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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Remineralisation potential of GICs can be improved by using apatite additives in the cement. The aesthetics of GICs depends on refractive index match between the different components in the material. The problem arises when the cement with the filler is mixed because apatite particles in the cement paste agglomerate during the mixing process and scatter light at the interfaces as a result of RI mismatch. The RI of the fluoride-containing GICs glasses is also difficult to predict and match to the polysalts/liquid component.

Aims: 1. to modify Appen model so that the refractive indices of bioactive glasses and the fluoride-containing GIC glasses can be calculated to match the refractive index of the liquid component and the polsalts produced during the setting reaction; 2. to develop strontium-containing optically clear FA\textsubscript{p} glass-ceramics with known refractive indices.

Methods: Refractive indices of ionomer-type and bioactive glasses were measured which were then used to derive Appen factors for the amorphous metal fluorides. Subsequently, using the derived Appen factors, two series of glasses were designed based on the SiO\textsubscript{2}-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}-CaO/SrO-CaF\textsubscript{2}/SrF\textsubscript{2} components. The developed series were then analysed for their ability to crystallise to apatite phases by DSC, XRD, ATR-FTIR and MAS-NMR solid-state characterisation techniques.

Results: It was found that Appen factors for the metal fluorides are higher than the RI of the corresponding crystal phases. It was also found that the fluoride content and the RI of GIC glasses correlate linearly. It was found that the developed glasses with predicted RIs crystallised to apatite phases on
controlled heat-treatment. More detailed analysis of the developed glass-ceramics revealed this to be in the form of acid-resistant fluorapatite. Increasing strontium content in the developed base glasses was found to promote surface crystallisation and resulted in a linear increase in strontium incorporation into the FAp lattice.

**Conclusions:** Appen model was successfully modified which can be used to predict refractive indices of fluoride-containing glasses used in dental cements. RI-tailored nanoscale FAp glass-ceramics have been successfully developed for use in aesthetic GICs. Increasing strontium content in the developed compositions was found to promote surface crystallisation of FAp phases. Developed FAp GCs can be incorporated into GICs for improved remineralisation.
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CHAPTER 1:
BACKGROUND STUDY & LITERATURE REVIEW
1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Given the recent legally-binding call for the phasing out of mercury-containing dental amalgam by the United Nations (UN) and by the European Environmental Bureau (EEB), it is expected that the alternative dental restoratives, such as glass ionomer cements (GICs) and dental composites (DCs) will very soon replace dental amalgam. Both organisations have requested member states to focus on minimally invasive dentistry, with an emphasis on atraumatic restorative technique (ART) treatments. The ART technique is a minimally invasive technique where soft caries are excavated using hand instruments and a restoration consisting of a high viscosity glass ionomer cement is placed. GICs are formed by an acid-base reaction, whereby aqueous poly-(acrylic acid) attacks an alumino-silicate glass, which then releases various cations, mainly Al$^{3+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ that crosslink the polyacid chains and form a strong polycarboxylate cement. In the recent literature, it has been shown that GICs have the potential to re-mineralise hard caries through the diffusion of ions, such as calcium and strontium that form part of the mineral content of the tooth. GIC are also known to release fluoride ions that replace hydroxyl groups in the apatite lattice and henceforth increase mineral density and acid resistance due to the higher lattice energy. GICs are also known to have similar mechanical properties to dentine, such compressive strength and very similar thermal expansion coefficient. On that basis, glass ionomer cements are ideal materials for the ART technique.
It is proposed that the re-mineralisation potential of GIC can be enhanced by the inclusion of apatite within the cement, which will provide nucleation sites for remineralisation. Dispersion of nano-apatite within the GIC matrix may compromise restoration aesthetics, because small particles agglomerate and form larger particles, which then results in light scattering at the apatite-cement interfaces as a result of the refractive index mismatch between apatite (1.63) and the cement matrix (RI ~1.50). Quite a few glasses developed for GICs have been shown to crystallise to fluorapatite (FAp) phases upon controlled heat-treatment. Pre-treating GIC glasses in the furnace to produce nano-sized FAp glass-ceramics will be investigated to overcome the poor GIC aesthetics arising from the agglomeration of the dispersed particles. The hypotheses of this study are that nano-sized fluorapatite phases in the glass-ceramics: 1. will not affect restoration aesthetics; 2. will improve mechanical properties of the GIC; 3. will promote further crystal growth and remineralisation of hard caries by the formation of biomimetic cement-dentine interfaces.

Furthermore, the composition of the GIC glass can determine the rate of ion diffusion from the GIC to the effected caries. It is known that glasses with higher non-bridging oxygen content exhibit more bioactivity because they dissolve in physiological solutions more rapidly. However, fast dissolution of GIC glass may be undesirable because it will predispose GIC restoration to a mechanical failure. The addition of strontium in the GIC glass composition may provide a source of odontoblast-stimulating ions as well as improved radiopacity with the addition of strontium.

Another type of dental material is the dental composite which consists of polymer (matrix) and glass (filler) components. Dental composites set by
polymerisation of resin monomers. If the glass component in the dental composite is bioactive, the material can form apatite and can help to remineralise carious dentine.

1.2 Aims and Objectives

1.2.1 Aims

The aim of this study is to develop and characterise novel strontium-containing FAp glass-ceramics with known refractive indices for use in aesthetic GICs.

1.2.2 Objectives

- To modify the Appen model by experimentally deriving Appen factors for the amorphous fluorides which will then provide a tool for accurate refractive index calculation of the fluoride-containing GIC glasses.
- To use the modified Appen model to develop glass compositions with the desired refractive index and to then subsequently heat-treat these glasses to develop FAp-containing glass-ceramics.
- To characterise developed glasses and glass-ceramics by solid-state characterisation techniques, such as DSC, XRD, $^{31}$P MAS-NMR and $^{19}$F MAS-NMR and TEM to understand their thermal behaviour and coordination chemistry.

1.1 Glass

1.1.1 Definition of Glass

The glass is defined as “a hard, usually transparent, substance used, for example, for making windows and bottles”. In the science community, glass
is usually defined as a “super cooled liquid”. However, a more detailed definition of glass by the American Society of Testing and Materials “an inorganic product of fusion that has cooled to a rigid condition without crystallising” is also widely accepted (Carter and Norton, 2007). Essentially, glasses can be better defined as amorphous materials that exhibit a glass transition temperature. This last definition distinguishes an amorphous glass from a nano-crystalline solid that can also show amorphous x-ray powder diffraction pattern.

1.1.2 Goldschmidt’s Criterion

The earliest and simplest theory of glass formation was based on the works of Swiss-Norwegian mineralogist, Victor Goldschmid. Goldschmidt is considered to be the founder of modern-day crystal chemistry and he was known for being able to develop empirical rules for glass formation from his studies. Goldschmidt understood the importance of ionic radius of an element and that this would determine whether it can form a glass or not. Goldschmidt proposed that a ratio from 0.2 to 0.4 of radius-of-cation to radius-of-anion is a condition of glass formation (Vogel, 1994). Since the radius ratio between 0.2-0.4 tend to produce tetrahedral coordinated cations, Goldschmidt believed that only tetrahedrally coordinated cations can form glasses during cooling (Shelby, 2005). For example, in the case of silicate glass, the ionic radius of silicon is 0.39Å and the ionic radius of oxygen is 1.4Å, therefore the ratio between the two radii is approximately 0.28, which obeys Goldschmidt’s Criterion and thus can form a glass.
1.1.3 Zachariasen’s Random Network Theory

Based on line-broadening from X-ray diffraction patterns obtained from silica-based glasses, Randall et al. (1930) postulated that glass structure is comprised of nanocrystals, approximately 15Å in size, estimated from Scherer’s equation:

\[ \tau = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \] (Equation 1.1)

However, Zachariasen (1932) argued that glass densities are too small and as such glasses cannot contain crystallites. Zachariasen noted that silica, which can readily form a glass instead of recrystallising after melting and cooling forms a network rather than densely packed crystals. This network is three-dimensional and consists of oxygen tetrahedrally connecting silicon at all four corners, just like in the corresponding crystal; however, it lacks periodicity and symmetry (Shelby, 2005). Thus, Zachariasen proposed that the ability to form such networks is the ultimate condition for glass formation.

Zachariasen also considered structural arrangements of the elements which would produce such networks and developed the following set of rules:

1. An oxygen atom is linked to not more than two atoms A
2. The number of oxygen atoms surrounding atom A must be small, 3 or 4
3. The oxygen polyhedra share corners, not edges or faces
4. For three-dimensional networks, at least three corners must be shared
1.3.3.1 Network Formers

Network formers are oxides which are capable of forming a glass. Zachariasen concluded that $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{SiO}_2$, $\text{GeO}_2$, $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$, $\text{As}_2\text{O}_5$, $\text{P}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5$, $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$, $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5$, $\text{C}_2\text{O}_5$, $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$ may form glasses because these oxides satisfy all four rules on glass formation.

1.3.3.2 Network Modifiers

Network modifiers are oxides which disrupt glass network by creating non-bridging oxygens. Common examples of network modifiers are $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CaO}$.

1.3.3.3 Intermediate Oxides

Intermediates are cations which cannot form a glass by themselves but can do so in association with a network former. Aluminium oxide and titanium oxide are examples of intermediate oxides.

1.2 Glass Crystallisation

1.2.1 Nucleation

Crystal growth requires a nucleus on which the crystal will grow. The formation of such a nucleus is termed nucleation. Nucleation can either be homogeneous or heterogeneous. Homogeneous nucleation occurs when nuclei of the crystal phase form spontaneously within the glass melt. Heterogeneous nucleation occurs when nuclei form at pre-existing nucleation sites, such as crucible walls, nucleation agents or impurities within the glass
melt. If no nuclei are present, crystal growth cannot occur and therefore the material will form a glass.

1.2.2. Crystal Growth

Crystal growth is a major process in crystallisation. It can be defined as a process by which a characteristic crystal lattice is built up through the addition of atoms or structural units.

1.3 Apatite Glass-Ceramics

1.3.1 Introduction

Apatite is named after the Greek word Apátē which means deceit because in appearance, apatite is often mistaken for a number of other minerals. Apatite has a chemical formula Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$(F, Cl, OH). Due to an adaptive framework structure of apatite (White et al., 2005), apatite lattice can readily accommodate a number of ionic substitutions. Naturally occurring apatites are found in igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary earth rocks and relatively recently, isomorphs of fluor- and hydroxyapatite were found on the surface of the Moon (McCubbin et al., 2010). Furthermore, meteorites from the planet Mars, for example, Chassigny, which fell in provincial France in 1815 brought melt inclusions of Martian fluor- and chlor- apatites (McCubbin and Nekvasil, 2008). Apatite is also the major inorganic component naturally found in the hard tissues of vertebrates, therefore it has a profound biological and clinical significance.

Biological apatites have a chemical formula of Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$(OH), with some degree of CO$_3^{2−}$ substitutions for PO$_4^{3−}$, F$^−$ for OH$^−$ and Na$^+$ or Mg$^{2+}$ for Ca$^{2+}$ ions. Synthetic hydroxyapatite (HAp) has been used in various forms of
healthcare, such as for bone replacement, dental cements and dental porcelains. However, sintered porous or even dense HAp bone implants often fail due to poor mechanical properties that are inferior to the mechanical properties of the human bone (Table 1.1).

### Table 1.1: Mechanical properties of the glass-ceramics for orthopaedic applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Thermal expansion coefficient (×10⁻⁶ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>Compressive strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Flexural strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Young's modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Fracture toughness (MPa√m)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>Hydroxyapatite + organic matrix</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>27.5 ± 3.9°</td>
<td>133-295</td>
<td>35-283</td>
<td>7-20</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>An and Draughn (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>Hydroxyapatite</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>120-150</td>
<td>60-120</td>
<td>35-120</td>
<td>0.8-1.2</td>
<td>Orlovskii et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-W</td>
<td>Fluorapatite and wollastonite</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kokubo (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biove III</td>
<td>Apatite and fluorapatite</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8-12</td>
<td>140-180</td>
<td>70-68</td>
<td>1.2-2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Höland and Beall (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biove III</td>
<td>Fluorapatite and aluminium phosphate</td>
<td>2.7-2.9</td>
<td>14-18</td>
<td>90-140</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.2-1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Höland and Beall (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-M</td>
<td>Fluorapatite and mullite</td>
<td>2.7-3.3</td>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>90-330</td>
<td>70-90</td>
<td>1.0-3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ducheyne (2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moreover, sintered blocks of HAp require machining to shape to match the complex contours of the defect using expensive diamond tipped tools. A porous and bioactive 3D material may be highly desirable for bone regeneration because a porous material will allow osteoblasts to proliferate and integrate inside the 3D structure and enable vascularisation of the newly formed bone, provided the porosity of the material is adequate. However, with the introduction of porosity into any bone substitute material or implant, mechanical properties are compromised as discussed by Karageorgiou and Kaplan (2005), rendering many of the porous bone substitute materials inadequate for load-bearing applications. Since the early 1970s’, quite a few melt-derived glasses have been developed that crystallise to apatite phases on controlled heat-treatment.
A glass-ceramic material can be cast into complex shapes by the lost wax casting route, which is usually a simple and cost-effective process. For illustration purposes, the reader is presented with an apatite-mullite glass-ceramic chess piece (Figure 1.1) produced by casting molten glass to shape by the lost wax method, which shows the complexity of shapes and surface detail that can be attained by this route.

Machinable glass-ceramics (GCs), such as mica GCs discussed in this review can also be processed by computer aided design/computer aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM).

Apatite-containing GCs are highly biocompatible and can induce bone formation in vivo (Ducheyne et al., 2011). Therefore, apatite-based GCs are highly attractive for medical and dental applications. A bioactive material is defined as a material that exhibits a biological response at the interface once in contact with a biological tissue. A bioactive material may induce a biological response through its surface topography or by a controlled release of therapeutic ions. A number of bioactive apatite containing glass-ceramics
have been developed for orthopaedic applications and these can be categorised based on the type of secondary crystal phases present in the material: apatite-wollastonite (A-W), commercially known as Cerabone®; apatite-fluoromica (A-FM), commercially known as Bioverit®; and apatite-mullite (A-M). Several apatite containing GCs have also been developed for restorative dentistry applications for the fabrication of dental inlays, crowns, bridges and veneers. These are namely apatite-leucite (A-L), commercially known as IPS d.SIGN®; and with apatite as the only phase, for example IPS e.max ZirPress® and IPS e.max Ceram®. Fluorapatites are also good host crystals for rare earths and exhibits low phonon energies; therefore, apatite-containing glass-ceramics have also been investigated for potential applications in optoelectronics. They are also particularly attractive in nuclear waste immobilization, such as for the immobilization of chloride and strontium waste, where the apatite-immobilized radioactive elements are readily incorporated into the apatite lattice.

1.3.2 Prior Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation – Precursor to Nucleation and Crystal Growth

Liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) also known as amorphous phase separation (APS) can occur in undercooled liquids either at or below the glass liquidus temperature. If LLPS occurs above the glass liquidus temperature, then such phase separation is termed stable immiscibility. On the other hand, if LLPS occurs below the liquidus state, such LLPS is termed as metastable immiscibility. Undercooled liquids can undergo phase
separation through spinodal decomposition or via nucleation and growth processes (binodal decomposition) (Figure 1.11).

Figure 1.11 Phase diagram of a binary system (adapted from Cahn et al., 1969)

Spinodal decomposition is a diffusion driven mechanism with no activation energy barrier. A system that undergoes spinodal decomposition is always unstable. In contrast, phase separation by nucleation and growth (in the binodal region) has a large free energy barrier and it is a metastable process involving an activation energy. Small angle neutron scattering (SANS) techniques can be used to study LLPS in both, spinodally and binodally decomposed glasses. SANS scattering at lower $q$ (scattering vector) values correspond to larger phases, which can be attributed to a phase separation under the binodal region of the phase diagram, whereas neutrons scattered at higher $q$ values correspond to a finer scale phase separation, which may be attributed to spinodally decomposed structures (Hill et al. (2007). Spinodal decomposition, unlike nucleation, generally results in sharp scattering maximum often referred to as a “spinodal ring” particularly during the early stages of phase separation. It is difficult to observe spinodal decomposition by microscopy techniques because of the diffuse interfaces between the
phases. In contrast, nucleated amorphous phases can be readily observed by microscopy. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis showed (Hill and Wood, 1995) that LLPS via nucleation and growth results in sharp boundaries between the phases as shown in Figure 1.12.

![Figure 1.12: Carbon replica TEM of a fluorapatite-mullite glass-ceramic showing evidence of droplet-like amorphous phase separation giving rise to hexagonal fluorapatite crystals (black regions) (Hill and Wood, 1995)](image)

Most of the glass-ceramics discussed in this review undergo a bulk nucleation and crystallisation, which has been attributed to prior liquid-liquid phase separation. Crystal nucleation may be aided by the composition of one of the phases being closer to the crystal phase than the parent glass composition. Figure 1.12 shows a droplet-like phase (droplets with dark boundaries) which is close to FAp stoichiometry and on heat-treatment crystallises to fluorapatite (FAp) (Hill and Wood, 1995). The A-W GC exhibits surface crystallisation of both phases without the occurrence of prior LLPS (Kokubo, 1982).

There are two main ideas of how prior LLPS can influence the subsequent crystallisation. Vogel and Gerth (1962) proposed that the effect of prior LLPS on glass crystallisation is due to the existence of interfaces in a phase-
separated glass, which provide internal surfaces for heterogeneous nucleation. However, Cahn (1969) and James (1981) suggested that if the composition of the LLPS phase is close to the composition of the subsequent crystal phase, the activation energy is lowered and subsequent homogeneous crystallisation is favoured.

There are few studies on the importance of LLPS in regard to glass-ceramics and it is worth briefly reviewing these studies. Ramsden et al. (1984a; 1984b) found that quenched BaO-SiO₂ glasses in which LLPS developed simultaneously with the nucleation of the crystals, the crystal nucleation rate increased with isothermal heat-treatment time. Ramsden et al. (1984b) reported that the same system without prior LLPS did not show any increase in the crystal nucleation rates. Thus, Ramsden et al. (1984a; 1984b) and later Zanotto et al. (1986) concluded that the predominant effect on crystal nucleation arises from the compositional changes brought about by phase separation. Although it has been shown that LLPS has a profound role in the formation of simple glass-ceramics, its effect in complex multicomponent systems, such as those with strong nucleating agents should not be generalised.

Tomozawa et al. (1972) reported that lithium silicate glasses showed considerably increased crystal nucleation rates when the glass had undergone LLPS, and proposed that the LLPS droplets consist of silica-depleted diffusion zones at their interfaces, therefore giving rise to sites for heterogeneous nucleation.

The mechanism by which LLPS enhances subsequent crystallisation depends on the composition of the glass and the composition of the crystalline phase. Apatite GC systems developed by Hill et al. (2004) bulk
nucleate via prior amorphous separation but can also crystallise through a surface mechanism. In simple glasses such as the lithium silicate glasses, LLPS enhances nucleation rate, by reducing the activation energy for nucleation. Although LLPS can enhance subsequent crystallisation of a glass, based on the classical nucleation theory, the LLPS droplet diameter \( D \) should be larger than the critical radius \( r^* \) for crystal nucleation in order for nucleation to take place within the droplet phase. Therefore, fine scale LLPS can potentially suppress nucleation and subsequent crystallisation.

In a study by Clifford et al. (2001a) it was found that base glasses in the A-M systems with a molar Ca to P ratio of 1.67 (glass compositions with apatite stoichiometry) crystallise through an internal or bulk mechanism, and that base glasses with Ca to P ratios higher or lower than 1.67 crystallise through a surface mechanism. Interestingly, Clifford et al. (2001a) note that compositions with a molar Ca to P ratio above or below 1.67 can crystallise in bulk following an annealing hold for one hour just above the glass transition temperature. Clifford et al. (2001a) study provides evidence that LLPS in the apatite-mullite system can be produced below the liquidus by nucleation and growth.

Rafferty et al. (2000b; 2003) used high temperature dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA) to show the presence of two mechanical loss peaks and two reductions in the storage modulus corresponding to two glass transition temperatures (Figure 1.13) for many of the A-M glass compositions providing evidence of a prior LLPS. On crystallisation of FAp, only one glass transition temperature \( T_g \) was observed indicating that one of the two amorphous phases had crystallised to FAp.
Figure 1.13: Dynamic mechanical testing analysis (DMTA) of an A-M glass-ceramic showing two glass transitions, one at 660°C and second at 707°C and two decreases in modulus (Rafferty et al. (2000b) collected at a 5°C/min heating rate in a single frequency mode at 1 Hz)

Crystals or LLPS droplets in an undercooled liquid can undergo Ostwald ripening (OR) during a heat-treatment. During an OR process crystals or small LLPS droplets can grow beyond the LLPS domain, producing larger LLPS droplets or crystals. Thermodynamically, OR is a favourable process because larger particles have lower surface energy in contrast to smaller particles. Apatite crystal growth through OR process is observed in apatite glass-ceramics, such as reported by Höland et al. (2015). One of the key characteristics of an OR process is the reduction in the number of droplets/crystals and an increase in volume fraction as a function of time, pressure or temperature.

FAp is part of the hexagonal group of crystals, therefore it shows a kinetically favoured growth in the crystallographic c-direction, which is seen in Höland et al. (2015) findings, whereby crystal length as a function of time due to OR process was more pronounced as opposed to crystal expansion at
crystallographic a-direction. OR process can be used to produce a highly homogeneous and mechanically superior microstructure of a GC.

1.3.3 Biomedical Applications of Apatite Glass-Ceramics

1.3.3.1 Orthopaedics

1.3.3.1.1 Fluor/Oxyapatite-Wollastonite Glass-Ceramics

Kokubo et al. (1982) developed apatite-wollastonite (β-CaSiO3) system based on SiO2-P2O5-CaO-MgO-CaF2, also known by its commercial name Cerabone®. The β-wollastonite phase in the A-W system enhances mechanical performance of the glass-ceramic. Kokubo et al. (1987) reported on the A-W GC ability to resist failure by fatigue. It was estimated that the life-time of an A-W GC, under a constant loading of 65 MPa in simulated body fluid is 10 years, compared to a sintered hydroxyapatite, which under the same loading can sustain the loading before fracture for only 1 min. Both, apatite and β-wollastonite phases in the A-W system crystallise through a surface mechanism (Kokubo et al., 1982). As such, the A-W GC cannot be cast to shape by the lost wax technique and is processed through powder sintering route.

It was often claimed that the apatite phase in the A-W systems is FAp, however Clifford and Hill (1996) noted that the A-W glasses are very deficient in fluoride content with regard to the FAp stoichiometry. Clifford and Hill (1996) further suggested that the apatite formed in A-W systems is therefore more likely to be a mixture of fluor- and oxy-apatite. Based on the pioneering electron spin resonance (ESP) studies of fluor/oxyapatites, it has been long known that O− can occupy F− sites (Segall et al., 1962; Piper et al.,
1965). Nonetheless, at present, the availability of high-resolution solid-state characterisation techniques such as $^{17}$O MAS-NMR coupled with Dynamic Nuclear Polarization (DNP) and high field $^{19}$F MAS-NMR could provide fast and accurate elucidation of oxygen and fluoride environments in the A-W GC, however such work is yet to be published.

