

# Can we safely continue to offer surgical treatments during the COVID-19 pandemic?

## Editorial

Alexander J Fowler, Tom EF Abbott, Rupert M Pearse.

Critical Care and Perioperative Medicine Research Group, William Harvey Research Institute,  
Queen Mary University of London, UK EC1M 6BQ

Correspondence to:

Rupert Pearse

Research Office

Adult Critical Care Unit

Royal London Hospital

London

E1 1FR

United Kingdom

e-mail: [r.pearse@qmul.ac.uk](mailto:r.pearse@qmul.ac.uk)

Tel: +44 20 3594 0342

**Word count:** 1289

The coronavirus pandemic has caused enormous disruption to routine healthcare in many countries, with large numbers of patients requiring hospital treatment for COVID-19.[1] In the United Kingdom (UK) National Health Service (NHS) many routine care pathways were rapidly suspended to re-deploy staff, hospital beds and equipment to handle the massive influx of patients. Surgical procedures were cancelled for all but the most urgent cases.[2] In this issue of *BMJ Quality and Safety*, the Corona Hand Collaborative group report the results of a cohort study conducted over a two-week period in April 2020, during the peak of the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in the UK.[3] Data were collected for 1093 patients undergoing upper-limb surgery in 74 NHS hospitals. A clinician survey captured data describing surgical cancellations, operating room capacity and infection control procedures at each hospital. The principal finding was that, in this patient population, the risk of death within 30 days after surgery was very low (<1%). While nineteen patients were diagnosed with COVID-19, only one patient died, and the reported postoperative complications match the expected pattern for upper limb surgery. The authors conclude that low-risk surgery can be safely delivered in the context of the pandemic.

In any observational study, an important source of bias is misclassification of the exposure or patient outcome. In this case, the identification of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection and diagnosis of COVID-19 warrants discussion. SARS-CoV-2 is a virus, which requires a test (usually viral polymerase chain reaction (PCR)) to identify it. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 can be symptomatic or asymptomatic. COVID-19 is the clinical disease caused by infection with SARS-CoV-2 and, in some situations, can be diagnosed without a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result.[4] Due to the limited availability of SARS-CoV-2 testing early in the pandemic, the authors' definition of SARS-CoV-2 included patients with a high probability of COVID-19, in addition to patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by viral PCR testing. Here, 15 out of 19 patients reported to have SARS-CoV-2 infection were classified based on clinical suspicion and/or chest radiography, in the absence of a positive viral PCR test. While this may raise a concern of misclassification of exposure, in the context of a widespread pandemic, clinically detectable disease is highly likely to be COVID-19 caused by SARS-CoV-2. In future studies, it may be important to differentiate between patients with clinically diagnosed COVID-19 compared to asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection.

The primary outcome was mortality with 30 days after surgery. In this sample, the authors reported a very low rate of death among patients with COVID-19. This contrasts with the findings of the COVIDSurg study, a large international observational study of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection or COVID-19 disease, in which half the cohort suffered a postoperative pulmonary complication.[5] The

risk of mortality among patients with a pulmonary complication was as high as one in three, which contrasts with the low rate of mortality reported by the Corona Hand Collaborative. This likely relates to the broad range of procedures included in the COVIDSurg cohort, with higher baseline risk of morbidity compared to low-risk surgical procedures such as upper limb surgery. Three quarters of patients in COVIDSurg received general anaesthesia, compared to one third in the Corona Hands study. Some commentators have raised concern about delivering general anaesthesia to patients with COVID-19, given the theoretically harmful impact of ventilating diseased lungs.[6] This aligns with the outputs of the logistic regression model reported in the Corona Hands study, where general anaesthesia was associated with increased odds of suffering a complication. However, this should be cautiously interpreted given the low incidence of complications and potential confounding effect of patient or disease factors putting those needing general anaesthesia at greater risk of complications.

To facilitate the COVID-19 response during the first wave, the majority of elective care was suspended. Consistent with guidance from NHS England, almost all of the 400,000 elective NHS surgical procedures that occur every month were cancelled.[2,7] The Corona Hands Collaborative investigated the scale of cancellations of upper limb surgery using hospital-level survey and found that 99.7% of elective procedures were cancelled. This is consistent with our own research findings that estimate as many as 1 million NHS procedures were delayed or cancelled during the initial peak of COVID-19, with a further 1 million cancellations expected due to difficulties in delivering surgical services in the context of the pandemic.[8] However, there is still considerable uncertainty about the impact of subsequent waves of COVID-19 on elective care, not limited to surgical services. A large proportion of delayed procedures are typically performed amongst patients who, by virtue of age or comorbidity, are at high risk of complications should they contract COVID-19.[9]

