 (19.5)	0.814
FEV ₁ /FVC	65.0 (14.8)	62.7 (18.4)	0.007
Residual volume (% predicted)	120.7 (43.7)	136.3 (43.7)	<0.001
Total lung capacity (% predicted)	100.5 (17.6)	105.0 (19.1)	0.007
ACQ6 Score	3.0 (1.4)	2.9 (1.4)	0.060
Rescue steroids in last year	4 (2, 6)	4 (2, 7)	0.005
Hospital admissions for asthma in last year	0 (0, 2)	0 (0, 1)	0.190
Invasive ventilations (ever)	35 (7.2%)	158 (11.0%)	<0.001
Eczema	32 (4.9%)	30 (2.0%)	<0.001
Nasal polyps	80 (12.3%)	273 (18.2%)	<0.001
Gastro-oesophageal reflux	166 (25.4%)	198 (13.2%)	<0.001
Depression or anxiety	85 (13.0%)	93 (6.2%)	<0.001
Blood eosinophil count (N/10 ⁹ L)	0.30 (0.13, 0.54)	0.36 (0.18, 0.60)	<0.001
Highest blood eosinophil count (N/10 ⁹ L)†	0.50 (0.30, 0.82)	0.70 (0.40, 1.10)	<0.001
FeNO (ppb)	36.0 (17.0, 69.0)	41.0 (22.0, 76.5)	<0.001
IgE (IU/mL)	182 (57, 524)	180 (60, 467)	0.726
Maintenance oral steroids	230 (33.5%)	906 (59.9%)	<0.001
Maintenance oral steroid dose (mg)	6 (0, 15)	10 (8, 15)	<0.001
Inhaled steroid dose (mcg, BDP equivalent)	2000 (1600, 2000)	2000 (1600, 2000)	0.056
LAMA	348 (50.9%)	806 (54.6%)	<0.001

Continued

Table 3 Continued

	No biologic therapy (n=687)	Biologic therapy (n=1524)	P value
Theophylline	177 (25.9%)	414 (27.7%)	0.015
SABA	644 (94.0%)	1434 (95.7%)	0.007
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	378 (56.2%)	662 (45.4%)	<0.001
Maintenance macrolide	64 (9.6%)	135 (9.3%)	0.077
Nebuliser	154 (22.7%)	377 (25.4%)	0.044
Prior anti-IgE therapy	0 (0.0%)	251 (16.8%)	<0.001

*Mean (SD), median (IQR) or count (%) as appropriate.

†Highest blood count is the highest recorded in available prior medical records.

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; BMI, body mass index; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; LAMA, long-acting antimuscarinic antagonist; SABA, short acting β_2 -agonist.

Compared with anti-IL-5/5R treated patients, anti-IgE treated patients were younger at first assessment (47.6 vs 50.7 years old; $p < 0.001$), had an earlier onset of symptoms (15.2 vs 27.5 years old; $p < 0.001$), were more likely to be female (66.9% vs 61.0%; $p = 0.053$) and had a higher reported history of atopic disease (86.7% vs 52.6%; $p < 0.001$). In contrast, nasal polypsis was more prevalent in the anti-IL5/5R patients (20.8% vs 11.1%, $p < 0.001$). Prescribing of other asthma medications also differed with prescription of mOCS more prevalent in the anti-IL-5/5R patients (65.0% vs 44.6%, $p < 0.001$) and the reverse being true for LTRA (43.3% vs 52.4%, $p = 0.014$). In keeping with the specific clinical phenotypes these two classes of biologic therapies are targeted at, significant differences in T2 biomarker levels were observed with a higher registration (0.40 vs 0.22; $p < 0.001$) and historic (and 0.72 vs 0.50; $p < 0.001$) blood eosinophil count in those on anti-IL5/5R therapies and a higher total IgE in those on anti-IgE treatment (294 vs 143, $p < 0.001$) (table 4). Interestingly although 22.6% of biologics patients were prescribed omalizumab, 44.8% of the total biologics cohort met published eligibility criteria for this therapeutic option.

Comparison of T2-high and T2-low patients

We identified 992 of 2225 (44.6%) patients categorised as T2-high and 210 (9.4%) T2-low using our composite biomarker definitions. Compared with T2-low patients, those in the T2-high group were more likely to be male (39.4% vs 32.9%, $p = 0.076$), have an older age of symptom onset (25.9 vs 17.1 years old, $p < 0.001$), be a never-smoker (69.7% vs 59.1%, $p < 0.001$), have nasal polyposis (19.5% vs 10.8%, $p = 0.006$) and have more severe airflow obstruction (FEV₁/FVC 63.6 vs 66.7, $p = 0.007$). In contrast, T2-low patients had a higher body mass index (BMI) (32.1 vs 30.2, $p < 0.001$), higher prevalence of depression and anxiety (12.3% vs 7.6%, $p = 0.040$) and higher rate of current smoking (8.2% vs 2.2%, $p < 0.001$). A greater proportion of T2-low patients were treated with mOCS (57.9% vs 42.1%, $p < 0.001$) as well as theophylline (35.1% vs 23.4%, $p = 0.001$) and more likely to have a home nebuliser (35.1% vs 19.6%, $p < 0.001$). A history of atopic disease was also more prevalent in the T2-low group (71.5% vs 61.8%, $p = 0.028$) although the median IgE was higher in the T2-high group (189 vs 155, $p = 0.007$). Importantly, although the blood eosinophil count on registration was used to define T2 status, analysis of the historic blood eosinophil counts highlighted a median count of 0.35 (0.13, 0.60) in the T2-low group (table 5).

