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#### Abstract

Using a metric conformal formulation of the Einstein equations, we develop a construction of 4-dimensional anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes coupled to tracefree matter models. Our strategy relies on the formulation of an initial-boundary problem for a system of quasilinear wave equations for various conformal fields by exploiting the conformal and coordinate gauges. By analysing the conformal constraints we show a systematic procedure to prescribe initial and boundary data. This analysis is complemented by the propagation of the constraints, showing that a solution to the wave equations implies a solution to the Einstein field equations. In addition, we study three explicit tracefree matter models: the conformally invariant scalar field, the Maxwell field and the Yang-Mills field. For each one of these we identify the basic data required to couple them to the system of wave equations. As our main result, we establish the local existence and uniqueness of solutions for the evolution system in a neighbourhood around the corner, provided compatibility conditions for the initial and boundary data are imposed up to a certain order.


## 1 Introduction

The study of solutions to the Einstein equations with negative Cosmological constant, the socalled anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes, results particularly challenging due to the presence of a timelike conformal boundary located at spatial infinity. This boundary makes the spacetime nonglobally hyperbolic and, thus, hinders its construction from an initial-value problem. Accordingly, information on the conformal boundary must also be provided. In this scenario, the methods of conformal geometry offer a natural approach to face this challenge by providing an (unphysical) auxiliary spacetime where the boundary is located at a finite distance.

Although the use of conformal methods into General Relativity can be traced back to Penrose's seminal work [27], a satisfactory conformal formulation of the Einstein field equations from the point of view of the theory of partial differential equations was first obtained by Friedrich [15]. The latter enabled a systematic study of asymptotically simple solutions to Einstein equations. In particular, it made possible a construction of vacuum anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes where a non-metric formulation of conformal Einstein field equations is employed [18, 19]. At the heart of this approach lies a first order symmetric hyperbolic system for a set of conformal fields obtained by exploiting the properties of certain conformal invariants - the so-called conformal geodesics. For this evolution system, maximally dissipative boundary conditions are considered in order to establish a result of local existence of solutions. Despite identifying some boundary data in a

[^0]covariant manner, the type of equations used in this construction, in conjunction with the gauge choice, makes difficult to implement this scheme in numerical codes.

The latter issue becomes particularly relevant in view of the growing interest in the conjectured instability of the anti-de Sitter spacetime - see [5] for an entry point into the subject. A considerable amount of effort has been directed to understand the dynamics of this class of solutions via numerical methods - see, for example, $[4,6,14,13,1,11]$. Also, alternative hyperbolic formulations coupling the scalar field have been discussed in $[28,29]$ and a proof of this conjecture has been obtained for the Einstein-Vlasov system with spherical symmetry [25].

As an alternative to solving first order systems, Paetz [26] showed that, in the vacuum case, it is possible to construct a second order evolution system from the conformal Einstein equations. Based on this result, an alternative construction for vacuum anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes has recently been presented in [7]. Using a metric version of the conformal Einstein fields equations, a suitable choice of coordinates makes possible to obtain a quasilinear system of wave equations for a set of conformal fields. Moreover, the identified free boundary data consist of a Lorentzian 3 -metric on this hypersurface together with a linear combination of the incoming and outgoing gravitational radiation. Due to the manifestly hyperbolic nature of the system under consideration, it is expected that this scheme can be more easily adapted to current numerical codes.

The study of the Einstein field equations coupled to some suitable matter model is generally carried out on a case-by-case manner. Remarkably, in the context of conformal methods, tracefree matter models are amenable to a more systematic study. This class of matter models contains several cases of interest such as the electromagnetic and Yang-Mills fields. In [17], Friedrich has provided a suitable conformal formulation of the Einstein field equations coupled to a tracefree energy-momentum tensor, which, in turn, has been exploited to establish stability results for the Einstein-Yang-Mills system with positive and zero Cosmological constant. Motivated by this investigation, a local existence and uniqueness result for the same system has been presented in [24] under the assumption of spherical symmetry. Despite the complications from considering non-trivial matter fields, advances have been made in a variety of scenarios using different approaches - see, for example, $[22,20,21]$. Nevertheless, a satisfactory conformal formulation allowing a methodical study of general matter models remains elusive.

In the present article we generalise the analysis in [7] and consider the construction of anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes coupled to tracefree matter models. Exploiting the conformal freedom we set an appropriate gauge yielding a system of geometric wave equations for the relevant conformal fields [8]. A suitable coordinate choice allows us to cast it as a system of quasilinear wave equations, provided the matter field has good properties. For this type of hyperbolic evolution equations there are available results concerning the local existence and uniqueness of solutionssee e.g. [9, 12]. The conformal constraints supply the system with adequate initial and boundary data. In this work three models of tracefree matter are considered: the conformally invariant scalar field, the Maxwell field and the Yang-Mills field. Initial and boundary data have been identified for each one of them, as well as an analysis of the corresponding propagation of the constraints. Given the amount of heavy calculations some parts of this work require, the suite xAct of Mathematica for tensorial computations has been employed - see [31].

The main result of the article can be stated as follows:
Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ be a 3-dimensional spacelike hypersurface with boundary $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$ and on it smooth tracefree anti-de Sitter-like initial data for the Einstein field equations coupled to either: (i) the conformally invariant scalar field, (ii) the Maxwell field or (iii) the Yang-Mills field. Consider the cylinder $\mathscr{I}_{\tau_{\bullet}} \equiv\left[0, \tau_{\bullet}\right) \times \partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$ for some $\tau_{\bullet}>0$ endowed with a set of smooth fields satisfying the conformal Einstein constraints on $\mathscr{I}_{\tau_{\bullet}}$. Assume suitable basic boundary data for the tracefree matter fields. If, in addition, the data on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ and on $\mathscr{I}_{\tau_{\bullet}}$ satisfy, up to some order, compatibility (i.e. corner) conditions at $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$, then there exists a smooth solution to the Einstein field equations with $\lambda<0$ coupled to any of the aforementioned tracefree matter fields in a neighbourhood of $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$.

The proof of this theorem follows from the analysis of the various sections of the article. The detailed boundary conditions for the geometric fields are shown in Proposition 3 while those for the matter fields can be found in Lemmas 4,5 and 6 . To the best of our knowledge, the results
in Theorem 1 are the first results regarding the local existence of non-vacuum anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes in the absence of symmetries available in the literature.

### 1.1 Outline of the article

This article builds on the theory developed in [7] and [8]. In order to ease the presentation we make direct use of the relevant results of these references and refer the reader to them for full details. Accordingly, in this article we emphasise the novel aspects of the analysis and how the ideas of the above references fit together.

Sections 2 and 3 introduce the basic definitions related to the conformal formulation of the Einstein equations, as well as sum up and discuss some of the main results in [8], namely, the evolution system for the conformal fields and the role the gauge choice plays in its successful recasting as a hyperbolic system. Section 4 presents some results about the conformal constraint equations on spacelike and timelike hypersurfaces. In Section 5 we focus on analysing the boundary data required to establish a well-posed problem for the system of wave equations and their relation to the zero-quantities. In Section 6 we provide a brief discussion of how the boundary data enables us to propagate the constraints on the conformal boundary. In Section 7 several explicit matter models are studied in detail, focusing on the basic data necessary to couple them to the evolution system. Finally, Section 8 provides some final remarks.

## Conventions

Throughout this work, $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}})$ will denote a 4-dimensional Lorentzian spacetime satisfying the Einstein equations with Cosmological constant $\lambda$. The signature of the metric in this article will be $(-,+,+,+)$. Lowercase Latin letters $a, b, c, \ldots$ are used as abstract spacetime tensor indices while the indices $i, j, k, \ldots$ are abstract indices on the tensor bundle of hypersurfaces of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$ will be used as coordinate indices on either spacelike or timelike hypersurfaces. Our conventions for the curvature are

$$
\nabla_{c} \nabla_{d} u^{a}-\nabla_{d} \nabla_{c} u^{a}=R_{b c d}^{a} u^{b} .
$$

## 2 The tracefree metric conformal Einstein field equations

This section introduces some properties of the tracefree conformal Einstein field equations, the basic tool to be used in the remainder of this article, along with their relation to the Einstein field equations.

### 2.1 Basic definitions

Let $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}})$ denote a physical spacetime satisfying the Einstein field equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{R}_{a b}=\lambda \tilde{g}_{a b}+\left(\tilde{T}_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{a b} \tilde{T}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{R}_{a b}$ is the Ricci tensor of the metric $\tilde{g}_{a b}, \tilde{T}_{a b}$ the energy-momentum tensor and $\tilde{T} \equiv \tilde{g}_{a b} \tilde{T}^{a b}$ its trace. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \boldsymbol{g})$ be a spacetime conformally related to $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}})$ via a conformal embedding

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \stackrel{\varphi}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{M}, \quad \tilde{g}_{a b} \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} g_{a b} \equiv \Xi^{2}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{*} \tilde{g}_{a b},\left.\quad \Xi\right|_{\varphi(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})}>0 .
$$

with $\Xi$ the so-called conformal factor. Abusing of the notation we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b}=\Xi^{2} \tilde{g}_{a b} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will refer to $(\mathcal{M}, \boldsymbol{g})$ as the unphysical spacetime. The set of points of $\mathcal{M}$ for which $\Xi$ vanishes define the conformal boundary. We use the notation $\mathscr{I}$ to denote the parts of the conformal boundary which are a hypersurface of $\mathcal{M}$.

In what follows let $R_{b c d}^{a}, R_{a b}, R$ and $C^{a}{ }_{b c d}$ denote, respectively, the Riemann tensor, the Ricci tensor, the Ricci scalar and the (conformally invariant) Weyl tensor of the metric $g_{a b}$. For the discussion of the metric conformal Einstein field equations we conveniently introduce the fields

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{a b} \equiv \frac{1}{2} R_{a b}-\frac{1}{12} g_{a b} R,  \tag{3a}\\
& s \equiv \frac{1}{4} \nabla^{c} \nabla_{c} \Xi+\frac{1}{24} R \Xi,  \tag{3b}\\
& d^{a}{ }_{b c d} \equiv \Xi^{-1} C^{a}{ }_{b c d}, \tag{3c}
\end{align*}
$$

known, respectively, as the Schouten tensor, the Friedrich scalar and the rescaled Weyl tensor.

### 2.2 The energy-momentum tensor

Unlike the various geometrical objects associated to the metric $\tilde{g}_{a b}$, the rescaling (2) does not determine the transformation rule for the energy-momentum tensor. Then, for simplicity, let define its unphysical counterpart $T_{a b}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a b} \equiv \Xi^{-2} \tilde{T}_{a b} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here we have that

$$
\nabla^{a} T_{a b}=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad T=0 .
$$

Equation (4) also implies that $T=0$ if $\tilde{T}=0$. In view of this it will be assumed hereafter that the physical matter model is tracefree. In this context it results convenient to introduce the rescaled Cotton tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a b c} \equiv \Xi \nabla_{[a} T_{b] c}-g_{c[a} T_{b] d} \nabla^{d} \Xi-3 T_{c[a} \nabla_{b]} \Xi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which possesses the symmetries $T_{a b c}=T_{[a b] c}$ and $T_{[a b c]}=0$.
Remark 1. The physical counterpart of the rescaled Cotton tensor is defined as

$$
\tilde{Y}_{a b c} \equiv \tilde{\nabla}_{a} \tilde{L}_{b c}-\tilde{\nabla}_{b} \tilde{L}_{a c}
$$

From this, and assuming the Einstein field equations, expression (5) follows. Moreover, the relation between these two objects is $T_{a b c}=\Xi^{-1} \tilde{Y}_{a b c}$.