Calver et al. (2004) reported that modified Kokubo et al. (1982) A-W glass with higher metal fluoride content (Table 1.2, AW3) resulted in a completely changed apatite crystallisation behaviour. Calver et al. (2004) found that the base glass with the highest CaF$_2$ content favoured volume FAp nucleation and crystallisation.

**Table 1.2: Apatite-wollastonite base glass compositions in mole % (Calver et al., 2004)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AW1</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>50.28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW2</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>49.88</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW3</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>45.51</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calver et al. (2004) also found that A-W systems showed reduced $T_g$ and FAp crystallisation exotherms with increasing calcium fluoride content. Therefore, the low calcium fluoride content in the original A-W system is actually suppressing crystallisation of FAp. Filho et al. (1996) suggest that fully crystallised GCs, which are otherwise bioactive, will not exhibit any further bioactivity through the release of ions, such as Ca$^{2+}$ and HPO$_4^{2-}$, once such ions take up higher energy coordination states in the apatite lattice. Therefore, from a bioactivity point of view, it may not always be desirable to consume therapeutic ions for the formation of apatite within the glass matrix, as opposed to the release of such ions and subsequent formation of apatite on the surfaces of the material, that potentially lead to the formation of a strong and chemically stable implant-bone interface. Furthermore, the molar
Ca to P ratio in a stoichiometric apatite crystal is 10 to 6 (1.67); therefore, GC systems containing a stoichiometric Ca to P ratio, or in other words glass formulations with smaller compositional differences between the glass and the crystal phase preferentially crystallise in bulk, which is unfortunately not the case in the A-W system. On the other hand, the A-W system is inherently aluminium free, thus risks associated with aluminium neurotoxicity, summarized by Kumar and Gill (2009), and reported by Reusche et al. (2001) are completely absent.

It could be argued as to why the original A-W system developed by Kokubo et al. (1982) contains magnesium and a non-stoichiometric Ca:P:F ratio that could otherwise aid bulk nucleation and crystallisation of apatite phases. There is a considerable and long-standing evidence, for example, as found by X-ray diffraction analysis of precipitated apatites by LeGeros et al. (1980), which shows that the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ ions in an aqueous solution cause a strain on the apatite structure causing it to collapse and suppress crystal growth. In view of the biological apatite found in bone as opposed to tooth, Mg$^{2+}$ ions alongside osteocalcine and proteoglycan proteins play a significant role in the development of a nanoscale apatite (Bluementhal et al. 1975), providing the bone tissue with a fine microstructure with excellent mechanical properties. Therefore, it could be argued that the A-W system is highly biomimetic in view of the elemental composition of the human bone.

In vivo animal studies on the implanted A-W glass-ceramic provide evidence for excellent osseointegration around the A-W implant and a chemical calcium phosphate based interface (Kitsugi et al., 1989; 1990) between the implant and bone, with high bending and compressive strengths of 157 and 1060 MPa, respectively (Nakamura et al., 1985). Kokubo et al. (1990) found
that A-W GC immersed in tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (TRIS) buffer did not show bioactivity through the formation of apatite and these findings were contradictory to animal studies conducted previously, where the A-W GC was found to form a strong chemical interface with the living bone. Therefore, Kokubo et al. (1990) developed a simulated body fluid (SBF), an alternative immersion medium for \textit{in vitro} assessment of bioactivity of the A-W GC. Kokubo et al. (1990) argued that TRIS buffer does not mimic the actual body environment because it is completely deficient in ions, such as Ca$^{2+}$ and HPO$_4^{2-}$ naturally found in the body fluids and therefore argued that the lack of apatite formation in TRIS buffer, as opposed to high bioactivity of the A-W GC in SBF, also supports the view that the apatite phase on the surfaces of the A-W GC forms by a chemical reaction between the A-W GC and the ions present in the body fluid. In view of this, it can be further postulated that apatite crystals within the A-W GC act as nuclei on which ions, such as Ca$^{2+}$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ in the solution nucleate and facilitate apatite formation until the eventual fusion between the apatite crystals in the A-W and the apatite in the living bone, whereby a chemical interface is formed.

Kokubo et al. (1992) reported that if aluminium is included in the A-W parent glass composition and then subsequently crystallised, the A-W GC does not show any bioactivity in SBF as opposed to the same A-W material without aluminium. The addition of aluminium results in a more chemically stable residual glass phase, which reduces the release of Ca$^{2+}$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ ions which affects apatite formation (Strnad, 1992). Blades \textit{et al.} (1998) conducted \textit{in vivo} animal study on aluminium-containing glass ionomer cements as potential bone cements, where as low as 1 ppm of aluminium released was found to inhibit mineralization of the newly formed osteoid in
rabbit bone. It is important to distinguish that the role of aluminium in Blades et al. (1998) study may be attributed to aluminium toxicity to bone-forming cells (Rodriguez et al., 1990) and direct inhibition of crystal growth as opposed to reduced bioactivity involving structural parameters of the residual glass phase, as proposed in Strnad’s (1992) study.

Good osseointegration as a result of good bioactivity on the implant surfaces (Neo et al., 1993) combined with good mechanical properties (Kokubo et al., 1985; 1986) perhaps explains why the A-W glass-ceramics have found promising applications in bone and vertebra replacement (Kokubo et al., 2008) and it is reported that over 50,000 successful bone implants have been made using the A-W glass-ceramic system (Zanotto, 2010). However, from a manufacturing point of view, a bulk crystallizing A-W material, such as proposed by Calver et al. (2004) could provide a more cost-effective material. In vitro and in vivo bioactivity of the modified A-W system developed by Calver et al. (2004) still need to be studied. The original A-W GC is currently manufactured by Nippon Electric Glass Co., Ltd (Japan) (Montazerian and Zanotto, 2016).

1.3.3.1.2 Fluorapatite-Mica Glass-Ceramics

Grossman (1972) of Corning Glass Works, developed the first machinable mica glass-ceramic system, later marketed by Dentsply International under the name Dicor®. Dicor® was seen as a very significant development since the new GC material could be easily machined to shape without a critical failure. Machinability of the mica glass-ceramics is attributed to the eminent cleavage of the mica-type crystals as a result of anisotropic crystal growth.
This facilitates crack propagation in the direction of cutting without causing a critical failure of the material.

Although mica glass-ceramics initially did not contain any apatite phases, Vogel et al. (1986) developed two GC systems (Table 1.3) with FAp and fluorphlogopite \( \text{Mg}_3(\text{AlSi}_3\text{O}_{10}\text{F}_2)\text{Na/K} \) and \( \text{Mg}_3(\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{10}\text{F}_2)\text{Na/K} \) mica phases and an additional silica-free GC with apatite and aluminium phosphate phases.

Commercially available Bioverit I® and Bioverit II® systems crystallise to FAp and fluorphlogopite in bulk. However, Bioverit II® system crystallises to a smaller fraction of FAp, which can be explained by the very low \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) content in the nominal composition (Table 1.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bioverit I</th>
<th>Bioverit II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SiO}_2 )</td>
<td>29.44</td>
<td>44.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 )</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>17.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 )</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CaO} )</td>
<td>14.89</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{MgO} )</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>17.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Na}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{K}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{TiO}_2 )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{F} )</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>13.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Cl} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ZrO} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both systems have undergone prior amorphous phase separation into two droplet phases and a glassy matrix phase (Höland and Beall, 2012). One droplet phase is rich in apatite elements whereas the second droplet phase is closer to the fluorphlogopite composition. This may explain why both phases, apatite and fluorphlogopite, crystallise via a homogeneous
mechanism. Bioverit III is a silica-free phosphate glass that crystallises to FAp and an aluminium phosphate (AlPO₄) (Höland and Beall, 2012), Bioverit III material exhibits lower mechanical properties (Höland and Beall, 2012) therefore it has not been so extensively studied. Bioverit II contains a higher fraction of mica crystals. Therefore, Bioverit II GC exhibits better machinability but at the expense of lower mechanical properties than Bioverit I (Table 1.3). Based on ¹⁹F MAS-NMR experiments of mica ceramics, it was shown that the fluoride ion in mica systems exists mainly in Mg(n)-F type environments, with a chemical shift at about -174 ppm for F-Mg(3) (Fechtelkord et al., 2003). As of 2016, apatite-fluoromica GCs, Bioverit I and II are currently manufactured by VITRON Spezialwerkstoffe GmbH (Germany).

1.3.3.1.3 Fluorapatite-Mullite Glass- Ceramics

Mullite is a rare naturally occurring aluminosilicate mineral. Mullite-reinforced matrices exhibit enhanced mechanical properties. FAp phases can act as nucleation and crystal growth sites for new apatite phases between the implant and the living bone. Both crystal phases in the fluorapatite-mullite system show elongated needle-like microstructure and exceptional mechanical properties, particularly flexural strength and fracture toughness of up to 330 MPa and 3.3 MPa √m² respectively (Table 1.1). The A-M GC shows spherulitic crystallisation, which enhances fracture toughness properties of the material (Stanton et al., 2010). The system nucleates in bulk; therefore, it is readily castable by the lost wax route.
The first melt-derived castable fluorapatite (Ca₅(PO₄)₃F) - mullite (Al₆Si₂O₁₃) glass-ceramic was developed by Hill et al. (1991) and was based on the SiO₂-Al₂O₃-P₂O₅-CaO-CaF₂ system. Furthermore, Samuneva et al. (1998) were also able to produce the A-M glass-ceramic system by a sol-gel route, rather than a melt-quench route.

Hill et al. (1991) noted that base glasses with relatively low CaF₂ content (Table 1.4, A-C) surface crystallised to apatite and mullite upon heat-treatment, whereas base glasses with metal fluoride content (Table 1.4, D-E) bulk crystallised to anorthite with only a small fraction of FAp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>35.29</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>31.58</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>15.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The work by Hill et al. (1991) and also subsequent work by Hill and Wood (1995), Clifford and Hill (1996), Hill et al. (2000), Rafferty et al. (2000a), Clifford et al. (2001a; 2001b) and later by Stanton and Hill (2005) suggests that metal fluoride as well as phosphorus content in fluoro-phospho-aluminate systems will likely influence prior LLPS and will therefore determine whether the glass crystallises via the homogeneous bulk route (aided by the LLPS composition) or the heterogeneous surface route.

Stanton and Hill (2005) postulated that once crystallisation of FAp crystal has begun, the surrounding glass becomes depleted in F, Ca and P and moves closer to the mullite composition, whereupon it crystallises to mullite by the homogeneous mechanism. Stamboulis et al. (2004) explained crystallisation
of FAp and mullite phases in the A-M system in even greater detail by analysing heat-treated A-M samples with Magic Angle Spinning-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (MAS-NMR) spectroscopy. Spectra from $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR in Figure 1.14(a) show how aluminium resonance is relatively unchanged until the GC reaches second crystallisation temperature ($T_{c2}$) whereupon the GC starts to crystallise to mullite. It can be observed from Figure 1.14(b), that a broad peak seen at around 50 ppm, assigned to Al(IV), remains unchanged even after FAp crystallisation ($T_{c1}$).

Figure 1.14: $^{27}$Al (a) and $^{19}$F (b) MAS-NMR spectra of LG120 heat-treated at different temperatures (Stamboulis et al., 2004)

During the second phase crystallisation, an additional peak, at around 12 ppm, which is assigned to an Al(VI) in mullite is observed. Stamboulis et al. (2004) further explain, that during first and second crystallisation processes, charge balancing cations for maintaining aluminium in a four-fold coordination state, Al(IV) are consumed during FAp formation, therefore, at higher temperatures, the lack of charge balancing cations to keep aluminium in a IV coordination state forces aluminium to take up higher coordination
states, in this case, Al(VI). $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra as shown in Figure 1.14 (b) show how the fluoride environment changes with increasing heat-treatment temperature. The lowermost $^{19}$F spectrum of the untreated LG120 glass in Figure 1.14 (b) show two broad peaks at -90 ppm and -150 ppm that can be attributed to the amorphous fluoride environments in the untreated glass, F-M(n) and Al-F-M(n) respectively, (Zang and Stebbins, 2000). However, at $T_{C1}$, a sharp peak at around -103 ppm develops at the expense of F-Ca(n) peak, which is assigned to fluoride in a F-Ca(3) environment in FAp. At higher temperatures, such as $T_{C2}$, fluoride from Al-F-M(n) peak is also fully consumed and Stamboulis et al. (2004) further proposed that F-M(n) such as F-Ca(n) species preferentially charge balance the non-bridging oxygens in the phosphorus locality. It can be additionally postulated that such preference to balance the non-bridging oxygens in the phosphorus locality reduces kinetic energy barrier to FAp nucleation and crystal growth, because the local environment of Ca, P and F in the glass is similar to that present in fluorapatite.

Relatively recently, Stamboulis et al. (2006), Hill et al. (2007) and O’Donnell et al. (2010) conducted real-time small angle neutron scattering (SANS) and neutron diffraction (ND) experiments on the A-M systems developed in the early 1990s. They postulated that amorphous glasses that form the A-M system may have undergone phase separation by spinodal decomposition during the casting process on a scale of 25-27 nm (Hill et al., 2007). Similarly, the same cast A-M system isothermally heat-treated at 740°C and 750°C initially showed neutron scattering at higher $q$ which then moved to lower $q$ with increasing temperatures where the scale of the LLPS corresponded to about 35 nm. This provides evidence that undercooled
liquids can undergo liquid-liquid phase separation by nucleation and growth, whereupon the chemical system can overcome the thermodynamic and kinetic energy barriers to nucleation and subsequent amorphous phase growth. It is suggested by Hill et al. (2007) that the A-M cast glass is initially phase-separated by spinodal decomposition, whereby rapid cooling creates a barrier to nucleation and growth. However, it may be argued, that scattering at lower q in the as-cast glasses may be attributed to a finer LLPS by nucleation and growth. SANS experiments by Hill and co-workers provide evidence that finer scale phase separation in the apatite-mullite system increases in size as a function of temperature, which can be either attributed to the fact that the cast A-M system is initially spinodally decomposed and then undergoes LLPS by nucleation and growth or more likely LLPS growth by an Ostwald ripening mechanism.

Further studies of an A-M glass-ceramic analysed by heat-treating an amorphous precursor glass up to 1200°C with in situ TOF-neutron diffraction (O’Donnell et al., 2010) explain the crystallisation behaviour of the A-M system in more detail. O’Donnell et al., (2010) reported that FAp and mullite crystallised on heating until 1130 °C followed by the partial dissolution of both phases at higher temperatures. It was also found that on the subsequent cooling of the A-M system, recrystallisation occurred and additional new phases were produced, namely berlinite (AlPO₄) and cristobalite (SiO₂), which formed at around 1025°C in addition to the FAp and mullite. This indicates that the subsequent cooling of the GC can produce additional crystal phases, which may be undesirable but can be avoided by introducing higher cooling rates to create an energy barrier to nucleation and growth of the undesirable crystal phases.
Stanton and Hill (2005) found that apatite phases in the A-M system grow as dendrites and spherulites. Generally, the microstructure of a GC is strongly influenced by the conditions of the heat-treatment of the parent glass, this namely includes duration, temperature and cooling rate and whether or not the base glass compositions is doped with additional nucleants, for example, such as reported with niobium-doped FAp GCs by Denry et al. (2012). Mechanical properties particularly fracture toughness of the A-M GC developed by Hill and co-workers surpass mechanical properties of the alternative glass-ceramic systems discussed in this review (Table 1.1).

In vivo animal studies conducted by Freeman et al. (2003) show that a fully crystallised A-M glass-ceramic osseointegrates as shown in Figure 1.15(b); however, its amorphous precursor base glass implant does not osseointegrate, which is evident from the fibrous tissue around the glass implant as shown in Figure 1.15(a) and the lack of implant-bone interfaces.

Goodridge et al. (2007) report on both, in vitro and in vivo properties of the porous A-M glass ceramic system produced through selective laser sintering (SLS) method, using cast A-M and commercial A-W glass-ceramics as positive controls. In the four weeks study, Goodridge et al. (2007) report that
no sign of inflammation or adverse tissue reaction was observed around all the implants. Analyses of the implant-bone interfaces by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) showed evidence for bone ingrowth into the both porous materials, the A-M system produced through SLS method and the A-W sintered glass-ceramic. Although Goodridge et al. (2007) report that the laser sintered apatite-mullite system developed by Hill et al. (1991) does not form apatite in SBF, previous studies indicate that SBF studies are not adequate in the assessment of bioactivity of aluminium-containing GCs (Strnad, 1992). Stanton et al. (2009) assessed the interfacial chemistry between the A-M system and a titanium alloy, for potential applications of the A-M system for orthopaedic implant coatings. Stanton et al. (2009) enamelled the A-M glass-ceramic to titanium by heat-treatment and thereafter analysed the interfacial reaction zone between the A-M glass-ceramic and the titanium alloy by high angle annular dark field transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-TEM). Stanton et al. (2009) found that titanium diffused into the intermediate layer of the glass-ceramic and postulated that titanium silicides and titanium phosphides were formed based on the elemental analysis of the interfacial zones by energy dispersive X-rays (EDX) that produced characteristic photons for Ti, Si and P atoms but not oxygen atoms. This study shows that the A-M glass-ceramic can chemically bond to titanium. This can be useful in overcoming the problem of coating detachment observed with micromechanical surface retention of plasma sprayed hydroxyapatite coatings (Filiaggi et al., 1991). The A-M glass-ceramic coating may enhance osseointegration at the bone-implant interface and may provide long-term stability of the A-M coated implants.
Wood and Hill (1991) produced cements from the A-M glass-ceramic ionomer-type systems with varying degrees of crystallinity for potential application as bone cements. Wood and Hill (1991) found that the degree of crystallinity of the A-M glass-ceramic can influence the properties of the cements, such as working and setting times of the cement pastes and the mechanical properties of the set cements.

### 1.3.3.1.4 Strontium-Substituted Fluorapatite Glass-Ceramics

Hill et al. (2004) developed strontium substituted FAp glass-ceramics for potential orthopaedic applications, in the system SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-P$_2$O$_5$-CaO/SrO-CaF$_2$/SrF$_2$ (Table 1.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass code</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF$_2$</th>
<th>SrO</th>
<th>SrF$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG26</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG119</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG125</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG26Sr</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>14.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since strontium has a higher atomic number than calcium, strontium-substituted materials exhibit higher radiopacity, which enables the clinician to distinguish between the implant and bone on a radiograph.

Hill and co-workers (2004) observed that substituting strontium for calcium has little effect on the parent glass structure. However, the crystallisation behaviour of the glasses as a function of strontium content was markedly altered. Base glasses without any strontium exhibited complete bulk crystallisation with the first crystallisation temperature being independent of the particle size, whereas glasses with strontium and no calcium exhibited
predominantly surface nucleation. Glasses with equimolar proportions of calcium and strontium crystallised via bulk and surface. Hill et al. (2004) show that increasing strontium substitution hinders bulk crystallisation of apatite, which is reflected in an increase in crystallisation temperature, and promotion of surface nucleation of apatite at the expense of bulk nucleation, as evidenced by particle size dependence on the crystallisation temperature of the FAp phases. Hill et al. (2004) also observed that equimolar strontium-calcium composition resulted in a glass with a reduced second exothermic process associated with mullite crystallisation. This can be attributed to an increased mobility of the glass network and a lower glass transition temperature, which produces a more dominant heterogeneous crystallisation effect. Such a reduction in crystallisation temperature would suggest a new crystalline phase, and not simply a reduction in crystallisation temperature (Hill et al., 2004).

In another study Hill et al. (2006) elucidated Ca and Sr sites in mixed FAp through $^{19}$F MAS-NMR. Obtained results showed that the F was present as F-Ca(3) in the all calcium glass and as F-Sr(3) in the all strontium glass. In the mixed glasses fluoride was present as mixed sites: F-Ca(3), F-Ca(2)Sr, F-CaSr(2) and F-Sr(3). Ca had a higher tendency to occupy the F-M(3) sites than Sr which may reflect the higher charge to size ratio of Ca$^{2+}$ relative to Sr$^{2+}$ and its greater affinity for F$^{-}$ ions.
Figure 1.16: $^{19}$F MAS-NMR chemical shifts for mixed calcium/strontium apatites, adapted from Hill et al. (2006)

An *in vivo* animal study by Sabareeswaran *et al.* (2013) on a glass-ceramic that surface crystallised to a strontium FAp phase and a Sr-celsian phase (feldspar) as identified by XRD was found to be highly ossteoconductive and biocompatible. X-ray microtomography (XMT) analysis of the inorganic-organic interfaces showed highly mineralised newly formed bone adjacent to the synthetic implant surface.

It is known that at low concentrations strontium can promote mineralisation of bone (Verberckmoes and D’Haese, 2003). It is also known that strontium-containing bioactive glasses show increased osteoblast proliferation and alkaline phosphatase activity (Gentleman *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, it would be desirable to measure ion release (particularly Sr$^{2+}$) of the strontium FAp GC developed by Hill *et al.* (2004).
1.3.3.1.5 Fluorapatite and Chlorapatite Glass-Ceramics

Recently, Chen et al. (2014a and 2014b) developed novel alkali-free FAp and chlorapatite (ClAp) glass-ceramics from bioactive glasses. Chen et al. (2014a) produced a series of bioactive glasses of varying metal fluoride content and found that bioactive glasses with high fluoride content crystallised to FAp and crystalline CaF$_2$ and SrF$_2$ on quenching. This shows that metal fluoride content can determine the crystallisation window ($T_x$) between the glass transition ($T_g$) and the crystallisation onset ($T_{c\text{onset}}$). It is desirable to obtain initially amorphous base glass so that the crystallisation of, say FAp phases, can be controlled. Chen et al. (2014a) also found that the amorphous base glass powder without any fluoride content crystallised to a wollastonite phase through a surface mechanism. Furthermore, all fluoride containing glasses bulk crystallised to FAp via a homogeneous nucleation mechanism.

Chen et al. (2014c) studied novel bioactive chloroapatite glass-ceramics in the system of SiO$_2$-P$_2$O$_5$-CaO-CaCl$_2$. It is known that ClAp completely converts to hydroxyapatite in the presence of water (Elliot and Young, 1967) hence making ClAp glass-ceramics attractive for both, medical and dental applications. Chen et al. (2014c) emphasise that ClAp is less stable than FAp. This is attributed to the chloride ion being larger than hydroxyl or fluoride ion. The fluoride ion is small enough to fit in the centre of the Ca(II) triangle in the FAp lattice, whereas larger ions such as hydroxyl and chloride do not fit in the centre of the Ca(II) triangle but are rather displaced above the plane of the Ca(II) triangle. The chloride ion is larger than hydroxyl ion and therefore it is displaced further away from the Ca(II) triangle. This
intrinsic apatite lattice instability brought about by the chloride ion allows the rapid exchange for a smaller ion, such as a hydroxyl ion. On increasing the fluoride or chloride content in the bioactive glass systems, Chen et al. (2014b; 2014c) found that there was an increasing tendency of the glasses to crystallise. The halogen-free glass surface crystallised to a pseudowollastonite (α-CaSiO₃) and an apatite, presumably an oxyapatite. Pseudowollastonite induces apatite formation in SBF (Siriphannon et al., 2000) and is highly resorbable in vivo (de Aza et al., 2000). ClAp phases in the Chen et al. (2014b) crystallised via the homogeneous route, which from a material processing point of view is highly desirable. FAp is largely insoluble in vivo so ClAp GCs offer the potential for producing highly resorbable GC implants. Nonetheless, there is a need for further characterisation of these ClAp GCs, including characterisation of the in vivo activity and the relationships between the composition, heat-treatment, microstructure and mechanical properties.