Table 4 Anti-IgE versus anti-IL5*

	Anti-IgE (n=329)	Anti-IL5 (n=1120)	P value
Age at first assessment	47.6 (14.6)	50.7 (14.1)	<0.001
18–34	71 (21.6%)	167 (14.9%)	
35–54	140 (42.6%)	481 (43.0%)	
55–79	117 (35.6%)	464 (41.5%)	
80+	1 (0.3%)	6 (0.5%)	
Age at onset of symptoms	15.2 (16.0)	27.5 (19.2)	<0.001
<12	168 (60.6%)	279 (27.8%)	
12–18	26 (9.4%)	95 (9.5%)	
>18	83 (30.0%)	630 (62.7%)	
Gender			0.053
Female	220 (66.9%)	683 (61.0%)	
Male	109 (33.1%)	437 (39.0%)	
Ethnicity			0.143
Caucasian	261 (79.3%)	895 (80.8%)	
Non-Caucasian	68 (20.7%)	213 (19.2%)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.3 (6.8)	30.7 (7.0)	0.183
Smoking status			0.757
Never smoked	233 (72.8%)	763 (69.8%)	
Ex-smoker	81 (25.3%)	307 (28.1%)	
Current smoker	6 (1.9%)	23 (2.1%)	
Atopic disease	281 (86.7%)	578 (52.6%)	<0.001
Spinal bone density (T-Score)	−0.7 (1.4)	−0.6 (1.4)	0.593
Femoral neck bone density (T-Score)	−0.3 (1.1)	−0.5 (1.2)	0.062
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	63.4 (21.7)	64.9 (20.6)	0.321
FVC (% predicted)	82.4 (18.0)	83.7 (20.2)	0.369
FEV ₁ /FVC	61.8 (14.7)	63.0 (19.8)	0.355
Residual volume (% predicted)	138.7 (44.9)	136.8 (43.6)	0.684
Total lung capacity (% predicted)	105.6 (17.5)	105.4 (19.6)	0.913
ACQ6 Score	2.8 (1.5)	2.9 (1.4)	0.178
Rescue steroids in last year	4 (2, 6)	4 (3, 7)	0.020
Hospital admissions for asthma in last year	0 (0, 1)	0 (0, 1)	0.047
Invasive ventilations (ever)	44 (14.1%)	108 (10.2%)	0.134
Eczema	7 (2.2%)	17 (1.5%)	0.748
Nasal polyps	36 (11.1%)	230 (20.8%)	<0.001
Gastro-oesophageal reflux	44 (13.5%)	145 (13.1%)	0.979
Depression or anxiety	21 (6.5%)	65 (5.9%)	0.926
Blood eosinophil count (N/10 ⁹ L)	0.22 (0.10, 0.50)	0.40 (0.20, 0.68)	<0.001
Highest blood eosinophil count (N/10 ⁹ L)†	0.50 (0.30, 0.80)	0.72 (0.50, 1.10)	<0.001
FeNO (ppb)	31.0 (18.0, 61.0)	44.0 (24.0, 81.0)	<0.001
IgE (IU/mL)	294 (151, 485)	143 (47, 407)	<0.001
Maintenance oral steroids	145 (44.6%)	723 (65.0%)	<0.001
Maintenance oral steroid dose (mg)	10 (5, 15)	10 (8, 18)	0.054
Inhaled steroid dose (mcg, BDP equivalent)	2000 (1600, 2000)	2000 (1600, 2000)	0.029
LAMA	176 (55.0%)	589 (54.4%)	0.859
Theophylline	102 (31.4%)	289 (26.4%)	0.106

Continued

Table 4 Continued

	Anti-IgE (n=329)	Anti-IL5 (n=1120)	P value
SABA	313 (96.6%)	1054 (95.7%)	0.764
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	164 (52.4%)	466 (43.3%)	0.014
Maintenance macrolide	28 (9.0%)	103 (9.6%)	0.713
Nebuliser	89 (27.9%)	267 (24.5%)	0.442
Prior anti-IgE therapy	140 (42.8%)	77 (7.0%)	<0.001

*Mean (SD), median (IQR) or count (%) as appropriate.

†Highest blood count is the highest recorded in available prior medical records.

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; BMI, body mass index; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; LAMA, long-acting antimuscarinic antagonist; SABA, short acting β_2 -agonist.

DISCUSSION

The UKSAR represents the largest national registry of its kind in the world recruiting patients with severe asthma who have been systematically evaluated at specialist asthma centres within the UK. This includes assessment and confirmation of severity, inflammatory phenotype, therapeutic intervention and related comorbidities. We report that the majority have evidence of T2 inflammation despite high rates of systemic corticosteroid use, that T2 low patients have a higher BMI and prevalence of anxiety/depression and that a significant unmet need exists despite currently available therapies.

In line with the increasing availability of biologic therapies targeting the T2-inflammatory pathway, we report a high uptake of biologic therapies in UKSAR, reflecting the fact that referrals and registry enrolment are prioritised by centres for biologic patients. This is despite eligibility criteria that are considered among the most demanding in the world.^{20–23} However, we also highlight the sobering finding that over half of the UKSAR are on maintenance OCS, continue to have a high exacerbation rate averaging four acute OCS courses/year and remain poorly controlled with an average ACQ6 of 2.9 at assessment.

The relative proportions of each biologic prescribed in the UKSAR reflect the duration of availability of the specific therapy at the time of this analysis, the size of the eligible population as well as individual prescribing habits of physicians. However, it is interesting to note that despite the relatively recent arrival of anti-IL5/5R therapies, these make up over 75% of all biologic prescribing. This may in part relate to the relatively high use of mOCS to manage severe asthma in the UK and the lack of controlled data supporting OCS sparing efficacy with omalizumab compared with mepolizumab²⁴ or benralizumab but may also relate to the prescribing limitations of body weight and IgE levels which limit access to omalizumab.²⁵

In addition, many of the clinical characteristics that differentiate the patients prescribed anti-IgE from anti-IL5/5R in UKSAR are in keeping with current understanding of allergic and non-allergic asthma phenotypes as well as the results of responder analyses conducted following the phase 3 trials of T2 biologics.²⁶ Specifically, we report that younger atopic patients with an earlier disease onset were proportionately more likely to be prescribed omalizumab compared with the adult-onset older patients with comorbid nasal polyposis who were in much higher numbers in the anti-IL-5/5R group.