### 2.3 Basic properties

Let introduce the following set of zero-quantities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Upsilon_{a b} \equiv \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} \Xi+\Xi L_{a b}-s g_{a b}-\frac{1}{3} \Xi^{3} T_{a b},  \tag{6a}\\
& \Theta_{a} \equiv \nabla_{a} s+L_{a c} \nabla^{c} \Xi-\frac{1}{2} \Xi^{2} T_{a b} \nabla^{b} \Xi,  \tag{6~b}\\
& \Delta_{a b c} \equiv \nabla_{a} L_{b c}-\nabla_{b} L_{a c}-\nabla_{e} \Xi d^{e}{ }_{c a b}-\Xi T_{a b c},  \tag{6c}\\
& \Lambda_{a b c} \equiv T_{b c a}-\nabla_{e} d^{e}{ }_{a b c},  \tag{6d}\\
& Z \equiv \lambda-6 \Xi s+3 \nabla^{c} \Xi \nabla_{c} \Xi,  \tag{6e}\\
& P^{a}{ }_{b c d} \equiv R^{a}{ }_{b c d}-\Xi d^{a}{ }_{b c d}-2 \delta_{[c}{ }^{a} L_{d] b}-2 L_{[c}{ }^{a} g_{d] b} . \tag{6f}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the metric tracefree conformal Einstein field equations can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon_{a b}=0, \quad \Theta_{a}=0, \quad \Delta_{a b c}=0, \quad \Lambda_{a b c}=0 \quad Z=0, \quad P_{b c d}^{a}=0, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from where the zero-quantities take their name. A detailed derivation of the system (6a)-(6f), first obtained by Friedrich in [17], can be found in [30]. As a consequence of imposing the tracefree condition on the matter sector, the system is supplemented with the conservation law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{a} T_{a b}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. Assuming the vanishing of the zero-quantities, equations (6a)-(6d) are read as differential conditions for the fields $\Xi, s, L_{a b}$ and $d^{a}{ }_{b c d}$ subject to condition (8). Equation (6e) plays the role of an algebraic constraint which is satisfied if it holds at a single point by virtue of the other equations - see Lemma 8.1 in [30]. Equation (6f), on the other hand, establishes the irreducible decomposition of the Riemann tensor.
Remark 3. Direct evaluation of (6e) with $Z=0$ on the conformal boundary shows that for anti de Sitter-like spacetimes $(\lambda<0) \mathscr{I}$ is timelike-see e.g. Theorem 10.1 in [30].

By a solution to the tracefree metric conformal Einstein field equations it is understood a collection $\left(g_{a b}, \Xi, s, L_{a b}, d^{a}{ }_{b c d}, T_{a b}\right)$ satisfying conditions (7) and (8). The relation between the metric tracefree conformal Einstein field equations and the Einstein field equations (1) is given by the following statement:

Proposition 1. Let $\left(g_{a b}, \Xi, s, L_{a b}, d^{a}{ }_{b c d}, T_{a b}\right)$ denote a solution to the metric tracefree conformal Einstein field equations such that $\Xi \neq 0$ on an open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$. If, in addition, condition $Z=0$ is satisfied at a point $p \in \mathcal{U}$, then the metric

$$
\tilde{g}_{a b}=\Xi^{-2} g_{a b}
$$

is a solution to the Einstein field equations (1) on $\mathcal{U}$.
A proof of this proposition is given in [30]-see Proposition 8.1.

## 3 The evolution system

In [26] Paetz has proved that for $T_{a b}=0$, the vanishing of $\Upsilon_{a b}, \Theta_{a}, \Delta_{a b c}$ and $\Lambda_{a b c}$ implies a system of geometric wave equations for the collection of conformal fields ( $\Xi, s, L_{a b}, d^{a}{ }_{b c d}$ ), where $\square \equiv g^{a b} \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b}$ is the relevant second order operator applied to the various fields. This has been generalised to the tracefree matter case in [8], Lemma 1, under the assumption that conditions (7) and (8) are satisfied. In particular, the condition $P^{c}{ }_{a c b}=0$ renders an equation for the metric, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b}=2 L_{a b}+\frac{1}{6} R g_{a b} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4. Here, $R_{a b}$ encodes the derivatives of $g_{a b}$ once a system of coordinates has been adopted, while $L_{a b}$ is an independent field. The last equation can be viewed as an Einstein field equation for $g_{a b}$ coupled to some unphysical matter fields. In view of this, equation (9) will be known as the unphysical Einstein equation.
Remark 5. The operator $\square$ does not provide satisfactory quasilinear wave equations however, as undesired second order derivatives of the components of $g_{a b}$ emerge in the principal part of the system once coordinates are introduced. Furthermore, the system obtained in [8] poses two more challenges: (i) the appearance of second order derivatives of $R$ and (ii) the existence of second (and possibly higher) order derivatives of the matter field under consideration. In order to apply results from the theory of partial differential equations these problems must be addressed. The first two issues have been discussed in [7], Section 2.3, and will be briefly presented in the next subsection, while the third one has been analysed in [8] for some particular models.

### 3.1 Gauge considerations

### 3.1.1 Conformal gauge source function

Given two conformally related metrics $g_{a b}$ and $g_{a b}^{\prime}$, the relation between their corresponding Ricci scalars can be read as a differential equation for the corresponding conformal factor. Then, prescribing the Ricci scalar is equivalent to the specification of the representative of the conformal class $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}]$. Accordingly, the Ricci scalar associated to the unphysical metric $g_{a b}$ will be specified by the gauge function $\mathcal{R}(x)$-that is

$$
R=\mathcal{R}(x)
$$

### 3.1.2 Generalised harmonic coordinates and the reduced Ricci operator

The second piece of the gauge freedom is contained in the coordinate choice. Let $x=\left(x^{\mu}\right)$ be coordinates satisfying the generalised wave condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square x^{\mu}=-\mathcal{F}^{\mu}(x), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{\mu}(x)$ are the so-called coordinate gauge source functions. A simple calculation shows that these are related to the contracted Christoffel symbols $\Gamma^{\mu} \equiv g^{\sigma \tau} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\sigma \tau}$ via

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\mu}(x)=\Gamma^{\mu}
$$

In this context, we introduce the reduced Ricci operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{\mu \nu}[\boldsymbol{g}] \equiv R_{\mu \nu}-g_{\sigma(\mu} \nabla_{\nu)} \Gamma^{\sigma}+g_{\sigma(\mu} \nabla_{\nu)} \mathcal{F}^{\sigma}(x) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $R_{\mu \nu}$ is given explicitly in terms of first and second order partial derivatives of $g_{\mu \nu}$. This operator, along with $\mathcal{R}(x)$, produces a hyperbolic equation for $g_{\mu \nu}$ when equation (9) is written in terms of them.

### 3.1.3 The reduced wave operator

Making use of the generalised wave coordinate condition a suitable hyperbolic operator can be defined as follows:
Definition 1. Let $T_{\lambda \ldots \rho}$ be a tensor field. The action of the reduced wave operator, ■, on it is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\square} T_{\lambda \ldots \rho} \equiv \square T_{\lambda \ldots \rho}+ & \left(\left(2 L_{\tau \lambda}+\frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R}(x) g_{\tau \lambda}-R_{\tau \lambda}\right)-g_{\sigma \tau} \nabla_{\lambda}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\sigma}(x)-\Gamma^{\sigma}\right)\right) T^{\tau} \ldots \rho \\
& \cdots \\
& \cdots+\left(\left(2 L \tau \rho+\frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R}(x) g_{\tau \rho}-R_{\tau \rho}\right)-g_{\sigma \tau} \nabla_{\rho}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\sigma}(x)-\Gamma^{\sigma}\right)\right) T_{\lambda \ldots}{ }^{\tau},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\square \equiv g^{\mu \nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu}$. The action of $\square$ on a scalar field $\phi$ is simply given by

$$
\phi \equiv g^{\mu \nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \phi
$$

Further details and motivation behind this definition can be found in [7]. In this sense, we will say that a system of wave equations expressed in terms of is proper.

### 3.1.4 Summary: gauge reduced evolution equations

In view of the previous discussion, and as presented in [8], when generalised wave coordinates are adopted, the system of wave equations for the components of the conformal geometric fields to be considered is the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\Xi} \Xi=4 s-\frac{1}{6} \Xi \mathcal{R}(x), \tag{12a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \square L_{\mu \nu}=-2 \Xi d_{\mu \rho \nu \lambda} L^{\rho \lambda}+4 L_{\mu}{ }^{\lambda} L_{\nu \lambda}-L_{\lambda \rho} L^{\lambda \rho} g_{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{6} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \mathcal{R}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \Xi^{3} d_{\mu \lambda \nu \rho} T^{\lambda \rho}  \tag{12b}\\
& -\Xi \nabla_{\lambda} T_{\mu}{ }^{\lambda}{ }_{\nu}-2 T_{(\mu|\lambda| \nu)} \nabla^{\lambda} \Xi,  \tag{12c}\\
& \square d_{\mu \nu \lambda \rho}=-4 \Xi d_{\mu}{ }^{\tau}{ }^{\nu} \lambda^{\sigma} d_{\rho] \sigma \nu \tau}-2 \Xi d_{\mu}{ }^{\tau}{ }_{\nu}{ }^{\sigma} d_{\lambda \rho \tau \sigma}+\frac{1}{2} d_{\mu \nu \lambda \rho} \mathcal{R}(x)-T_{[\mu}{ }^{\sigma} \Xi^{2} d_{\nu] \sigma \lambda \rho}-\Xi^{2} T_{[\lambda}{ }^{\sigma} d_{\rho] \sigma \mu \nu} \\
& -\Xi^{2} g_{\mu[\lambda} d_{\rho] \sigma \nu \tau} T^{\tau \sigma}+\Xi^{2} g_{\nu[\lambda} d_{\rho] \sigma \mu \tau} T^{\tau \sigma}+2 \nabla_{[\mu} T_{|\lambda \rho| \nu]}+\epsilon_{\mu \nu \sigma \tau} \nabla^{\tau *} T_{\lambda \rho}{ }^{\sigma},  \tag{12~d}\\
& \boldsymbol{\square}_{\mu \nu}=f(\boldsymbol{g}, \partial \boldsymbol{g}) \text {. } \tag{12e}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the last equation corresponds to expression (9) written in terms of the reduced wave operator by means of relation (11).

Remark 6. The reduced system (12a)-(12e) constitutes a system of quasilinear wave equations for the fields $\Xi, s, L_{\mu \nu}, d_{\mu \nu \lambda \rho}$ and $g_{\mu \nu}$. Strictly speaking though, this is a system of wave equations only if $g_{\mu \nu}$ is known to be Lorentzian. Precise statements concerning the local existence and uniqueness results for this type of systems can be found in $[9,12]$.

### 3.2 The subsidiary evolution equations

Once a proper system for the conformal fields has been obtained, it is necessary to prove that any solution to this system corresponds to a solution to the metric tracefree conformal Einstein field equations. In [8], Section 5, it has been shown that the evolution of the zero-quantities can be encoded in a system of homogeneous geometric wave equations. Suitable initial and boundary data for this system will be discussed in Section 6.
Remark 7. A further piece in the analysis is to prove the consistency of the gauge with the field equations. In [8] it has been shown how to construct a system of homogeneous wave equations for an additional set of subsidiary fields under the assumption of a tracefree energy-momentum tensor. The conditions required to establish vanishing initial and boundary data for these fields have been described in [7], from where the propagation of the gauge follows.

## 4 The conformal constraint equations

This section will be devoted to the discussion of the constraint equations implied by the metric tracefree conformal Einstein field equations. This system, first discussed in [16], will serve to construct the initial and boundary data sets required to establish a well-posed initial-boundary problem for the wave equations (12a)-(12e). A detailed discussion of their derivation and properties in the general matter case can be found in [30], Chapter 11.