1.3.3.2 Dentistry

1.3.3.2.1 Fluorapatite-Leucite Glass-Ceramics

Höland et al. (1994) developed FAp-leucite (KAlSi₂O₆) (A-L) glass-ceramics in the SiO₂-Al₂O₃-Na₂O-K₂O-P₂O₅-F system, for potential application in restorative dentistry. Research led by Höland led to the development of the commercial apatite-leucite glass-ceramic IPS d.SIGN (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein). The needle-like apatite phases in the A-L system crystallised via a homogeneous crystallisation mechanism, which is a likely indication of prior LLPS. Additionally, upon DSC analysis of the A-L system, Höland et al.
(2000) observed a unique phenomenon; the A-L system exhibits two endothermic reactions, first at 565°C and second endothermic reaction at 634°C. Previously, the two endothermic reactions were assigned to a phase transformation into two amorphous phases; one glassy, silica-rich phase and a droplet-like phase rich in Ca and P elements. Höland et al. (2000) suggests that the second endothermic reaction at 634°C is a transformation to a crystal phase, namely a sodium-calcium orthophosphate (NaCaPO₄), which was confirmed by XRD analysis. Furthermore, Höland et al. (2000) observed another interesting phenomenon, at higher temperatures (640°C) NaCaPO₄ crystals dissolved and recrystallised to a new crystal phase. However, this new crystal phase could not be matched to any known phases when checked against the ICDD (International Centre of Diffraction Data) database. Additionally, Höland et al. (2000) found that once the new phase is formed, apatite crystallisation proceeds at 700°C. Heat-treatment of the A-L system at 700°C for 8 hours does not result in a material with needle-like microstructure, it requires additional heat-treatment at 1050°C for 2h for the development of needle-like FAp crystals (Höland et al., 2000). This shows that the thermal treatment of the base glass can strongly influence both, the appearance of the material and also the morphology of the GC material.

Höland et al. (2000) suggest that the morphology of the needle-like apatite is comparable to that of the apatite in natural teeth. The A-L glass-ceramic exhibits good chemical durability, solubility of the GC being only at 60-70 μg/cm². The translucency of the A-L system varies and is in the range of 5.8-10.4%, depending how the material is processed. The A-L glass-ceramic shows thermal expansion in the range of 14.1-14.8 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹, which is quite close to that of titanium, therefore the A-L glass-ceramic is highly suitable for
direct sintering on metal abutments. Since 1998, there have been more than 60 million dental restorations performed using commercial IPS d.SIGN® A-L glass-ceramic (Höland and Rheinberger, 2008), making it the most commercially successful glass-ceramic developed to date.

1.3.3.2.2 Strontium-Substituted Apatite-leucite Glass-Ceramics

Höland et al. (2015) developed radiopaque strontium FAp (Sr-FAp) containing glass-ceramics (Table 1.6) for dental applications where Sr-FAp phase crystallised via the homogeneous mechanisms.

Table 1.6: Strontium fluorapatite based glass compositions (converted to mol % from Höland et al. (2015))
Höland et al. (2015) was also able to obtain secondary and tertiary crystal phases, in addition to Sr-FAp, including leucite (KAlSi$_2$O$_6$), rubidium leucite (RbSi$_2$O$_6$), caesium pollucite (CsAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_6$) and sodium strontium orthophosphate (NaSrPO$_4$). However, both leucite and pollucite phases showed surface crystallisation. Höland et al. (2015) found that some of the base glasses that were rapidly quenched into water (to prevent crystallisation) did not avoid crystallisation completely. Based on XRD analysis of all parent glasses Höland et al. (2015) found that all glasses, except glass code 5 were nanocrystalline. XRD analyses of the base glasses 1 and 2 showed the presence of nanoscale Sr$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$F, whereas base glasses 3 and 4 contained nanocrystalline phases of NaSrPO$_4$. Höland et al. (2015) also report that only two of the “as-quenched” base glasses were optically clear, namely glass 1 and 5, as opposed to base glasses 2, 3 and 4, which were opalescent in the visible light. Höland et al. (2015) showed that Sr-FAp glass-ceramics are radiopaque. Therefore, these glass-ceramics may become more clinically relevant when they are commercially available.

1.3.4 Nanoscale Fluorapatite Glass-Ceramics

Glass-ceramics containing nanoscale phases of crystals are produced by carefully controlling heat-treatments of the parent glasses, in which the nucleation rate is enhanced and the crystal growth rate is low. Crystal growth rates can be suppressed by keeping the crystal growth temperature close to $T_g$. The $T_g$ of the residual glass phase increases as crystallisation occurs. Crystallisation of fluoride containing phases, such as FAp is particularly
attractive here since a reduction in fluoride content in the residual glass phase results in a large increase in $T_g$. Alternatively, nanocrystalline phases in GCs can be obtained by developing parent glasses that phase-separate on a nanoscale, whereby subsequent crystal growth is limited by the boundaries of the LLPS domain, provided the glasses cannot overcome kinetic energy barrier to Ostwald ripening. Regardless of the application of the nano-GCs, the size and microstructure of apatite crystals are very important factors that determine whether a glass-ceramic is opaque or transparent after crystallisation. Transparent GCs contain crystals smaller than the wavelength of light. Such nanoscale GCs are used for different applications, ranging from transparent zero thermal expansion coefficient cooking hobs, consumer electronics to laser amplifiers in optoelectronics. Transparency of the GC is highly desirable in these applications because light transmission through a transparent GC is highly efficient due to no or low internal light scattering.

Rare earth elements, such as those in the lanthanide group have special photon absorption and reemission properties. Under near-infrared excitation, rare earth elements can absorb lower energy photons and reemit higher energy photons (anti-Stokes emission). In contrast, quantum dots or organic dyes, on the other hand, are excited by high-energy photons but reemit low energy photons (Stokes emission), which exhibit luminescence in the visible spectrum.

Glasses and glass-ceramics doped with rare earth elements can have some very special optical properties. Such glasses and glass-ceramics are used for applications in solid-state lasers, optical amplifiers and display screens. Glasses doped with rare earth elements usually exhibit broader spectral
bands and smaller absorption and reemission characteristics as compared to glass-ceramics, which are more efficient and show higher absorption and re-emission characteristic in addition to narrower spectral bands (Zhang et al., 2012a; 2013a; 2013b).

Furthermore, fluoride-containing crystals such as FAp are also known to have low phonon energies and low dielectric loss, which is an attractive property in optoelectronics. Despite the commercial use of single crystal lasers based on apatites and the fact that apatite lattices are also very attractive for accommodating rare earth ions there are limited number of publications on nano-crystalline apatite glass-ceramics since the first patent publication by Pinckney and Dajneka (1998).

Tulyaganov (2000) developed FAp (Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$F)–anorthite (CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_6$)-diopside (CaMgSi$_2$O$_6$) glass-ceramics in the system of SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-P$_2$O$_5$-CaO-CaF$_2$-MgO (Table 1.7) for potential applications in optical amplifiers. In the above system, FAp crystallisation was through a homogeneous mechanism, whereas anorthite and diopside phases crystallised via a surface mechanism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.7: Fluorapatite-anorthite-diopside base glass composition in mol %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Tulyaganov, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hill et al. (2010) found evidence that FAp crystallisation in the Tulyagnov (2000) system was nano-scaled and self-limiting. Hill et al. (2010) provide evidence that FAp crystallisation is self-limiting because the system exhibits LLPS on a nano-scale i.e. the size of the phase-separated domain restricts subsequent crystal growth such that it cannot grow easily beyond the bounds of the LLPS. Hill et al. (2010) also argued that if the crystallisation of the
fluoride containing crystal phase occurs close to the glass transition temperature and the crystallisation process results in a significant increase in the glass transition temperature and as a result the surrounding “glassy” phase will limit further crystal growth. Hill et al. (2010) proposed that metal fluoride content at or below the stoichiometry of the FAp crystal is one of the factors needed to obtain nano-scale phases of FAp in the GC studied. These results are explained in terms of an approach, which views glasses as being inorganic polymers where the presence of fluoride disrupts the glass network, and thereby reduces the energy barrier to homogeneous nucleation and crystallisation of the FAp phases. Notably, Hill et al. (2010) also found some evidence that Mg can occupy Ca(II) sites of the FAp lattice, which was previously unknown in GCs. It is known that Mg$^{2+}$ cations limit apatite crystal growth by blocking surface sites on the FAp crystal (LeGeros et al., 1980).

Single FAp crystals doped with rare earths have been considered for applications in lasers (Ohlmann et al., 1968; Deloach et al., 1993; 1994). However, their poor thermomechanical properties (Hopkins et al. 1971; Payne et al., 1994) can result in beam distortion due to thermal distortion that produces refractive index variations within the crystal. Furthermore, production of single crystals of FAp is not only expensive but unlike a glass-ceramic route cannot be processed into fibres and complex shapes. Polycrystalline apatites generally result in an opaque material, which is undesirable for optoelectronics unless the crystals are nanoscale and the dimensions of the crystals are less than the wavelength of light. Consequently, nanocrystalline FAp GCs are of particular interest.

Zhang et al. (2012; 2013a; 2013b) developed Nd and Eu doped visually transparent FAp glass-ceramics (Table 1.8) for potential applications in
optical amplifiers. Nd and Eu ions are f-block elements and can exhibit high degree of up-conversion in appropriate crystal lattices; therefore they are highly attractive dopants in rare-earth accommodating lattices, such as the apatite. Zhang et al. (2012; 2013a; 2013b) systems crystallise to FAp and mullite, therefore careful control of the heat-treatment is crucial to avoid the crystallisation of the mullite phase.

The ZH1 Nd doped glass (Table 1.8), as compared to its undoped version, showed a markedly reduced FAp crystallisation temperature, in contrast, ZH3, strontium version of the same parent glass did not show a reduction in crystallisation temperature but rather a less pronounced area under the exotherm assigned to FAp. Furthermore, although Zhang et al. (2013b) compositions contain strong nucleants, such as P$_2$O$_5$, comparing DSC traces of the doped and the undoped ZH3 glasses, less pronounced FAp exotherms observed with the Nd-doped GC may be attributed to enhanced heterogeneous nucleation mechanism, as opposed to reduced overall crystallisation, however, such explanation would have to be confirmed by analysing particle size dependence on the crystallisation temperature.

Table 1.8: Compositions (in mol%) of Nd and Eu doped fluorapatite nano-scale glass-ceramics (Zheng et al., 2012a; 2012b; 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>CaCO$_3$</th>
<th>SrCO$_3$</th>
<th>CaF$_2$</th>
<th>SrF$_2$</th>
<th>La$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Li$_2$O</th>
<th>B$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>ZrO$_2$</th>
<th>Nd$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Eu$_2$O$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$F:Nd$^{3+}$ (ZH1)</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$F:Eu$^{3+}$ (ZH2)</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$F:Nd$^{3+}$ (ZH3)</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notably, Zhang et al. (2012) system (ZH1, Table 1.8) could remain optically clear after 24 hour heat-treatment at 790°C. This indicates that Zhang et al. (2012) system has undergone LLPS on a nanoscale, which therefore
restricts FAp growth beyond the LLPS domain. Additionally, the kinetic barrier to Ostwald ripening during heat-treatment is therefore not overcome in Zhang et al. (2012; 2013a; 2013b) systems, which prevents crystal growth into the light scattering dimensions.

Furthermore, SrFAp (Zhang et al., 2013b) as opposed to CaFAp (Zhang et al., 2012) glass-ceramic was found to have a markedly reduced visible spectrum transmittance, which authors attributed to a lower volume fraction of SrFAp crystals (12±2 %) as opposed to the volume fraction of CaFAp (19±6%) in the alternative calcium-containing glass-ceramic. Additionally, Zhang et al. (2012) found that Nd doped calcium FAp GC showed better absorption and emission properties as compared to a strontium FAp GC (Zhang et al., 2013b) and argued that better absorption and emission properties of a calcium FAp GC may be attributed to a higher fraction of Nd$^{3+}$ ions being incorporated into the former crystal lattice. Therefore, Nd$^{3+}$ doped calcium FAp GCs likely have an advantage over Nd$^{3+}$ doped strontium FAp GCs.

Nonetheless, Zhang et al. (2012; 2013a; 2013b) were able to closely match the refractive index between the glass matrix and the crystal phases for smooth photon transitions across the medium. Additionally, the crystals in the GCs are smaller than the excitation and emission wavelengths and therefore such crystals are outside of the light scattering dimensions that in turn produce an energy-efficient material for luminescence application.
1.3.5 Nuclear Waste Immobilisation

Currently, high-level radioactive waste (HLW) from nuclear fission products are fused at high temperatures (~1250°C) with a borosilicate glass and subsequently stored in repositories. However, due to long half-life of α-emitting radioactive elements, these HLW can potentially only be stored for up to three hundred years in these glass matrices. GC, on the other hand, can provide a useful alternative for nuclear waste immobilization because they will provide two barriers of containment: one being the host crystal phase(s) and second the amorphous glass matrix. Additionally, a devitrified material is more resistant to water due to higher network connectivity of the residual glassy phase.

Weber et al. (1979; 1993) synthesised Cm-doped silicates with the apatite structure but found them to be poor nuclear waste hosts because they completely transformed into an amorphous state when exposed to nuclear material. This resulted in a volume expansion and micro-fracturing of the material.

It is quite important to point out that phosphate apatite, as opposed to other apatitic phases show better resistance to amorphisation (due to nuclear decay) and better chemical stability. Soulet et al. (2001) showed that phosphosilicate FAp ceramics exhibit increasing resistance to amorphisation when SiO$_4$ is substituted by PO$_4$. Fang et al. (2014) also found that phosphate apatites show superior chemical stability (under an acetic acid challenge) when the atomic proportion of phosphorus replaced by silicon and sulphur did not exceed one third.
At the Atomic Weapons Establishment (UK), Donald et al. (2007) developed novel calcium phosphate-based halogen-containing calcium apatite ceramics for a universal actinide- and halide-containing waste immobilization. In the preliminary study, Donald et al. (2007) produced four types of experimental halide-containing nuclear waste streams, in addition to a series of mainly sodium aluminium phosphate (NaAlP) glasses for subsequent encapsulation of the waste-hosting calcium phosphate ceramics. However, it is important to underline that apatite ceramics developed by Donald et al. (2007) require additional encapsulation in a durable glass matrix. Therefore, it may be argued that ceramics developed by Donald et al. (2007) are not traditional GCs. Nonetheless, research led by Donald et al. (2007) is still ongoing. From a manufacturing point of view, developing a traditional apatite GC could possibly provide a more cost-effective alternative. Apatite-containing glass-ceramics are potentially excellent candidates as nuclear waste hosts, which is evident from studies on the apatite ceramic materials.

1.4 Glass Ionomer Cement

1.4.1 Glass Component

1.4.1.1 Glass Composition and Structure

Acid-degradable glasses used for glass-poly(alkenoate) (ionomer) cements (GICs) are usually made in the alumino-silicate system of \( \text{SiO}_2 \cdot \text{Al}_2 \text{O}_3 \cdot \text{P}_2 \text{O}_5 \cdot \text{CaO} \cdot \text{CaF}_2 \) (example shown in Table 1.90). In addition to these components, commercial GIC glasses may contain sodium, zinc, strontium and barium components (Table 1.91), either as an oxide or a
fluoride. Glass is usually produced by melting glass components in high temperature (1150-1550°C) furnace for a specified period of time, depending on the glass composition. Glasses containing volatile elements are usually produced in cold-top furnace to prevent volatilisation of volatile elements, for example, fluorine.

Table 1.90: Model GIC compositions in mol % (Rafferty et al., 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF₂</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG99</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG95</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>23.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG115</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.91: Commercial glass compositions in mol % (based on XRF analysis, converted to mol %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SrO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>BaO</th>
<th>ZnO</th>
<th>La₂O₃</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amalgomer</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemfil Rock</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemfil Superior</td>
<td>24.13</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuji IX Fast</td>
<td>30.61</td>
<td>17.14</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionofil</td>
<td>36.13</td>
<td>16.21</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>28.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketac Fil</td>
<td>28.84</td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketac Molar</td>
<td>24.89</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riva</td>
<td>34.95</td>
<td>17.94</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Glass</td>
<td>42.56</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on ²⁹Si MAS-NMR experiments, both, laboratory-synthesised and commercial GIC glass compositions show Q⁴-(4Al) and Q⁴(3Al)(1Si) silicate network structures (Stamboulis et al., 2003) and (Stamboulis et al., 2004).

Glass-poly(alkenoate) cement properties are highly dependent on the ion leachable glass composition and thus require an in-depth understanding of the composition-property relationship. In the two studies, Griffin and Hill (1999) and De Barra and Hill (2000) have concluded that aluminium,
phosphate and metal fluoride content in the GIC glass can influence cement properties in more complex, multi-component fluoro-alumino-silicate glass compositions. Silica is the network former in the glass ionomer glasses where it provides bonding sites for the intermediate and modifying oxides. The crosslinking of the poly-(acrylic acid) (PAA) chains depend on the basicity of the GIC glass. If the glass does not contain sufficient amount of network modifying cations it will not form cement i.e. PAA chains will not be cross-linked. Thus, the GIC glass should have a net positive charge, which is provided by the addition of various metal oxides (Wilson et al., 1980). Alumina is an intermediate oxide and therefore takes part in the silicate network as an intermediate oxide between the network former and the network modifier. Based on Lowenstein Rules on Glass Formation, alumina can also be classified as a conditional network former because it does not form glass on its own but can do so in association with sufficient amount of a glass forming oxides (Paul, 1982). Aluminium can form Al-O-P (Figure 1.17) and Al-O-Si linkages in the silicate network (Griffin and Hill, 1999).

There is a strong association between aluminium coordination number in the GIC glass and the mechanical properties of the cement (Zainuddin et al., 2009). Based on solid-state MAS-NMR studies, aluminium in GIC glasses predominantly exists in a four-coordinate state. In addition to four-coordinate aluminium, it can also exist in 5 and 6-coordination states (Stamboulis et al., 2004 and Zainuddin et al., 2009).
The phosphate content in the modern GIC glass comprises a significant fraction. Therefore, it is important to understand and localise phosphate species/coordinated states in the phosphate-containing GIC glasses. Dupree et al., (1989) showed that phosphate in alumino-silicates systems exists as AlPO$_7$ species (Figure 1.17).

It was believed by Griffin and Hill (1999) that phosphate content in fluoro-alumino-silicate glasses will influence GIC setting properties because P$^{5+}$ competes with Al$^{3+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ for COO$^-$ groups. However, it is now understood that Al-O-P linkages within the network are not hydrolysable, and as such aluminium charge balanced by phosphorus does not take part in the GIC setting reaction. Griffin and Hill (1999) found that low phosphate content is beneficial in that it extends working and setting times of the cements, authors also observed small improvements in compressive strength with low phosphate glasses. Griffin and Hill (1999) also concluded that glasses higher in phosphate excessively disrupt the crosslinking process in the cement by competing with metal ions for carboxylate groups and also observed significant reduction of compressive strength and Young's moduli and increase in working and setting times of the cement pastes. Although, Griffin and Hill (1999) have correlated phosphate content with the rheology and the mechanical properties of the cements correctly, their attempt to define the underlying mechanism was unsuccessful until much later.
High phosphate content may give rise to more metastable Al-O-P linkages within the glass network, which makes Al(IV) unavailable for PAA crosslinking (Zainuddin et al., 2012), which as mentioned earlier, is in opposition to the earlier opinions of Griffin and Hill (1999). Metal oxides, such as calcium oxide modify the alumino-silicate network by linking silicon tetrahedra (Figure 1.18).

![Ionic bond](image)

**Figure 1.18: Calcium ion bridging two oxygens in the silicate network**

Calcium ion can also locally charge balance aluminium. Some commercial GIC glasses contain strontium oxide, either fully or partially substituted for the calcium oxide. Strontium has a slightly larger ionic radius (1.13Å) as compared to calcium (0.99Å), thus glasses containing strontium show decreased glass transition temperatures (Fujikura et al., 2012) and (Fredholm et al., 2010), increased glass density but decreased oxygen densities (Feldhom et al., 2010) explained by a more expanded glass network. Because of the higher atomic number of strontium, strontium-containing GICs provide diagnostic radiopacity (Shahid et al., 2014). It is also notable that, although strontium has a larger atomic radius than calcium, strontium substituted glasses do not have any significant effect in terms of the cement aesthetics compared to unsubstituted glasses (Shahid et al., 2014).
Most modern GIC glasses contain a relatively high proportion of fluoride. The fluoride components are attractive additives in GIC glasses because of the anti-cariogenic properties of the fluoride ion and the ability to lower the refractive index of oxide glasses. Fluoride reduces glass transition temperature (Griffin and Hill, 2000), it also reduces melt viscosity and increases glass degradability. Therefore, structural role of the fluoride ion in alumino-silicate glasses is highly interesting and important. During the early studies of the GIC glasses by Wilson and Hill (1988) it was proposed that the fluoride ion, such as from metal fluorite components (CaF$_2$ and SrF$_2$), replaces non-bridging oxygens (NBO) with non-bridging fluoride atoms in the silicate network. However, more recent solid-state MAS-NMR studies by Kohn et al. (1991), Zeng and Stebbins (2000), Stamboulis et al. (2004) and also by Hill et al. (2005) suggest that fluoride in the GIC glass system of SiO$_2$·Al$_2$O$_3$·P$_2$O$_5$·CaO·CaF$_2$ exists as Al-F-M(n) and F-M(n) species, rather than SiO$_3$F as proposed in the early studies by Wilson et al. (1980) and later reported again by Wilson and Hill (1988). Based on more recent MAS-NMR experiments, it is known that fluoride in GIC glasses preferentially exists in Al-F-M(n) and then F-M(n) species (Stamboulis et al., 2004). Fluoride species of F-M(n) reduces the average number of NBOs per silicon as it ionically bridges two NBOs, which is observed from $^{29}$Si MAS-NMR peak shifting upfield with increasing F-M(n) species (Stamboulis et al., 2004). Griffin and Hill (2000) showed that increasing the fluoride content in GIC glasses will reduce working and setting times of the cements. Fluoride is also a powerful glass network disrupter and as such, it increases the availability of hydrolysable bonds for the PAA crosslinking, which explains reduced working and setting times of the cements, observed by Griffin and Hill (2000).
Furthermore, the fluoride ion can also form insoluble complexes with Al\(^{3+}\) once Al-O-Si bonds are hydrolysed (Potin-Gautier et al., 1997).

1.4.1.2 Glass Processing

Industrially manufactured glass is dried, ground to a fine powder and then usually also sieved using a micron-scale mesh. Glass powder can be pre-treated with an acid, for example, washing the glass with an aqueous solution of acetic acid will reduce the reactivity of the glass. Acid-washing is required when the glass is too reactive. Acid-washing leaves an ion depleted silica layer and prolongs the working and setting times of the subsequently made cements (Billington et al., 2006). Annealing the GIC glasses at temperatures above the T\(_g\) is also known to reduce the reactivity of the glasses because this relieves the glass structure from internal stresses and puts the glass into a higher equilibrium. However, manufacturers do not routinely disclose such treatments.