Our definition of T2-low severe asthma uses cut-points for blood eosinophil count and FeNO which have been identified in phase 3 clinical trials of biologics targeting anti-IL5/5R and anti-IL4R- α respectively, and which have identified little benefit of these therapies when the blood eosinophil count is <150 cells/

Table 5 Comparison of T2-high and T2-low patients*

	T2-low (n=210)	T2-high (n=992)	P value
Age at first assessment	48.1 (15.1)	48.8 (14.3)	0.522
18–34	47 (22.4%)	173 (17.5%)	
35–54	84 (40.0%)	456 (46.1%)	
55–79	78 (37.1%)	360 (36.4%)	
80+	1 (0.5%)	1 (0.1%)	
Age at onset of symptoms	17.1 (16.6)	25.9 (19.1)	<0.001
<12	96 (53.6%)	282 (31.0%)	
12–18	18 (10.1%)	88 (9.7%)	
>18	65 (36.3%)	540 (59.3%)	
Gender			0.076
Female	141 (67.1%)	601 (60.6%)	
Male	69 (32.9%)	391 (39.4%)	
Ethnicity			0.012
Caucasian	178 (85.2%)	748 (76.4%)	
Non-Caucasian	31 (14.8%)	231 (23.6%)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	32.1 (7.8)	30.2 (6.7)	<0.001
Smoking status			<0.001
Never smoked	123 (59.1%)	688 (69.7%)	
Ex-smoker	68 (32.7%)	277 (28.1%)	
Current smoker	17 (8.2%)	22 (2.2%)	
Atopic disease	148 (71.5%)	607 (61.8%)	0.028
Spinal bone density (T-Score)	−1.0 (1.4)	−0.7 (1.4)	0.010
Femoral neck bone density (T-Score)	−0.5 (1.1)	−0.4 (1.1)	0.314
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	66.2 (22.6)	66.5 (20.6)	0.858
FVC (% predicted)	80.6 (19.8)	84.4 (18.7)	0.020
FEV ₁ /FVC	66.7 (14.8)	63.6 (13.7)	0.007
Residual volume (% predicted)	122.8 (44.1)	128.1 (44.7)	0.450
Total lung capacity (% predicted)	96.2 (22.8)	103.3 (17.7)	0.016
ACQ6 Score	3.1 (1.3)	2.9 (1.4)	0.161
Rescue steroids in last year	4 (1, 7)	4 (2, 8)	0.014
Hospital admissions for asthma in last year	0 (0, 2)	0 (0, 1)	0.005
Invasive ventilations (ever)	26 (15.5%)	73 (8.4%)	<0.001
Eczema	8 (3.9%)	29 (3.0%)	0.341
Nasal polyps	22 (10.8%)	190 (19.5%)	0.006
Gastro-oesophageal reflux	41 (20.2%)	173 (17.8%)	0.317
Depression or anxiety	25 (12.3%)	74 (7.6%)	0.040
Blood eosinophil count (N/10 ⁹ L)	0.07 (0.01, 0.10)	0.50 (0.30, 0.70)	<0.001
Highest blood eosinophil count (N/10 ⁹ L) [†]	0.35 (0.13, 0.60)	0.76 (0.50, 1.15)	<0.001
FeNO (ppb)	14.0 (9.0, 18.0)	60.0 (39.0, 94.0)	<0.001
IgE (IU/mL)	155 (34, 437)	189 (67, 509)	0.007
Maintenance oral steroids	121 (57.9%)	415 (42.1%)	<0.001
Maintenance oral steroid dose (mg)	10 (5, 20)	10 (5, 15)	0.013

Continued

Table 5 Continued

	T2-low (n=210)	T2-high (n=992)	P value
Inhaled steroid dose (mcg, BDP equivalent)	2000 (1600, 2000)	2000 (1600, 2000)	0.733
LAMA	116 (56.0%)	527 (54.2%)	0.790
Theophylline	73 (35.1%)	228 (23.4%)	0.001
SABA	196 (94.2%)	937 (95.3%)	0.801
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	107 (53.0%)	488 (50.8%)	0.784
Maintenance macrolide	23 (11.4%)	76 (7.9%)	0.248
Nebuliser	73 (35.1%)	189 (19.6%)	<0.001
Prior anti-IgE therapy	36 (17.3%)	99 (10.2%)	0.008

*Mean (SD), median (IQR) or count (%) as appropriate.

†Highest blood count is the highest recorded in available prior medical records. ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; BMI, body mass index; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; LAMA, long-acting antimuscarinic antagonist; SABA, short acting β_2 -agonist.

μL or FeNO is <25 ppb.^{16,17} Although previous reports of mild-moderate asthma using sputum analysis have described an approximate 50–50 split between T2-high and T2-low phenotypes,^{27,28} it is increasingly recognised that severe asthma is predominantly associated with a T2-high phenotype. In keeping with this, we found that while the median blood eosinophil count at registration in the UKSAR was $0.3 \text{ cells} \times 10^9/\text{L}$, the previous historic (prior to UKSAR registration) median level was $0.62 \text{ cells} \times 10^9/\text{L}$ with levels greater than $0.4 \text{ cells} \times 10^9/\text{L}$ in 75% of the cohort, reflecting prominent blood eosinophilia despite substantial background treatment. The median FeNO of 39 ppb further supports background T2 inflammatory pathway activation in this group. Recent data have demonstrated that when corticosteroids are down-titrated in a UK severe asthma population, T2-biomarkers increase, with the maximal prevalence of T2-low severe asthma reported at 5%.²⁹

Indeed, what is perhaps surprising is that such high T2 biomarker levels were evident despite the very high inhaled and systemic steroid utilisation in this cohort and despite adherence assessment. Dividing our cohort into T2-high and T2-low groups based on the combination of blood eosinophils and FeNO at registration highlighted that only a minority of patients fulfilled the biomarker definition of T2-low asthma. Moreover, analysis of the historic blood eosinophil count in the T2-low group revealed a median level consistent with a T2-high diagnosis and more than 25% of patients had readings in excess $0.6 \text{ cells} \times 10^9/\text{L}$. Taken together it highlights the difficulties in labelling asthmatics as T2-low given the variability of biomarkers such as the blood eosinophil count and FeNO in relation to background corticosteroid treatment,^{29,30} which are known to suppress these biomarkers. Consequently, this and any comparison of T2 phenotypes using biomarker stratification at a single timepoint, when on high-dose corticosteroid treatment is challenging and prone to misclassification of patients. It also suggests that corticosteroid treatment in these persistently symptomatic patients may be elevated beyond a point where there would be any additional therapeutic benefit. Consistent with this, we noted that 58% of these patients were on maintenance OCS.

