# 1 Dogs accompanied humans during the Neolithic expansion into Europe

2

- 3 Morgane Ollivier<sup>1\*</sup>, Anne Tresset<sup>2\*</sup>, Laurent A. F. Frantz<sup>3,4</sup>, Stéphanie Bréhard<sup>2</sup>, Adrian
- 4 Bălășescu<sup>5</sup>, Marjan Mashkour<sup>2</sup>, Adina Boroneanț<sup>5</sup>, Maud Pionnier-Capitan<sup>2</sup>, Ophélie
- 5 Lebrasseur<sup>3</sup>, Rose-Marie Arbogast<sup>6</sup>, László Bartosiewicz<sup>7</sup>, Karyne Debue<sup>2</sup>, Rivka
- 6 Rabinovich<sup>8</sup>, Mikhail V. Sablin<sup>9</sup>, Greger Larson<sup>3</sup>, Catherine Hänni<sup>10§</sup>, Christophe
- 7 Hitte<sup>11§</sup>, Jean-Denis Vigne<sup>2§</sup>

8

- 9 Affiliations:
- 10 1: CNRS / ENS de Lyon, PALGENE, ENS de Lyon, 46 allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex
- 11 07, France / Present address: Univ Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO [(Ecosystèmes,
- 12 biodiversité, evolution)] UMR 6553, F-35000 Rennes, France
- 13 <sup>2</sup>: CNRS / MNHN / SUs UMR 7209 AASPE, 55 rue Buffon, F-75005 Paris, France
- <sup>3</sup>: Palaeogenomics & Bio-Archaeology Research Network, School of Archaeology,
- 15 University of Oxford, UK.
- <sup>4</sup>: School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile
- 17 End Road, London E1 4NS, UK
- 18 <sup>5</sup>: Romanian Academy of Sciences, 11 Henri Coandă St., sector 1, 010667, Bucharest,
- 19 Romania
- <sup>6</sup>: CNRS- UMR 7044 MISHA, 5 allée du Général Rouvillois 67083 Strasbourg, France.
- <sup>7</sup>: Osteoarchaeological Research Laboratory, University of Stockholm, Sweden.
- 22 8: Institute of Archaeology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Edmond J. Safra
- 23 Campus, Givat Ram, Jerusalem 91904, Israel.
- <sup>9</sup>: Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Science, Saint-Petersburg, Russia
- 25 <sup>10</sup>: LECA CNRS UMR 5553, F-38000, Grenoble, France
- 26 <sup>11</sup>: Univ Rennes, CNRS, IGDR, UMR 6290, F-35000 Rennes, France.
- \*: These authors jointly led this work
- 28 §: These authors have equally contributed to this work

29

30 Corresponding authors: morgane.ollivier@univ-rennes1.fr, anne.tresset@mnhn.fr

3132

33

34

34

35 Abstract

Near Eastern Neolithic farmers introduced several species of domestic plants and animals as they dispersed into Europe. Dogs were the only domestic species present in both Europe and the Near East prior to the Neolithic. Here, we assessed whether early Near Eastern dogs possessed a unique mitochondrial lineage that differentiated them from Mesolithic European populations. We then analysed mitochondrial DNA sequences from 99 ancient European and Near-Eastern dogs spanning the Upper Palaeolithic to the Bronze Age to assess if incoming farmers brought Near Eastern dogs with them, or instead primarily adopted indigenous European dogs after they arrived. Our results show that European pre-Neolithic dogs all possessed the mitochondrial haplogroup C, and that the Neolithic and Post-Neolithic dogs associated with farmers from Southeastern Europe mainly possessed haplogroup D. Thus, the appearance of haplogroup D most likely resulted from the dissemination of dogs from the Near East into Europe. In Western and Northern Europe, the turnover is incomplete and C haplogroup persists well into the Chalcolithic at least. These results suggest that dogs were an integral component of the Neolithic farming package and a mitochondrial lineage associated with the Near East was introduced into Europe alongside pigs, cows, sheep, and goats. It got diluted into the native dog population when reaching the Western and Northern margins of Europe.