The glass reactivity, clinical aesthetics and mechanical properties of GICs are also influenced by the powder particle size distribution. Smaller particle size distribution in the GIC powder has been shown to increase glass reactivity, compressive strength and Young’s modulus (De Caluwé et al., 2014) and (Prentice et al., 2005).
1.4.2 Liquid Component

The first GIC (ASPA-I) liquid was composed solely of aqueous poly-(acrylic acid) solution (Crisp et al., 1975). However, ASPA-I had poor working and setting characteristics and had a poor shelf life due to formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the polymer chains (Crisp et al., 1975). To improve the setting characteristics of the ASPA-I cement, Crisp et al. (1975) found that the addition of small amount (originally 5% by mass) of another carboxylic acid, namely tartaric acid, accelerated the working and setting characteristics of the cement. However, only the natural form of L-(+)-tartaric acid could improve the rheology of ASPA-I (Crisp et al., 1975).

Shelf-life and gelation of the developed PAA liquid was still an issue until 1977 when Crisp et al. filed a patent claiming unsaturated carboxylic acids of maleate and itaconate to reduce intermolecular hydrogen bonding and thus prevent gelation of the PAA. The rationale behind this invention was that maleic and itaconic polyacids are less stereo regular than PAA and thus the addition of such acids to the PAA solution would prevent PAA chain gelation. However, at the time it was not considered that stereo regularity is determined by the mode of polymerisation of monomers. It still remains unknown if completely atactic PAA would be resistant to gelation.

Molecular weight as well as concentration of PAA can significantly influence mechanical properties of the cement. Crisp et al. (1977) found that both the compressive strength and flexural strength properties of the set cement increased linearly over the range of polyacid concentrations studied. The work suggests that it is desirable to use a solution of highly concentrated PAA. Additionally, Fennell and Hill (2001) found that both, increasing molar
mass and concentration of polymer resulted in a nearly linear increase in compressive strength. However, Fennell and Hill (2001) also note that the compressive strength of GICs is as dependent on polymer molar mass and concentration as it is on glass volume fraction. Volume fraction is derived from glass density:

\[ \varphi_i = \frac{\text{Mass}}{\text{Density}} \quad \text{(Equation 1.2)} \]

Denser glasses have a smaller volume fraction and less surface area, therefore the availability of cations for PAA crosslinking is in short supply. For better mechanical properties, powder to liquid ratio should be adjusted to the volume fraction of the glass component.

### 1.4.3 Setting Reaction

The GIC setting reaction is an exothermic acid-base neutralisation reaction. The mechanism of short-term setting reaction of the GICs has been relatively well understood since the development of the first GIC in late 1960 (Crisp and Wilson, 1974). Once the glass powder is mixed with an aqueous solution of PAA, bonds in the glass network are broken down by hydrolysis. It is known that Al\(^{3+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) or Sr\(^{2+}\) (in strontium-containing glasses) leach out and ionically bond to COO\(^-\) groups found on the PAA chains (Figure 1.19). Cations such as Al\(^{3+}\) can crosslink up to three neighbouring polyacid chains, however, Munhoz et al. (2010) found that the majority of Al\(^{3+}\) cations do not form tricarboxylates in a commercial GIC (Fuji IX) but are rather coordinated with one or two carboxylic groups and other negative ions such as the
fluoride ion. Munhoz et al. (2010) also identified a second octahedral aluminium species in the $^{27}$Al spectra and postulated that it may be assigned to aluminium tartrate.

Based on numerous $^{27}$Al solid state MAS-NMR experiments, tetrahedral aluminium converts to octahedral aluminium as the cement sets, which is assigned to hydrated Al$^{3+}$ (Stamboulis et al., 2006), (Zainuddin et al., 2009) and (Munhuz et al., 2010).

Recently, solid-state NMR experiments provided more insight in the acid-base neutralisation reaction. Stamboulis et al. (2006) analysed the setting reaction of model GICs by $^{13}$C Cross Polarisation MAS-NMR experiment as well as $^{27}$Al, $^{19}$F, $^{29}$Si and $^{31}$P. Stamboulis et al. (2006) showed through $^{13}$C Cross Polarisation MAS-NMR experiment that peaks assigned to carbonyl groups along the polyacid chains shift downfield (carbon in COOH is deshielded) as a function of cement setting time, which is indicative of proton dissociation from the carbonyl group. It is likely that this is the only experiment of its kind to date that provides clear evidence of an acid-base reaction during the GIC setting process.

![Figure 1.19: Setting reaction of the GIC (Adapted from Griffin and Hill, 1999)](image-url)
Mechanical properties of GICs improve with time, which shows the presence of an ongoing setting reaction. In early studies, it was postulated that mechanical properties of GICs improve with time because of the continued crosslinking between the metal ions and the polyacid. However, later studies proposed that, in addition to the formation of crosslinks with the polyacid, leached ions are also involved in the formation of a hydrated inorganic silicate network (Wasson and Nicholson, 1993). Although with caution, Matsuya et al. (1996) also postulated that increasing mechanical properties of GIC with time is a result of hardening of the hydrated silicate network. However, more recent work suggests that phosphorus-free glasses do not mature which is indicative that the maturation of GICs is a complex process involving several glass components (Shahid et al., 2008). Furthermore, it is agreed that the ratio of bound to unbound water increases with ageing of the cements (Bordallo et al., 2006). Therefore, it is reasonable to say that water plays an important role in the setting reaction and in the formation of a hydrated inorganic network.

1.5 Mechanical Properties

1.5.1 Compressive Strength

Compressive Strength (CS) is the maximum stress a material can withstand under a constant load over an area. CS can be expressed by:

\[ \sigma = \frac{F}{A} \quad (\text{Equation 1.3}) \]
where $F$ is the applied load in unit newton and $A$ is the area in metres squared. CS is the only strength test specified by the International Organisation for Standardisation standard for a water-based cement for use in Dentistry (ISO 9917-1: 2003). The ISO standard defines compressive strength, relative to the mode of measurement, as

$$c = \frac{4F}{\pi d^2} \text{ (Equation 1.4)}$$

where $F$ is the maximum compressive force applied in unit newton; and $d$ is the measured sample diameter in millimetres.

Compared to other mechanical strength tests, CS is a relatively simple procedure with a fast turnaround. However, the use of this test in the assessment of GIC mechanical failure has been criticised since 1990s (McCabe et al., 1990). McCabe et al. (1990) found that CS test is unreliable because of the statistically unacceptable variation between the test results. McCabe et al. (1990) proposed that “standards should place less emphasis on the mean value of relatively small number of test specimens and the use of a simple form of probability theory in which, say, 80 per cent of specimens are required to achieve a certain pass level” proposing that some standards may need to increase the number of test specimens to achieve meaningful results.

Kendall (1978), Darvell (1990), Fennel and Hill (2001) and Baig et al. (2015) are of the opinion that stress at failure calculation does not take into account the failure mechanism operating during CS testing, whereby cylindrical specimens fail by ‘some unresolved combination of tension and shear’ stresses.
The minimum compressive strength value for water based restorative cements is 100 MPa, whereas for water-based lining cements the specified value is 50 MPa (ISO 9917-1: 2003). However, some commercial GICs, such as Amalgomer® show compressive strength of around 400 MPa (Woodfine et al., 2006).

1.5.2 Flexural Strength

An alternative approach to understanding the mechanical properties of cements is the assessment of their flexural strength (FS). FS is defined as

\[ \sigma = \frac{F}{bd} \] (Equation 1.5)

where \( F \) is the axial load applied at the fracture point; \( b \) is the width of the sample; and \( d \) is the thickness of the sample.

![Figure 1.2: Schematic representation of the three-point flexural bend test](image)

For 3-point bend measurement purposes (Figure 1.2), FS can be defined by
\[ \sigma = \frac{3FL}{2bd^2} \text{(Equation 1.6)} \]

where \( F \) is the axial load force applied, in unit newton; \( L \) is the length of the sample support span; \( b \) is the width of the sample; and \( d \) is the thickness of the sample. In FS experiment, the fracture occurs at midpoint under tension.

As mentioned earlier, a study by Fennel and Hill (2001) concluded that dominant parameters influencing the flexural strength of GICs are the PAA molar mass (Figure 1.21) and PAA concentration (Figure 1.22).

![Figure 1.21: Flexural Strength vs PAA concentration (Fennel and Hill, 2001)](image1.png)

![Figure 1.22: Flexural Strength vs PAA molar mass (Fennel and Hill, 2001)](image2.png)

In a study by Dowling et al. (2012) compressive strength, three-point flexural strength (TFS) and biaxial flexural strength (BFS) data from three commercial GICs were statistically compared for reproducibility \((p=0.05)\).

Based on one-way analysis of variance, Dowling et al. (2012) found that TFS and BFS were reproducible, however obtained compressive strength values were found to vary significantly and as such authors concluded that compressive strength measurement is not a statistically valid measurement. Authors could not show any statistical difference between TFS and BFS. Authors further suggest that specimen fabrication for BFS is relatively simple.
and less operator-dependent compared to specimen fabrication for TFS (Dowling et al., 2012).

1.5.3 Fracture Toughness and Toughness

Abrasive wear resistance of materials is often related to their fracture toughness and hardness (Wilson et al., 1989). Therefore, the measurement of fracture toughness and toughness is another means to assess the mechanical failure of GICs. Toughness is a property of a material to resist fracture when stressed, whereas Fracture Toughness is a property of materials containing a crack to resist fracture when stressed. Tensile Toughness can be defined by:

\[ U_T = \sigma \times \varepsilon \]  \hspace{1cm} (Equation 1.7)

where \( \sigma \) = stress and \( \varepsilon \) = strain; and can be obtained by stress-strain curve integration. Fracture toughness can be defined by:

\[ K_{1c} = \sigma_c \sqrt{\pi a} \]  \hspace{1cm} (Equation 1.8)

where \( \sigma_c \) is critical stress value; \( \pi \) is 3.14… and \( a \) is area.
1.6 Aesthetics

The aim of clinically aesthetic dentistry is to emulate natural colour and translucency of dentition. This can be achieved by using materials which have similar light absorption and light scattering characteristics. Tooth-coloured materials are produced by the incorporation of inorganic pigments or organic dyes in the material matrix. Inorganic pigments are often preferred to organic dyes because they are insoluble and therefore more durable.

The development of glass ionomer cement in 1968 can be considered a breakthrough in aesthetic dentistry because the new cement was translucent. Since the development of the first GICs aesthetics of modern cements have improved, mainly as a result of better understanding of the glass structure and structure-property relationships.

Translucent cements are produced by matching the refractive index (RI) of the in the material to avoid light scattering at the interfaces. Factors such as the polyalkenoic acid concentration and glass particle size can also influence the aesthetics as well as a number of other physical properties of dental cements.

Most commercial and model laboratory GIC glass compositions contain a significant amount of fluoride (Table 1.9 and 1.91). Fluoride ion is a powerful glass network disruptor and it is also known to lower the RI of glasses used in optoelectronics. Therefore, fluoride components may be added to glass compositions to lower the RI of the glasses for a better refractive index match.

For accurate RI calculation it is essential to know the RI of all GIC components, including the RI of the ion leachable glass. RIs of glasses can
be measured, for example by the Abbé refractometer or the Becke line technique. The Abbé refractometer can only be used if the glass of interest can be readily cast into a monolith with defined dimensions without crystallising or losing fluoride. GIC glasses are known to crystallise to fluorapatite, mullite and anorthite thus they have to be rapidly quenched to prevent crystallisation. Therefore, casting such glasses will result in slow quenching and this may result in crystallisation and any subsequent RI measurements will be practically impossible. In the Becke line technique RI of a glass can be determined on glass particles. Example of the mineral oil liquids used in the Becke Line technique shown is shown in Figure 1.23.

![Mineral oils used in the Becke line test (Cargille, USA)](image)

**Figure 1.23: Mineral oils used in the Becke line test (Cargille, USA)**

RI of glass in this technique is measured by immersing and dispersing coarse glass particles into a liquid with a known RI, preferably on a microscope slide and observed under a microscope. The sample is illuminated by a standard wavelength light, usually at 589 nm. If the RI of glass matches the RI of mineral oil it will be indicated by white Becke lines around the dispersed glass particles, which is a sign of low or no light scattering at the interfaces and therefore matching refractive indices. Furthermore, glass particles that are clearly visible and appear opaque indicate high light scattering at the interfaces and thus shows that refractive
indices are mismatching. In such cases, glass particles are then dispersed into a liquid with either a higher or a lower RI until a match is found.

Several models have been proposed that allow mathematical estimation of RI of glasses. Based on recent literature (Priven and Mazurin, 2003), the Appen model was found to estimate refractive indices of glasses with the lowest calculation error compared to other calculation methods. Appen Model uses a set of factors for glass oxides (Table 1.92) which are used in the Appen equation (Equation 1.9) that allow the estimation of RI of glass if the glass composition is known.

\[
n_d = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{d,i}C_i}{100} \tag{Equation 1.9}
\]

Each component in a glass has an oxide-specific Appen factor \( n_{d,i} \) which is multiplied by its mole fraction \( C_i \). The summation of the factors multiplied by their respective mol fractions is equal to the estimated refractive index of the glass, \( n_d \).

**Table 1.92: Appen factors for the glass oxides (Appen, 1974)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>Appen Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>1.4585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(_2)O(_5)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>1.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)O</td>
<td>1.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>1.775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glasses used in dentistry usually contain a significant amount of fluoride however, there are no Appen factors published to date for the amorphous metal fluorides.

Aesthetics of GICs are highly important in modern day dentistry; however scientific literature is limited on this aspect to a handful of publications. Translucency of GICs as well as other dental materials can be measured by either absolute translucency or relative translucency (contrast ratio). Spink et al. (2013) showed that contrast ratio or relative translucency measurements are not suitable for materials that transmit light at 50% or less.

Contrast ratio (CR) is a measurement of relative translucency. CR can be measured with any spectrophotometric instrument capable of detecting radiation intensity provided standards of known radiation intensities are used. CR is defined as:

$$ CR = \frac{L_B}{L_W} \quad \text{(Equation 1.91)} $$

Whereby $L_B$ is defined as luminance on a black background and $L_W$ is luminance on a white background.

The contrast ratio was adapted as a measure of translucency or opacity in dental research from the American Society for Testing Materials standard used to measure opacity in papers (Spink et al., 2013), which was then adapted by the American Dental Association in 1977.

Crisp et al. (1979), one of the developers of the GIC, provided further methodological improvement in CR measurement. Crisp et al. (1979) measured CR of ASPA cements using a goniophotometer. Crisp et al. (1979) noted that the standard requires a white background having a reflectance of
C₀,7₀ however, at that time, most available white backgrounds had a reflectance value of C₀,8₀. Crisp et al. (1979) also found that opacities of the first ASPA GICs decreased with time and that powder to liquid ratio did not have a significant effect on the opacities of the cements. Nonetheless, Crisp et al. (1979) also found that cements with higher pigmentation were more opaque, compared to identical cements with lower colour pigmentation. Later study by Assmusen (1983), once improved commercial GICs were developed, found that newer GICs were more translucent than the first generation of the cement. Assmusen (1983) also notes that newer GICs still had higher opacities than resin composite materials. Assmusen (1983) found that early water contact with GICs results in a considerable increase in opacity.

1.7 Magic Angle Spinning - Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

1.7.1 Introduction

Chemical elements that contain an odd number of protons or neutrons have an intrinsic nuclear angular momentum (spin) and a magnetic moment, which are carried by the intrinsic magnetism of the elementary particles, quarks and gluons.

Magnetic moment is related to the spin number of the nucleus (I) and the magnetogyratic ratio of the nucleus (γ). Magnetic moment can be defined by:

\[ \mu_i = \gamma I_i \text{ (Equation 1.92)} \]
The angular momentum and the magnetic moment are in inverse relationship, i.e. spin is created by a magnetic moment and a magnetic moment creates a spin, explained by Einstein-de-Hass effect and Barnett effect respectively. When a magnetic field is applied to a system with an angular momentum, it exerts a torque and the system can either align itself with the magnetic field or against the magnetic field.

When a magnetic field is applied to nuclei with an intrinsic angular momentum they can either absorb electromagnetic energy and go into a higher energy state or release it and go into a lower energy state. When a specific magnetic field is applied to a magnetisation vector, for example a nucleus, it will precess about this field at a defined frequency, known as the Larmor frequency. Larmor frequency can be defined by:

\[ \omega_0 = -\gamma B_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (Equation 1.93)

where \( \omega_0 \) is the Larmor frequency in hertz, \( \gamma \) is the magnetogyratic ratio, a constant for a given nucleus, and \( B_0 \) is the applied magnetic field in teslas.

In the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiment, a static magnetic field is applied to nuclei to align them with and against the applied magnetic field (Figure 1.24).

![Figure 1.24: Effect of magnetic field on a population of nuclei](image)
A radiofrequency (RF) wave is then applied at the aligned nuclei. RF wave is arranged in the NMR experiment so that its magnetic field oscillates along a direction perpendicular to the static magnetic field (Figure 1.25), termed $B_0$.

Once the RF wave is emitted, a nucleus aligned with the magnetic field will flip its magnetic moment i.e. the nucleus will align itself against the magnetic field (Figure 1.25).

If the RF wave is removed, the nucleus will resonate i.e. emit energy and return to its low energy state. It is this resonance energy which is measured and amplified by the NMR spectrometer. The energy emitted by a nucleus is then Fourier-transformed into chemical shift.

---

Figure 1.25: Effect of a radio wave on nuclei in a magnetic field

Figure 1.26: The NMR principle (Royal Society of Chemistry, available at http://www.rsc.org/learn-chemistry/wiki/index.php?title=File:NMRworkshopFig01.png&filetimestamp=20111014062217)
1.7.2 Nuclear Spin Interactions

1.7.2.1 Zeeman Effect

Zeeman Effect is the effect of splitting a spectral line into several spectral lines in the presence of a static magnetic field. It can be observed from a population of NMR active nuclei, which in the presence of a magnetic field split into different energy levels.

1.7.2.2 Shielding and Chemical Shift

In the presence of a magnetic field, electrons that surround a nucleus will produce a secondary opposing field. This secondary field generated by the electrons will affect the total field experienced by the nucleus and hence this field can change the resonance frequency of the nucleus. This is known as the shielding interaction. This interaction will cause a frequency shift in the NMR spectrum which is known as the chemical shift.

The shielding of a nucleus is due to electrons that circulate around the applied magnetic field. This produces a secondary field which opposes the applied magnetic field which shields the nucleus. This is known as the diamagnetic contribution to the nuclear shielding.

The de-shielding of a nucleus is due to the distortion in the electron distribution as a result of external magnetic field. This creates a field which supports the applied magnetic field and tends to de-shield the nucleus. This is also known as the paramagnetic contribution to the nuclear shielding.
1.7.2.3 J-couplings (Indirect Dipolar Coupling)

J-couplings are indirect low energy couplings of the nuclear dipoles mediated by local bonding electrons.

1.7.2.3 Dipolar Coupling (Direct Dipolar Coupling)

Dipolar couplings are nuclear interactions through space. Each spin creates a local magnetic field, which affects the spin of surrounding nuclei. In a solution state NMR, the dipole-dipole interaction is averaged to its isotropic value, zero, by molecular tumbling. The strength of dipole-dipole interaction depends on molecular orientation of nuclei. The closer nuclei are to each other the stronger is the dipolar interaction. Dipolar interaction is the major cause of line broadening in solid-state NMR. To cancel anisotropies from dipolar couplings, solid state NMR samples are spun at the magic angle.

1.7.2.4 Quadrupolar Coupling

Most NMR active nuclei have a spin greater than $1/2$ and are termed quadrupolar nuclei. Spins greater than $1/2$ arise from local charge distribution in the nucleus. Quadrupolar nuclei have a non-spherical positive charge distribution compared to $1/2$ nuclei, which have a spherical positive charge distribution. The strength of the quadrupolar interaction depends on the magnitude of the nuclear quadrupole moment and the strength of the electric field gradients in the respective molecule. External magnetic field does not directly affect magnetic quadrupole but rather indirectly determine the orientation of it.
1.7.2.5 Magic Angle Spinning

Solid samples, such as fine powder samples contain molecules with random orientation as opposed to solution samples where molecular orientation is averaged as a result of rapid molecular tumbling in a solution. As such, all nuclear interactions observed in solid-state samples depend on the molecular orientation and are said to be anisotropic. As a result of this, solid samples analysed by the NMR spectroscopy contain broad lines also called powder patterns because nuclei in different molecular orientations present in the sample give rise to different resonance frequencies. When solid state samples contain different nuclear sites, powder patterns may overlap and therefore it may be impossible to distinguish between different nuclear sites. High resolution solid-state NMR spectra are achieved by spinning solid state samples at the Magic Angle, 54.74. Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) primarily removes the effects of chemical shift anisotropy and dipolar coupling effects.

In solution state NMR, isotropic tumbling of molecules is fast enough to average molecular orientation dependence of the transition frequencies to zero relative to the magnitude of chemical shift anisotropy coupled with other NMR interactions. Magic Angle Spinning averages molecular orientation dependence of the transition frequencies to zero in solid-state NMR. This is because if the sample is spun about an axis inclined at an angle \( \theta_R \) (the magic angle) to the applied field, then \( \theta \) (the angle between \( B_0 \) and the principal z-axis of the interaction tensor) will vary with time as the molecule rotates with the sample, which will remove molecular orientation dependence, provided that the spinning rate is fast enough so that \( \theta \) is averaged rapidly compared with the anisotropy of the interaction being spun out.
If the solid-state sample is not being spun fast enough, spinning sidebands will be produced. Spinning side bands are sharp lines in the MAS-NMR spectra, set apart by the spinning rate and appear on either side of the isotropic chemical shift. Although, spinning side bands may be very useful for determining anisotropies and asymmetries of nuclear spin interactions, they can obscure isotropic signals and therefore they are usually removed by spinning the sample faster.

1.7.3 Sample preparation for solid-state NMR

Samples such as glass, are usually ground to fine powder and packed in a precisely designed rotor (Figure 1.27).

![Figure 1.27: Example of a rotor used in solid-state NMR (Bruker, accessed from https://store.bruker-biospin.com/shop/CZ/product/HZ09244A/)](https://store.bruker-biospin.com/shop/CZ/product/HZ09244A/)

Once sample is packed, the rotor is tightly closed with a drive cap (Figure 1.28).

![Figure 1.28: Example of a drive cap used in solid-state NMR (Bruker, accessed from https://store.bruker-biospin.com/shop/CZ/product/HZ07306A/)](https://store.bruker-biospin.com/shop/CZ/product/HZ07306A/)
1.7.4 Tuning and Matching the Probe

Once the rotor with the sample is inside the probe, the probe is tuned and matched to the Larmour frequency of the nucleus being inspected. Most advanced spectrometers use probes that have two channels: $^1$H (highband) which observes $^1$H/$^{19}$F resonance and $^{13}$C/$^{31}$P (lowband) which observes the resonance of $^{31}$P, $^{15}$N, $^{13}$C etc nuclei. Depending on the type of nucleus being analysed, one of the channels has to be tuned to the appropriate Larmor frequency. This is done by adjusting tuning and matching knobs that extend from the probe. This adjusts two capacitors connecting to the coil. These combined form the resonant circuit of the probe.

1.7.5 Free Induction Decay and Fourier Transformation

In the NMR experiment, energy emitted by a resonant nucleus is received and observed as free induction decay (FID). FID is a time-voltage (x-y) plane. FID is then transformed into NMR spectrum. The Fourier algorithm transforms function of time to signal intensities in the NMR spectrum.