In light of the high level of morbidity and mortality which we have previously highlighted to be associated with systemic steroids³¹ and the recognition that this therapy is only associated with clinical benefit in the presence of T2 inflammation, it would

suggest a greater level of OCS stewardship is required in these patients. In addition, further work is required to establish the mechanism of persistent poor symptom control in these patients who lack objective evidence of T2 inflammation as this presumably drives some clinicians to increase corticosteroid treatment despite the absence of T2 inflammation. Importantly this will require detailed consideration of extrapulmonary factors given the elevated BMI and reduced total lung capacity observed in this group.³² It is also striking that the rescue corticosteroid use in this T2-biomarker low group is identical to the T2-high group, given T2-biomarkers have consistently been shown to have prognostic value in terms of exacerbation risk. Additionally, one would anticipate therapeutic benefit from corticosteroids in exacerbations in the T2-high population, but it remains unclear if this is also the case in biomarker low patients. As such, understanding the mechanism and inflammatory phenotype of exacerbation events in T2-biomarker low patients is an important future research question. This same issue applies to the residual 50% of exacerbation events seen in clinical trials of biologic therapies targeting T2-pathways and clinical trials are underway to try and explore this issue further in patients on mepolizumab and benralizumab (NCT03324230 and NCT04102800).

It was also noteworthy that we did not see higher prescription rates of LAMA and/or macrolide therapy in the T2 low group despite these therapies frequently being discussed as possible therapeutic options when a T2 inflammatory signal appears absent in severe asthma and particularly given the high symptom burden in this population. We cannot identify if these therapies were previously tried and withdrawn but ongoing follow-up will identify any additional treatment in these patients and if corticosteroid treatment is reduced.

Several observations require further investigation. One of these is the differences observed in biologic prescribing between Caucasian and non-Caucasian patients in the UK. While it is possible that variances in access to care, cultural and language barriers as well as possible underlying endotype differences may all play a role in this area, and we have noted different disease by ethnicity in UKSAR³³ which we are actively exploring further in primary care datasets. Additionally, the higher rate of depression and anxiety seen in the T2-low group as well as the larger group of patients not prescribed a biologic therapy deserves attention. These patients are frequently on mOCS which have well-recognised psychological effects^{8,34} but our data suggests it is not due to a higher rate of exacerbations, hospitalisation or a poorer level of asthma control in the non-biologic group. The impact of depression and anxiety, and overall quality of life, in severe asthma is a major issue that needs further consideration.

The size of our registry cohort now gives us the power to understand real-world outcomes of severe asthma patients across a common multidisciplinary healthcare system. Annual clinical reviews are being entered as registry follow-up entries and will allow us to better understand the influence of baseline differences on disease trajectory. A further important utility of the registry is its potential to highlight the characteristics of UK severe asthma to inform the commissioning process and also to understand where there might be variation in care between centres, and why this might be the case. To facilitate this, we have recently reviewed the registry data-metrics, in particular reflecting on our current results and in partnership with the NHS England Quality Improvement initiative, and have revised the data-fields in UKSAR for 2020 onwards (online supplemental appendix 2 and 3).

In summary, the UKSAR describes the characteristics of a very large cohort of severe asthmatics in routine clinical care across

the UK with over 1500 patients treated with biologic therapies. It highlights current prescribing patterns, the predominance of the T2-high clinical phenotype in severe asthma and offers the prospect of providing novel insights across a range of research areas including real world responses to biologic therapies and the natural history of severe asthma.

Author affiliations

- ¹Guy's Severe Asthma Centre, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, UK
- ²Asthma UK Centre, King's College London, UK
- ³Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, UK
- ⁴Respiratory Medicine, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK
- ⁵Lung Division, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- ⁶Respiratory Medicine, Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Portsmouth, UK
- ⁷Respiratory Medicine, Cambridge University Hospitals Trust, Cambridge, UK
- ⁸Respiratory Medicine, Russells Hall Hospital, Dudley, UK
- ⁹Heartlands Hospital, Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
- ¹⁰University of Birmingham, UK
- ¹¹Respiratory Medicine, Gloucestershire Royal Hospital, Gloucester, UK
- ¹²Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, UK
- ¹³Respiratory Medicine, University Plymouth NHS Trust, Plymouth, UK
- ¹⁴Centre for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University Belfast School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, UK
- ¹⁵Belfast Health & Social Care NHS Trust, UK

Acknowledgements We thank the data input and medical staff in the UK Difficult Asthma Centres.

Collaborators Dr Paul Dilworth, Royal Free London NHS Trust; Dr Aashish Vyas, Lancashire Teaching Hospital; Dr Rekha Chadhuri, Gartnavel General Hospital; Dr Deepak Subramanian, University Hospitals of Derby & Bunting NHS; Dr Paddy Dennison, Southampton General Hospital.

Contributors CJJ, JB, PEP, AMG, TB, RG, MD, AM, SM, RN, MP and LGH made substantial contributions to data acquisition, data analysis and interpretation, and drafting the manuscript. The final manuscript was approved by all the authors prior to submission.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Approval for collection and analysis of pseudonymised UKSAR data was granted by ORECNI (15/NI/0196).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Paul E Pfeffer <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0369-2885>
Adel H Mansur <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8615-8778>

REFERENCES

- 1 Chung KF, Sally Wenzel for the European respiratory Society/American thoracic Society severe asthma international guidelines Task force. *European Respiratory Journal* 2014;44:1378–137.
- 2 von Bülow A, Kriegerbaum M, Backer V, et al. The prevalence of severe asthma and low asthma control among Danish adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2014;2:759–67.
- 3 Hekking P-PW, Wener RR, Amelink M, et al. The prevalence of severe refractory asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015;135:896–902.
- 4 Heaney LG, Brightling CE, Menzies-Gow A, et al. British thoracic Society difficult asthma network. *Refractory asthma in the UK: cross-sectional findings from a UK multicentre registry, Thorax* 2010;65:787–94.
- 5 Burn J, Sims AJ, Patrick H, et al. Efficacy and safety of bronchial thermoplasty in clinical practice: a prospective, longitudinal, cohort study using evidence from the UK severe asthma registry. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e026742.
- 6 Burn J, Sims AJ, Keltie K, et al. Procedural and short-term safety of bronchial thermoplasty in clinical practice: evidence from a national registry and hospital episode statistics. *J Asthma* 2017;54:872–9.