5253

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

**Key words:** dog, ancient DNA, Neolithic, domestication

5455

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

### Main text

In Western Eurasia, settled agriculture and stock keeping first arose in the Fertile Crescent [1, 2]. This Neolithic life way then emerged in Europe between 9,000 and 6,000 BP, triggered by the arrival of immigrant farmers ~9,000 BP who originated in the Near East and substantially replaced the local hunter-gatherer population except on the western and northern margin of the continent, where Mesolithic societies persisted longer [3-5]. These farmers were accompanied by several domesticates including sheep and goats [6], pigs [7], cows [8-9], and cultigens including wheat, barley, peas, broad beans and lentils [10].

6465

66

67

68

Ascertaining the geographic origins of the animals associated with this migration is not always straightforward. While the wild progenitors of neither sheep nor goats were ever present in Europe [6], the progenitors of both pigs and cattle were extant at the time of

the arrival of the Neolithic [11,12] and some studies have claimed that these taxa were locally domesticated [e.g. 13]. Assessing whether the archaeological remains of these latter animals found in Neolithic contexts were derived from Near Eastern or European populations is complicated by the fact that imported domesticates often interbred with indigenous European wild populations [14-16].

Dogs are even more problematic since both wolves and domestic dogs were present in the Near East and Europe prior to, during, and after the arrival of Neolithic farmers into Europe [11,17]. A recent analysis suggested that dogs may have been domesticated independently from geographically and genetically differentiated wolf populations in Western Eurasia and East Asia [18]. This study also demonstrated a turnover in the proportion of mitochondrial haplotypes in Europe, though it lacked the power to establish when the turnover took place. Given the close relationship between dogs and people, as for example demonstrated by the increase in *AM2YB* gene copy number related to an increase in the efficiency of starch digestion and coincidental with the regional advent of agriculture [19, 20], it is possible that dogs associated with Near Eastern farmers were brought into Europe alongside other domestic animals.

To test this hypothesis, we analysed 99 ancient dog published mitochondrial DNA sequences [21] from 37 archaeological sites across Eurasia, from the Upper Palaeolithic to the Bronze Age (Table S1, Figure S1, SI-\\$1-\\$5-\\$6). We first assessed whether a specific mitochondrial dog haplogroup was associated with Neolithic farmers. We then ascertained whether that lineage was introduced to Europe by tracking its spatiotemporal frequency (SI-\\$6).

Each of the 99 sequences was assigned to previously established dog haplogroups (Hg) (SI-§6, Table S2, Figure S2). Individuals were then grouped into seven temporally and geographically defined categories and we tested the existence of a genetic structure congruent with the history of the Neolithization of Europe (SI-§2-§6; Table S3).

Prior to the Neolithic, all European dogs possessed mitochondrial Hg C (Figures 1-S1-S3). The subsequent Neolithic and post-Neolithic European dogs possessed Hg A (6 samples), Hg D (21 samples) and Hg C (38 samples), thus suggesting the introduction of

non-indigenous domestic dogs. An AMOVA analysis (Table S3) showed that interregional differences account for 44.3% of the total genetic variation (Table S4, S5).

Following the dominance of Hg C, the appearance of Hg D during the Neolithic and Post-Neolithic period could have resulted from either an influx of Hg D from separate source population(s), or potentially by drift alone. To evaluate the likelihood of these scenarios, we simulated genealogies under a previously described demographic model for dogs [18] and computed the probability (SI-§6) that Hg D reached the frequencies observed during the Neolithic and Post-Neolithic in both the entirety of Europe and just in South-Eastern Europe through either drift alone, or as a result of an influx of dogs from elsewhere.

When considering all of Europe at once (81 samples), the simulation showed that a starting frequency for Hg D of 21% would have been sufficient to obtain the frequency observed in the Neolithic-Post-Neolithic period (33%) by drift alone in a few hundred dog generations (Figure S4A). All of our pre-Neolithic European samples possessed Hg C, but because our dataset consisted of 15 samples, we cannot reject the null hypothesis of drift alone (SI-\$6, Table S6).