1.7.6 MAS-NMR in Materials Science

The MAS-NMR technique can be used to study the structure of both amorphous and crystalline materials. The energy emitted by a resonant nucleus is characteristic to the various magnetic interactions experience by the nucleus. The strength of these interactions depends on the field strength and the distances of the nearest neighbouring atoms. The amount of energy emitted by a resonant nucleus can be correlated to the atomic arrangement around the nucleus. The atomic arrangement, or in other words, coordination
number of a nucleus can be then linked the various properties of the material.

1.8 Attenuated Total Reflection – Fourier-Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy Technique

Fourier-Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) is a qualitative and semi-quantitative physiochemical technique used to detect bond vibrations in functional groups in solid and liquid matter as a result of applied electromagnetic radiation. Almost all functional groups can be excited by electromagnetic radiation in the mid-infrared region. Mid-infrared generally means electromagnetic radiation in the region from 2.5 µm to 25 µm. This region includes the frequencies corresponding to the phosphate groups found in the apatite. The spectral lines are usually narrow and distinct making it possible to identify and monitor a group corresponding to a specific structure.

Attenuated total reflection (ATR) technique (Figure 1.29) is a signal-enhanced FTIR technique which is particularly suitable for characterisation of thick and optically dense materials and requires minimal sample preparation.

Figure 1.29: Attenuated total reflectance measurement geometry in which a trapezoidal element with a refractive index $n_1$ is in contact with a sample having a lower refractive index, $n_2$. In spectral regions where the sample is absorbing, infrared radiation will penetrate into the sample a distance represented by $d_p$. Figure adapted from Doyle (1992).
In this technique infrared radiation is passed through an infrared transmitting crystal (typically a diamond) with a higher refractive index than the sample. The infrared beam, which consists of a range of frequencies, passes through the crystal undergoes multiple internal reflections. Total internal reflection is a phenomenon which is observed when light passes through materials with different refractive indices. This results in an evanescent wave effect where a portion of the radiation penetrates into the sample and results in stretching and bending of the bonds where characteristic frequencies are absorbed. When the beam leaves the crystal, the signal is measured by a detector. The instrument then generates a transmission or absorption spectrum characteristic to what has been scattered off the surface of the sample.
CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS
2.1 Predicting Refractive Index of Fluoride-Containing Glasses for Aesthetic Dental Restorations

2.1.1 Glass Synthesis

Two series of bioactive glasses containing fluoride components were previously produced by Mneimne et al. (2011) and Lynch et al. (2012), details of the synthesis are provided in the perspective papers. The compositions of these bioactive glasses are given in Table 2.1 and Table 2.2.

Table 2.1: Compositions of laboratory bioactive alkali phospho-silicate glasses (mol %) (Mneimne et al., 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF₂</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>36.41</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>24.74</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>28.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>34.60</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>23.51</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>26.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>32.95</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>22.38</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>25.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>31.37</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>21.31</td>
<td>17.76</td>
<td>24.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>28.40</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>19.29</td>
<td>25.54</td>
<td>22.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Compositions of multicomponent bioactive glasses in mol% (Lynch et al., 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF₂</th>
<th>SrO</th>
<th>SrF₂</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>ZnO</th>
<th>CaF₂ + SrF₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>41.91</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>13.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13</td>
<td>38.01</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>17.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F17</td>
<td>36.19</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>25.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F25</td>
<td>32.76</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>32.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F32</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The bioactivity of these two series of bioactive glasses was previously studied by Mneimne et al. (2011) and Lynch et al. (2012) respectively. In these glasses the metal fluorides were added to the composition rather than substituted for the metal oxide. All glasses were melted in platinum/rhodium 80/20 crucibles. Details of the synthesis conditions are given in the
respective papers (Mneimne et al., 2011) and (Lynch et al., 2012). The first series of ionomer-type glasses (Table 2.3) were in the $4.5 \text{SiO}_2-3 \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3-1.5 \text{P}_2\text{O}_5(5-X)-\text{CaO}-X-\text{CaF}_2$ system.

Table 2.3: Compositions of model laboratory ionomer-type glasses (mol %) (Rafferty et al., 2000b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG99</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>21.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG95</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>15.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG134</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG115</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG116</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>35.71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this series of ion-leachable glasses CaO is substituted for CaF$_2$ on a molar basis. These glasses were synthesised using a method described earlier by Stanton and Hill (2000). A second series of the high-fluoride ionomer-type glasses (Table 2.4) were synthesised and provided by Cera Dynamics Limited (Stoke-on-Trent, UK).

Table 2.4: Compositions of high fluoride ionomer-type glasses produced by Cera Dynamics Limited (mol %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>Na$_2$O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SrO</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF124</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>14.47</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>49.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF125</td>
<td>28.71</td>
<td>17.48</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>34.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF126</td>
<td>23.35</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF127</td>
<td>29.16</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>35.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF128</td>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>23.61</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>25.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF129</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>26.98</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>20.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF130</td>
<td>27.85</td>
<td>18.14</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>20.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF131</td>
<td>26.17</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>12.59</td>
<td>16.01</td>
<td>21.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These high fluoride glass samples were produced in a custom-built cold-top furnace to prevent fluoride volatilisation as silicon tetrafluoride. Full chemical analysis of the industrially manufactured glasses was carried out by X-ray
fluorescence. These glasses have much higher fluoride contents than the laboratory synthesised ionomer glasses whose compositions were designed to prevent fluorine loss as volatile silicon tetrafluoride (Hill et al., 1999).

2.1.2 Measurement of Refractive Indices

Fluoride-containing glasses are prone to rapid crystallisation (Stanton and Hill, 2000) and fluorine loss on casting therefore as opposed to using Abbé refractometer refractive indices of the samples were measured by the Becke line test (Saylor, 1995). The refractive indices all fluoride-containing amorphous powdered glass samples were measured using polarised light microscopy by mounting the amorphous glass samples in immersion liquids with different refractive indices (Cargille, USA) and making observations of the Becke line. The immersion liquids have known refractive index values ranging from 1.45 to 1.70 with 0.01 intervals. Refractive index measurements were performed at room temperature using the sodium D-line. The microscope was calibrated prior to each measurement using a known RI glass sample. Digital images of the glass samples were captured using a digital camera (Q Imaging, Canada) affixed to the microscope and digitised by manufacturer’s software.

2.1.3 Development of Appen Factors

The Appen equation (Eq. 1.6) was used to pre-calculate RIs of all glass samples. Because no Appen factors are available for the metal fluorides, the metal fluoride component contribution to the actual RI was underestimated. To compensate for the underestimated RI as a result of the metal fluoride
addition multiple factors were tried for the respective metal fluoride (CaF$_2$/SrF$_2$) component until the calculated RI value matched to experimentally derived RI value.

2.2 Effect of Increasing Strontium Substitution on the Structure and Crystallisation Behaviour in SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-P$_2$O$_5$-CaO/SrO-CaF$_2$ Glasses

2.2.1 Design Rationale

The glasses were designed based on a well-studied glass composition termed LG99, nominal compositions of the glasses are given in Table 2.5. The nominal glass composition was modified by substituting CaO for SrO.

2.2.2 Glass Synthesis

Glasses of defined chemical composition shown in Table 2.5 were synthesised for this study by the melt-quench technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass Code</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SrO</th>
<th>CaF$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG99</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The glasses were synthesised by melting the following reagents: SiO$_2$ (Prince Minerals Ltd., Stoke-on-Trent, UK), Al$_2$O$_3$, P$_2$O$_5$, CaCO$_3$, SrCO$_3$ and CaF$_2$ (>99.99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) in platinum/rhodium crucibles at 1450°C for one hour. The resulting melts were rapidly quenched into water to prevent phase separation and crystallisation. Produced glass was then dried for 24 hours. Subsequently, dried glass samples were used for DSC analysis and crystallisation experiments.
2.2.3 Heat-Treatment Protocol

Heat-treatment procedures were developed based on the thermal information derived from the DSC analysis. Heat treatment temperatures are shown in Table 2.6.

Table 2.6 Heat-treatment temperatures and $T_c$ (FAP) for the synthesised glasses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>$T_g$</th>
<th>$T_g+50^\circ\text{C}$</th>
<th>$T_g+100^\circ\text{C}$</th>
<th>$T_g+150^\circ\text{C}$</th>
<th>$T_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG99</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD1</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD2</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD3</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD4</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsequently, five grams of each sample in bulk form were heat-treated at temperatures specified in Table 2.6 in CeramPress (NEY Dental Inc, Yucaipa, USA) bench-top furnace for 1 hour at a heating rate of 20°C min⁻¹. Heat-treated glass frit was then processed into powder for structural analysis.

2.3 Effect of Metal Oxide for Metal Fluoride Substitution on the Structure and Crystallisation Behaviour of $\text{SiO}_2$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$-$\text{CaF}_2$/$\text{SrF}_2$-$\text{NaF}$ Glasses

2.3.1 Design Rationale

The glasses were designed based on the glass described in Chapter III however in order to reduce refractive indices of the glasses (to match the RI of the liquid component and the polylsalts) calcium and strontium oxide components were replaced by calcium and strontium fluoride components. In order to facilitate electric melting a small amount of NaF (0.5 mol) was added to each composition.
2.3.2 Glass Synthesis

Table 2.7 shows the designed composition in moles. These compositions were designed using the Appen factors (discussed in Chapter II) and then synthesised by Cera Dynamics Limited (Stoke-on-Trent, UK) in a cold-top furnace.

Table 2.7: Nominal compositions of the synthesised high-phosphorus high-fluoride glasses in moles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>CaF₂</th>
<th>SrF₂</th>
<th>NaF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QMTD7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Solid-State Characterisation of the Developed Glasses and Glass-Ceramics

2.4.1 Differential Scanning Calorimetry

Synthesised amorphous glasses were analysed by differential scanning calorimetry using a Stanton Redcroft DSC 1500 (Rheometric Scientific, Epsom, UK). The crucibles used were matched pairs made of platinum-rhodium alloy. Alumina was used as the reference inert material. DSC analysis was performed in oxygen depleted (N₂) environment at a heating rate of 20 °C min⁻¹.

2.4.2 ATR-FTIR Spectroscopy

Attenuated Total Reflectance–Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (Spectrum GX, Perkin-Elmer, USA) was also used to analyse heat-treated treated and non-treated glasses. The absorbance data were collected from 500 to 1500 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. Each spectrum presented is a sum of 10 scans.
2.4.3 X-ray Powder Diffraction

In order to identify FAp phases in the developed glass-ceramics, X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analyses were carried out. Glass-ceramic powders were analysed using X'Pert-PRO diffractometer (PANalytical D.V., Almelo, The Netherlands). Diffraction patterns were collected from 5° to 75° two-theta. The Cu Kα X-ray frequency was $\lambda = 1.54059 \, \text{Å}.$

2.4.4 $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR Spectroscopy

$^{27}$Al MAS-NMR spectroscopy measurements were conducted at a resonance frequency of 156.3 MHz using a higher magnetic field (14.1T) on a Bruker FTNMR 600. The recycle time was 1.0 s and spinning rate of 12 kHz. The $^{27}$Al chemical shift scale was calibrated 0 ppm value for 1 M Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ solution.

2.4.5 $^{31}$P MAS-NMR Spectroscopy

Solid-state $^{31}$P MAS–NMR experiments were carried out on Bruker Avance 600 MHz NMR instrument. A 30° pulse (the basic one-pulse program) with relaxation delays of 60 s were used to acquire $^{31}$P 1D spectra. Samples were contained in a 4 mm or 2.5 mm diameter zirconia rotor at spinning speeds of 12 kHz and 15 kHz to acquire 32 scans for each experiment. $^{31}$P spectra were referenced to 85% orthophosphoric acid solution at zero ppm.

2.4.6 $^{29}$Si MAS-NMR Spectroscopy

Solid-state $^{29}$Si MAS–NMR experiments were carried out on Bruker Avance 600 MHz NMR instrument. A 30° pulse (the basic one-pulse program) with relaxation delays of 120s were used to acquire 512 spectra. Samples were
contained in a 4 mm diameter zirconia rotor. The spinning rate was approximately 12 kHz.

### 2.4.7 $^{19}$F MAS-NMR Spectroscopy

Solid-state $^{19}$F MAS–NMR experiments were carried out on Bruker Avance 600 MHz NMR instrument. A 30° pulse (the basic one-pulse program) with relaxation delays of 60s were used to acquire $^{19}$F 1D spectra. Samples were contained in a 2.5 mm diameter zirconia rotor at spinning rate of 25 KHz to acquire 80 $^{19}$F spectra. All spectra were referenced to 1 M sodium fluoride solution at -120 ppm.

### 2.4.8 Transmission Electron Microscopy

For the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis one of the optically clear samples (QMTD3, heat-treated at 615ºC) containing FAp phases (based on $^{19}$F MAS-NMR analysis) was crushed in ethanol. A drop of this dispersion was put on a copper grid covered with a holey carbon film. The image was captured using a Philips CM30 electron microscope operated at 200 kV.

### 2.4.9 Compressive Strength

#### 2.4.9.1 Specimen Preparation

Glasses were ground to a fine powder and sieved. Subsequently, fine powder was mixed with an aqueous solution of 50% polyacrylic acid made using deionised water and 80kDa polyacrylic acid powder (Advanced Healthcare Ltd, Tonbridge, UK). Stainless steel moulds, 6mm x 4mm were filled with the cement paste and stored in an incubator at 37ºC for 1 hour to
set. Thereafter, the moulds were disengaged and produced cylindrical cement specimens were stored in individual test tubes filled with 10mL deionised water and transferred to an incubator at 37°C±1 for further 23 hours.

2.4.9.2 Compressive Strength Analysis

After the 24-hour period the length and width of each specimen was measured. Subsequently, the compressive strength of each specimen was analysed using universal testing machine (Instron model 5567, High Wycombe, England). The compressive load was applied vertically at a loading rate of 1 mm/min.
CHAPTER 3:

PREDICTING REFRACTIVE INDEX OF FLUORIDE-CONTAINING GLASSES FOR AESTHETIC DENTAL RESTORATIONS
3 Introduction

The aesthetics is an important feature of dental restorations in modern day dentistry. In order to develop a translucent glass ionomer cement (GIC) or a dental composite (DC) it is important to match the refractive index of the glass to the polymer or the polysalt cement matrix to avoid light scattering at the interfaces. Light scattering at the interfaces results in an opaque material. In DCs, light scattering because of a RI mismatch between the resin and the glass filler causes light attenuation and strongly influences the depth of cure of the composite material (Shortall et al., 2008). Furthermore, in photoactive resin-based composites RI mismatch between the resin and the filler increases light scattering, however the RI of the resin changes dynamically during the curing process (Hadis et al., 2010). This can either increase or decrease light scattering depending on the refractive index match, i.e. if the RI of the filler matches the RI of the uncured resin, then light scattering will increase as the material polymerizes and if the RI of the resin is lower, then as the material polymerizes, light scattering will decrease and so will the opacity and light transmission through the material. Optimum RI matching and careful design of the DCs may produce DC materials with considerably improved optical properties. The only alternative way to obtain a highly translucent cement is to have well dispersed nano-sized particles where the dimensions of the particles are smaller than the wavelength of the visible light. Such fine scale powder particles are particularly difficult to manufacture as they have a high tendency to aggregate once mixed essentially forming larger clusters to reduce their high surface energy. Furthermore, it is difficult
and costly to produce such nano-sized particles particularly from melt-derived glasses. It is notable that translucent glass-ceramics are also produced by matching the refractive index between the different components in these multiphasic materials i.e. crystal phase/s and the residual glassy phase.

In the case of fluoride-free glasses the RI of the glass can be calculated to within about 0.01 using the Appen factors (Appen, 1974), which are experimentally derived factors calculated on the basis of previous measurements of RIs of oxide glasses. Appen factors have been successfully used to calculate not only the RI of glass but also thermal expansion coefficients (O'Donnell et al., 2010). The RI of an oxide glass can be calculated using the Appen equation (Eq. 1.6).

Appen factors for the metal fluorides are not available. Consequently, the refractive index of the fluoride-containing glasses cannot be predicted. Fluoride components are used to reduce the refractive indices of glasses used in optoelectronics (Gan et al., 1995). Fluoride-containing glasses are attractive components for dental composites and particularly for glass ionomer cements. The anticariogenic effects of fluoride have been long known (Featherstone et al., 1999). Based on Scanning Electron Microradiography (SMR) in vitro studies, optimum fluoride concentrations under acidic conditions have also been proposed by Mohammed et al. (2014). However, despite the importance of RI it has rarely been measured for fluoride containing dental glasses there is only one peer reviewed article published in the literature. The results presented in this chapter shows how increasing CaF$_2$ content in bioactive glasses allows design of dental composite materials with improved optical properties, such as improved
depth of cure and reduced light transmission (Hadis et al., 2016). Improved depth of cure of the DC will also result in increased longevity of the tooth restoration due to minimized shrinkage and increased polymerisation. The ability to model the role of metal fluorides on the RI of multicomponent glasses also allows the prediction of RI of fluoride-containing glass-ceramics (GCs) used in restorative dentistry, particularly GCs based on fluorapatite and fluoromica phases. The translucency is required for optimal aesthetics, therefore it is important to match the RI of the crystal phase to the residual glass phase. In the fluoromica glass-ceramics, relatively large interlocking crystals are required to provide optimum strength and fracture toughness, as well as machinability in the case of mica glass-ceramics (Höland and Beall, 2012). GCs containing nano-sized crystal phases can also provide the desired aesthetics, however nanoscale mica ceramics will result in a material with poor mechanical properties and poor machinability. Therefore, in summary, it is necessary to develop a model by which refractive indices of fluoride-containing glasses and glass-ceramics can be predicted.

The objectives of this part of the thesis are to:

i) Measure the RI of two types of the fluoride-containing glasses used in glass (ionomer) cement formulations and two types of bioactive glasses, which can be used as remineralising additives in dental composites.

ii) To develop Appen Factors for fluoride-containing glasses so that RIs of these glasses may be calculated which will allow the design of restoratives with improved translucency.
3.1 Results

3.1.1 Refractive Index and Fluoride Content

All glasses used in the study were found to be amorphous by X-ray powder diffraction analysis. **Figures 3.1(a-c)** shows the RI as a function of CaF$_2$, SrF$_2$ and F content for phospho-silicate bioactive glasses and aluminosilicate ionomer-type glasses. There is a linear decrease in RI with metal fluoride/elemental fluoride content.

A comparison of the experimental and calculated refractive index values for Mneimne *et al.* (2011) series of bioactive glasses shows a good correlation between the two (**Figure 3.1(a)**).

**Figure 3.1:** Refractive index and fluoride content (a – bioactive glasses described in (Mneimne *et al.*, 2011); b – bioactive glasses described in (Lynch *et al.*, 2012); c – laboratory synthesised ionomer-type glasses; d – industrially synthesised ionomer-type glasses.
However, for more complex F series of bioactive glasses containing additional oxide components it can be observed that there is a slight deviation between the experimental and calculated RI values with Ca/SrF$_2$ contents (Figure 3.1(b)). Figure 3.1(c) shows the RI for a series of ionomer glasses based on 4.5SiO$_2$-3Al$_2$O$_3$-1.5P$_2$O$_5$(5-X)CaO-XCaF$_2$. The calculated RIs using Appen factors matches the experimentally determined RIs to within 0.005.

Figure 3.1(d) shows the RI as a function of F content for the industrially manufactured high fluoride (PF) series (Table 2.4) of glasses. In summary, there is a clear linear relationship between fluoride content (both, elemental fluoride or in the form of metal fluoride) and refractive index of the glasses studied. Table 3.1 shows series-specific Appen factors derived from this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CaF$_2$</th>
<th>SrF$_2$</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Mneimne et al., 2011)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Lynch et al., 2012)</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Discussion

Based on the experimentally derived RIs of the fluoride-containing glass samples, a linear ($R^2$=0.98) correlation between elemental fluoride/metal fluoride content and the refractive index of glasses is clearly observed. Generally, fluoride containing glasses have larger atomic spacings and therefore more disrupted structure. Larger spacing in the glass network
results in the reduction in glass density and thus is attributed to lower refractive index. It has been long known that there is a linear correlation between density and refractive index in glasses (Bannister, 1931). However, many materials other than glasses do not exhibit this phenomenon.

It may not be surprising that incorporation of fluoride lowers the dielectric constant of the glasses which is observed in other dielectric materials (Yang et al., 2014) and results in reduced polarizability and henceforth a reduction in refractive index.

For the first series of bioactive glasses developed by Mneimne et al. (2011) calculated and measured RI values are matching within 0.005. In this series, it was found that CaF$_2$ contributes to the RI by a factor of 1.42, which is quite close to the RI of crystalline CaF$_2$, which at room temperature is 1.4338 (Malitson, 1963). It is notable that solid-state $^{19}$F MAS-NMR studies of related glasses by Brauer et al. (2009) have shown the fluoride ion to be present as F-M(n) species where M is largely Ca with a small fraction of Na and n is close to four. Molecular dynamic simulations by Christie et al. (2011) predict the formation of F-Ca(n) species. Thus, in these glasses the fluoride ion exists in a fluorite like F-Ca(4) environment and it is therefore not surprising that the RI can be predicted based on a model assuming the presence of a fluorite-like environment. Furthermore, Brauer et al. (2011) measured the density of CaF$_2$ containing bioactive glasses and showed the density could be predicted based on the assumption of fluoride existing in a CaF$_2$ like environment and using a density factor for crystalline CaF$_2$. It is worth noting that in the present glasses, as well as the ones studied by Brauer et al. (2011), the CaF$_2$ was added to the glass rather than being substituted for CaO and in these glasses there is no change in the non-
bridging oxygen content and the $Q$ speciation of the silicon remains constant. In the original studies of Hench et al. (1998) and more recently by Lusvardi et al. (2008) CaF$_2$ was substituted for CaO which results in changes in the non-bridging oxygen content of the glass and the silicon speciation as well as potential loss of fluoride as silicon tetrafluoride during melting.

The calculations for the second series of bioactive glasses (Table 2.2) (Lynch et al., 2012), with strontium and potassium components have also been performed by assuming the nominal proportions of CaF$_2$ and SrF$_2$ incorporated in the original glass composition. It was found that metal fluorides contribute to the RI by a factor of 1.45 (CaF$_2$) and 1.47 (SrF$_2$), which is higher than the factor for the first series. In the final melted glass composition the fluoride might be expected to form more F-Ca(n) species than F-Sr(n) species since Ca$^{2+}$ has a slightly smaller ionic size than Sr$^{2+}$ and this might be expected to favour F-Ca(n) speciation. In addition there is likely to be mixed F-Ca/Sr(n) and F-Na(n) sites where Na and Ca is more prevalent than Sr and this may an effect on the RI and hence may explain why the Appen factor for the metal fluoride component in this series is higher.

Appen factor for CaF$_2$ for the first series of alumino-silicate glasses (Table 2.3) was found to be 1.59, which is much higher when compared to the RI of crystalline CaF$_2$. In addition, it was also found that Appen factor for P$_2$O$_5$ is different from that published by Appen (1974) and is around 1.48 for this series of glasses. This may be due to different phosphorus speciation in glass compositions containing aluminium and can be linked to several structural aspects (Stamboulis et al., 2004).
Characterisation by $^{19}\text{F}$, $^{31}\text{P}$, $^{29}\text{Si}$ and $^{27}\text{Al}$ MAS-NMR (Zainuddin et al., 2012) indicate that the structure of ionomer type glasses is much more complex than the fluoride-containing bioactive glasses.