- 7 Chaudhuri R, McSharry C, Heaney LG, *et al*. Effects of older age and age of asthma onset on clinical and inflammatory variables in severe refractory asthma. *Respir Med* 2016;118:46–52.
- 8 Sweeney J, Patterson CC, Menzies-Gow A, *et al*. Comorbidity in severe asthma requiring systemic corticosteroid therapy: cross-sectional data from the optimum patient care research database and the British thoracic difficult asthma registry. *Thorax* 2016;71:339–46.
- 9 Gibeon D, Heaney LG, Brightling CE, *et al*. British thoracic Society difficult asthma network. dedicated severe asthma services improve health-care use and quality of life. *Chest* 2015;148:870–6.
- 10 Newby C, Heaney LG, Menzies-Gow A, *et al*. British thoracic Society severe refractory asthma network. statistical cluster analysis of the British thoracic Society severe refractory asthma registry: clinical outcomes and phenotype stability. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e102987.
- 11 O'Neill S, Sweeney J, Patterson CC, *et al*. British thoracic Society difficult asthma Network. The cost of treating severe refractory asthma in the UK: an economic analysis from the British thoracic Society difficult asthma registry. *Thorax* 2015;70:376–8.
- 12 Thomson NC, Chaudhuri R, Heaney LG, *et al*. Clinical outcomes and inflammatory biomarkers in current smokers and exsmokers with severe asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013;131:1008–16.
- 13 Gibeon D, Batuwita K, Osmond M, *et al*. Obesity-Associated severe asthma represents a distinct clinical phenotype: analysis of the British thoracic Society difficult asthma registry patient cohort according to BMI. *Chest* 2013;143:406–14.
- 14 Sweeney J, CEss B, Menzies-Gow A, *et al*. British thoracic Society difficult asthma network. clinical management and outcome of refractory asthma in the UK from the British thoracic Society difficult asthma registry. *Thorax* 2012;67:754–6.
- 15 Bulathsinhala L, Eleangovan N, Heaney LG, *et al*. Development of the International severe asthma registry (ISAR): a modified Delphi study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2019;7:578–88.
- 16 Ortega HG, Yancey SW, Mayer B, *et al*. Severe eosinophilic asthma treated with mepolizumab stratified by baseline eosinophil thresholds: a secondary analysis of the DREAM and MENSA studies. *Lancet Respir Med* 2016;4:549–56.
- 17 Castro M, Corren J, Pavord ID, *et al*. Dupilumab efficacy and safety in moderate-to-severe uncontrolled asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:2486–96.
- 18 Heaney LG, Conway E, Kelly C, *et al*. Predictors of therapy resistant asthma: outcome of a systematic evaluation protocol. *Thorax* 2003;58:561–6.
- 19 Robinson DS, Campbell DA, Durham SR, *et al*. Asthma and allergy Research group of the National heart and lung Institute. systematic assessment of difficult-to-treat asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2003;22:478–83.
- 20 NICE. Omalizumab for treating severe persistent allergic asthma, 2013. Available: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta278>
- 21 NICE. Mepolizumab for treating severe refractory eosinophilic asthma, 2017. Available: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta431>
- 22 NICE. Reslizumab for treating severe eosinophilic asthma, 2017. Available: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/TA479>
- 23 NICE. Benralizumab for treating severe eosinophilic asthma, 2019. Available: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta565>
- 24 Bel EH, Wenzel SE, Thompson PJ, *et al*. Oral glucocorticoid-sparing effect of mepolizumab in eosinophilic asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:1189–97.
- 25 Nair P, Wenzel S, Rabe KF, *et al*. Oral glucocorticoid-sparing effect of Benralizumab in severe asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376:2448–58.
- 26 Bleeker ER, Wechsler ME, FitzGerald JM, *et al*. Baseline patient factors impact on the clinical efficacy of benralizumab for severe asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2018;52. doi:10.1183/13993003.00936-2018. [Epub ahead of print: 18 10 2018].
- 27 Woodruff PG, Modrek B, Choy DF, *et al*. T-Helper type 2-driven inflammation defines major subphenotypes of asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180:388–95.
- 28 McGrath KW, Icitovic N, Boushey HA, *et al*. Asthma clinical research network of the National heart, lung, and blood Institute. A large subgroup of mild-to-moderate asthma is persistently non-eosinophilic. *Am J Resp Crit Care Med* 2012;185:612–9.
- 29 Heaney LG, Busby J, *et al*, on behalf of the investigators for the MRC Refractory Asthma Stratification Program. Randomised trial of treatment optimisation in patients with severe asthma using composite type-2 biomarkers to adjust corticosteroid dose versus a symptom/risk-based algorithm. *Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 2020.
- 30 Busby J, Holweg CTJ, Chai A, *et al*. Change in type-2 biomarkers and related cytokines with prednisolone in uncontrolled severe oral corticosteroid dependent asthmatics: an interventional open-label study. *Thorax* 2019;74:806–9.
- 31 Sweeney J, Patterson CC, Menzies-Gow A, *et al*. Comorbidity in severe asthma requiring systemic corticosteroid therapy: cross-sectional data from the optimum patient care research database and the British thoracic difficult asthma registry. *Thorax* 2016;71:339–46.
- 32 McDowell PJ, Heaney LG. Different endotypes and phenotypes drive the heterogeneity in severe asthma. *Allergy* 2020;75:302–10.
- 33 Busby J, Jackson DJ, Mansur AH, *et al*. British thoracic Society winter meeting 2019. *Programme and Abstracts*;74:P152.
- 34 Bloechliger M, Reinau D, Spöndlin J, *et al*. Adverse events profile of oral corticosteroids among asthma patients in the UK: cohort study with a nested case-control analysis. *Respir Res* 2018;19:75.

Characterisation of patients with severe asthma in the UK Severe Asthma Registry in the biologic era

Appendix 1 - List of UK Severe Networks and Network Leads in UKSAR (2020)