Considering Southeastern Europe on its own, we can reject this null hypothesis (p<0.01). Using a binomial confidence interval, the lowest possible post-Neolithic frequency of Hg D in Southeastern Europe is 69% (Table S6, 95% CI, 69-94%) and it would have taken >700 dog generations (~2,800 years) for drift alone to explain this increase in Hg D after the Neolithic (with p>0.05) (Figure S4B-C, SI-§6). This is much longer than the duration of Neolithization in this region [22]. Moreover, our results show that a starting frequency of >41% of Hg D during the pre-Neolithic period in Southeastern Europe is required for drift alone to explain this transition, over a time period of 0-700 dog generations with probability greater than 5% (Figure S4B-C, SI-§6). Considering that our binomial confidence interval for Hg D frequency in Southeastern Europe prior to the Neolithic is between 0 and 39% (Table S6), it is highly unlikely that observed frequency of Hg D in this region (SI-§6) could result from drift.

Our results indicate that the appearance of dogs possessing Hg D resulted from a human-mediated introduction of dogs to Southeastern Europe. The D haplogroup largely

136	replaced the C haplogroup in this region, though its frequency was far less across the rest		
137	of Europe (20.8% in Central-Western Europe and 3.8% in Northern-Western Europe)		
138	(Figures 1, S1, S3).		
139			
140	Our study did not include wolves from either the Near-East or Europe, which prevented		
141	us from assessing whether admixture with wolves played a role in the pattern described		
142	above. The overall spatiotemporal pattern of haplotype distribution, however, is highly		
143	congruent with early human population dynamics during the Neolithic expansion from		
144	Near-East (SI-§3, [22]). It also reflects the versatile nature of the European Neolithic,		
145	owing to exogenous inputs in the South-East and incorporating more and more		
146	Mesolithic elements toward the North and the West (SI-§2, [5, 22]). In addition, like the		
147	modern global dog population, Neolithic and post-Neolithic European dogs also		
148	possessed Hg A, although in smaller proportions than Hg D. This haplogroup may have		
149	been brought into Europe at a later period than the early Neolithic [18] potentially		
150	during migrations from the Pontic steppe (SI-§4, [3, 23]).		
151			
152	Overall, the evidence presented here suggests that, like domestic ungulates, cereals and		
153	pulses [24-25], mtDNA dog lineages indigenous to Near-East were brought to Europe		
154	during the Neolithic from the beginning of the 9th millennium BP before later spreading		
155	west and north. Ancient nuclear DNA studies will further reveal the spatiotemporal		
156	spread of specific dog populations in Europe and across the globe.		
157			
158			
159	<b>Ethical statement</b>		
160	No ethical approval was required.		
161			
162	Permission to carry out fieldwork		
163	This heading does not apply. All the data have been previously published.		
164			
165	Data accessibility		
166	DNA sequences: doi:10.5061/dryad.h55p1q5		
167			
168	Competing interests		

169

We have no competing interests.

1	7	0
1	7	1

#### **Author's contributions:**

- 172 M.O., A.T., L.F., S.B. analysed the data, participated in the design of the study,
- 173 coordinated the study and drafted the manuscript; G.L., C.H., C.Hi and J.D.V. designed
- the study and helped to draft the manuscript; A.Ba., M.M., A.B., M.P.C., O.L., R.M.A.,
- L.B., K.D., R.R., M.S. collected contextual data and edited the manuscript. All authors
- gave final approval for publication and agree to be held accountable for the work
- performed therein.