The fluoride can exist as Al-F-Ca(n), as well as F-Ca(n) and the proportion of these two species changes with the glass composition (Zainuddin et al., 2012). The assumption of the glass consisting of a CaF$_2$ free glass plus CaF$_2$ like species in the calculation of the RI is only partially valid and neglects the presence of Al-F-Ca(n) species. In addition substituting CaF$_2$ for CaO may also reduce the non-bridging oxygen content of the glass, which will also influence the RI. In addition increasing fluoride content can cause the Al to move from Al(IV) to higher coordination states of V and VI.

Due to the complexity of the second series of high-fluoride ionomer-type glasses (Table 2.4) the data is expressed as measured RI as a function of elemental fluoride content. These compositions contain excessive amounts of fluoride and further structural characterisation is ongoing. However, RIs that all within the compositional domain of this series of glasses can also be predicted based on the amount of elemental fluoride in mol % using the equation for straight line expressed in Figure 3.1(d). Most commercial ionomer glasses also contain small amounts of sodium whereby it forms Al-F-Na(n) species in the glass in addition to Al-F-Ca(n) and F-Ca(n) species. Furthermore, many commercial glasses also contain strontium (Stamboulis, 2004), which can result in Al-F-Sr(n) and F-Sr(n) speciation in addition to mixed species if calcium is included in the composition. In general, the amount of sodium in ionomer glass compositions is typically less than 1% so the formation of Al-F-Na(n) species is not likely to have a large influence on the RI of ionomer-type glasses.
In regard to glass ionomer cements, it is important that the RI of glass matches the RI of the polysalt matrix. This can be quite well facilitated in cement compositions where the initial difference between refractive index of the glass and the refractive index of the liquid component is lower.

3.3 Conclusions

The RI of fluoride containing bioactive glasses correlates linearly with metal fluoride content and the RI can also be predicted readily using Appen factors close to that of fluoride-containing crystalline phases, such as CaF$_2$ as proposed in the study. The RIs of the more complex ionomer glasses also show a linear relationship with fluoride content. Nonetheless, the study discussed in this chapter proposes a modified Appen Model with new composition-specific Appen factors for the metal fluorides for the development of highly translucent dental materials and improved depth of cure of dental composites. The present study also provides a very useful tool for the design of highly advanced restorative materials which can exhibit bioactivity alongside improved aesthetics and increased restoration longevity.
CHAPTER 4:

EFFECT OF INCREASING STRONTIUM SUBSTITUTION ON THE STRUCTURE AND CRYSTALLISATION BEHAVIOUR IN SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-P$_2$O$_5$-CaO/SrO-CaF$_2$ GLASSES
4 Introduction

Glass ionomer cements have seen significant developments in recent years since they were first described by Wilson and Kent (1971). The more detailed understanding of the structure-property relationship has led to the development of highly advanced glass ionomer cements with improved mechanical properties, setting/working properties and bioaesthetics. This is mainly attributed to the several extensive nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies by Hill and co-workers (Stamboulis et al., 2004); (Zainnudin et al., 2009) and (Kusumoto et al., 2016) that show a clear relationship between short-range order of the GICs glasses and the various properties of the reacted cement, such as glass degradation, setting characteristics of the cements and the mechanical properties of the set cements.

It is known that GICs have the potential to remineralise demineralised dentine in vivo through fluoride and strontium diffusion into carious dentine (Ngo et al., 2006). Quite a few research groups have also incorporated remineralising additives into glass ionomer cements recently to enhance remineralisation and a number of other properties. Remineralising additives, such as bioactive glasses (De Caluwe et al., 2017), synthetic apatite (van Duinen et al., 2004) and organic components (Mazzaoui et al., 2003) and (Al Zreikat et al., 2011) have been shown to promote remineralisation and provide protection during acid challenge. These developments have created a new approach in the way dental caries is managed and treated. Glass ionomer cements are also particularly useful in countries with limited access.
to adequate dental care facilities. The advantages of atraumatic restorative
treatment (ART) technique were first described by Francken et al. (1996).
The ART technique is a minimally invasive technique whereby soft caries are
hand excavated and the cavity is subsequently filled with a high viscosity
GIC. The ART technique does not require a dental drill meaning the tooth
can be restored atraumatically without extensive dental equipment. Glass
ionomer cements also have a sustained release of fluoride. It is also known
that sustained release of fluoride can prevent the development of caries
(Featherstone et al., 1999). It has also been shown in one of the earlier
studies that GICs can uptake and re-release fluoride when exposed to
fluoride solutions (Creanor et al., 1994).
Strontium components, such as SrO and SrF$_2$ are widely used in GIC
compositions because strontium-substituted materials exhibit more clinically
adequate radiopacity (Shahid et al., 2014). It is known that strontium-
substituted apatite can facilitate more rapid nucleation and growth of apatite
phases in SBF (Pan et al., 2009). It has also been shown that strontium-
releasing biomaterials have the potential to promote bone regeneration
(Gentleman et al., 2010) and tooth regeneration (Huang et al., 2016). Glass-
ceramics containing apatite phases have been used for various healthcare
applications, for example as bone implant materials, dental crowns and
ceramic cements as discussed in more detail in Chapter 1. Wood and Hill
(1991) synthesised reactive glasses for glass ionomer cements, which can
be developed into FAp glass-ceramics of different crystallinity and can also
form cements once mixed with an aqueous solution of poly-(acrylic acid).
The authors showed that the degree of crystallinity can influence the
properties of GICs, such as the setting and working times as well as the
mechanical properties of the set cements. Additionally, as discussed in Chapter 1, FAp glass-ceramics developed by Wood and Hill (1991) are highly biocompatible in vivo when used as a bone replacement material (Freeman et al., 2003) and (Goodridge et al., 2007). Drawing from the works by Hill and co-workers the present study aims to exploit the advantages of strontium-substituted compositions and reactive glass-ceramics for the development of a completely new class of nanoscale GICs with improved remineralisation potential.

The objective of this part of thesis is to:

I. Develop optically clear strontium-containing nanoscale apatite glass-ceramics from well-studied model glass ionomer-type compositions and characterise these by solid-state characterisation techniques.

4.1 Results

4.1.1 Differential Scanning Calorimetry Analysis

Figure 4.1 shows DSC traces of the laboratory-synthesised glasses (LG99-QMTD4). It can be observed from Figure 4.1 that glass compositions increasing in strontium content show reduced bulk crystallisation of the two phases associated with the two observable exothermic reactions.
Figure 4.1: DSC traces of the synthesised glasses

Table 4.1: \( T_g \) and crystallisation temperatures derived from DSC analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass code</th>
<th>( T_g ) onset</th>
<th>( T_g ) midpoint</th>
<th>( T_c1 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG99</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD1</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD2</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD3</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD4</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1 shows the glass transition temperatures against SrO content (moles). Increasing strontium content in the nominal compositions results in a linear reduction in \( T_g \) \( (R^2=0.98) \) (Figure 4.2).
4.1.2 $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR Analysis of the Untreated Glasses

Figure 4.3 shows $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR spectra of the untreated glasses. All glasses have three observable aluminium environments: Al(IV) seen at around 52.0 ppm, Al(V) seen at around 22.0 ppm and Al(VI) seen at around -3.0 ppm.
Figure 4.3: $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR Spectra of the synthesised glasses showing three aluminium environments, from right to left: Al(IV), Al(V) and Al(VI)

4.1.3 ATR-FTIR Analysis of the Developed Glass-Ceramics

Figures 4.4 to 4.6 shows ATR-FTIR spectra for the developed glass-ceramics. Increasing heat-treatment temperature results in the development of apatitic $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ bands: $\nu_1$ and $\nu_3$ at approximately 1095 and 1035 cm$^{-1}$ and two $\nu_4$ at approximately 600 cm$^{-1}$ and 565 cm$^{-1}$ (Rehman and Bonfield, 1997). All developed glasses also show Si-O-Si and Si-O-NBO signals assigned to symmetric stretch vibration Si-O-Si ($Q^4$) in the range 1000-1100 cm$^{-1}$ and Si-O-NBO ($Q^3$) stretching vibration at around 950 cm$^{-1}$ (Sanders et al., 1974). The phosphate bands attributed to apatite broaden with increasing SrO content (Figure 4.6(b)).
Figure 4.4: (a) ATR-FTIR spectra of the unsubstituted FAp glass-ceramics (LG99); (b) ATR-FTIR spectra of the 0.5 mol SrO FAp glass-ceramics (QMTD1)
Figure 4.5: (a) ATR-FTIR spectra of the 1 mol SrO FAp glass-ceramics (QMTD2); (b) ATR-FTIR spectra of the 1.5 mol SrO FAp glass-ceramics (QMTD3)
Figure 4.6: (a) ATR-FTIR spectra of the 2 mol SrO FAp glass-ceramic (QMTD4); (b) Changes to ATR-FTIR apatite spectra with increasing strontium content (samples heat-treated at Tg+150°C)
4.1.4 XRD Analysis of the Developed Glass-Ceramics

X-ray diffraction results shown in Figure 4.7(a) show that all synthesised glasses were amorphous. This is evident from the absence of sharp Bragg reflection associated with polycrystalline materials.

![XRD patterns of the as-synthesised glasses with FAp reference at the bottom](image1)

![XRD patterns of the unsubstituted FAp glass-ceramics (LG99) with FAp reference at the bottom](image2)

Figure 4.7(a) XRD patterns of the as-synthesised glasses with FAp reference at the bottom (b) XRD patterns of the unsubstituted FAp glass-ceramics (LG99) with FAp reference at the bottom
Figures 4.8(a) to Figure 4.9(b) show the development of sharp Bragg reflections corresponding to FAp phases (reference used: ICDD 00-034-0011). Increasing heat-treatment temperature results in increased crystallisation of fluorapatite.

**Figure 4.8:** (a) XRD patterns of the 0.5 mol SrO FAp glass-ceramics (QMTD1) with FAp reference at the bottom (b) XRD patterns of the developed 1 mol SrO FAp glass-ceramics (QMTD2) with FAp reference at the bottom
Figure 4.9: (a) XRD patterns of the 1.5 mol SrO FAp glass-ceramics (QMTD3) with FAp reference at the bottom (b) XRD patterns of the developed 2 mol SrO FAp glass-ceramics (QMTD4) with FAp reference at the bottom
4.1.5 Reducing X-ray Diffraction Angles with Increasing Strontium Content

Figure 4.91 shows that X-ray reflection 2-theta angles associated with FAp phases reduce linearly \((R^2=0.98)\). The corresponding d-spacings calculated from 2-theta values for the (211) reflection increase with increasing strontium content. This validates that strontium ion is being incorporated into the crystal lattice upon heat-treatment with increasing strontium content in the nominal glass composition.

![Graph showing effect of SrO content on FAp reflection (211) and corresponding d-spacing](image)
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Figure 4.91: Effect of increasing SrO content on FAp reflection at (211) crystallographic plane and the corresponding d-spacing

4.1.6 \(^{31}\text{P}\) MAS-NMR Analysis of the Developed Glass-Ceramics

Figure 4.92(a) shows \(^{31}\text{P}\) MAS-NMR spectra of the as-synthesised glasses. All glasses show one distinctly observable phosphorus environment at
approximately -6 ppm, which corresponds to a pyrophosphate (Q¹) environment. Figures 4.92(b) to 4.94(b) show the development of apatite (at around 2.9 ppm) with increasing heat-treatment temperature. Figure 4.95 shows chemical shifts (tabulated in Table 4.2) for apatite against SrO content in the nominal glass composition in moles. There is a linear (R²=0.99) relationship between the SrO content and the chemical shift for apatite phases until SrO content reaches 2 moles.
Figure 4.92: (a) $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of the as-synthesised glasses (b) $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of the unsubstituted FAp glass-ceramics (LG99, no SrO)
Figure 4.93: (a) $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of the strontium-substituted glass-ceramics (QMTD1, 0.5 mol SrO); (b) $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of the strontium-substituted glass-ceramics (QMTD2, 1 mol SrO)
Figure 4.94: (a) $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of the strontium-substituted glass-ceramics (QMTD3, 1.5 mol SrO); (b) $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of the strontium-substituted glass-ceramics (QMTD4, 2 mol SrO)
Table 4.2: $^{31}$P MAS-NMR chemical shift for FAp phases in the developed glass-ceramics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass Code</th>
<th>$^{31}$P MAS-NMR Chemical Shift (ppm)</th>
<th>Expected local environment</th>
<th>SrO Substitution (%)</th>
<th>SrO (moles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG99</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>P-Ca(4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD1</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>P-Ca(2)Sr(2)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD2</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>P-CaSr(3)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD3</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>P-Sr(4)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD4</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>P-CaSr(3), P-Sr(4)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic FAp (Rothwell et al., 1980)</td>
<td>2.8 ±0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.95: Effect of strontium content on $^{31}$P MAS-NMR chemical shift in ppm assigned to apatite phases
4.1.7 $^{19}$F MAS-NMR Analysis of the Amorphous Base Glasses and Developed Glass-Ceramics

Figure 4.96(a) shows $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the as-synthesised glasses. All glasses show three broad peaks which can be assigned to three amorphous fluoride environments: first peak at around -90 ppm, second at around -125 ppm and third at around -150 ppm. The first peak seen at around -90 ppm can be assigned to F-Ca(n) (Zeng and Stebbins, 2000), the second peak seen at around 125 ppm may be assigned to Si-F-Ca(n) environment (Kiczynski and Stebbins, 2002) and the third peak seen at around -150 ppm can be assigned to Al-F-M(n) environment (Zeng and Stebbins, 2000). The proportion of these changes with increasing strontium content and it appears that increasing strontium content results in increased Si-F-M(n) speciation relative to F-M(n) and Al-F-M(n). Figure 4.96(b) shows $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the LG99 developed glass-ceramics. It can be observed from Figure 4.96(b) that a peak at around -104 ppm which is assigned to FAp develops at T$_g$+100°C and increases in intensity with increasing HT temperature. Figure 4.97(a) shows $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the developed glass-ceramics with the lowest strontium substitution. It can be observed from Figure 4.97(b) that a peak at around -90 ppm, which is attributed to F-Ca(2)Sr in strontium-substituted FAp develops at T$_g$+100°C in addition to F-Ca(3) at -104 ppm in FAp. The signals at -104 ppm and -90 ppm assigned to the fluoride ion in F-Ca(3) and F-Ca(2)Sr in FAp develop at T$_g$+100°C and increase in intensity with increasing heat-treatment temperature. Figure 4.97(b) shows $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the developed QMTD2 (1 mol SrO) glass-ceramics. Two resonant peaks, one at around -
103 ppm and one at around -90 ppm assigned to fluoride ion in F-Ca(3) and F-Ca(2)Sr in FAp develop at \( T_\theta +100^\circ C \) and increase in intensity with increasing temperature. In addition to this, a peak which can be assigned to crystalline Al-F-Ca(2) (Kiczenski and Stebbins, 2002) is also observed at around -147 ppm. **Figure 4.98(a)** shows \(^{19}\)F MAS-NMR spectra of QMTD3 (1.5 mol SrO). Three fluoride environments are observable, one at -104 ppm and the other at -90 ppm assigned to fluoride in F-Ca(3) and F-Ca(2)Sr in FAp begins to develop at 659°C and increase in intensity. **Figure 4.98(b)** shows \(^{19}\)F MAS-NMR spectra of QMTD4 (2 mol SrO). Four resonant peaks, one at -104 ppm, one at -90 ppm, one at around -70 ppm and -60 ppm and can be assigned to the fluoride ion in F-Ca(3), F-Ca(2)Sr, F-Sr(2)Ca and F-Sr(3) in FAp develop at 659°C and increase in intensity with increasing temperature.
Figure 4.96: (a) $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the as-synthesised untreated glasses (b) $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the developed FAp glass-ceramics (0 mol SrO)
Figure 4.97: (a) $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the developed FAp glass-ceramics (0.5 mol SrO) (b) $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the developed FAp glass-ceramics (1 mol SrO)
Figure 4.98: (a) $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the developed FAp glass-ceramics (1.5 mol SrO) (b) $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of the developed FAp glass-ceramics (2 mol SrO)
4.1.8 TEM Analysis of the Developed Glass-Ceramics

Figure 4.99 shows one of the developed nanoscale glass-ceramics (QMTD3, heat-treated at 615°C for 1h) which contains strontium-substituted FAp phases. TEM shows a fraction of the glass particle with dark needle-like crystallites within the amorphous glass matrix (dark gray).

Figure 4.99: Transmission electron micrograph of a crushed glass particle showing need-like strontium-containing fluorapatite crystallites within the amorphous glass matrix
4.2 Discussion

All synthesised glasses were found to be amorphous by XRD (Figure 4.7(a)). Figure 4.2 shows a linear ($R^2=0.98$) relationship between the $T_g$ and strontium content. It is widely known that strontium-substituted glasses have properties, such as reduced glass transition temperature, more rapid dissolution and much higher reactivity which can be attributed to network expansion as a result of larger Sr$^{2+}$ cation as compared to Ca$^{2+}$. This phenomenon is also observed in bioactive glasses, such as those studied by O’Donnell et al. (2010). Hill et al. (2004) and Hill et al. (2006) also showed that increasing strontium content substitution in GIC glasses does not result in any significant structural changes in fluoride speciation. Hill et al. (2004) showed that increasing strontium in glasses has an effect on crystallisation of FAp phases. It was reported by Hill et al. (2004) that increasing strontium content facilitates surface nucleation of apatite phases during the heat-treatment process, which may be attributed to lower lattice energy of Sr$_{10}$(PO$_4$)$_6$F$_2$ as compared to Ca$_{10}$(PO$_4$)$_6$F$_2$ (Flora et al. 2004) and suppressed amorphous phase separation as discussed in more detail in Chapter 1. Generally, the fluoride ion tends to preferentially bond to higher field strength cations, such as Ca$^{2+}$ to form F-Ca(n) as opposed to F-Sr(n) species. It is notable that in the present study, FAp phases crystallise at the expense of F-M(n) species. It could be argued that F-M(n) species are present in the phase separated domains which thermodynamically favour bulk FAp crystallisation. Therefore, increasing strontium content may be suppressing the development of the domains that give rise to bulk crystallisation of FAp phases during the heat-treatment. Strontium-
substituted glasses may not phase separate into APS domains or phase separate into domains that are not close to FAp stoichiometry. XRD analysis shown in Figure 4.91 shows that increasing strontium content results in increased strontium incorporation into the apatite lattice, which is consistent with a crystallography study conducted by O'Donnell et al. (2008) where it was showed through XRD analysis that apatite crystal lattice parameters, unit cell volume and density decreased linearly with increasing strontium content in the glasses studied. $^{31}$P MAS-NMR results (Figures 4.92(b) to 4.94) show that increasing heat-treatment temperature results in increased apatite crystallisation. Figure 4.95 shows that $^{31}$P resonance from the MAS-NMR experiment is increasing with increasing strontium content, which shows that strontium is being incorporated into the apatite lattice linearly until the substitution reaches 2 moles. At this point the chemical shift no longer exhibits a linear relationship with SrO content. This can be possibly explained by higher degree of disorder in the crystal lattice and Sr$^{+2}$ preference to occupy Ca(I) sites instead of Ca(II) sites at high concentrations as previously suggested by Hill et al. (2006). This may result in the development of mixed P-CaSr(3) and P-Sr(4) local environments. All developed FAp glass-ceramics heat-treated at 50°C above the $T_g$ were optically clear. Such fine scale apatite phases can also provide surfaces for apatite growth which is from a clinical perspective highly attractive because apatite-containing GCs in GICs can provide nucleation sites for the development of dentine-cement interfaces. It is known that GCs containing apatite facilitate development of apatite-based biological implant-bone interfaces. Kitsugi et al. (1989) showed that an apatite containing glass-ceramic can bond to bone. Similar finding were reported on the apatite-
mullite glass-ceramic by Freeman et al. (2003) and Goodrige et al. (2007). The ATR-FTIR results (Figures 4.4-4.6) are consistent with $^{31}$P MAS-NMR results. The phosphate groups assigned to apatite increase in intensity with increasing heat-treatment temperature and broaden (Figure 4.6(b)) with increasing strontium content as a result of signal originating from mixed sites. $^{19}$F MAS-NMR results from Figures 4.95(b) to Figure 4.97 show the development of mixed apatite phases with increasing strontium content. Hill et al. (2006) and more recently Cho et al. (2008) showed that increasing strontium substitution in base glasses results in the development of mixed apatites during crystallisation: F-Ca(2)Sr, F-Sr(2)Ca and F-Sr(3) (Hill et al., 2006). Pan et al. (2009) reported that increasing strontium content in the apatite lattice can facilitate faster apatite nucleation and increased bioactivity of the material. FAp glass-ceramics developed in the present study also show mixed F-Ca(2)Sr and F-Sr(2)Ca phases. It is also notable that $^{19}$F MAS-NMR peaks assigned to mixed Ca-Sr and Sr-only apatite species are quite broad as compared to F-Ca(3) species seen at around -103 ppm. Generally, broader peaks indicate a more disordered apatite structure. $^{19}$F MAS-NMR analysis of the synthesised glasses shows that all glasses have three distinctly observable amorphous fluoride environments (Figure 4.95(a)). The first can be seen at around -87 ppm can be assigned to amorphous F-M(n) (Zeng and Stebbins, 2000) and the second seen at around -152.7 ppm can be assigned to amorphous Al-F-M(n) environment (Zeng and Stebbins, 2000). Kiczenski and Stebbins (2002) reported that the resonance signal seen at around -129.3 ppm in (ppm given in the original article -123.4; -128.7 and -134.5) is in Si-F-Ca(n)-type environment.
It is also notable that the peak seen at around -129.3 ppm (Figure 4.95(a)) in the present glasses increases in intensity with increasing strontium content relative to the intensities of F-M(n) and Al-F-M(n). On that basis, it could be assumed that the fluoride environment at -129.3 ppm is strongly influenced by increasing strontium content and it most likely corresponds to an increasing Si-F-Sr(n) environment. The peak at -129.3 ppm could also be F-M(n) and Al-F-M(n) with different n numbers or F-Al-O-P as proposed by Karpukhina et al. (manuscript in preparation). Karpukhina et al. (manuscript in preparation) report that in compositionally similar glasses reducing calcium content and increasing phosphorus content resulted in an increase in Al-F-Ca(n) and F-Al-O-P species. This is probably due to the lack of charge balancing cations to keep the fluoride ion in F-Ca(4) environment.