Lead Centre & Data Collection Centres	Lead Clinician
Belfast City Hospital	Professor Liam Heaney
Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Trust	
St Georges University Hospital NHS Trust	Professor A Menzies-Gow
Birmingham Regional Severe Asthma Service	
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Trust	Dr Adel Mansur
Sandwell & West Birmingham NHS Trust	Dr Adeel Sahal
Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust	Dr Rachid Berair
Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham	Dr Duncan Wilson
University Hospitals of Derby & Bunton NHS	Dr Deepak Subramanian
The Dudley Group NHS Foundation Trust	Dr Martin Doherty
Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust	Dr Bethan Barker
Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Trust	Dr Simon Message
South Thames and Kent Severe Asthma Network	
Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Trust	Dr David Jackson
North Central & East London Severe Asthma Service	
Bart's Health NHS Trust	Dr Paul Pfeffer
Royal Free London NHS Trust	Dr Paul Dilworth
Homerton University Hospital NHS Trust	Prof Raja Rajakulasingham
East Midlands Severe Asthma Network	
University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust	Professor Salman Siddiqui
Nottingham University Hospital NHS Trust	Dr Sherif Gonem
North West Midlands Severe Asthma Service	
University Hospitals of North Midlands NHS Trust	Dr Elfatih Idris
Yorkshire Asthma MDT (Yorkshire/Hull/Leeds)	
Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	Professor Ian Sabroe
Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	Dr Shoaib Faruqi
The Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust	Dr Ian Clifton
Chesterfield Royal Hospital	Dr Sally Davies
Doncaster Royal Infirmary	Dr Aldrin Adeni & Dr Trevor Rogers
Wakefield & Dewsbury Hospital	Dr James McCreanor & Dr Llinos Jones
Bradford Royal Infirmary	Dr Dinesh Saralaya
North Lincolnshire & Goole	Dr Umesh Bangalore Lakshminarayana

York District Hospital	Dr Rebecca Thomas
Airedale Hospital	Dr Su Ann Leong
Grimsby Hospital	Dr Madhav Menon
Harrogate Hospital	Dr Claire Taylor
Barnsley District General Hospital	Dr Hazim Madhi
Calderdale Hospital	Dr Johnnie Walker
East of England Severe Asthma Network	
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust	Dr Robin Gore
Wessex Network	
University Hospital Southampton NHS Trust	Dr Paddy Dennison
Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust	Dr Thomas Browne
Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust	Professor Ian Pavord
Churchill Hospital	Dr Henry Bettinson
South West Asthma Network	
University Hospitals Plymouth NHS Trust	Dr Mitesh Patel
University Hospitals Bristol NHS Trust	Dr James Dodd
Royal Devon & Exeter NHS Trust	Dr Matthew Masoli
Taunton & Somerset NHS Trust	Dr Robert Stone
North West Network	
Wythenshawe Hospital	Dr Rob Niven
Liverpool Royal Infirmary	Dr Hassan Burhan
Salford Royal	Dr Ronan O'Driscoll
Countess of Chester Hospital	Dr Stephen Scott
Royal Albert Edward Infirmary Wigan	Dr Imran Aziz
Lancashire Teaching Hospital	Dr Aashish Vyas
North Manchester General Hospital	Dr Nita Seghal
Wirral University Teaching Hospital	Dr John Corless
Royal Preston Hospital	Dr Aashish Vyas
Newcastle Severe Asthma Network	
Newcastle Upon Tyne NHS Trust	Dr Simon Doe
Freeman Hospital	Dr Andrew Stanton
NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde	
Gartnavel General Hospital	Dr Rekha Chaudhuri

Appendix 2: List of Fields collected at baseline (fields in red have been added from June 2020)

Variable	Type	Responses
Identifiers		
Date First Seen	Date	
Patient ID	Numerical	<i>Automatically generated unique UKSAR registration number</i>
Patient Demographics		
Gender	Categorical	Female; Male
Age At First Assessment (Years)	Numerical	
Ethnicity	Categorical	Caucasian; South East Asian; North East Asian; African; Mixed; Other
Occupational Cause or Worsening of Asthma	Categorical	No; Yes
Height (m)	Numerical	
Weight (kg)	Numerical	
BSA (m ²)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
BMI (kg/m ²)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
Index of Multiple Deprivation	Numerical	
Bronchial Thermoplasty Patient	Categorical	No; Yes
Research Patient	Categorical	No; Yes
Initial Data Complete	Categorical	No; Yes
Medical History		
Rescue Steroids In Last Year	Numerical	
Invasive Ventilation Events Ever	Numerical	
A&E Attendances For Asthma In Last Year	Numerical	
Hospital Admissions For Asthma In Last Year	Numerical	
Age at Onset of Symptoms (Years)	Numerical	
Smoking Status	Categorical	Never smoked; Ex-smoker; Current smoker
Pack Years	Numerical	

Years Since Last Smoked	Numerical	
Nasal Polyps	Categorical	No; Yes
Atopic Disease	Categorical	No; Yes
Atopic Disease Description	Free-text	
Current Atopic Eczema	Categorical	No; Yes
Other Comorbidity	Categorical	No; Cardiac disease; Other
Comorbidity Description	Free-text	
Investigations		
Blood Eosinophil Count (N/109L)	Numerical	
Highest Blood Eosinophil Count [in available medical records] (N/109L)	Numerical	
Date Highest Eosinophil Count	Date	
IgE (IU/mL)	Numerical	
Prior Highest Sputum Eosinophils (%)	Numerical	
CT Scan	Categorical	Not done; Normal; Abnormal
Abnormal CT Scan Details	Free-text	
Date Of Bone Densitometry	Date	
Spinal Bone Density (T-Score)	Numerical	
Femoral Neck Bone Density (T-Score)	Numerical	
Additional Clinical Tests	Free-text	
Lung Function		
FEV1 (L)	Numerical	
Predicted FEV1 (L)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
FEV1 (% Predicted)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
Post-BD FEV1 (L)	Numerical	
Post -BD FEV1 (% Predicted)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
FVC (L)	Numerical	
Predicted FVC (L)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>

FVC (% Predicted)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
Post-BD FVC (L)	Numerical	
Post-BD FVC (% Predicted)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
FEV1/FVC	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
Post-BD FEV1/FVC	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
Residual Volume (% Predicted)	Numerical	
Total Lung Capacity (% Predicted)	Numerical	
KCO (% Predicted)	Numerical	
PC20 Methacholine / Histamine (mg/mL)	Numerical	
Date of PC20 Methacholine / Histamine	Date	
FeNO (ppb)	Numerical	
FeNO Date	Date	
Allergen Testing		
Environmental Allergen Test	Categorical	No; RAST; SPT
RAST Positive	Categorical	No; Yes
SPT Positive	Categorical	No; Yes
Positive to Perennial Allergen	Categorical	No; Yes
Perennial Allergen Details	Free-text	
Asthma Control Questionnaire		
ACQ1 Woken at Night	Categorical	Never; Hardly ever; A few times; Several times; Many times; A great many times; Unable to sleep because of asthma
ACQ2 Symptoms in Morning	Categorical	No symptoms; Very mild symptoms; Mild symptoms; Moderate symptoms; Quite severe symptoms; Severe symptoms; Very severe symptoms
ACQ3 Limited in Activities	Categorical	Not limited at all; Very slightly limited; Slightly limited; Moderately limited; Very limited; Extremely limited; Totally limited
ACQ4 Shortness of Breath	Categorical	None; A very little; A little; A moderate amount; Quite a lot; A great deal; A very great deal
ACQ5 Wheezing	Categorical	Never; Hardly any of the time; A little of the time; A moderate amount of the time; A lot of the time; Most of the time; All of the time