178

179

## **Fundings:**

- Nestlé Purina, Egide Econet Project n°12676VE, CNRS, ENS de Lyon, Société Centrale
- 181 Canine and the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS -
- 182 UEFISCDI (n°PN-II-RU-TE-2014-4-0519) funded the project. M.P.C. was supported by
- a CNRS-BDI grant. M.S. participation involved ZIN RAS funding (N° AAAA-A17-
- 184 117022810195-3). L.A.F.F., O.L. and G.L. were supported by a European Research
- 185 Council grant (ERC-2013-StG-337574-UNDEAD) and Natural Environmental Research
- 186 Council grants (NE/K005243/1 and NE/K003259/1). L.A.F.F. was supported by a Junior
- 187 Research Fellowship (Wolfson College, University of Oxford).

188

189

#### Acknowledgments

- 190 We thank V. Dumitraşcu (Romanian Academy of Sciences), D. Popovici (MNIR,
- 191 Romania), C. Micu (ICEM Tulcea, Romania), H.O. Mollasalahi (Institute of
- 192 Archaeology of University of Tehran) S. Pandrea ("Carol I" Brăila Museum, Romania),
- 193 F. Haack and A. Zeeb (Germany's Directorate General for Cultural Heritage), M. S.
- 194 Salehi (Institute of Archaeology of University of Tehran), Archaeological Museum of
- 195 Lons-le-Saunier (France), J. Schibler (University of Basel), Cornelia Becker (Berlin
- 196 University), A. Beeching (Lyon 2 University), S. Madeleine (MNP), C. & D. Mordant
- 197 (Bourgogne University), A. Varlet, S. Grouard, P. Pétrequin, F. Valla, F. David, P.
- 198 Chambon, O. Lecomte, M. Patou-Mathis, L. Salanova (CNRS), F. Poplin (MNHN), and
- Akira Tsuneki (University of Tsukuba) for their help and access to the material.

200

201

## References

- 202 1. Vigne J-D, Helmer D, Peters J. 2005 in The First Steps of Animal Domestication, eds
- 203 Vigne J-D, Peters J, Helmer D (Oxbow Books, Oxford), pp 1-16.
- 204 2. Simmons AH. 2007 The Neolithic Revolution in the Near East: Transforming the
- Human Landscape. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- 3. Haak W et al. 2015 Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-
- 207 European languages in Europe. Nature 522, 207–211.(doi:10.1038/nature14317)
- 4. Hofmanová Z et al. 2016 Early farmers from across Europe directly descended from
- Neolithic Aegeans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113, 6886–6891.
- 210 (doi:10.1073/pnas.1523951113)
- 5. Marchand G & Tresset A. 2005 Unité et diversité des processus de néolithisation de la
- 212 façade atlantique de l'Europe (7e-4e millénaires avant notre ère). Mémoire de la Société
- 213 Préhistorique Française. 36, 288 p.
- 214 6. Poplin F. 1979 Origines du Mouflon de Corse dans une nouvelle perspective
- 215 paléontologique: par marronnage. Annales de Génétique et Sélection animale. 11, 133-
- 216 143.
- 7. Ottoni C et al. 2013 Pig Domestication and Human-Mediated Dispersal in Western
- 218 Eurasia Revealed through Ancient DNA and Geometric Morphometrics. Molecular
- 219 Biology and Evolution 30, 824–832. (doi:10.1093/molbev/mss261)
- 8. Tresset A, Bollongino R, Edwards CJ, Hughes S, Vigne JD. 2009 Early diffusion of
- domestic bovids in Europe: An indicator for human contact, exchanges and migrations?
- In: Hombert JM, D'Errico F, editors. Becoming eloquent, advances in the emergence of
- language, human cognition, and modern cultures. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publ.
- 224 Comp; pp. 69–90.
- 9. Scheu A, Powell A, Bollongino R, Vigne J-D, Tresset A, Çakırlar C, Benecke N,
- Burger J. 2015 The genetic prehistory of domesticated cattle from their origin to the
- 227 spread across Europe. BMC Genetics 16. (doi:10.1186/s12863-015-0203-2)
- 228 10. Colledge S and Conolly J. 2007 (eds): The origin and spread of Domestic Plants in
- southwest Asia and Europe. Left Coast Press. Walnut Creek. 446 p.
- 230 11. Clutton-Brock J. 1999 A natural history of domestication of domesticated animals.
- 231 Cambridge University Press, 238 p.
- 232 12. van Vuure C. 2005 Retracing the Aurochs: History, Morphology and Ecology of an
- 233 Extinct Wild Ox. Sofia (Bulgaria): Pensoft Publishers
- 234 13. Nobis G. 1975 Zur Fauna des Ellerbekzeitlichen Wohnplatzes Rosenhof in
- Ostholstein I. Schr. Naturwissensch. Vereins. Schleswig- Holstein 45, 5e30.