### 4.1 Basic definitions

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote a (spacelike or timelike) hypersurface of the unphysical spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, \boldsymbol{g})$ with unit normal vector $n_{a}$. Then, we define the norm of $n_{a}$ as:

$$
\epsilon \equiv n_{a} n^{a}
$$

so that $\epsilon$ take the values 1 or -1 for timelike or spacelike hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. The normal vector induces a decomposition via the projector to $\mathcal{H}$

$$
h_{a}{ }^{b} \equiv g_{a}{ }^{b}-\epsilon n_{a} n^{b} .
$$

The intrinsic derivative $D_{a}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is defined in the following way: let $f$ be a scalar function and $A_{a}{ }^{b}$ be a tensor field on $\mathcal{M}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{a} f \equiv h_{a}{ }^{b} \nabla_{b} f, \\
& D_{e} A_{a}{ }^{b} \equiv h_{e}{ }^{f} h_{a}{ }^{c} h_{d}{ }^{b} \nabla_{f} A_{c}{ }^{d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Expressions involving higher rank tensors follow an analogous rule. The derivative in the direction of $n^{a}$ (simply called the normal derivative) is given by

$$
D \equiv n^{a} \nabla_{a}
$$

The extrinsic curvature of $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$
K_{a b} \equiv h_{a}{ }^{c} h_{b}{ }^{d} \nabla_{c} n_{d} .
$$

In the following let

$$
\Sigma, \quad s, \quad h_{i j} \quad L_{i}, \quad L_{i j}, \quad d_{i j}, \quad d_{i j k}, \quad d_{i j k l}
$$

denote, respectively, the pull-backs of the following geometric objects

$$
\begin{gathered}
n^{a} \nabla_{a} \Xi, \quad s, \quad g_{a b}, \quad n^{c} h_{a}^{d} L_{c d}, \\
n^{b} n^{d} h_{e}{ }^{a} h_{b}{ }^{d} h_{f}{ }^{c} d_{a b c d}, \\
n^{b} h_{e}{ }^{a} h_{f}{ }^{c} h_{g}{ }^{d} d_{a b c d}, \\
h_{e}{ }^{a} h_{f}{ }^{b} h_{g}{ }^{c} h_{h}{ }^{d} d_{a b c d}
\end{gathered}
$$

to $\mathcal{H}$. In order to take into account the contributions from the matter fields, let $\rho, j_{i}, T_{i j}, J_{i}, J_{i j}$ and $T_{i j k}$ stand, respectively, for the pull-backs of the projections

$$
n^{a} n^{b} T_{a b}, \quad n^{b} h_{c}{ }^{a} T_{a b}, \quad h_{c}^{a} h_{d}{ }^{b} T_{a b}, \quad n^{b} n^{c} h_{d}{ }^{a} T_{a b c}, \quad n^{c} h_{d}{ }^{a} h_{e}{ }^{b} T_{a b c}, \quad h_{d}{ }^{a} h_{e}{ }^{b} h_{f}{ }^{c} T_{a b c} .
$$

Remark 8. The tensor $h_{i j}$ corresponds to the 3 -metric induced by $g_{a b}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ and it will be either Lorentzian if $\mathcal{H}$ is timelike or Riemannian if it is spacelike. Similarly, we will denote by $K_{i j}$ the pull-back of $K_{a b}$ and by $K=h^{i j} K_{i j}$ its trace.

Remark 9. The fields $d_{i j}$ and $d_{i j k}$ encode, respectively, the electric and magnetic parts of the rescaled Weyl tensor $d_{a b c d}$ with respect to the normal $n_{a}$. It can be verified that

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{i j}=d_{j i}, \quad d_{i}{ }^{i}=0, \quad d_{i j k}=-d_{i k j}, \quad d_{[i j k]}=0, \\
d_{i j k l}=2 \epsilon\left(h_{i[l} d_{k] j}+h_{j[k} d_{l] i}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From this point onwards, the restriction of the conformal factor $\Xi$ to the conformal boundary will be denoted by $\Omega$-that is,

$$
\left.\Omega \equiv \Xi\right|_{\mathscr{I}}
$$

Next, we define the Schouten tensor of the intrinsic 3-metric $h_{i j}$ as

$$
l_{i j} \equiv r_{i j}-\frac{1}{4} r h_{i j}
$$

where $r_{i j}$ and $r$ are the corresponding intrinsic Ricci tensor and scalar. In terms of these fields, a number of constraints are obtained from the different projections of equations (7) to $\mathcal{H}$. This results in the intrinsic equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{i} D_{j} \Omega=-\epsilon \Sigma K_{i j}-\Omega L_{i j}+s h_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{3} T_{i j},  \tag{13a}\\
& D_{i} \Sigma=K_{i}{ }^{k} D_{k} \Omega-\Omega L_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{3} j_{i},  \tag{13b}\\
& D_{i} s=-\epsilon L_{i} \Sigma-L_{i k} D^{k} \Omega+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(\epsilon \Sigma j_{i}+T_{i j} D^{j} \Omega\right),  \tag{13c}\\
& D_{i} L_{j k}-D_{j} L_{i k}=-\epsilon \Sigma d_{k i j}+D^{l} \Omega d_{l k i j}-\epsilon\left(K_{i k} L_{j}-K_{j k} L_{i}\right)+\Omega T_{i j k},  \tag{13d}\\
& D_{i} L_{j}-D_{j} L_{i}=D^{l} \Omega d_{l i j}+K_{i}^{k} L_{j k}-K_{j}{ }^{L} L_{i k}+\Omega J_{i j},  \tag{13e}\\
& D^{k} d_{k i j}=\epsilon\left(K^{k} d_{j} d_{j k}-K^{k}{ }_{j} d_{i k}\right)+J_{i j},  \tag{13f}\\
& D^{i} d_{i j}=K^{i k} d_{i j k}+J_{i},  \tag{13~g}\\
& \lambda=6 \Omega s-3 \epsilon \Sigma^{2}-3 D_{k} \Omega D^{k} \Omega,  \tag{13h}\\
& D_{j} K_{k i}-D_{k} K_{j i}=\Omega d_{i j k}+h_{i j} L_{k}-h_{i k} L_{j},  \tag{13i}\\
& l_{i j}=-\epsilon \Omega d_{i j}+L_{i j}+\epsilon\left(K\left(K_{i j}-\frac{1}{4} K h_{i j}\right)-K_{k i} K_{j}{ }^{k}+\frac{1}{4} K_{k l} K^{k l} h_{i j}\right) . \tag{13j}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the last two relations are purely geometric and correspond to the conformal CodazziMainardi and Gauss-Codazzi equations. Expressions (13a)-(13j) are called the metric tracefree conformal constraint equations. A full derivation of this system can be found in [30] along with an extensive discussion of their properties.

### 4.1.1 The conformal constraints on the conformal boundary

Let $\ell_{a b}$ be the intrinsic Lorentzian 3-metric associated to the conformal boundary with normal vector $\not x^{a}$. In the following, objects and operators crossed by a line / will represent projections obtained via $\ell_{a}{ }^{b}$ and $\not \chi^{a}$. Also, $\simeq$ will denote an equality valid on $\mathscr{I}$. Evaluating the conformal
constraints on the conformal boundary $(\Omega=0, \epsilon=1)$ we obtain the following simplified system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma K_{i j} \simeq s \ell_{i j},  \tag{14a}\\
& D_{i} \mathbb{Z} \simeq 0,  \tag{14b}\\
& D_{i} s \simeq-H_{i} \Sigma,  \tag{14c}\\
& \not D_{i} \Psi_{j k}-\not D_{j} \Psi_{i k} \simeq-\Sigma d_{k i j}-\left(K_{i k} \Psi_{j}-\not K_{j k} \Psi_{i}\right),  \tag{14d}\\
& \not D_{i} \Psi_{j}-\not D_{j} \Psi_{i} \simeq K_{i}{ }^{k} \Psi_{j k}-K_{j}{ }^{k} \Psi_{i k},  \tag{14e}\\
& \not D^{k} \phi_{k i j} \simeq \not K^{k}{ }_{i} \phi_{j k}-\not K^{k}{ }_{j} \phi_{i k}+J_{i j},  \tag{14f}\\
& \not D^{j} d_{i j} \simeq \not K^{j k} d_{j i k}+J_{i},  \tag{14~g}\\
& \lambda \simeq-3 \Sigma^{2},  \tag{14h}\\
& \not D_{j} K_{k i}-\not D_{k} K_{j i} \simeq \ell_{i j} \not \Psi_{k}-\ell_{i k} Z_{j},  \tag{14i}\\
& Y_{i j} \simeq K_{i j}+K K\left(K_{i j}-\frac{1}{4} K K \ell_{i j}\right)-K_{k i} K_{j}{ }^{k}+\frac{1}{4} \not K_{k l} K^{k l} \ell_{i j} . \tag{14j}
\end{align*}
$$

A procedure to solve these equations in the vacuum case has been discussed in [18] where the solution is given in terms of a gauge quantity related to the Friedrich scalar and $\ell_{a b}$. For convenience, we introduce the rescaled Cotton tensor of $\ell_{a b}$ :

$$
y_{i j k} \equiv \not D_{i} V_{j k}-\not D_{j} V_{i k} .
$$

Adopting this approach, the following result can be enunciated:
Proposition 2. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \boldsymbol{g})$ be a 4-dimensional manifold and $\mathscr{I} \subset \mathcal{M}$ a timelike conformal boundary with intrinsic 3-dimensional Lorentzian metric $\ell_{i j}$ and normal $\not \chi^{a}$. Consider a tracefree energy-momentum tensor $T_{a b}$ with $\dot{j}_{i}$ its orthogonal-normal projection with respect to $\not \chi^{a}$. Let $\varkappa(x)$ be a smooth scalar gauge function defined on $\mathscr{I}$. Then a solution to the tracefree conformal constraint equations (14a)-(14j) on $\mathscr{I}$ is given by the fields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma \simeq \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda|}{3}}  \tag{15a}\\
& s \simeq \Sigma \varkappa,  \tag{15b}\\
& K_{i j} \simeq \varkappa \ell_{i j}  \tag{15c}\\
& \Psi_{i} \simeq-\not D_{i} \varkappa  \tag{15d}\\
& \Psi_{i j} \simeq y_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} \varkappa^{2} \ell_{i j},  \tag{15e}\\
& d_{k i j} \simeq-\Sigma^{-1} y_{i j k}, \tag{15f}
\end{align*}
$$

along with a tracefree symmetric tensor field $\phi_{i j}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\not D^{j} d_{i j} \simeq-\Sigma \dot{j}_{i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Firstly, $\mathcal{Z}$ is given by (14h). As mentioned before, $s$ is a gauge quantity on $\mathscr{I}$ and expressed by equation (15b). Direct substitution into constraints (14a), (14c), (14j) and (14d) readily leads to the solutions for $K_{i j}, L_{i}, H_{i j}$ and $\phi_{i j k}$, respectively. Using these, equations (14b), (14e) and (14i) are trivially satisfied. Regarding the equations with matter terms, when the fields $J_{i j}$ and $J_{i}$ are written explicitly in terms of the energy-momentum tensor via equation (5), a straightforward calculation yields

$$
J_{i j} \simeq 0, \quad J_{i} \simeq-\Sigma \not \ddot{y}_{i}
$$

On the other hand, by virtue of the definition of $y_{i j k}$, it follows that that $\not D^{k} y_{i j k} \simeq 0$. Using this and the expressions for $J_{i}$ and $J_{i j}$ stated above, it is found that (14f) is trivially satisfied and $(14 \mathrm{~g})$ corresponds to equation (16).

Having obtained the solutions for the constraint equations on the conformal boundary, a converse-like result can be formulated with the addition of an auxiliary assumption:

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a timelike hypersurface such that conditions (15a)-(15e) hold. If $\Omega=0$ on some fiduciary spacelike hypersurface $\mathcal{C}_{\star}$ of $\mathcal{T}$, then one has that $\Omega=0$ on $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Consider the case when $\varkappa \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\star}$. Using equations (15b), (15c) and (15e), the trace of the conformal constraint (13a) provides the following wave equation for $\Omega$ to be satisfied on $\mathcal{T}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\not D_{i} \not D^{i} \Omega \equiv \square_{\ell} \Omega=-\Omega\left(\frac{r}{4}-\frac{3}{2} \varkappa^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{3} \rho, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it has been used that for a tracefree unphysical energy-momentum tensor $T_{i}{ }^{i}=-\epsilon \rho$. On the other hand, when (15a), (15c) and (15d) are substituted into constraint (13b) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varkappa \not D_{i} \Omega=-\Omega \not D_{i} \varkappa-\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{3} j_{i} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\varkappa \neq 0$ and $\Omega=0$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\star}$, it follows from the last equation that $\not D_{i} \Omega=0$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\star}$, which represents a first order initial condition for $\Omega$. Due to the homogeneity of (17), along with the uniqueness of its solutions, we conclude then that $\Omega=0$ on $\mathcal{T}$, that is to say, it corresponds to the conformal boundary. Regarding the case $\varkappa=0$, it has been showed in [7] how the conformal gauge freedom can be exploited to render this case into the $\varkappa \neq 0$ one.