ACQ6 Puffs of SABA	Categorical	None; 1 - 2 puffs/inhalations most days; 3 - 4 puffs/inhalations most days; 5 - 8 puffs/inhalations most days; 9 - 12 puffs/inhalations most days; 13 - 16 puffs/inhalations most days; More than 16 puffs/inhalations most days
ACQ7 FEV1	Categorical	> 95% predicted; 95 - 90%; 89 - 80%; 79 - 70%; 69 - 60%; 59 - 50%; < 50%
ACQ6 Score	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
ACQ7 Score	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
EuroQoL Questionnaire		
EuroQoL Mobility	Categorical	I have no problems in walking about; I have slight problems in walking about; I have moderate problems in walking about; I have severe problems in walking about; I am unable to walk about
EuroQoL Self-care	Categorical	I have no problems washing or dressing myself; I have slight problems washing or dressing myself; I have moderate problems washing or dressing myself; I have severe problems washing or dressing myself; I am unable to wash or dress myself
EuroQoL Usual Activities	Categorical	I have no problems doing my usual activities; I have slight problems doing my usual activities; I have moderate problems doing my usual activities; I have severe problems doing my usual activities; I am unable to do my usual activities
EuroQoL Pain / Discomfort	Categorical	I have no pain or discomfort; I have slight pain or discomfort; I have moderate pain or discomfort; I have severe pain or discomfort; I have extreme pain or discomfort
EuroQoL Anxiety / Depression	Categorical	I am not anxious or depressed; I am slightly anxious or depressed; I am moderately anxious or depressed; I am severely anxious or depressed; I am extremely anxious or depressed
EuroQoL Health Scale	Numerical	
Mini-AQLQ Questionnaire		
Feel SHORT OF BREATH as a result of your asthma	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel bothered by or have to AVOID DUST in the environment	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel FRUSTRATED as a result of your asthma	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time

Feel bothered by COUGHING	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel AFRAID OF NOT HAVING YOUR ASTHMA MEDICATION AVAILABLE	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Experience a feeling of CHEST TIGHTNESS or CHEST HEAVINESS	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel bothered by or have to AVOID CIGARETTE SMOKE in the environment	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Have DIFFICULTY GETTING A GOOD NIGHT'S SLEEP as a result of your asthma	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel CONCERNED ABOUT HAVING ASTHMA	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Experience a WHEEZE in your chest	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel bothered by or have to AVOID going outside because of WEATHER OR AIR STRENUOUS ACTIVITIES (such as hurrying, exercising, running up stairs, sports)	Categorical	Totally Limited; Extremely Limited; Very Limited; Moderate Limitation; Some Limitation; A Little Limitation; Not at all Limited
MODERATE ACTIVITIES (such as walking, housework, gardening, shopping, climbing stairs)	Categorical	Totally Limited; Extremely Limited; Very Limited; Moderate Limitation; Some Limitation; A Little Limitation; Not at all Limited
SOCIAL ACTIVITIES (such as talking, playing with pets/children, visiting friends/relatives)	Categorical	Totally Limited; Extremely Limited; Very Limited; Moderate Limitation; Some Limitation; A Little Limitation; Not at all Limited
WORK-RELATED ACTIVITIES (tasks you have do to at work)	Categorical	Totally Limited; Extremely Limited; Very Limited; Moderate Limitation; Some Limitation; A Little Limitation; Not at all Limited
Asthma Medication		
Maintenance OCS	Categorical	No; Yes
Maintenance OCS (mg)	Numerical	
ICS	Categorical	No; Yes
ICS Dose (BDP equivalent/ug)	Numerical	

Steroid Sparing Medication	Categorical	No; Yes
Steroid Sparing Medication Name	Free-text	
Prior Biologic Therapy	Categorical	No; Yes
Theophylline	Categorical	No; Yes
Theophylline Level (mg/L)	Numerical	
SABA	Categorical	No; Yes
LABA	Categorical	No; Yes
LABA Name	Free-text	
LAMA	Categorical	No; Yes
LAMA Name	Free-text	
Leukotriene Receptor Antagonists	Categorical	No; Yes
Maintenance Macrolides	Categorical	No; Yes
Nebuliser	Categorical	No; Yes
Evidence Of Poor Adherence	Categorical	No; Prescription records; Objective measures; Clinical impression
% Expected ICS Prescriptions Filled	Numerical	
Prednisolone Level Measured	Categorical	No; Yes (2-4 hours); Yes (>4 hours)
Prednisolone Level (ng/L)	Numerical	
FeNO Suppression	Categorical	Not done; Negative; Positive
Systematic Assessment and Management Plan		
ERS/ATS Severe Asthma	Categorical	No; Yes; Currently being assessed
Other Factors Contributing To Symptoms	Free-text	
Patient Is Adherent With Treatment	Categorical	No; Yes
Management Plan	Categorical	Discharge to local service; Optimisation of current treatment; Biologic therapy; Bronchial thermoplasty; Maintenance oral corticosteroids; Steroid sparing agent; Enter into clinical trial; Adherence intervention ; Other
Biologic Therapy Details	Free-text	
Steroid Sparing Details	Free-text	
Trial Details	Free-text	
Other Management Plan	Free-text	

Appendix 3: List of Fields collected at follow-up (fields in red have been added from June 2020)