Samples treated at temperatures close to T_g have been observed to be optically clear and contain apatite phases. In 2012, Zainuddin et al. showed through MAS-NMR experiments that hydroxyapatite/fluorapatite additives in the Glass Carbomer® product are partially consumed during the setting reaction. This may result in reduced long-term bioactivity. Pure FAp phases are more acid resistant and less susceptible to acid consumption by PAA. Heat-treatments of the glasses just above the T_g known as glass annealing can reduce reactivity of the glasses and help to control setting characteristics as discussed by Wood and Hill (1991). Furthermore, strontium-substituted glasses tend to be more reactive due to a more disrupted network, therefore pre-treatment of strontium containing glasses may prolong working and setting times of the cement pastes.
4.3 Summary

The study shows that synthesised ionomer-type glasses with cement-forming ability can be developed into optically clear nanoscale FAp glass-ceramics. The study also provides evidence that increasing strontium content in the developed compositions results in reduced homogeneous crystallisation of FAp phases. It is possible to obtain mixed apatite phases when strontium is included in the nominal composition. Increasing strontium content in the nominal compositions resulted in a linear incorporation of strontium into the apatite lattice during the crystallisation step.
CHAPTER 5:

EFFECT OF METAL OXIDE FOR METAL FLUORIDE SUBSTITUTION ON THE STRUCTURE AND CRYSTALLISATION BEHAVIOUR OF \(\text{SiO}_2-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3-\text{P}_2\text{O}_5-\text{CaF}_2/\text{SrF}_2-\text{NaF}\) GLASSES
5 Introduction

Fluoride is a very essential component in dental materials primarily due to its anticariogenic effect as a result of the sustained release. Sustained release of fluoride results in the formation of acid-resistant fluorapatite where OH⁻ ions found in the Ca(II) triangle are replaced by F⁻ ions which increase the apatite lattice energy and make the crystal less soluble and more acid-resistant. Fluoride is a powerful glass network disruptor and can influence several glass properties, such as glass solubility (Fathi et al., 2005a), glass transition temperature (Fathi et al., 2005b), crystallisation behaviour (Stanton and Hill, 2004) and optical properties (Gan et al., 1995). Solid-state \(^{19}\text{F}\) MAS-NMR analysis of the fluoride-containing amorphous aluminosilicate glasses show that they can be highly complex and that the fluoride can exist in at least three different chemical environments (Zeng and Stebbins, 2000).

From an atomic perspective, fluoride as compared to oxygen has a higher nuclear charge and a smaller atomic radius which makes it the most reactive element in the periodic table. Furthermore, fluoride compared to oxygen only requires one electron to complete its outermost shell which from a structural point of view can increase interatomic spacings in the glass matrix provided the fluoride ions are acting as non-bringing oxygens. From an aesthetics perspective, fluoride components in glass compositions are also highly attractive because they can be used to lower the refractive index of the glasses used in dental GICs and reduce light scattering at the glass-polysalt interfaces once the cement is set. However, it is particularly difficult to synthesise glasses containing very high concentrations of fluoride due to fluoride volatilisation during melting as SiF₄ (Hill et al., 1999). To retain the maximum amount of fluoride in glass compositions containing high levels of
fluoride, such as those used in optical fibres and dental cements they are usually manufactured in a cold-top furnace. Any volatile species produced during the melting process quench once in contact with the cold-top and fall back into the molten glass.

The present study investigates the structural properties in compositions with significantly higher fluoride content and apatite crystallisation behaviour of three glasses of varying strontium content in the SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-P$_2$O$_5$-CaF$_2$/SrF$_2$-NaF system manufactured in a cold-top furnace. In order to reduce the refractive index of the glasses, all CaO and SrO components were replaced by the respective fluoride components.

Objective of this part of thesis:

I. To synthesise and characterise glasses where CaO and SrO are substituted by the respective fluoride components.

5.1 Results

5.1.1 Differential Scanning Calorimetry

Figure 5.1(a) shows DSC traces of two particle sizes (frit<1 mm and powder <45 µm) for QMTD7 glass samples. The DSC trace for <45 µm powder shows increased area under the peak with a major exothermic reaction seen at around 788°C as compared to the frit sample of the same glass. Figure 5.1(b) shows DSC traces of two particle sizes (frit<1mm and powder <45µm) for QMTD8 glass samples. The DSC trace for <45µm powder shows an exothermic peak at around 735°C. Following two exothermic processes, another observable endothermic process takes place at around 861°C. Figure 5.1(c) shows DSC traces of two particle sizes (frit <1mm and powder
<45µm) for QMTD9 glass samples. The DSC trace for <45µm powder shows two observable exothermic processes, one at around 671°C and second at 739°C. Following two exothermic processes, an observable endothermic process takes place at around 857°C. The trace for the frit sample does not show any pronounced exothermic events compared to <45µm powder sample.
Figure 5.1: DSC traces of the manufactured glasses: (a) QMTD7: frit and powder <45µm (b) QMTD8: frit and powder <45µm and (c) QMTD9: frit and powder <45µm
**Figure 5.2** shows glass the glass transition temperature as a percentage of strontium substitution in the nominal compositions. Quite surprisingly, there is a linear increase in $T_g$ with increasing strontium content.

Figure 5.2: SrF$_2$ nominal strontium content (mol) and glass transition temperature ($T_g$)

**Table 5.1** shows glass transition and glass crystallisation temperatures derived from the DSC traces.

**Table 5.1 Glass transition temperatures ($T_g$) and crystallisation temperatures ($T_{c1}$ and $T_{c2}$) extracted from the DSC traces**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass Frit</th>
<th>$T_{g1}$</th>
<th>$T_{c1}$</th>
<th>$T_{c2}$</th>
<th>$T_M$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QMTD7</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD8</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMTD9</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.2 $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR Analysis of the Manufactured Glasses

Figure 5.3 shows $^{27}$Al spectra of the three base glasses. All glasses show three observable aluminium environments: Al-O(4) between 46-49 ppm, a broad shoulder peak at approximately 24 ppm, which corresponds to Al-O(5) and Al-O(6) at approximately -5 ppm.

![Figure 5.3: $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR spectra of the high-phosphorus high-fluoride glasses]

5.1.3 $^{31}$P MAS-NMR Analysis of the Manufactured Glasses

Figure 5.4 shows $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of the industrially synthesised glasses. Figure 5.4(a) shows $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of clear and opaque fractions of the sample. The clear fraction of the all calcium glass shows two observable phosphorus environments, one at around -6.2 ppm and one at around -23 ppm which are both attributed to a pyrophosphate environment (Kirkpatrick and Brow, 1995; Fletcher et al., 1993). The opaque fraction of the same batch of glass shows an additional sharp peak at around 2.9 ppm which is attributed to apatite.
Figure 5.4(b) shows $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of clear and opaque fractions of the sample. The clear fraction of the equimolar calcium-strontium composition shows one well pronounced phosphorus environment at around -6.6 ppm and another hump at around -23 ppm which is also attributed to a pyrophosphate environment. The spectrum of the opaque fraction of the same sample shows a sharp peak at around 3.3 ppm which is attributed to strontium-substituted apatite. The pyrophosphate environment in the opaque fraction of the same sample is slightly moved to the negative side at around -7.9 ppm.

Figure 5.4(c) shows $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of clear and opaque fractions of the sample. The clear fraction of the all strontium composition shows one observable phosphorus environment at around -7.2 ppm. The pyrophosphate environment in the cloudy fraction of the same sample is slightly moved to a more negative side at around -7.9 ppm.
Figure 5.4: $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra of (a) QMTD7 (Ca): clear fraction and opaque fraction; (b) QMTD8 (Ca-Sr): clear fraction and opaque fraction; (c) QMTD9 (Sr): clear fraction and cloudy fraction.
5.1.4 $^{29}$Si MAS-NMR Analysis of the Manufactured Glasses

Figure 5.5 shows $^{29}$Si MAS-NMR spectra for the amorphous glasses in the high-phosphorus high-fluoride SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-CaF$_2$/SrF$_2$-NaF system. All spectra show a single resolved peak at around -92 ppm which corresponds to a Q$^4$ silicate network with either 2 or 3 nearest neighbour 4-coordinate aluminium atoms with the exception of the composition fully substituted with SrF$_2$ where the chemical shift is slightly shifted towards the Q$^3$ environment.

![Figure 5.5: $^{29}$Si MAS-NMR spectra of the glasses where CaO and SrO are fully substituted by the respective fluoride components](image)

5.1.5 $^{19}$F MAS-NMR Analysis of the Industrially Synthesised Glasses

Figure 5.6(a) shows $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra for the full calcium composition: clear fraction and opaque fraction. Both, clear and opaque fractions show three distinct fluoride environments: F-Ca(n) at around -90 ppm, Al-F-Ca(n) at around -139 ppm (which may be overlapping with Si-F-Ca(n)) and Al-F-Na at around -187 ppm. Additionally, the opaque fraction of the all calcium
composition contains a relatively low intensity peak at -103 ppm which can be assigned to the fluoride ion in the F-Ca(3) environment in FAp. **Figure 5.6(b)** shows $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra for the equimolar calcium-strontium glass composition: clear fraction and opaque fraction. Both, clear and opaque fractions show three observable fluoride environments: F-Ca(n) at around -90 ppm, Al-F-Ca(n) at around -138 ppm (which may be overlapping with Si-F-M(n)) and Al-F-Na(n) at around -189 ppm. Additionally, the opaque fraction of QMTD8 sample contains a relatively low intensity peaks at -75 ppm which can be assigned to fluoride ion in F-CaSr(2) environment in strontium-substituted FAp and a peak at around -91 ppm, which can be attributed to F-Ca(2)Sr environment in strontium substituted FAp. **Figure 5.6(c)** shows $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra for the full strontium composition: clear fraction and opaque fraction. Both, clear and opaque fractions show three observable fluoride environments: F-Sr(n) at around -82 ppm, Al-F-Sr(n) at around -134 ppm (which may be overlapping with Si-F-Sr(n)) and Al-F-Na at around -187 ppm. $^{19}$F MAS-NMR of cloudy fraction sample of the same glass does not show any significant differences.
Figure 5.6: $^{19}$F MAS-NMR spectra of (a) QMTD7 (Ca): clear fraction and opaque fraction; (b) QMTD8 (Ca-Sr): clear fraction and opaque fraction; (c) QMTD9 (Sr): clear fraction and cloudy fraction
5.1.6 XRD Analysis of the Manufactured Glasses

**Figure 5.7(a)** shows X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the full calcium glass composition: showing both, clear fraction and opaque fraction. X-ray diffraction pattern for both samples shows broad peaks with maximum intensity at around $27^\circ 2\theta$ corresponding to X-ray scattering from an amorphous material. X-ray diffraction pattern for the opaque fraction sample of the same glass shows XRD patterns which match to FAp. **Figure 5.7(b)** shows X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the equimolar calcium-strontium composition of both clear fraction and opaque fractions. XRD diffraction patterns for both samples shows broad peaks with a maximum intensity at around $27^\circ 2\theta$ corresponding to X-ray scattering from an amorphous material. X-ray diffraction pattern for the opaque fraction sample of the same glass shows characteristic XRD patterns for FAp. **Figure 5.7(c)** shows X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the fully strontium-substituted composition: showing spectra for both, the clear fraction and the opaque fraction of the same sample. X-ray diffraction patterns for both samples shows diffuse scattering which is a typical sign that the material is amorphous. X-ray diffraction pattern for the cloudy fraction sample is quite similar to the clear fraction pattern and does not show sharp peaks associated with crystalline phases.
Figure 5.7: XRD patterns of (a) QMTD7: clear fraction and opaque fraction; (b) QMTD8: clear fraction and opaque fraction; (c) QMTD9: clear fraction and cloudy fraction
5.2 Discussion

It was found that a small fraction (approximately 15-20%) of the manufactured glass were either opaque or cloudy, which shows that all synthesised glasses require faster quenching rates to suppress crystallisation. It is essential to obtain initially amorphous glasses so that the subsequent crystallisation process can be controlled.

DSC analysis of the clear fraction of the synthesised glass samples shows an exothermic process at temperatures close to FAp crystallisation temperature. Split T\textsubscript{c2} peaks observed in Figure 5.1(c) show crystallisation of phases at slightly different temperatures which may be due to broader particle size distribution or due to possible epitaxial crystal growth i.e. one crystal phase providing a nucleating surface for the crystallisation of the second phase. The FAp crystallisation temperature in the studied glasses is particle size dependent (Figures 5.1(a)-5.1(c)) which is typical of a system crystallising via surfaces. It can be seen from Figure 5.2 that T\textsubscript{g} is increasing with increasing strontium substitution in the nominal composition. This is quite contrary to the compositionally similar glasses discussed in Chapter III. This has never been reported in the literature and may be linked to structural aspects. Zainuddin (2009) showed some evidence that increasing strontium content in the fluoride-containing ionomer-type aluminosilicate glasses may result in an increase in Al-F-M(n) relative to F-M(n) species.

It is also notable that in the previous series of glasses discussed in Chapter III increasing strontium content results in an increased tendency of the
fluoride ion to coordinate in Si-F-M(n) environment which may also influence the T_g.

$^{31}$P MAS-NMR analysis of the opaque fraction of the manufactured glasses shows that glasses can readily crystallise to apatite phases. The $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra shown in Figure 5.4 show that all industrially manufactured glasses have a phosphorus environment at around -6.0 ppm which corresponds to a pyrophosphate environment (Kirkpatrick and Brow, 1995). In aluminium containing glasses phosphate charge balances aluminium and results in the formation of Q$^1$ pyrophosphate environment with Al-O-P linkages. The PO$_4$$^{3-}$ cation has a higher overall electrostatic field strength as compared to SiO$_4$$^{4-}$ therefore Al-O-P bonds are not as hydrolysable as bonds in the Al-O-Si structural unit. This may have some implications in the setting and the mechanical properties of the cements (discussed in Chapter 1). The formation of Al(VI) species can also be possibly avoided by faster quenching.

Both calcium and calcium-strontium compositions show an observable shoulder peak at approximately -23.0 ppm which is close to Q$^2$ environment (Fletcher et al., 1993) and is not observable in the composition where CaF$_2$ is fully substituted by SrF$_2$. This can mean that phosphorus in the pyrophosphate region at around -23.0 ppm is probably charge balanced by a calcium cation. This amorphous environment is slightly more pronounced in the opaque fraction of the studied glass batches.

$^{29}$Si MAS-NMR analysis show that silicon in all three glasses is 4-coordinate with either 2 or 3 nearest neighbour 4-coordinate aluminium, which is consistent with compositionally similar Fuji II glasses studied by Pires et al. (2004). $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR analysis of the amorphous glasses shows three observable aluminium environments: Al(IV), Al(V) and Al(VI). It is known that
Al\(^{3+}\) is released from Al(IV) species by hydrolysis. Recent studies have shown that Al\(^{3+}\) is consumed by the COO\(^-\) during the setting reaction and changes coordination to Al(VI) (Zainuddin et al., 2009). The glasses investigated in this study contain a large proportion of Al(IV) species which is a very good indication that the glasses can easily form cements. The ratio of Al(IV) to Al(VI) is considerably lower in the present glasses as compared to those used in commercial cement formulations, such as in Fuji IX studied by Munhoz et al. (2010) but can be potentially increased by introducing higher quenching rates during the glass manufacturing process.

X-ray powder diffraction analysis of the glasses (Figure 5.7) shows that a fraction of all calcium and mixed calcium-strontium compositions crystallised to apatites (reference used: ICDD 00-034-0011) on quenching with no evidence for other phases. \(^{19}\)F MAS-NMR analysis confirmed this to be in the form of fluorapatite. This is consistent with a study by Hill et al. (2006) where investigators also found evidence for mixed calcium/strontium fluorapatites.

### 5.3 Summary

A fraction of the manufactured glasses crystallised to fluorapatite on quenching, which shows that the developed glasses can be easily developed into fluorapatite glass-ceramics, however they may require faster quenching to prevent crystallisation. Structural analysis of the manufactured glasses by multinuclear solid-state MAS-NMR revealed that they are structurally similar to the glassed used in commercial GICs. The all calcium compositions crystallised to calcium FAp and mixed Ca-Sr composition crystallised to strontium-substituted FAp.
CHAPTER 6: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS
6.1 Predicting Refractive Index of Fluoride-Containing Glasses

The refractive index of the fluoride-containing bioactive glasses correlates linearly ($R^2=0.99$) with the metal fluoride content. The refractive index can also be predicted using the experimentally derived Appen factors for the metal fluoride components, which are quite close to the refractive indices of the fluoride-containing crystalline phases, such as CaF$_2$. Refractive indices of the more complex glasses used in dental glass ionomer cements, which contain alumina also show a linear relationship ($R^2=0.98$) with increasing fluoride content. The Appen factors for the ionomer glasses are somewhat different from fluoride-containing bioactive glasses and this probably reflects the more complex fluoride environment and the presence of species, such as Al-F-M(n). There is a considerable interest in remineralising dental materials and melt-derived bioactive glasses are particularly attractive for this application. Melt-derived bioactive glasses are relatively cheap to manufacture and are relatively simple to synthesize. The most common reason for dental restoration replacement is secondary caries (Lai and Li, 2012). To overcome this clinical problem research scientists have considered using bioactive glass fillers (Khvostenko et al., 2013) which can inhibit bacterial growth and also to help in remineralisation of demineralised dentine. White spot lesions is also a significant problem associated orthodontic treatments, which can be prevented by using orthodontic adhesives with a bioactive glass filler, such as those recently developed by Al-Eesa et al. (2018). Refractive indices of light-curable dental polymers are known (Fujita et al., 2005); however, refractive indices of bioactive glasses have not been studied until now. Results presented in Chapter 2 provide a
very useful tool which can be used to design multicomponent bioactive glass fillers with a refractive index tailored to match the refractive index of the polymer component. This is not only advantageous in terms of the aesthetics but also in terms of the depth of cure of the material (Fujita et al., 2005; Shorthall et al., 2008). Materials with low light scattering at the interfaces cure more efficiently. Poor depth of cure of the light-curable dental composites is quite often associated with a restoration failure. The dental glass ionomer cement, which has been in the clinical use for almost half a century is of even greater interest in dentistry because of the intrinsic ability to chemically bond to both organic and inorganic components of the tooth and clinically suitable mechanical properties. Therefore, from an engineering point of view being able to predict refractive index of the glasses used in GICs is quite empowering and provides a means by which highly aesthetic GICs and DCs can be developed.

6.2 Effect of Increasing Strontium Substitution on the Structure and Crystallisation Behaviour Behaviour of SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-P$_2$O$_5$-CaO/SrO-CaF$_2$ Glasses

From a structural perspective, the developed glasses show a highly interesting tendency of the fluoride ion to preferentially coordinate in an environment at approximately -129.3 ppm with increasing strontium content. Kiczenski and Stebbins (2002) showed that the resonance signal in the as-synthesised amorphous glasses seen at around -129.3 ppm shown in Figure 4.95(a) may be attributed to Si-F-Ca(n) (ppm given in the original article −123.4; −128.7 and −134.5). Increasing intensities of the peak assigned to Si-F-Ca(n) as a function of strontium content indicates that in the presence of strontium, the fluoride ion preferentially forms the species which can be
assigned to Si-F-M(n) at around -129.3 as opposed to the Al-F-M(n) species. The results presented in Chapter 3 show that synthesised ionomer-type glasses can be developed into optically clear nanoscale FAp glass-ceramics by pre-treating the glasses at temperatures close to the glass transition temperature. The DSC analysis of the glasses shows that increasing strontium content in the developed compositions results in reduced homogeneous crystallisation of FAp phases, which is quite consistent with a study by Hill et al. (2004). Strontium is an essential component in GICs because strontium-substituted GICs exhibit clinically suitable radiopacity (Shahid et al., 2014) and there is some evidence that Sr²⁺ can also stimulate dentine regeneration. Therefore, glasses and glass-ceramics developed in this series could provide both, radiopacitity as well as bioactivity. Increasing strontium content in the nominal compositions resulted in a linear incorporation of Sr into the apatite lattice during the crystallisation step. This shows that apart from the changes in FAp crystallisation mechanism, strontium-substituted ionomer-type glasses can be developed into strontium-substituted FAp glass-ceramics.

6.3 Effect of Metal Oxide for Metal Fluoride Substitution on the Structure and Crystallisation Behaviour of SiO₂-Al₂O₃-P₂O₅-CaF₂/SrF₂-NaF Glasses

The refractive index of the glasses developed in the series discussed in Chapter 4 was successfully lowered by increasing the fluoride content, which validates the results presented in Chapter 2. A fraction of the manufactured glasses crystallised to FAp on quenching, which shows that formulated glasses rapidly crystallise and may require faster quenching. Structural
analysis of the manufactured glasses by multinuclear solid-state MAS-NMR revealed that they are structurally similar to the glasses discussed in Chapter 3 and those used in commercially available GICs, however contain a higher proportion of Al(VI), which can possibly be avoided by faster quenching. The full calcium composition crystallised to pure calcium FAp and equimolar Ca-Sr composition crystallised to a strontium-substituted FAp on quenching. The second series of the ionomer-type glasses shows a much lower $T_g$ and refractive index, which is consistent with the higher metal fluoride content in the nominal glass compositions. All three glasses in the second series crystallised through surfaces, which can either be attributed to the fact that all glasses have higher network connectivity (due to lower non-bridging oxygen content) as compared to the glasses studied in Chapter 3 or that increasing strontium substitution may be suppressing APS which was previously suggested by Hill et al. (2004) or a mixture of both.

6.4 Future Work

1. It would be interesting to measure the density of the glasses studied in Chapter II and see the influence of fluoride content.

2. Optimise cement properties (Figure 6.1), i.e. pre-treat one of the developed strontium-containing compositions close to $T_g$ to produce nano FAp glass-ceramic, process pre-treated material into powder and use this to make a cement or use the pre-treated processed material as a remineralising cement component and then assess and optimise mechanical properties of the cements.
3. There is quite a lot of evidence in the scientific literature that FAp containing glass-ceramics can form apatite when exposed to physiological media such as artificial saliva and simulated body fluid, therefore performing apatite formation studies in this media might reveal whether the material is bioactive.

4. Reduction is bulk crystallisation with increasing strontium content may be a sign that glasses containing strontium do not phase separate into APS domains with FAp stoichiometry. It would be desirable to study amorphous phase separation of the synthesised glasses by neutron scattering in order to understand if there is a reduction in the size of the APS domains with increasing strontium content.
5. It would be also interesting to see if there are significant changes in silicon coordination with increasing strontium content which can be analysed by $^{29}$Si MAS-NMR.

6. The identified Si-F-M(n) environment discussed in Chapter 3 could also be Al-F-M(n) and F-M(n) with different n numbers or F-Al-O-P species. $^{19}$F-$^{29}$Si cross-polarisation MAS-NMR analysis on the synthesised glasses could further help to understand the environment at -129.3 ppm.
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This article is a review of the published literature on apatite glass-ceramics (GCs). Topics covered include crystallization mechanisms of the various families of apatite GCs and an update on research and development on apatite GCs for applications in orthopedics, dentistry, optoelectronics, and nuclear waste management. Most apatite GCs crystallize through a homogeneous nucleation and crystallization mechanism, which is aided by a prior liquid–liquid phase separation. Careful control of the base glass composition and heat-treatment conditions, which determine the nature and morphology of the crystal phases in the GC can produce GC materials with exceptional thermal, mechanical, optical, and biological properties. The GCs reviewed for orthopedic applications exhibit suitable mechanical properties and can chemically bond to bone and stimulate its regeneration. The most commercially successful apatite GCs are those developed for dental veneering. These materials exhibit excellent translucency and clinical esthetics and mimic the natural tooth mineral. Due to the ease of solid solution of the apatite lattice, rare earth doped apatite GCs are discussed for potential applications in optoelectronics and nuclear waste management. One of the drawbacks of the commercial apatite GCs used in orthopedics is the lack of resorbability; therefore, the review provides a direction for future research in this field.