### 4.1.2 Solutions to the conformal constraints on a spacelike hypersurface

Constraint equations (13a)-(13j) enable us to obtain the conformal version of the so-called Hamiltonian and Momentum constraints on a spacelike hypersurface $(\epsilon=-1)$. A straightforward calculation shows that for a tracefree matter field these take the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\Omega^{2}}{2}\left(r+K^{2}-K_{i j} K^{i j}\right)=2 K \Omega \Sigma-2 \Omega D_{i} D^{i} \Omega-3 \Sigma^{2}+3 D_{i} \Omega D^{i} \Omega+\lambda+\Omega^{4} \rho  \tag{19a}\\
& \Omega\left(D_{j} K_{i}^{j}-D_{i} K\right)=2\left(K_{i j} D^{j} \Omega-D_{i} \Sigma\right)+\Omega^{3} j_{i} \tag{19b}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that under a conformal approach, the collection of fields ( $h_{i j}, K_{i j}, \Omega, \Sigma, \rho, j_{i}$ ) satisfying the previous equations must be prescribed as the basic initial data, i.e. they determine the remaining fields on a spacelike hypersurface. Together with the boundary data, this set will serve to evolve the wave equations for the conformal fields. Directly from the conformal constraints one obtains the following expressions for the initial data:

$$
\begin{align*}
& s=\frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta \Omega+\frac{1}{4} \Omega\left(r+K^{2}-K_{i j} K^{i j}\right)-\Sigma K+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{3} \rho\right)  \tag{20a}\\
& L_{i j}=\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(s h_{i j}+\Sigma K_{i j}-D_{i} D_{j} \Omega\right)+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2} T_{i j},  \tag{20b}\\
& L_{i}=\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(K_{i}^{k} D_{k} \Omega-D_{i} \Sigma\right)+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2} j_{i}  \tag{20c}\\
& d_{i j}=\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(-L_{i j}+l_{i j}+\left(K\left(K_{i j}-\frac{1}{4} K h_{i j}\right)-K_{k i} K_{j}^{k}+\frac{1}{4} K_{k l} K^{k l} h_{i j}\right)\right),  \tag{20d}\\
& d_{i j k}=\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(D_{j} K_{k i}-D_{k} K_{j i}+h_{i k} L_{j}-h_{i j} L_{k}\right) . \tag{20e}
\end{align*}
$$

The fact that these expressions are singular at $\Omega=0$ leads to the following:
Definition 2 (anti-de Sitter-like initial data with tracefree matter). For a tracefree antide Sitter-like initial data set it is understood a 3-manifold $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ with boundary $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star} \approx \mathbb{S}^{2}$ together with a collection of smooth fields $\left(h_{i j}, K_{i j}, \Omega, \Sigma, \rho, j_{i}\right)$ such that:
(i) $\Omega>0$ on $\operatorname{int} \mathcal{S}_{\star}$;
(ii) $\Omega=0$ and $|\mathrm{d} \Omega|^{2}=\Sigma^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \lambda>0$ on $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$;

Figure 1: Penrose diagram of the set-up for the construction of anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes as described in the main text. Initial data prescribed on $\mathcal{S}_{\star} \backslash \partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$ allows to recover the dark shaded region $D^{+}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\star} \backslash \partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}\right)$. In order to recover $D^{+}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\star} \cup \mathscr{I}^{+}\right)$it is necessary to prescribe boundary data on $\mathscr{I}^{+}$.
(iii) the fields $s, L_{i j}, L_{i}, d_{i j}$ and $d_{i j k}$ computed from relations (20a)-(20e) extend smoothly to $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$.

Remark 10. Anti-de Sitter-like initial data sets are closely related to the so-called hyperboloidal data sets for Minkowski-like spacetimes - see [23]. By means of this correspondence it is possible to adapt the existence results for hyperboloidal initial data sets in $[2,3]$ to the anti-de Sitter-like setting. In particular, this shows the existence of a large class of time symmetric data, i.e. data for which $K_{i j}=0$.

## 5 General set-up

In this section we identify the relevant boundary data required for the formulation of the initialboundary problem for anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes with tracefree matter. Except for the data corresponding to the electric part of the Weyl tensor, the rest of the construction is identical to the one for the vacuum case analysed in [7] where a more detailed discussion is presented.

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \boldsymbol{g}, \Xi)$ be a conformal extension of an anti-de Sitter-like spacetime $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}})$ where $g_{a b}$ and $\tilde{g}_{a b}$ are conformally related metrics. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\star} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a smooth, compact and oriented spacelike hypersurface with boundary $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}_{\star} \cap \mathscr{I}=\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$ is the so-called corner. The portion of $\mathscr{I}$ in the future of $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ will be denoted by $\mathscr{I}^{+}$. In addition, it will be assumed that the causal future $J^{+}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\star}\right)$ coincides with the future domain of dependence $D^{+}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\star} \cup \mathscr{I}^{+}\right)$and that $\mathcal{S}_{\star} \cup \mathscr{I}^{+} \approx \mathcal{S}_{\star} \times[0,1)$ so that, in particular, $\mathscr{I}^{+} \approx \partial \mathcal{S}_{\star} \times[0,1)$-see Figure 1.

### 5.1 Coordinates

Let us introduce adapted coordinates $x=\left(x^{\mu}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ and $\mathscr{I}$ are given as

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x^{0}=0\right\}, \quad \mathscr{I}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x^{1}=0\right\}
$$

so the corner is defined by the condition $x^{0}=x^{1}=0$. Coordinates are propagated off $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ via the generalised wave coordinated condition (10). Observe that this can always be locally solved: the expression above provides the value of the coordinates on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ while their normal derivatives are obtained from the requirement that the coordinates $\left(x^{\mu}\right)$ are independent, that is to say, the coordinate differentials $\mathbf{d} x^{\mu}$ must be linearly independent.

### 5.2 Boundary conditions for the conformal evolution equations

In order to formulate an initial-boundary problem for anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes we must provide Dirichlet boundary data for the wave equations (12a)-(12e) on the conformal boundary. Next we summarise the results found in [7] which directly extend to the tracefree case.

Adopting a Gaussian gauge on the conformal boundary, the metric can be written as

$$
\boldsymbol{g} \simeq \mathbf{d} x^{1} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{1}+\ell_{\alpha \beta} \mathbf{d} x^{\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\beta}, \quad(\alpha, \beta=0,2,3)
$$

with $\ell_{\alpha \beta}$ the components of the Lorentzian 3-metric $\ell_{i j}$. In terms of this the boundary data are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Xi \simeq 0, \quad s \simeq \Sigma \varkappa(x), \quad \not \psi_{\alpha} \simeq-\not D_{\alpha} \varkappa, \quad H_{\alpha \beta} \\
& \simeq V_{\alpha \beta}-\frac{1}{2} \varkappa^{2}(x) \ell_{\alpha \beta}, \\
& L_{11} \simeq \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R}(x)-\frac{1}{4} r+\frac{3}{2} \varkappa^{2}(x), \quad \not \phi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Sigma \Sigma$ is given by (15a). However, the data for the electric part of the Weyl tensor $\phi_{i j}$ differs from the one in the vacuum case, so it will be analysed in the next subsection.

### 5.2.1 Boundary data for $\phi_{i j}$

As showed in Proposition 2, the electric part of the rescaled Weyl tensor is determined by equation (16). In order to analyse this differential equation it is convenient to perform a $2+1$ decomposition on the conformal boundary. For this purpose consider a foliation of $\mathscr{I}$ given by a family of spacelike hypersurfaces $\partial \mathcal{S}_{t}$ with normal vector $\nu_{i}$. This induces the projector

$$
s_{i}{ }^{j}=\ell_{i}{ }^{j}+\nu_{i} \nu^{j} .
$$

In an analogous manner to Section 4, we define the intrinsic derivative operator $\delta_{i}$ satisfying $\delta_{i} s_{j k}=0$ along with a normal derivative $\delta \equiv \nu^{i} \not D_{i}$-see Table 1 for a clear distinction of the various hypersurfaces and the relevant objects defined on them. The projector $s_{i}{ }^{j}$ allows us to further decompose tensors $\phi_{i j}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{j}}_{i}$ with respect to $\nu^{i}$ :

$$
\phi_{i j}=w_{i j}-\nu_{i} w_{j}-\nu_{j} w_{i}+w \nu_{i} \nu_{j}, \quad \dot{\jmath}_{i}=p_{i}-p \nu_{i}
$$

where the different components are defined as

$$
w_{i j} \equiv \ell_{i}^{k} \ell_{j}^{l} d_{k l}, \quad w_{i} \equiv \nu^{l} \ell_{i}^{k} \not_{k l}, \quad w \equiv \nu^{i} \nu^{j} \dot{d}_{i j}, \quad p_{i} \equiv s_{i}^{k} \ddot{\partial}_{k}, \quad p \equiv \nu^{i} \dot{\gamma}_{i} .
$$

From this it follows that $w_{i}{ }^{i}=w$. Assuming that the acceleration $\nu^{j} \not D_{j} \nu_{i}$ locally vanishes, we introduce the extrinsic curvature $k_{i j} \equiv \not D_{i} \nu_{j}$ associated to the foliation, as well as its trace $k \equiv s^{i j} k_{i j}$. A calculation shows that equation (16) implies the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{i} w_{i}-\delta w=-2 k^{i j} w_{\{i j\}}-\not \Sigma p,  \tag{21a}\\
& 2 \delta w_{i}-\delta_{i} w=-2 k w_{i}-2 w_{j} k_{i}^{j}+2 \delta^{j} w_{\{i j\}}+2 \nsubseteq p_{i} \tag{21b}
\end{align*}
$$

with $w_{\{i j\}} \equiv w_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} s_{i j} w$ being the $s$-tracefree part of $w_{i j}$.
Table 1

| Hypersurface | Normal vector | Intrinsic metric | Derivative operator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ | $n^{a}(\uparrow)$ | $h_{a b}=g_{a b}+n_{a} n_{b}$ | $D_{a}$ |
| $\mathscr{I}$ | $\not h^{a}(\leftarrow)$ | $\ell_{a b}=g_{a b}-\not \hbar_{a} \ell_{b}$ | $\not D_{a}$ |
| $\partial \mathcal{S}_{t}$ | $\nu^{a}(\uparrow)$ | $s_{a b}=\ell_{a b}+\nu_{a} \nu_{b}$ | $\delta_{a}$ |

The arrows next to the normal vectors indicate their direction with respect to the diagram in Figure 1.

Remark 11. If $w_{\{i j\}}, p_{i}$ and $p$ are given, then equations (21a)-(21b) constitute a symmetric hyperbolic system for $w$ and $w_{i}$. In this sense, these fields constitute additional pieces of basic boundary data. The particular tracefree matter model in consideration will determine $p_{i}$ and $p$ in an explicit way. On the other hand, it was noticed in [7] that the two independent components of $w_{\{i j\}}$ can be related to the notions of incoming and outgoing radiation. By exploiting the Newman-Penrose formalism, this can be expressed in terms of two complex-valued scalar fields $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{4}$.