Many preventative measures implemented in wider society, such as social distancing and use of face-coverings, are focussed on reducing virus prevalence to protect susceptible patient groups.[10] In hospitals we now have enhanced infection control procedures, including routine preoperative SARS-CoV-2 testing and stringent isolation precautions in hospitals. These measures have reduced surgical throughput substantially, even before we consider the additional impact from re-deployment of surgical staff to care for COVID-19 patients. However, delays in care caused by infection control procedures must be balanced against the risk of bringing infected patients or staff into contact with vulnerable patients in low-risk 'green zones' deemed to be free of COVID-19. The balance of risk and benefit for these patients is challenging and the provision of surgical care cannot continue like it did before the pandemic started. It is inevitable that surgical waiting lists will get

longer with associated impact on outcomes for patients requiring time-critical treatments. There has also been a substantial reduction in secondary care referrals, including referrals into cancer pathways. [11,12] This suggests that the burden of untreated surgical disease in the community is even greater than current waiting lists would indicate. While there have been many direct deaths due to COVID-19, we expect a substantial number of additional deaths due to delays in treatment amongst patients with other life-threatening diseases.[13] At least in the UK, there is now a clear national policy intent to continue routine healthcare alongside treatment for patients with COVID-19. The prevalence of COVID-19 dipped during summer months, but the recent resurgence will inevitably result in a higher in-hospital prevalence. With regional fluctuations in community prevalence, decisions about screening, isolation and cancellation of surgery must be made dynamically. From the point of view of patients and their surgeons, this will mean regular changes in infection control policies which we know will lead to frustration. We will never completely escape the tension between the need to resume surgical treatment of diseases, and the risk to individual patients who may experience worse outcomes if they contract COVID-19.

The Corona Hands Collaborative are to be congratulated for completing this large study under exceptionally difficult circumstances. They provide reassuring data for young, fit patients who require low-risk ambulatory surgery. However, the skewed risk of severe complications associated with COVID-19 as age increases means that these findings may not be so easily applied to older patients undergoing major surgery, especially abdominal cancer surgery.[9] The risks of admitting patients to hospital without rigorous infection control measures have not been explored in this study. Whatever decisions are made, the balance between harm from the disease COVID-19 and the harm caused by disruption of usual healthcare will have consequences for millions of people. Inevitably this means the decision will be made by politicians elected to represent the views of society, with advice rather than instruction from healthcare experts. Finally, one lingering question remains – what will the outcome be for the millions of patients who may never get their surgical treatment? Given the inexplicable rise in provision of surgical treatments, will we find that some surgeries are not as necessary as we previously thought?[7,14]

## **Competing interests**

RP has received honoraria and/or research grants from the National Institute for Health Research, Edwards Lifesciences, Intersurgical and GlaxoSmithkline. AF holds a National Institute for Health Research Doctoral Research Fellowship.

## References

- 1 COVIDSurgCollaborative. Elective surgery cancellations due to the COVID-19 pandemic: global predictive modelling to inform surgical recovery plans. *Br J Surg* Published Online First: May 2020. doi:10.1002/bjs.11746
- 2 NHS England. Clinical guide to surgical prioritisation during the coronavirus pandemic. 2020.
- 3 Collaborative TCH. Mortality and pulmonary complications in patients undergoing upper extremity surgery at the peak of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in the UK: a national cohort study. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2020;**Forthcoming**:Forthcoming.
- 4 Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, *et al*. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet (London, England)* 2020;**395**:497–506. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5
- 5 COVIDSurgCollaborative. Mortality and pulmonary complications in patients undergoing surgery with perioperative SARS-CoV-2 infection: an international cohort study. *Lancet (London, England)* 2020;**396**:27–38. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31182-X
- 6 Canet J, Gallart L, Gomar C, *et al*. Prediction of postoperative pulmonary complications in a population-based surgical cohort. *Anesthesiology* 2010;**113**:1338–50. doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181fc6e0a
- 7 Abbott TEF, Fowler AJ, Dobbs TD, *et al*. Frequency of surgical treatment and related hospital procedures in the UK: a national ecological study using hospital episode statistics. *Br J Anaesth* 2017;**119**:249–57. doi:10.1093/bja/aex137
- 8 Fowler AJ, Dobbs TD, Wan Yi, *et al*. Resource requirements for reintroducing elective surgery in England during the COVID-19 pandemic: a modelling study. *Br J Surg* 2020;**Forthcoming**:Forthcoming.
- 9 Fowler AJ, Abbott TEF, Prowle J, *et al*. Age of patients undergoing surgery. *Br J Surg* 2019;**106**:1012–8. doi:10.1002/bjs.11148
- 10 Greenhalgh T, Schmid MB, Cypionka T, *et al*. Face masks for the public during the covid-19 crisis. *BMJ* 2020;**369**:m1435. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1435
- 11 Sud A, Jones ME, Broggio J, *et al*. Collateral damage: the impact on outcomes from cancer surgery of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Ann Oncol Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol* 2020;**31**:1065–74.

doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.05.009

- 12 Sud A, Torr B, Jones ME, *et al.* Effect of delays in the 2-week-wait cancer referral pathway during the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer survival in the UK: a modelling study. *Lancet Oncol* 2020;**21**:1035–44. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30392-2
- 13 Maringe C, Spicer J, Morris M, *et al.* The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer deaths due to delays in diagnosis in England, UK: a national, population-based, modelling study. *Lancet Oncol* 2020;**21**:1023–34. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30388-0
- 14 Weiser TG, Haynes AB, Molina G, *et al.* Estimate of the global volume of surgery in 2012: an assessment supporting improved health outcomes. *Lancet (London, England)* 2015;**385** Suppl:S11. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60806-6