- 236 14. Park SDE et al. 2015 Genome sequencing of the extinct Eurasian wild aurochs, Bos
- primigenius, illuminates the phylogeography and evolution of cattle. Genome Biology
- 238 16. (doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0790-2)
- 239 15. Evin A et al. 2014 Unravelling the complexity of domestication: a case study using
- 240 morphometrics and ancient DNA analyses of archaeological pigs from Romania.
- 241 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 370, 20130616–
- 242 20130616. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0616)
- 243 16. Frantz LAF et al. 2015 Evidence of long-term gene flow and selection during
- 244 domestication from analyses of Eurasian wild and domestic pig genomes. Nature
- 245 Genetics 47, 1141–1148. (doi:10.1038/ng.3394)
- 246 17. Larson G, Karlsson E, Perri A, Webster MT, Ho SYW, Peters J, Stahl PW, Piper PJ,
- 247 Lingaas F, Fredholm M, et al. 2012 Rethinking dog domestication by integrating
- genetics, archeology and biogeography. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(23), 8878-8883.
- 249 (doi: 10.1073/pnas.1203005109)
- 250 18. Frantz LAF et al. 2016 Genomic and archaeological evidence suggest a dual origin of
- domestic dogs. Science 352, 1228–1231. (doi:10.1126/science.aaf3161)
- 252 19. Ollivier M et al. 2016 Amy2B copy number variation reveals starch diet adaptations
- 253 in ancient European dogs. Royal Society Open Science 3, 160449.
- 254 (doi:10.1098/rsos.160449)
- 255 20. Axelsson E, Ratnakumar A, Arendt ML, Magbool K, Webster MT, Perloski
- 256 M, Liberg O, Arnemo JM, Hedhammar A, Lindblad-Toh K. 2013 The genomic
- signature of dog domestication reveals adaptation to a starch-rich diet. Nature 495, 360–
- 258 4. (doi:10.1038/nature11837)
- 259 21. doi:10.5061/dryad.h55p1q5
- 260 22. Fowler C, Harding J, Hofmann D. 2015 The Oxford Handbook of Neolithic Europe.
- 261 23. Anthony DW. 2007 The Horse, the Wheel, and Language: How Bronze-Age Riders
- 262 from the Eurasian Steppes Shaped the Modern World (Princeton Univ. Press, 2007)
- 24. Tresset A. 2015 Moving Animals and Plants in the Early Neolithic of Western
- Europe. In The Oxford Handbook of Neolithic Europe. First edition. Chris Fowler, Jan
- Harding and Daniela Hofmann, eds. Pp. 121–138. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 266 25. Tresset, A, and Vigne J-D. 2011 Last hunter-gatherers and first farmers of Europe.
- 267 Comptes Rendus Biologies.

Figure legends 269 270 Figure 1: Genetic, Geographic and chronological pattern of ancient dogs in Middle East 271 272 and Europe A1- Pre-Neolithic dogs distribution; A2- distribution during and after the Neolithic 273 274 transition 275 **B-** chronological distribution of dog haplogroup frequencies among 4 geographic regions 276 (according to Table S2) Archaeological sites are numbered according to Table S1. 277 278 Red: Haplogroup A, Blue: Haplogroup B, Yellow: Haplogroup C, Green: Haplogroup D. 279 Dashed line: Neolithic transition 280 281