The above discussion leads to:
Lemma 2. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be endowed with the following smooth fields:
(i) a 3-dimensional Lorentzian metric $\ell_{i j}$;
(ii) a set of coordinate gauge source functions $\mathcal{F}^{\mu}(x)$ and the gauge function $\varkappa(x)$;
(iii) a symmetric tensor $w_{\{i j\}}$ which is spatial with respect to the foliation induced on $\mathscr{I}$ by the functions $\mathcal{F}^{\mu}(x)$ and tracefree with respect to the metric induced on the leaves of the foliation;
(iv) a spatial (in the same sense as in (iii)) vector $p_{i}$, and scalars $p$ and $\Sigma$;
(v) a choice of fields $w$ and $w_{i}$ on a fiduciary hypersurface $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$ of $\mathscr{I}$.

Then there exists $t_{\bullet}>0$ such that on the cylinder $\mathscr{I}_{\bullet} \approx\left[0, t_{\bullet}\right) \times \partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$ the fields $w$ and $w_{i}$ are uniquely determined and, together with the fields prescribed in (iii) and (iv), satisfy the constraint (16).

### 5.3 Summary

The analysis of this section can be summarised in the following:
Proposition 3. Let $\left(\ell_{i j}, w_{\{i j\}}, p_{i}, p\right)$ be a collection of smooth fields defined on $\mathscr{I}$ as in Lemma 2 and $\left(\mathbb{Z}, s, K_{i j}, \Psi_{i}, \Psi_{i j}, \not \chi_{i j k}\right)$ be the fields constructed according to the procedure described in the previous subsection. Then, the zero-quantities (6a)-(6f) satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{b}^{a} \Theta_{a} & \simeq 0, \quad Z \simeq 0 \\
\ell_{c}{ }^{a} \ell_{d}{ }^{b} \Upsilon_{a b} & \simeq 0, \quad \not \chi^{a} \ell_{c}{ }^{b} \Upsilon_{a b} \simeq 0, \\
\ell_{e}{ }^{c} \ell_{f}{ }^{d} \ell_{g}{ }^{b} \Delta_{c d b} & \simeq 0, \quad \not h^{b} \ell_{e}{ }^{c} \ell_{f}^{d} \Delta_{c d b} \simeq 0, \\
\not \chi^{b} \ell_{e}{ }^{c} \ell_{f}^{d} \Lambda_{b c d} & \simeq 0, \quad \not h^{b} \not \chi^{d} \ell_{e}{ }^{c} \Lambda_{b c d} \simeq 0, \\
\ell_{a}{ }^{e} \ell_{b}{ }^{f} \ell_{c}{ }^{g} \ell_{d}{ }^{h} P_{e f g h} & \simeq 0, \quad \not \chi^{d} \ell_{a}{ }^{e} \ell_{b}{ }^{f} \ell_{c}{ }^{g} P_{e d f g} \simeq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

at least on $\mathscr{I}_{t_{\bullet}} \approx\left[0, t_{\bullet}\right) \times \partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$.
Remark 12. Notice that the boundary data discussed throughout this section is not necessarily consistent with the initial data at the corner. Demanding the compatibility of these two sets of data requires to impose so-called corner conditions. If one considers asymptotically hyperbolic initial data, a gluing construction allows to satisfy these conditions to any arbitrary order [10]. In the present construction, this issue can be addressed by exploiting the conformal constraint equations and the conformal Einstein field equations leading to a recursive procedure where the $n$-th order conditions are dependent on the ones at $(n-1)$-th order for $n>1$. For a more detailed discussion see $[24,7]$.

## 6 Boundary data for the zero-quantities

Proposition 3 establishes that the boundary conditions discussed in Section 5.2 represent the vanishing of a number of components of the zero-quantities on $\mathscr{I}$-specifically, the ones involving intrinsic derivatives. In order to show that these, in turn, imply the vanishing of the remaining ones, we make use of their properties along with the geometric wave equations - see [8] for further details. In particular, the relevant expressions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{c}{ }_{a c b}=0,  \tag{22a}\\
& \Upsilon_{a}{ }^{a}=0,  \tag{22b}\\
& \nabla_{a} \Theta^{a}=\Upsilon^{a b} L_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} \Xi^{2} \Upsilon^{a b} T_{a b},  \tag{22c}\\
& \nabla_{d} P_{a b c}{ }^{d}=-\Delta_{a b c}-\Xi \Lambda_{c a b},  \tag{22d}\\
& \nabla_{c} \Delta_{a}{ }^{c}{ }_{b}=\Upsilon^{c d} d_{a c b d}+\Lambda_{a b c} \nabla^{b} \Xi-L^{c d} P_{a c b d},  \tag{22e}\\
& 3 \nabla_{[d} \Delta_{a b] c}=\Lambda_{a b d c e} \nabla^{e} \Xi+3 \Upsilon_{[a}{ }^{e} d_{b d] c e}+3 L_{[a}{ }^{e} P_{b d] c e}-\frac{3}{2} \Xi^{2} P_{[a b|c|}{ }^{e} T_{d] e}+2 \Xi \Upsilon_{[a}{ }^{e} g_{b|c| c} T_{d] e} \\
& +\Xi \Upsilon_{[a}{ }^{e} g_{|c| b} T_{d] e}, \tag{22f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{d a b c e} \equiv 3 \Lambda_{c[d a} g_{b] e}-3 \Lambda_{e[d a} g_{b] c}$.
Boundary data for $P^{a}{ }_{b c d}$. By definition, the field $P^{a}{ }_{b c d}$ inherits the symmetries of the Riemann tensor. This makes possible to decompose it into three main components:

$$
\hat{P}_{a b c d} \equiv \ell_{a}{ }^{e} \ell_{b}^{f} \ell_{c}{ }^{g} \ell_{d}^{h} P_{e f g h}, \quad \hat{P}_{a b c} \equiv \not \chi^{d} \ell_{a}^{e} \ell_{b}{ }^{f} \ell_{c}^{g} P_{e d f g}, \quad \hat{P}_{a b} \equiv \not \chi^{c} \not \chi^{d} \ell_{a}^{e} \ell_{b}^{f} P_{e c f d} .
$$

The first two vanish by virtue of the constraints (14i) and (14j), while a calculation shows that $\hat{P}_{a b} \simeq P^{c}{ }_{a c b}-\not n_{a} \not h_{b} \not \chi^{c} \chi^{d} P^{e}{ }_{c e d}$. From equation (22a) it follows that $\hat{P}_{a b} \simeq 0$.

Boundary data for $\Upsilon_{a b}$. The zero-quantity $\Upsilon_{a b}$ can be decomposed with respect to $\not \chi^{a}$ by defining the projections $\gamma_{a b} \equiv \ell_{a}{ }^{c} \ell_{b}{ }^{d} \Upsilon_{c d}, \gamma_{a} \equiv \not \chi^{b} \ell_{a}{ }^{c} \Upsilon_{b c}$ and $\gamma \equiv \not \chi^{a} \not \chi^{b} \Upsilon_{a b}$. Accordingly, we can write

$$
\Upsilon_{a b}=\gamma_{a b}+2 \gamma_{(a} \not \ell_{b)}+\gamma \not h_{a} \not n_{b} .
$$

The prescription of the boundary data discussed in the previous section implies that $\gamma_{a b} \simeq 0$ and $\gamma_{a} \simeq 0$. On the other hand, by writing equation (22b) in terms of these projections one has that $\gamma \simeq \gamma_{a}{ }^{a} \simeq 0$.
Boundary data for $\Theta_{a}$. Consider the projections $\theta_{a} \equiv \ell_{a}{ }^{b} \Theta_{b}$ and $\theta \equiv \not \chi^{a} \Theta_{a}$. Then we have that

$$
\Theta_{a}=\theta_{a}+\not n_{a} \theta
$$

The boundary data prescription for $L_{i}$ is equivalent to $\theta_{a} \simeq 0$. In order to prove the vanishing of $\theta$ we use the identity (22c). Since $\Upsilon_{a b} \simeq 0$, a short calculation yields

$$
\not D \theta \simeq-3 \theta \varkappa(x) .
$$

Without loss of generality, it is always possible, under a further conformal rescaling of the form $g_{a b}^{\prime}=\omega^{2} g_{a b}$, to choose a conformal representation for which $\varkappa \simeq 0-$ see Lemma 1-meaning that $D D \theta \simeq 0$. Last equation then implies that $\theta \varkappa(x) \simeq 0$; nevertheless, thanks to the conformally invariance, this result is valid in general. If $\varkappa=0$, the above rescaling enables us to find a representation for which $\varkappa \neq 0$ leading, in any case, to conclude that $\theta \simeq 0$.

Boundary data for $\Delta_{a b c}$. Consider the system of wave equations for the geometric fields (12a)(12e). As initial and boundary data sets for the system have already been established, a solution can then be locally obtained in a neighbourhood of $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$. In particular, $d^{a}{ }_{b c d}$ and its derivatives are well-defined, which means that all the components of $\Lambda_{a b c}$ are regular. Moreover, it can be checked that the trivial data for $P^{a}{ }_{b c d}$ imply that $\nabla_{d} P_{a b c}{ }^{d} \simeq 0$. Thus, from equation (22d) we conclude that $\Delta_{a b c} \simeq 0$.
Boundary data for $\Lambda_{a b c}$. In the case of $\Lambda_{a b c}$ we introduce the independent components $\lambda_{a b c} \equiv$ $\ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}{ }^{e} \ell_{c}{ }^{f} \Lambda_{d e f}, \lambda_{a b} \equiv \not \chi^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}{ }^{e} \Lambda_{c d e}, \Lambda_{a b} \equiv \not \grave{n}^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}{ }^{e} \Lambda_{d c e}$ and $\Lambda_{a} \equiv \not \chi^{b} \not \chi^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \Lambda_{b c d}$. In terms of these we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{a b c}=\lambda_{a b c}+\lambda_{b c} \not h_{a}+2 \Lambda_{a[c} \not h_{b]}+2 \Lambda_{[c} h_{b]} h_{a} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary data for the electric and magnetic parts of $d^{a}{ }_{b c d}$ are equivalent to $\lambda_{a b} \simeq 0$ and $\Lambda_{a} \simeq 0$. Next, we proceed to prove that the two remaining components vanish as well. First, consider the normal derivative of the identity (22d) and project all its free indices onto $\mathscr{I}$. This results in

$$
\mathscr{\Sigma} \lambda_{a b c} \simeq-\not D \delta_{a b c}
$$

where $\delta_{a b c} \equiv \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}{ }^{e} \ell_{c}{ }^{f} \Delta_{d e f}$. Furthermore, projecting the identity (22f) with $\not \chi^{a} \ell_{f}{ }^{b} \ell_{g}{ }^{d} \ell_{h}{ }^{d}$ and using the vanishing of $\Upsilon_{a b}, \Delta_{a b}$ and $P^{a}{ }_{b c d}$ on $\mathscr{I}$, a calculation yields

$$
\not D \delta_{a b c} \simeq 0
$$

which then implies that $\lambda_{a b c} \simeq 0$.
To complete the proof, define two further components of $\Delta_{a b c}$ : $\Delta_{a b} \equiv \not \kappa^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}{ }^{e} \Delta_{c d e}$ and $\Delta_{a} \equiv \not \chi^{b} \not^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \Delta_{b d c}$. Observe that multiplying (22e) by $\not \chi^{c}$, one readily finds that $\not D \Delta_{a} \simeq 0$. This, in turn, can be used to obtain expressions for the normal derivative of $\Delta_{a b}$ by means of equations (22d) and (22e), namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \not D \Delta_{a b} \simeq \Sigma \Lambda_{a b},  \tag{24a}\\
& \not D \Delta_{a b} \simeq-\Sigma \Lambda_{b a} . \tag{24b}
\end{align*}
$$

From here we have that $\Lambda_{(a b)} \simeq 0$. On the other hand, by exploiting the identity $\Lambda_{[a b c]}=0$, a simple calculation shows that $2 \Lambda_{[a b]}=\lambda_{a b} \simeq 0$, which proves that $\Lambda_{a b} \simeq 0$.