Variable	Type	Responses
Identifiers		
Date Seen	Date	
Patient ID	Numerical	<i>Automatically generated unique UKSAR registration number</i>
Summary		
Clinical Summary	Free-text	
Maintenance OCS	Categorical	No; Yes
Maintenance OCS (mg)	Numerical	
Biologic / SS ^a	Categorical	No; Biologic; Steroid sparing agent
Biologic Therapy Details	Free-text	
Biologic / SS ^a Stopped or Changed	Categorical	No; Yes
Reason Biologic / SS ^a Stopped or Changed	Categorical	Failed clinical response; Other reason
Other Reason Biologic / SS ^a Stopped or Changed	Free-text	
Maintenance Macrolides	Categorical	No; Yes
Rescue Steroids In Last Year	Numerical	
A&E Attendances For Asthma In Last Year	Numerical	
Hospital Admissions For Asthma In Last Year	Numerical	
Height (m)	Numerical	
Weight (kg)	Numerical	
Fat Free Mass (%)	Numerical	
BMI (kg/m ²)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
BSA (m ²)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
ERS/ATS Severe Asthma	Categorical	No; Yes
Serious Medical Event Since Last Entry	Categorical	None; Anaphylaxis; Severe infection; Malignancy; MI / CVA; Pregnancy ; Other

Severe Infection Details	Free-text	
Malignancy Details	Free-text	
Pregnancy Details	Categorical	Not on Biologic; On Biologic with-held during Pregnancy; On Biologic continued during Pregnancy
Other Serious Medical Event Details	Free-text	
Further Details	Free-text	
Patient Status	Categorical	Actively managed; Discharged; Lost to follow up; Died; Transferred to other centre
Spirometry		
Predicted FEV1 (L)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
Pre-BD FEV1 (L)	Numerical	
Pre-BD FEV1 (% Predicted)	Numerical	
Post-BD FEV1 (L)	Numerical	
Post -BD FEV1 (% Predicted)	Numerical	
Predicted FVC (L)	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
Pre-BD FVC (L)	Numerical	
Pre-BD FVC (% Predicted)	Numerical	
Post-BD FVC (L)	Numerical	
Post-BD FVC (% Predicted)	Numerical	
Pre-BD FEV1/FVC	Numerical	
Post-BD FEV1/FVC	Numerical	
FeNO (ppb)	Numerical	
Blood Eosinophil Count (N/109L)	Numerical	
Bone Density		
Date Of Bone Densitometry	Date	
Spinal Bone Density (T-Score)	Numerical	
Femoral Neck Bone Density (T-Score)	Numerical	

Asthma Control Questionnaire		
ACQ1 Woken at Night	Categorical	Never; Hardly ever; A few times; Several times; Many times; A great many times; Unable to sleep because of asthma
ACQ2 Symptoms in Morning	Categorical	No symptoms; Very mild symptoms; Mild symptoms; Moderate symptoms; Quite severe symptoms; Severe symptoms; Very severe symptoms
ACQ3 Limited in Activities	Categorical	Not limited at all; Very slightly limited; Slightly limited; Moderately limited; Very limited; Extremely limited; Totally limited
ACQ4 Shortness of Breath	Categorical	None; A very little; A little; A moderate amount; Quite a lot; A great deal; A very great deal
ACQ5 Wheezing	Categorical	Never; Hardly any of the time; A little of the time; A moderate amount of the time; A lot of the time; Most of the time; All of the time
ACQ6 Puffs of SABA	Categorical	None; 1 - 2 puffs/inhalations most days; 3 - 4 puffs/inhalations most days; 5 - 8 puffs/inhalations most days; 9 - 12 puffs/inhalations most days; 13 - 16 puffs/inhalations most days; More than 16 puffs/inhalations most days
ACQ7 FEV1	Categorical	> 95% predicted; 95 - 90%; 89 - 80%; 79 - 70%; 69 - 60%; 59 - 50%; < 50%
ACQ6 Score	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
ACQ7 Score	Numerical	<i>Calculated dependent field</i>
EuroQoL Questionnaire		
EuroQoL Mobility	Categorical	I have no problems in walking about; I have slight problems in walking about; I have moderate problems in walking about; I have severe problems in walking about; I am unable to walk about
EuroQoL Self-care	Categorical	I have no problems washing or dressing myself; I have slight problems washing or dressing myself; I have moderate problems washing or dressing myself; I have severe problems washing or dressing myself; I am unable to wash or dress myself
EuroQoL Usual Activities	Categorical	I have no problems doing my usual activities; I have slight problems doing my usual activities; I have moderate problems doing my usual activities; I

		have severe problems doing my usual activities; I am unable to do my usual activities
EuroQoL Pain / Discomfort	Categorical	I have no pain or discomfort; I have slight pain or discomfort; I have moderate pain or discomfort; I have severe pain or discomfort; I have extreme pain or discomfort
EuroQoL Anxiety / Depression	Categorical	I am not anxious or depressed; I am slightly anxious or depressed; I am moderately anxious or depressed; I am severely anxious or depressed; I am extremely anxious or depressed
EuroQoL Health Scale	Numerical	
Mini-AQLQ Questionnaire		
Feel SHORT OF BREATH as a result of your asthma	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel bothered by or have to AVOID DUST in the environment	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel FRUSTRATED as a result of your asthma	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel bothered by COUGHING	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel AFRAID OF NOT HAVING YOUR ASTHMA MEDICATION AVAILABLE	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Experience a feeling of CHEST TIGHTNESS or CHEST HEAVINESS	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel bothered by or have to AVOID CIGARETTE SMOKE in the environment	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Have DIFFICULTY GETTING A GOOD NIGHT'S SLEEP as a result of your asthma	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Feel CONCERNED ABOUT HAVING ASTHMA	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
Experience a WHEEZE in your chest	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time

Feel bothered by or have to AVOID going outside because of WEATHER OR AIR	Categorical	All of the Time; Most of the Time; A Good Bit of the Time; Some of the Time; A Little of the Time; Hardly Any of the Time; None of the Time
STRENUOUS ACTIVITIES (such as hurrying, exercising, running up stairs, sports)	Categorical	Totally Limited; Extremely Limited; Very Limited; Moderate Limitation; Some Limitation; A Little Limitation; Not at all Limited
MODERATE ACTIVITIES (such as walking, housework, gardening, shopping, climbing stairs)	Categorical	Totally Limited; Extremely Limited; Very Limited; Moderate Limitation; Some Limitation; A Little Limitation; Not at all Limited
SOCIAL ACTIVITIES (such as talking, playing with pets/children, visiting friends/relatives)	Categorical	Totally Limited; Extremely Limited; Very Limited; Moderate Limitation; Some Limitation; A Little Limitation; Not at all Limited
WORK-RELATED ACTIVITIES (tasks you have do to at work)	Categorical	Totally Limited; Extremely Limited; Very Limited; Moderate Limitation; Some Limitation; A Little Limitation; Not at all Limited

^a Steroid sparing medication