The above results can be summarised as:

Lemma 3. Assume that the wave equations for the conformal fields are valid. If the boundary data for the geometric fields are given as in Proposition 3, then the geometric zero-quantities vanish on $\mathscr{I}$.

Remark 13. The components of the zero-quantities on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ corresponding to projections on this hypersurface vanish by the way the anti-de Sitter-like initial data have been constructed. Components with a transversal (i.e., timelike) projection can be read as a first order evolution system for the geometric conformal fields. Thus, in order to ensure the vanishing of the zero-quantities on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$, one needs, firstly, to produce a solution to the conformal constraint equations. Secondly, one reads the transversal components of the zero-quantities as definitions for the normal derivatives of the conformal fields which can be readily computed from the solution to the conformal constraints. In this sense, the transversal components of the zero-quantities vanish a fortiori. Furthermore, as a consequence of this procedure, the normal derivatives of the zero-quantities trivially vanish on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$.

## 7 Matter models

In this section several specific tracefree models of interest are studied. Namely, the conformally invariant scalar field, the Maxwell field and the Yang-Mills field. The problem coupling these matter models to the system (12a)-(12e) poses has been addressed in [8]. In particular, a system of wave equations has been obtained in conjunction with an analysis for the respective subsidiary variables. The aim of this section is to identify the basic boundary data corresponding to each matter model required by the systems (12a)-(12e) and (21a)-(21b). An investigation of their relation to the propagation of the constraints on $\mathscr{I}$ is also provided.

### 7.1 The conformally invariant scalar field

The conformally invariant scalar field is a first example of an explicit tracefree matter model of interest. Let $\tilde{\phi}$ be a scalar field on $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}})$ governed by the equation

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{a} \tilde{\nabla}^{a} \tilde{\phi}-\frac{1}{6} \tilde{R} \tilde{\phi}=0
$$

Defining the unphysical scalar field $\phi \equiv \Xi^{-1} \tilde{\phi}$, this equation remains invariant under the conformal rescaling (2). This means that $\phi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{a} \nabla^{a} \phi-\frac{1}{6} R \phi=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the energy-momentum tensor associated to this field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a b}=\nabla_{a} \phi \nabla_{b} \phi-\frac{1}{2} \phi \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} \phi-\frac{1}{4} g_{a b} \nabla_{c} \phi \nabla^{c} \phi+\frac{1}{2} \phi^{2} L_{a b} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the second order derivatives of $\phi$ in the last expression, the coupling of this matter model to the wave equations (12a)-(12e) will result in the appearance of up to fourth order derivatives of $\phi$ in the evolution system. This can be remedied by first noticing that the third order derivatives are of the form $\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]} \nabla_{c} \phi$-see equation (5)-so using the commutator of covariant derivatives they can be expressed in terms of $\nabla_{a} \phi$. First and second derivatives, on the other hand, can be removed by introducing the auxiliary fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{a} \equiv \nabla_{a} \phi, \quad \phi_{a b} \equiv \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} \phi, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the system of wave equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\square \phi_{a}= & 2 \phi^{b} L_{a b}+\frac{1}{3} R \phi_{a}+\frac{1}{6} \phi \nabla_{a} R,  \tag{28a}\\
\square \phi_{a b}= & \frac{1}{2} \phi_{a b} R-\frac{1}{3} R \phi L_{a b}-2 \phi^{c d} L_{c d} g_{a b}-\frac{1}{6} \phi^{c} g_{a b} \nabla_{c} R+\frac{1}{6} \phi \nabla_{(a} \nabla_{b)} R-2 \Xi \phi^{c d} d_{a c b d} \\
& +8 \phi_{(a}{ }^{c} L_{b) c}+2 \Xi \phi^{c} T_{(a|c| b)}+\frac{2}{3} \phi_{(a} \nabla_{b)} R+2 \phi^{c} \nabla_{(a} L_{b) c}-2 \phi^{c} d_{(a|c| b)}{ }^{d} \nabla_{d} \Xi . \tag{28b}
\end{align*}
$$

Writing them in terms of the reduced wave operator, these equations together with (25) must be coupled to the system (12a)-(12e).

### 7.1.1 Basic boundary data

Next, we analyse the data one must prescribe on $\mathscr{I}$. As the fields $\phi, \phi_{a}$ and $\phi_{a b}$ satisfy wave equations, we prescribe suitable Dirichlet boundary data for them. First, notice that $\phi$ can be freely prescribed as its value is not constrained by any equation intrinsic to $\mathscr{I}$; this in turn determines its derivatives on the boundary. Moreover, the normal derivative is not independent since (25) can be written as an equation constraining $\not D \phi$. Alternatively, observe that the prescription of Neumann boundary conditions, instead of Dirichlet ones, also yields a well-posed problem.

### 7.1.2 Boundary data for the evolution systems

In order to analyse the Dirichlet boundary data for the auxiliary fields it is convenient to introduce the projections

$$
\varphi_{a} \equiv \ell_{a}^{b} \phi_{b}, \quad \varphi \equiv \not h^{a} \phi_{a}, \quad \bar{\phi}_{a b} \equiv \ell_{a}^{c} \ell_{b}^{d} \phi_{c d}, \quad \bar{\phi}_{a} \equiv \hbar^{c} \ell_{a}^{b} \phi_{b c}
$$

From the discussion above, $\varphi_{a}$ and $\varphi$ can be obtained directly once the basic data have been imposed; these represent the boundary data for $\phi_{a}$. On the other hand, observing that $\phi_{a}{ }^{a}=\frac{1}{6} R \phi$ we can write

$$
\phi_{a b}=\bar{\phi}_{a b}+\not n_{a} \bar{\phi}_{b}+\not n_{b} \bar{\phi}_{a}+\left(\frac{1}{6} R \phi-\bar{\phi}_{a}{ }^{a}\right) \not n_{a} \not n_{b} .
$$

Since $\bar{\phi}_{a b}$ and $\bar{\phi}_{a}$ can, via commutation of covariant derivatives, be determined from $\phi$ on the conformal boundary, it follows that the boundary data for $\phi_{a b}$ is completely determined from the basic data.

Finally, we focus on the boundary data $p_{i}$ and $p$ required for the system (21a)-(21b). From the decompositions for $\phi_{a}$ and $\phi_{a b}$, along with expression (26), one has that

$$
\ddot{y}_{i} \simeq \varphi \varphi_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \phi^{2} \not D_{i} \varkappa+\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(\varkappa \varphi_{i}-\not D_{i} \varphi\right) .
$$

This shows that $力_{i}$ can be expressed in terms of the basic boundary data and, in consequence, the fields $p_{i}$ and $p$ are computable.

### 7.1.3 Boundary data for the subsidiary fields

In the same spirit of the discussion in Section 3.2, it is now necessary to prove the consistency of the definitions (27). To this end we introduce the subsidiary fields

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{a} \equiv \phi_{a}-\nabla_{a} \phi,  \tag{29a}\\
& Q_{a b} \equiv \phi_{a b}-\nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} \phi, \tag{29b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{a b}$ is symmetric and tracefree. From the previous discussion, it can be easily checked that the prescription of boundary data for $\phi$ is equivalent to

$$
\ell_{a}{ }^{b} Q_{b} \simeq 0, \quad \not \chi^{a} Q_{a} \simeq 0, \quad \ell_{a}{ }^{c} \ell_{b}^{d} Q_{c d} \simeq 0, \quad \not h^{b} \ell_{a}^{c} Q_{b c} \simeq 0 .
$$

Exploiting the fact that $Q_{a}{ }^{a}=0$, it readily follows that $\not \chi^{a} \not \chi^{b} Q_{a b} \simeq-\ell^{a b} Q_{a b} \simeq 0$, implying the vanishing of $Q_{a}$ and $Q_{a b}$ on $\mathscr{I}$.
Remark 14. Similarly, we prescribe initial data consisting of $\phi$ and $D \phi$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ in an analogous manner as it was done on $\mathscr{I}$. In consequence, vanishing initial data for $Q_{a}$ and $Q_{a b}$ are obtained, which in turn implies that their intrinsic first derivatives vanish. Furthermore, it can be directly checked that their normal derivatives vanish too. Hence, $\nabla_{a} Q_{b}=0$ and $\nabla_{a} Q_{b c}=0$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$.

On the other hand, assuming the validity of wave equations for $\phi, \phi_{a}$ and $\phi_{a b}$ the subsidiary fields satisfy geometric wave equations. Denoting $Q_{a}$ and $Q_{a b}$ as $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}^{\prime}$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \square Q_{a}=H_{a}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{Q}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \square Q_{a b}=H_{a b}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{Q}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{a}$ and $H_{a b}$ are homogeneous functions of their arguments. From the discussion above, along with Lemma 3 and Remark 13, this system can be supplemented with suitable vanishing initial-boundary data. In consequence, the unique solution on the spacetime is the trivial one, i.e. $Q_{a}=Q_{a b}=0$, proving the consistency of definitions (27).

### 7.1.4 Summary

The material of this subsection can be summed up as:
Lemma 4. Let $\phi$ be the conformally invariant scalar field satisfying equation (25) with energymomentum tensor given by (26). If $\phi$ and its normal derivative are prescribed on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ and $\mathscr{I}$, then the system (12e)-(12e) coupled to (25), (28a) and (28b), written in terms of the reduced wave operator, constitute a proper system of quasilinear wave equations for the Einstein-conformally invariant scalar field system.

### 7.2 The Maxwell field

The next example under consideration is the electromagnetic field. In the physical spacetime the information is encoded in the antisymmetric Faraday tensor $\tilde{F}_{a b}$ which satisfies Maxwell equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\nabla}^{a} \tilde{F}_{a b}=0 \\
& \tilde{\nabla}_{[a} \tilde{F}_{b c]}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining the rescaling $F_{a b} \equiv \tilde{F}_{a b}$, the unphysical Maxwell equations have the same form, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{a} F_{a b}=0  \tag{30a}\\
& \nabla_{[a} F_{b c]}=0 \tag{30b}
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the Hodge dual $F_{a b}^{*} \equiv-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a b}{ }^{c d} F_{c d}$, equation (30b) can be written, alternatively, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{b} F_{a b}^{*}=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, the energy-momentum tensor of the Maxwell field takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a b}=F_{a c} F_{b}^{c}-\frac{1}{4} g_{a b} F_{c d} F^{c d} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with conservation law (8).
From equation (32) is clear that the coupling of the Maxwell field to the system (12a)-(12e) results in the appearance of second order derivatives of $F_{a b}$. The hyperbolicity of the system can be restored adopting a similar strategy as for the conformally invariant scalar field. For this purpose we introduce the fully tracefree tensor field

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a b c} \equiv \nabla_{a} F_{b c} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulae (30a)-(30b) imply that $F_{a b}$ and $F_{a b c}$ satisfy the following system of geometric wave equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\square F_{a b}= & \frac{1}{3} F_{a b} R-2 \Xi F^{c d} d_{a c b d},  \tag{34a}\\
\square F_{a b c}= & -2 \Xi F_{a}{ }^{d} T_{b c d}+4 \Xi F_{[b}{ }^{d} T_{|a d| c]}-2 \Xi F_{a}{ }^{d e} d_{b d c e}-4 \Xi F^{d}{ }_{[b}{ }^{e} d_{c] e a d}+\frac{1}{2} F_{a b c} R+4 F^{d}{ }_{b c} L_{a d} \\
& -4 F^{d}{ }_{a[b} L_{c] d}-4 F^{d}{ }_{[b}{ }^{e}{ }_{c} g_{c] a} L_{d e}+\frac{1}{3} F_{b c} \nabla_{a} R-2 F^{d e} d_{a d e[b} \nabla_{c]} \Xi-4 \Xi F^{d e} \nabla_{[b} d_{c] e a d} \\
& -\frac{1}{3} F_{a[b} \nabla_{c]} R-2 F_{[b}{ }^{e} d_{c] e a d} \nabla^{d} \Xi-F_{d}{ }^{e} d_{a e b c} \nabla^{d} \Xi-4 F_{[b}{ }^{e} d_{c] d a e} \nabla^{d} \Xi-F_{a}{ }^{e} d_{b c d e} \nabla^{d} \Xi \\
& +2 F^{e f} g_{a[b} d_{c] e d f} \nabla^{d} \Xi+\frac{1}{3} g_{a[b} F_{c] d} \nabla^{d} R . \tag{34b}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $\square$ by the reduced wave operator, these are cast as hyperbolic wave equations and can be coupled to the system (12a)-(12e).

### 7.2.1 Basic boundary data

The Faraday tensor accepts a simple decomposition with respect to $\not \chi^{a}$ in terms of its electric and magnetic parts defined, respectively, as $F_{a} \equiv \not \chi^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{b} F_{b c}$ and $F_{a}^{*} \equiv \not \chi^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{b} F_{b c}^{*}$; namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a b}=F_{a} \not n_{b}-F_{b} \not n_{a}+\epsilon_{a b}^{c} F_{c}^{*}, \quad F_{a b}^{*}=F_{a}^{*} \not n_{b}-F_{b}^{*} \not n_{a}-\epsilon_{a b}^{c} F_{c} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\epsilon_{a b c} \equiv \not \chi^{d} \epsilon_{a d b c}$ is the 3 -volume form induced on $\mathscr{I}$. These components must satisfy two additional constraints on $\mathscr{I}$ implied by Maxwell equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \not D^{i} F_{i} \simeq 0  \tag{36a}\\
& \not D^{i} F_{i}^{*} \simeq 0 \tag{36b}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to identify the basic data for the Maxwell field we perform a further decomposition with respect to $\nu^{i}$. Introducing the projections $f_{i} \equiv s_{i}{ }^{j} F_{j}, f \equiv \nu^{i} F_{i}, f_{i}^{*} \equiv s_{i}{ }^{j} F_{j}^{*}$ and $f^{*} \equiv \nu^{i} F_{i}^{*}$, these take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta f-k f-\delta^{i} f_{i} \simeq 0  \tag{37a}\\
& \delta f^{*}-k f^{*}-\delta^{i} f_{i}^{*} \simeq 0 \tag{37b}
\end{align*}
$$

which represent an evolution system for $f$ and $f^{*}$. Observe that the data required to establish a well-posed problem for this system correspond to the fields $f_{i}$ and $f_{i}^{*}$ on $\mathscr{I}$ along with initial conditions for $f$ and $f^{*}$ at $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$.

### 7.2.2 Boundary data for the evolution systems

Having identified the basic data for the Maxwell field, we now proceed to verify that $\eta_{i}$ can be determined in terms of the basic data. A calculation using equation (32) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=-\epsilon_{i j k} F^{j} F^{* k} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Directly from the definitions of $p_{i}$ and $p$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\epsilon_{i j}\left(f^{*} f^{j}-f f^{* j}\right), \quad p=-\epsilon_{j k} f^{j} f^{* k} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{i j} \equiv \nu^{k} \epsilon_{k i j}$ is the 2-dimensional volume form on the foliation $\partial \mathcal{S}_{t}$ satisfying $\nu^{i} \epsilon_{i j}=0$. Here $f$ and $f^{*}$ can be obtained from evolving equations (37a)-(37b). Therefore, the boundary data for the system (21a)-(21b) are completely determined.

Next we show that the basic data also provides data for $F_{a b c}$. For this purpose, we introduce a number of components with respect to ${\not h^{a}}^{a}$ :

$$
f_{a b c} \equiv \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}^{e} \ell_{c}^{f} F_{d e f}, \quad f_{a b} \equiv \not \chi^{d} \ell_{a}^{e} \ell_{b}^{f} F_{d e f}, \quad \hat{f}_{a b} \equiv \not \chi^{e} \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}^{f} F_{d e f}, \quad F_{a} \equiv \not \chi^{b} \not \chi^{c} \ell_{a}^{d} F_{b c d} .
$$

As $F_{a b c}$ possesses the same symmetries as $\Lambda_{a b c}$-see equation (23)—we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a b c}=f_{a b c}+f_{b c} \not h_{a}+2 \hat{f}_{a[c} \not h_{b]}+2 F_{[c} \not h_{b]} \not h_{a} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From their definitions, a series of straightforward calculations results in

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{a b c} \simeq \varkappa(x) f_{[b} \ell_{c] a}+\varkappa(x) \epsilon_{d e f g} \ell_{a}^{e} \ell_{b}{ }^{f} \ell_{c}^{g} f^{* d}+\epsilon_{e g h} \ell_{b}{ }^{g} \ell_{c}^{h} \not D_{a} f^{* e}  \tag{41a}\\
& \hat{f}_{a b} \simeq-\varkappa(x) \epsilon_{d f c} \ell_{a}{ }^{f} \ell_{b}{ }^{c} f^{* d}-\not D_{a} f_{b} \tag{41b}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, one can exploit the symmetries of $F_{a b c}$ to obtain expressions for the remaining components. In particular, using that $F_{[a b c]}=0$ and $F_{a b}{ }^{a}=0$, along with decomposition (40), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{a b} \simeq 2 \hat{f}_{[a b]}  \tag{42a}\\
& F_{a} \simeq f_{b a}{ }^{b} \tag{42b}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, once basic data for $f^{a}$ and $f^{* a}$ have been provided on $\mathscr{I}$, all the boundary data for the field $F_{a b c}$ are computable.

### 7.2.3 Boundary data for the subsidiary fields

In the next step of the analysis, we are now required to prove that any solution to the wave equations (34a)-(34b) is also a solution to the unphysical Maxwell Equations. Accordingly, we define the subsidiary fields

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{a} \equiv \nabla^{b} F_{a b},  \tag{43a}\\
& M_{a b c} \equiv \nabla_{[a} F_{b c]}  \tag{43b}\\
& Q_{a b c} \equiv F_{a b c}-\nabla_{a} F_{b c} . \tag{43c}
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary data for the fields obtained from the constraints (36a)-(36b) is equivalent to

$$
\not \chi^{a} M_{a} \simeq 0, \quad \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}^{e} \ell_{c}^{f} M_{d e f} \simeq 0
$$

Additionally, we also have that $Q_{a b c} \simeq 0$ as a consequence from the way the data for $F_{a b c}$ were constructed. The vanishing of the remaining boundary data for the subsidiary fields follows from observing that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ell_{a}{ }^{b} M_{b}=\ell_{a}{ }^{b}\left(F^{c}{ }_{c b}-Q^{c}{ }_{c b}\right) \simeq f^{c}{ }_{c a}+F_{a} \simeq 0,  \tag{44a}\\
& \not \chi^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}^{e} M_{c d e}=\not \text { म}^{c} \ell_{a}^{d} \ell_{b}{ }^{e}\left(F_{[c d e]}-Q_{[c d e]}\right) \simeq \frac{1}{3}\left(f_{a b}+2 \hat{f}_{[b a]}\right) \simeq 0 . \tag{44b}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 15. Following a similar argument, the constraints on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ establish basic initial data which implies the vanishing of the subsidiary variables involving intrinsic derivatives, as well as of $Q_{a b c}$. In the same vein as in Remark 13, Maxwell equations additionally provide evolution equations for the corresponding electric and magnetic fields. Taking these as definitions for the normal derivatives, the remaining components of $M_{a}$ and $M_{a b c}$ trivially vanish on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$. In this regard, a solution to the Maxwell equations must first be obtained. Under this construction, the first derivatives of the subsidiary fields vanish on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$.

The propagation of the constraints is proven with the help of the system of geometric wave equations satisfied by the subsidiary variables. Using an analogous notation as in the previous subsection, and representing $M_{a}$ and $M_{a b c}$ by $\boldsymbol{M}$ and $\boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}$, respectively, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \square M_{a}=H_{a}(\boldsymbol{M}) \\
& \square M_{a b c}=H_{a b c}\left(\boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \square Q_{a b c}=L_{a b c}\left(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As vanishing initial and boundary data for the subsidiary fields have already been established, Lemma 3 and Remark 13 help us to show that the homogeneity of the above system implies that the only solution on the spacetime corresponds to $M_{a}=0, M_{a b c}=0$ and $Q_{a b c}=0$. In other words, the Maxwell equations are satisfied.

The discussion of this subsection can be summarised in the following statement:
Lemma 5. Let $F_{a b}$ be the Faraday tensor satisfying the Maxwell equations (30a)-(30b) with energy-momentum tensor given by (32). If the fields $f_{i}, f_{i}^{*}$ are prescribed on $\mathscr{I}$ together with $f$ and $f^{*}$ at $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$, then the system (12a)-(12e) coupled to (34a)-(34b), written in terms of the reduced wave operator, constitute a proper system of quasilinear wave equations for the Einstein-Maxwell system.

### 7.3 The Yang-Mills field

As a third and last example of a tracefree matter field we consider the Yang-Mills field. Due to its similarities with the Maxwell field, the analysis is, in great measure, analogous to the one in the previous section. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of a group $\mathfrak{G}$. The physical Yang-Mills field consists of a set of fields $\tilde{F}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ and gauge potentials $\tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}$, where the indices $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \ldots$ take values in $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}}=C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{[\mathfrak{b c}]}$ be the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$. The physical Yang-Mills equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\nabla}_{a} \tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b}-\tilde{\nabla}_{b} \tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} \tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{a} \tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{b}-\tilde{F}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}=0, \\
& \tilde{\nabla}^{a} \tilde{F}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} \tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{b} a} \tilde{F}^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{a b}=0, \\
& \tilde{\nabla}_{[a} \tilde{F}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b c]}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} \tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{[a} \tilde{F}^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{b c]}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining the rescaled fields $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b} \equiv \tilde{F}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ and $A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a} \equiv \tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}$, the unphysical Yang-Mills equations are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{a} A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b}-\nabla_{b} A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{a} A^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{b}-F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}=0,  \tag{45a}\\
& \nabla^{a} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} A^{\mathfrak{b} a} F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{a b}=0,  \tag{45b}\\
& \nabla_{[a} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b c]}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{[a} F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{b c]}=0 . \tag{45c}
\end{align*}
$$

By defining the Hodge dual of the strength field $F^{* a}{ }_{a b} \equiv-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a b}{ }^{c d} F_{c d}$, relation (45c) can be equivalently expressed as

$$
\nabla^{b} F^{* \mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b a}+C_{\mathfrak{b c}}^{\mathfrak{a}} A^{\mathfrak{b} a} F_{a b}^{* \mathfrak{c}}=0
$$

As the associated energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a b}=\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mathfrak{a b}} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{c d} F^{\mathfrak{b} c d} g_{a b}-\delta_{\mathfrak{a b}} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a c} F_{b}^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{b}^{c} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

undesired second order derivatives of $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ will emerge in the system (12a)-(12d). Inspired by the analysis of the Maxwell field, we can deal with this problem by defining the auxiliary field

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c} \equiv \nabla_{a} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b c}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{a} F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{b c} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The motivation behind the addition of the term containing the structure constants will become evident when the boundary data for the subsidiary variables are computed.

Remark 16. One key aspect that characterises the coupling of the Yang-Mills field to the main system for the geometric fields is the fact that, in order to carry out a hyperbolic reduction, we require to incorporate a set of gauge source functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\mathfrak{a}}(x) \equiv \nabla^{a} A_{a}^{\mathfrak{a}} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of this gauge quantity, the fields $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ and $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}$ satisfy a system of geometric wave equations, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \square A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}=\frac{1}{6} A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a} R+2 A^{\mathfrak{a} b} L_{a b}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{a b} A^{\mathfrak{b b}}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} f^{\mathfrak{c}}(x) A^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{a}-C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{c}} A^{\mathfrak{b} b} \nabla_{b} A^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{a}+\nabla_{a} f^{\mathfrak{a}}(x),(49 \mathrm{a}) \\
& \square F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}=-2 \Xi F^{\mathfrak{a} c d} d_{a c b d}+\frac{1}{3} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b} R+2 C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} F^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{a}{ }^{c} F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{b c}-2 C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{c a b} A^{\mathfrak{b} c}+C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b} c} f^{\mathfrak{b}}(x) F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{a b} \\
& -C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b e}} C^{\mathfrak{e}}{ }_{\mathrm{c} 0} F^{\mathfrak{d}}{ }_{a b} A^{\mathfrak{b} c} A^{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{c},  \tag{49b}\\
& \square F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}=\frac{1}{2} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c} R+4 F^{\mathfrak{a} d}{ }_{b c} L_{a d}+2 F^{\mathfrak{b} d}{ }_{b c} F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{a d} C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}}-F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{a b c} f^{\mathfrak{b}}(x) C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}}+\frac{1}{3} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b c} \nabla_{a} R \\
& -F^{\mathfrak{d}}{ }_{a b c} A^{\mathfrak{b} d} A^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{d} C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} C^{\mathfrak{e}}{ }_{\mathfrak{c o}}-2 A^{\mathfrak{b} d} C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} \nabla_{d} F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{a b c}-F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{d}{ }^{e} d_{a e b c} \nabla^{d} \Xi-F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}{ }^{e} d_{b c d e} \nabla^{d} \Xi \\
& +2 \Xi F^{\mathfrak{a} d e} \nabla_{e} d_{a d b c}-4 \Xi F^{\mathfrak{a} d}{ }_{[b}{ }^{e} d_{|a d| c] e}-2 \Xi F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}{ }^{d e} d_{[b|d| c] e}-4 F^{\mathfrak{a} d}{ }_{a[b} L_{c] d}+4 \Xi F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{[b}{ }^{d} T_{c] d a} \\
& +4 \Xi F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{[b}{ }^{d} T_{|a d| c]}-\frac{1}{3} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a[b} \nabla_{c]} R+4 F^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{a[b}{ }^{d} F^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{c] d} C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}}-4 F^{\mathfrak{a} d}{ }_{[b}{ }^{e} L_{\mid d e} g_{a \mid c]} \\
& -2 \Xi F^{\mathfrak{a} d e} T_{[b \mid d e} g_{a \mid c]}-4 F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{[b}{ }^{d} d_{|a d| c]}{ }^{e} \nabla_{e} \Xi-2 F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{[b}{ }^{d} d_{|a|}{ }^{e}{ }^{c}{ }^{2} \nabla_{e} \Xi+2 F^{\mathfrak{a} d e} d_{a d[b|e|} \nabla_{c]} \Xi \\
& -\frac{1}{3} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{[b}{ }^{d} g_{|a| c]} \nabla_{d} R-2 F^{\mathfrak{a} d e} g_{a[b} \nabla_{|d|} L_{c] e} . \tag{49c}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, one must express these equations in terms of the reduced wave operator when they are coupled the system (12a)-(12e).

### 7.3.1 Basic boundary data

Due to the presence of the gauge potentials $A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}$ in the Yang-Mills equations, which are coupled to the strength potentials, the identification of its basic data will require a bit more of work. Nevertheless, the approach to be adopted will be the same as for the Maxwell field. First, introducing the projections $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a} \equiv \not \check{\chi}^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{b} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b c}$ and $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a} \equiv \not \chi^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{b} F^{* \mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b c}$, the fields $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ and $F^{* \mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ accept decompositions that are completely analogous to the ones in (35). On the other hand, for the gauge potentials we define $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a} \equiv \ell_{a}{ }^{b} A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} \equiv \not \grave{h}^{a} A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}$. Accordingly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}=\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}+\not \chi^{a} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (45a)-(45c), provide a set of relations that are intrinsic to the conformal boundary. The projections defined above enable us to write them as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{i} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i} \simeq C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{\mathfrak{c}} F^{\mathfrak{b} i},  \tag{51a}\\
& \not D^{i} F^{* \mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i} \simeq C^{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{b c}^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{i} F^{* \mathfrak{b} i},  \tag{51b}\\
& \not{ }_{i} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{j}-\not D_{j} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i} \simeq \epsilon^{m}{ }_{k l} \ell_{i}{ }^{k} \ell_{j}{ }^{l} F^{* \mathfrak{a}}{ }_{m}-C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{b}}{ }_{i} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{j} . \tag{51c}
\end{align*}
$$

This system is supplemented with the corresponding decomposition of equation (48), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\not D^{i} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i} \simeq f^{\mathfrak{a}}(x)-3 \varkappa(x) \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}-\not D \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last expression makes evident that the derivatives of the components of $A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}$ are not independent of each other. In particular, this suggests that $D \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a piece of the basic data to be prescribed on $\mathscr{I}$.

Next, a further decomposition on $\mathscr{I}$ with respect to the timelike vector $\nu^{a}$ can be carried out. For this purpose we define a number of objects: $f^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i} \equiv \ell_{i}{ }^{j} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{j}, f^{\mathfrak{a}} \equiv \nu^{i} F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}, a^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i} \equiv \ell_{i}{ }^{j} \mathcal{A}_{j}$ and $a^{\mathfrak{a}} \equiv \nu^{i} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}$. It turns out that after suitable contractions, equations (51a)-(51c) and (52) produce the intrinsic relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta f^{\mathfrak{a}} \simeq C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}}\left(a^{\mathfrak{c}} f^{\mathfrak{b}}-a^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{i} f^{\mathfrak{b} i}\right)+k f^{\mathfrak{a}}+\delta^{i} f^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i},  \tag{53a}\\
& \delta f^{* \mathfrak{a}} \simeq C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{{ }_{b \mathfrak{c}}\left(a^{\mathfrak{c}} f^{* \mathfrak{b}}-a^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{i} f^{* \mathfrak{b} i}\right)+k f^{\mathfrak{a}}+\delta^{i} f^{* \mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i},}  \tag{53b}\\
& \delta a^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}-\delta_{i} a^{\mathfrak{a}} \simeq C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}{ }^{\mathfrak{b}} a^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{i}+2 \epsilon_{i}{ }^{j} f^{* \mathfrak{a}}{ }_{j},}  \tag{53c}\\
& \delta^{i} a^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}-\delta a^{\mathfrak{a}} \simeq k a^{\mathfrak{a}}+f^{\mathfrak{a}}(x)-3 \varkappa(x) \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}-\not D \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} . \tag{53d}
\end{align*}
$$

Prescribing the fields $f^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}, f^{* \mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}, f^{\mathfrak{a}}(x), \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\not D \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ on the conformal boundary, this constitutes a symmetric hyperbolic system for the fields $f^{\mathfrak{a}}, f^{* \mathfrak{a}}, a^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}$ and $a^{\mathfrak{a}}$ provided that initial values for them are given at $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$.

### 7.3.2 Boundary data for the evolution equations

In view of the fact that $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ has the same symmetries as its counterpart $F_{a b}$, it is clear that the energy flux can be calculated in an analogous fashion. This results in the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{y}_{i}=-\epsilon_{i j k} F^{\mathfrak{a} j} F^{* \mathfrak{b} k} \delta_{\mathfrak{a b}}, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

from where the boundary data $p_{i}$ and $p$ can be directly computed. The data for $A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}$, on the other hand, can be extracted from solving the system (53a)-(53d). Regarding $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}$, its symmetries make possible to perform a decomposition similar to the one carried out in (40). Ultimately, this shows that the basic data on $\mathscr{I}$ allow us to determine $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}$.

### 7.3.3 Data for the subsidiary fields

The final piece in the analysis of this matter field corresponds to proving that the basic data on $\mathscr{I}$ implies vanishing data for the relevant subsidiary fields. The system (51a)-(51c) is represented the relations

$$
\not \chi^{a} M_{a}^{\mathfrak{a}} \simeq 0, \quad \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}{ }^{e} \ell_{c}{ }^{f} M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{d e f} \simeq 0, \quad \ell_{a}{ }^{c} \ell_{b}{ }^{d} M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{c d} \simeq 0
$$

Analogous to the Maxwell field, the construction of the data for $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}$ implies that $Q^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c} \simeq 0$. The parallelism between the Yang-Mills and Maxwell fields makes clear that the components $\ell_{a}{ }^{b} M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{b}$ and $\not \chi^{c} \ell_{a}{ }^{d} \ell_{b}{ }^{e} M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{c d e}$ vanish on the conformal boundary. Concerning $M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$, it is possible to show that it satisfies the identity

$$
\frac{1}{2} C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} F^{\mathfrak{b} a b} M_{a b}^{\mathfrak{c}}-C^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{b c}} A^{\mathfrak{b} a} M^{\mathfrak{c}}{ }_{a}=0 .
$$

As it has been argued that $M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a} \simeq 0$, then it follows that for an arbitrary field $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ the condition $M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b} \simeq 0$ must be satisfied. For completeness, the consistency of the gauge can be proved by defining a further subsidiary field: $P^{\mathfrak{a}} \equiv \nabla^{a} A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}-f^{\mathfrak{a}}(x)$. Trivially, equation (52) implies that $P^{\mathfrak{a}} \simeq 0$.

Remark 17. Vanishing data for the subsidiary variables and their first derivatives on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ follow from an argument similar to the one in Remark 15.

The subsidiary variables associated to the Yang-Mills field satisfy a set of homogeneous geometric wave equations. Adopting a similar notation for functions which are for homogeneous in their arguments as in the two previous sections, one can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \square M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}=H^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}\left(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime \prime}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{Q}\right), \\
& \square M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}=H^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}\left(\boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime \prime}, \boldsymbol{Q}\right), \\
& \square M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}=H^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}\left(\boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime \prime}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime \prime}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{Q}\right), \\
& \square Q^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}=L^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}\left(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime \prime}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{\nabla}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\right), \\
& \square P^{\mathfrak{a}}=H^{\mathfrak{a}}\left(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{M}^{\prime \prime}$ stand, respectively, for $M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}, M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ and $M^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b c}$. Again, Lemma 3 and Remark 13 allow us to establish the existence and uniqueness of the trivial solution for this system, which proves that the Yang-Mills equations are satisfied.

### 7.3.4 Summary

Next, we summarise the above discussion:
Lemma 6. Let $F^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a b}$ and $A^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{a}$ be fields satisfying the Yang-Mills equations (45a)-(45c) with energy-momentum tensor given by (46), and subject to a set of gauge source functions given by (48). If the fields $f^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}, f^{* \mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}, f^{\mathfrak{a}}(x), \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\not D \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ are prescribed on $\mathscr{I}$ together with values for $f^{\mathfrak{a}}, f^{* \mathfrak{a}}, a^{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{i}$ and $a^{\mathfrak{a}}$ at $\partial \mathcal{S}_{\star}$, then the system (12a)-(12e) coupled to (49a)-(49c), written in terms of the reduced wave operator constitute a proper system of quasilinear wave equations for the Einstein-Yang-Mills system.

## 8 Final remarks

The construction presented in this work depends crucially on the existence of a quasilinear system of wave equations for the conformal fields coupled to tracefree matter. Remarkably, the construction of a homogeneous system of geometric wave equations for the geometric zero-quantities only assumes a tracefree matter field, without the specification of the particular model being necessary. Nevertheless, if a different tracefree matter model is examined, one must proceed to couple it by constructing suitable quasilinear wave equations as well as initial and boundary data, not to mention this may require the propagation of a set of subsidiary variables. The construction of anti-de Sitter-like spacetimes with an arbitrary matter component under conformal methods still remains as an open problem.

Based on the structural properties of the system of wave equations, we expect that this scheme can be implemented in a straightforward manner in current numerical codes. In this respect, a key ingredient of the boundary data is the prescription of the electric part of the Weyl tensor, which is determined through the solution of a symmetric hyperbolic system. However, neither the properties of suitable data for this system nor their implications for the stability of the system are clear. A possible approach consists in exploiting the theory of symmetric hyperbolic systems to identify the conditions leading to a well-posed Cauchy problem. This, potentially, might shed further light on the effect of particular choices of boundary conditions on the stability/instability of the anti-de Sitter spacetime.
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