Keywords: apatite, glass, glass-ceramic, biomaterial, fluorapatite, chlorapatite, nucleation and crystallization, orthopedic

INTRODUCTION

Apatite is named after the Greek word “apatos” meaning deceit because, in appearance, apatite is often mistaken for a number of other minerals. Apatite has a chemical formula Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$(F, Cl, OH). Due to an adaptive framework structure of apatite (White et al., 2005), its lattice can readily accommodate a number of ionic substitutions. Naturally occurring apatites are found in igneous, metamorphic, and sedimentary earth rocks and relatively recently, versions of fluor- and hydroxyapatite (HAp) were found on the surface of the Moon (McCubbin et al., 2010). Additionally, meteorites from the planet Mars, for example, Chassigny, which fell in provincial France in 1815, brought melt inclusions of Martian fluor- and chlorapatites (McCubbin and Nekvasil, 2008). Apatite is also the major inorganic component naturally found in the hard tissues of vertebrates; therefore, it has a profound biological and clinical significance.

Biological apatites have a chemical formula of Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$(OH), with some degree of CO$_2$ substitution for PO$_4$$^-$, F$^-$ for OH$^-$, and Na$^+$ or Mg$^{2+}$ for Ca$^{2+}$ ions. Synthetic HAp has been used in various forms of health care, such as for bone replacement, dental cements, and dental prostheses. However, sintered porous or even dense HAp bone implants often fail due to poor mechanical properties that are inferior to the mechanical properties of the human bone (Table 1). Moreover, sintered blocks of
TABLE 1 | Mechanical properties of the glass-ceramics for orthopedic applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Thermal expansion coefficient (×10⁻⁶ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>Compressive strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Flexural strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Young’s modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Fracture toughness (MPa · m¹/²)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>Hydroxyapatite (HAp)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>27.5 ± 3.9</td>
<td>153-295</td>
<td>35-283</td>
<td>7-20</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>As and Draughn (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Renu (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-W</td>
<td>Fluorapatite and wollastonite</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ofosu et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biorbit I</td>
<td>Apatite and fluorapatite</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8-12</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>140-180</td>
<td>70-88</td>
<td>1.2-2.1</td>
<td>Kukowska (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biorbit II</td>
<td>Apatite and fluorapatite</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8-12</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>90-140</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.2-1.8</td>
<td>Holland and Bell (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biorbit III</td>
<td>Fluorapatite (FAp) and aluminum phosphate</td>
<td>2.7-2.9</td>
<td>14-18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60-100</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Holland and Bell (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-M</td>
<td>FAp and mullite</td>
<td>2.7-3.3</td>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>90-330</td>
<td>70-90</td>
<td>1.0-3.3</td>
<td>Ducheyne et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HAp require machining to shape to match the complex contours of the defect using expensive diamond tipped tools. A porous and bioactive 3D material may be highly desirable for bone regeneration because a porous material will allow osteoblasts to proliferate and integrate inside the 3D structure and enable vascularization of the newly formed bone, provided the porosity of the material is adequate. However, with the introduction of porosity into any bone substitute material or implant, mechanical properties are compromised as discussed by Karageorgiou and Kaplan (2005), rendering many of the porous bone substitute materials inappropriate for load-bearing applications.

Since the early 1970s, a number of melt-derived glass-ceramics have been developed that crystallize to apatite phases on controlled heat treatment. A glass-ceramic material can be cast into complex shapes by the "lost wax" casting route, which is usually a simple and cost-effective process. For illustration purposes, the reader is presented with an apatite-mullite glass-ceramic chess piece (Figure 1) produced by casting molten glass to shape by the "lost wax" method, which shows the complexity of shapes and surface detail that can be attained by this route. Machinable glass-ceramics (GCS), such as mica GCS discussed in this review can also be processed by computer-aided design/computer aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM).

Apatite-containing GCS are highly biocompatible and can induce bone formation in vivo (Ducheyne et al., 2011). Therefore, apatite-based GCS are highly attractive for medical and dental applications. A bioactive material is defined as a material that exhibits a biological response at the interface once in contact with a biological tissue. A bioactive material may induce a biological response through its surface topography or by a controlled release of therapeutic ions. A number of bioactive apatite-containing glass-ceramics have been developed for orthopedic applications, and these can be categorized based on the type of secondary crystal phases present in the GC, apatite-wollastonite (A-W), commercially known as Cerabone; apatite-fluoromica (A-FM), commercially known as Biorbit; and apatite-mullite (A-M).

Several apatite-containing GCS have also been developed for restorative dentistry applications for the fabrication of dental inlays, crowns, bridges, and veneers. These are namely apatite-leucite (A-L), commercially known as IPS e.max ZirPress® and IPS e.max Ceram®, and with apatite as the only phase, for example IPS e.max ZirPress® and IPS e.max Ceram®.

Apatite is a good host crystal phase for rare earth elements and exhibits low phonon energies (particularly FAp); therefore, apatite-containing glass-ceramics have also been investigated for potential applications in optoelectronics. Apatite phases are also
particularly attractive in nuclear waste immobilization, such as for the immobilization of Cl and Sr isotopes, where these radioactive elements can be readily incorporated into the apatite lattice.

A review on the history and trends of the bioactive glass-ceramics, including those that do not contain apatite phases has been recently published (Montazerian and Zanotto, 2016). The scope of this article is to review the fundamentals of the structure–property relationship and crystallization mechanisms of the various apatite glass-ceramics used in health care as well as those for potential applications in optoelectronics and nuclear waste management.

PRIOR LIQUID–LIQUID PHASE SEPARATION (LLPS)—PRECURSOR TO NUCLEATION AND CRYSTAL GROWTH

Liquid–liquid phase separation, also known as amorphous phase separation (APS), can occur in undercooled liquids either at or below the glass liquidus temperature. If LLPS occurs above the glass liquidus temperature, then such phase separation is termed stable immiscibility. On the other hand, if LLPS occurs below the liquidus state, such LLPS is termed metastable immiscibility. Undercooled liquids can undergo phase separation through spinodal decomposition or via nucleation and growth processes (binodal decomposition) (Figure 2).

Spinodal decomposition is a diffusion driven mechanism with no activation energy barrier. A system that undergoes spinodal decomposition is always unstable. In contrast, phase separation by nucleation and growth (in the binodal region) has a large free energy barrier and it is a metastable process involving an activation energy. Small angle neutron scattering (SANS) techniques can be used to study LLPS in both spinodally and binodally decomposed glasses. SANS scattering at lower q values corresponds to larger phases, which can be attributed to a phase separation under the binodal region of the phase diagram, whereas neutrons scattered at higher q values correspond to a finer scale phase separation, which may be attributed to spinodally decomposed structures (Hill et al., 2007). Spinodal decomposition, unlike nucleation, generally results in sharper scattering maximum often referred to as a ‘spinodal ring’ particularly during the early stages of phase separation. Spinodal decomposition is difficult to observe by microscopy techniques because of the diffuse interfaces between the phases. In contrast, nucleated amorphous phases can be readily observed by microscopy. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis shows (Hill and Wood, 1995) that LLPS via nucleation and growth results in sharp boundaries between the phases as observed in Figure 3.

Most of the glass-ceramics discussed in this review undergo a bulk nucleation and crystallization, which has been attributed to prior LLPS. Crystal nucleation may be aided by the composition of one of the phases being closer to the crystal phase than the parent glass composition. Figure 3 shows a droplet-like phase that is rich in calcium and phosphorus that crystallizes to fluorapatite (FAP) (Hill and Wood, 1995). However, the A–W GC exhibits surface crystallization of both phases without the occurrence of prior LLPS (Kolabo et al., 1982).

There are two main ideas of how prior LLPS can influence the subsequent crystallization. Vogel and Gerth (1962) proposed that the effect of prior LLPS on glass crystallization is due to the existence of interfaces in a phase-separated glass, which provide internal surfaces for heterogeneous nucleation. However, Cahn (1969) and James (1981) suggested that if the composition of the LLPS phase is close to the composition of the subsequent crystal phase, the activation energy is lowered and subsequent homogeneous crystallization is favored.

There are few studies on the importance of LLPS in regard to glass-ceramics, and it is worth briefly reviewing these studies. Ramsden and James (1984a,b) found that quenched BaO–SiO2 glasses in which LLPS developed simultaneously with the nucleation of the crystals, the crystal nucleation rate increased with isothermal heat-treatment time. Ramsden and James (1984c)
reported that the same system without prior LLPS did not show any increases in crystal nucleation rates. Thus, Ramsden and James (1984a,b) and later Zanotto et al. (1986) concluded that the predominant effect on crystal nucleation arises from the compositional changes brought about by phase separation. Although it has been shown that LLPS has a profound role in the formation of simple glass-ceramics, its effect in complex multicomponent systems, such as those with strong nucleants should not be generalized.

Tomozawa (1972) reported that lithium silicate glasses showed considerably increased crystal nucleation rates when the glass had undergone LLPS and proposed that the LLPS droplets consist of silica-depleted diffusion zones at their interfaces, therefore giving rise to sites for heterogenous nucleation.

The mechanism by which LLPS enhances subsequent crystallization depends on the composition of the glass and the composition of the crystalline phase. Apatite GC systems developed by Hill et al. (2004) bulk nucleate via prior amorphous separation, but can also crystallize through a surface mechanism. In simple glasses such as the lithium silicate glasses, LLPS enhances nucleation rate, by reducing the activation energy for nucleation. Although LLPS can enhance subsequent crystallization of a glass, based on the classical nucleation theory, the LLPS droplet diameter (D) should be larger than the critical radius (r*) for crystal nucleation in order for nucleation to take place within the droplet phase. Therefore, fine-scale LLPS can potentially suppress nucleation and subsequent crystallization.

In a study by Clifford et al. (2004a), it was found that base glasses in the A–M systems with a molar Ca to P ratio of 1.67 (glasses with an apatite stoichiometry) crystallize through an internal or bulk mechanism, and that base glasses with Ca to P ratios higher or lower than 1.67 crystallize through a surface mechanism. Interestingly, Clifford et al. (2001a) note that compositions with a molar Ca to P ratio above or below 1.67 can crystallize in bulk following an annealing hold for 1 h just above the glass transition temperature. Clifford et al. (2001a) study provides evidence that LLPS in the apatite–mullite system can be produced below the liquidus by nucleation and growth.

Rafferty et al. (2000b, 2003) used high temperature dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA) to show the presence of two mechanical loss peaks (tan δ) and two reductions in the storage modulus (E') corresponding to two glass transition temperatures (Figure 4) for many of the A–M glass compositions providing evidence of an LLPS. On crystallization of FAP, only one glass transition temperature (Tg) was observed indicating that one of the two amorphous phases had crystallized to FAP.

Crystals or LLPS droplets in an uncooled liquid can undergo Ostwald ripening (OR) during a heat treatment. During an OR process, crystals or LLPS droplets can grow and produce larger LLPS droplets or crystals. Thermodynamically, OR is a favorable process because larger particles exhibit lower surface energy in contrast to smaller particles. Apatite crystal growth through OR process is observed in apatite glass-ceramics, such as reported by Holand et al. (2015). One of the key characteristics of an OR process is the reduction in the number of droplets/ crystals and an increase in volume as a function of time, pressure or temperature.

Fluorapatite is part of the hexagonal group of crystals; therefore, it exhibits a kinetically favored growth in the crystallographic c-direction, which is seen in Holand et al. (2015)’s findings, whereby crystal length as a function of time due to OR process was more pronounced as opposed to crystal expansion at crystallographic a-direction. OR process can be used to produce a highly homogenous and mechanically superior microstructure of a GC.

**BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS OF APATITE GLASS-CERAMICS**

**Orthopedics**

**Fluor/Oxyapatite–Wollastoneite Glass-Ceramics**

Kokubo et al. (1982) developed apatite–wollastoneite (A–W) (β-CaSiO₃) system based on SiO₂–P₂O₅–CaO–MgO–CaF₂, also known by its commercial name Cerabone®. The β-wollastoneite phase in the A–W system enhances mechanical performance of the glass-ceramic (Table 1). Kokubo et al. (1987) reported on the A–W GC ability to resist failure by fatigue. It was estimated that the life-time of an A–W GC, under a constant loading of 65 MPa in simulated body fluid is 10 years, compared to a sintered HA, which under the same loading can sustain the loading before fracture for only 1 min. Both, apatite and β-wollastoneite phases in the A–W system crystallize through a surface mechanism (Kokubo et al., 1982). As such, the A–W GC cannot be cast to shape by the "lost wax" technique and is processed through powder sintering route.

It was often claimed that the apatite phase in the A–W systems is FAP; however, Clifford and Hill (1996) noted that the A–W glasses are very deficient in fluorine content with regard to the FAP stoichiometry. Clifford and Hill (1996) further suggested that the apatite formed in A–W systems is therefore more likely to be a mixture of fluor- and oxyapatite. Based on the pioneering electron spin resonance (ESR) studies on fluor/oxoapatites, it has been long known that O' can occupy F' sites (Segall et al., 1962; Piper et al., 1965). Nonetheless, at present, the availability of high-resolution solid-state characterization techniques such as ¹⁸O MAS-NMR coupled with dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP) and high field ¹⁸F MAS-NMR could provide fast and accurate elucidation of oxygen and fluorine environments in the A–W GC; however, such work is yet to be published.

Calver et al. (2004) reported that modified Kokubo et al. (1982) A–W glass with higher metal fluoride content (AW3, Table 2) resulted in a completely changed apatite crystallization behavior. Calver et al. (2004) found that a base glass with the highest CaF₂ content favored volume FAP nucleation and crystallization. Calver et al. (2004) also found that A–W systems showed reduced Tg and FAP crystallization exotherms with increasing calcium fluoride content. Therefore, low calcium fluoride content in the original A–W system is actually suppressing crystallization of FAP. Filho et al. (1996) suggest that fully crystallized GGs, which are otherwise bioactive, will not exhibit any further bioactivity through the release of ions, such as Ca⁺⁺ and HPO₄²⁻, once such ions take up higher energy coordination states in the apatite lattice. Therefore, from a bioactivity point of view, it may not always be
TABLE 2 | A-W base glass compositions in mol% (Calver et al., 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AW1</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>50.28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW2</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>49.68</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW3</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>49.51</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It could be argued as to why the original A-W system developed by Kokubo et al. (1992) contains magnesium and a non-stoichiometric Ca:P:F ratio that could otherwise aid bulk nucleation and crystallization of apatite phases. There is a considerable and long-standing proof, for example, as found by X-ray diffraction analyses of precipitated apatites by LeGeros et al. (1980), which demonstrates that the presence of Mg²⁺ ions in an aqueous solution cause a strain on the apatite structure causing it to collapse and, therefore, suppress its growth. In view of the biological apatite found in bone as opposed to tooth, Mg²⁺ ions alongside osteocalcine and proteoglycan proteins play a significant role in the development of a nanoscale apatite (Blumenthal et al., 1975), providing the bone tissue with a fine microstructure and the excellent properties that come with it. Therefore, on that basis, it could be argued that the A-W system is highly bioactive in view of the elemental composition of the human bone.

In vivo animal studies on the implanted A-W glass-ceramic provide evidence for excellent osseointegration around the A-W implant and a chemical calcium phosphate-based interface (Kitsugi et al., 1989, 1990) between the implant and bone, with high bending and compressive strengths of 157 and 1600 MPa, respectively (Nakamura et al., 1985). Kokubo et al. (1990) found that A-W GC immersed in tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (TRIS) buffer did not show bioactivity through the formation of apatite and these findings were contradictory to animal studies conducted previously, where the A-W GC was found to form a strong chemical interface with the living bone. Therefore, Kokubo et al. (1990) developed a simulated body fluid (SBF), an alternative immersion medium for in vitro assessment of bioactivity of the A-W GC. Kokubo et al. (1990) argued that TRIS buffer does not mimick the actual body environment because it is completely deficient in ions, such as Ca²⁺ and PO₄³⁻, naturally found in the bodily fluids and, therefore, argued that the lack of apatite formation in TRIS buffer, as opposed to high bioactivity of the A-W GC in SBF, also supports the view that the apatite phase on the surfaces of the A-W GC forms by a chemical reaction between the A-W GC and the ions present in the body fluid. In view of this, it can be further postulated that apatite crystals within the A-W GC act as nuclei on which ions, such as Ca²⁺ and PO₄³⁻, in the solution nucleate and seed apatite formation until the eventual fusion between the apatite crystals in the A-W and the apatite in the living bone, whereby a chemical interface is formed.

Kokubo et al. (1992) reported that if aluminium is included in the A-W parent glass composition and then subsequently crystallized, the A-W GC does not show any bioactivity in SBF.
as opposed to the same A-W material without aluminum. The addition of aluminum results in a more chemically stable residual glass phase, which reduces the release of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> ions, thereby affecting apatite formation (Strnad, 1992). Later, Blades et al. (1998) conducted in vivo animal studies on aluminum-containing glass ionomer cements (GICs) as potential bone cements, where as low as 1 ppm of aluminum released was found to inhibit mineralization of the newly formed osteoid in rabbit bone. It is important to distinguish that the role of aluminum in Blades et al. (1998) study may be attributed to aluminum toxicity to bone-forming cells (Rodriguez et al., 1996) and direct inhibition of crystal growth as opposed to reduced bioactivity involving structural parameters of the residual glass phase, as proposed in Strnad (1992) study.

Good osteointegration through the formation of apatite on the implant surfaces (Neo et al., 1993) combined with good mechanical properties (Kokubo et al., 1985, 1986) perhaps explains why the A-W glass-ceramic has found promising applications in bone and vertebra replacement (Kokubo, 2008) and it is reported that over 50,000 successful bone implants have been made using the A-W glass-ceramic system (Zanotto, 2010). However, from a manufacturing point of view, a bulk crystallizing A-W material, such as proposed by Calver et al. (2004), could provide a more cost-effective material. In vitro and in vivo bioactivity of the modified A-W system developed by Calver et al. (2004) still needs to be established. The original A-W GC is currently manufactured by Nippon Electric Glass Co., Ltd. (Japan) (Montazerian and Zanotto, 2016).

Fluorapatite-Mica Glass-Ceramics

Grossman (1972) of Corning Glass Works, developed the first machinable mica glass-ceramic system, later marketed by Dentply International under the name Dicon<sup>®</sup>. Dicon<sup>®</sup> was shown to be a very significant development since the new GC material could be easily machined to shape without a critical failure. Machinability of the mica glass-ceramics is attributed to the eminent deagglomeration of the mica-type crystals as a result of anisotropic crystal growth. This facilitates crack propagation in the direction of cutting without causing a critical failure of the material.

Although mica glass-ceramics initially did not contain any apatite phases, Vogel et al. (1986) developed two GC systems (Table 3) with Fap and tritetrasilicate Mg(SiO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NaK/Mg(SiO<sub>2</sub>)FNaK mica phases and an additional silica-free GC with apatite and aluminum phosphate phases.

Commercially available Biowert I<sup>®</sup> and Biowert II<sup>®</sup> systems crystallize to Fap and mica phases in bulk. Biowert II<sup>®</sup> GC crystallizes to a smaller fraction of Fap, which can be explained by the very low P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content in the composition (Table 3). Both systems have undergone prior APS into two droplet phases and a glassy matrix phase (Holland and Beall, 2012). One droplet phase is rich in apatite phases whereas the second droplet phase is closer to the mica composition. This may explain why both phases, apatite and mica, crystallize in bulk. Biowert III is a silica-free phosphate glass that crystallizes to Fap and an aluminum phosphate (AlPO<sub>4</sub>) (Holland and Beall, 2012). Biowert III material exhibits lower mechanical properties (Holland and Beall, 2012); therefore, it has not been so extensively studied.

**Table 3** | Examples of Biowert<sup>®</sup> base glass composition in mol% (Holland and Vogel, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Biowert I</th>
<th>Biowert II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>29.44</td>
<td>44.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>17.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>14.89</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>17.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaO</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>15.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biowert II contains a higher fraction of mica crystals. Therefore, Biowert II GC exhibits better machinability but at the expense of lower mechanical properties than Biowert I (Table 1). Based on FT MAS-NMR experiments of mica ceramics, it was demonstrated that the fluoride ion in mica systems exists mainly in Mg<sub>6</sub>(SiF)4-type environments, with a chemical shift at about –174 ppm for Mg<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub> (Fechtelord et al., 2003). As of 2016, AT-MG GCS, Biowert I and II are currently manufactured by VITRON Spezialwerkstoffe GmbH (Germany).

Fluorapatite-Mullite Glass—Ceramics

Mullite is a rare naturally occurring aluminosilicate mineral. Mullite-reinforced matrices exhibit enhanced mechanical properties. Fap phases can act as nucleation and crystal growth sites for new apatite phases between the implant and living bone. Both crystal phases in the fluorapatite-mullite system show elongated needle-like microstructure and exceptional mechanical properties, particularly flexural strength and fracture toughness of up to 830 MPa and 3.3 MPa m<sup>1/2</sup>, respectively (Table 1). The A-M GC exhibits spherulitic crystallization, which enhances fracture toughness properties of the material (Stanton et al., 2010). The system nucleates in bulk; therefore, it is readily castable by the "lost wax" route.

The first melt-derived castable Fap (Ca<sub>0.5</sub>P<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)—mullite (Al<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) glass-ceramics were developed by Hill et al. (1991) and were based on the SiO<sub>2</sub>·Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>·CaO·CaF<sub>2</sub> system. Additionally, Somme et al. (1998) were also able to produce an A–M glass-ceramic by a sol–gel route, rather than a melt–quench route.

Hill et al. (1991) noted that base glasses with relatively low CaF<sub>2</sub> content (A–C, Table 4) surface crystallized to apatite and mullite phases upon heat treatment, whereas base glasses with metal fluoride content (D and E, Table 4) bulk crystallized to anorthite with only a small fraction of Fap. The work by Hill et al. (1991) and also subsequent work by Hill and Wood (1995); Clifford and Hill (1996); Hill et al. (2006); Rafferty et al. (2002a); Clifford et al. (2001a,b), and later by Stanton and Hill (2005) suggests that metal fluoride as well as phosphorus content in fluoro-phospho-aluminate systems will likely influence prior LLPS and will therefore determine whether the glass crystallizes via the homogeneous bulk route (aided by the LLPS composition) or the heterogeneous surface route.
Stanton and Hill (2005) postulated that once crystallization of FAp crystal has begun, the surrounding glass becomes depletes in F, Ca, and P and moves closer to the mullite composition, whereupon it crystallizes to mullite by the homogeneous mechanism. Samboulis et al. (2004) explained crystallization of FAp and mullite phases in the A–M system in even greater detail by analyzing heat-treated A–M samples with magic angle spinning-nuclear magnetic resonance (MAS-NMR) spectroscopy. Spectra from $^{29}$Al MAS-NMR in Figure 5 (left) show how aluminum resonance is relatively unchanged until the GC reaches second crystallization temperature ($T_{c2}$) whereupon it crystallizes to mullite. It can be observed from Figure 5 (left) that a broad peak seen at around 50 ppm, assigned to Al(IV), remains unchanged even after FAp crystallization ($T_{c1}$). During second phase crystallization, an additional peak, at around 12 ppm, which is assigned to an Al(VI) in mullite is observed. Samboulis et al. (2004) further explain that, during first and second crystallization processes, charge balancing cations for maintaining aluminum in a fourfold coordination state, Al(IV) are consumed during FAp formation; therefore, at higher temperatures, the lack of charge balancing cations to keep aluminum in a IV coordination state forces aluminum to take up higher coordination states, in this case, Al(VI). 

$^{29}$F MAS-NMR spectra as shown in Figure 5 (right) show how the fluorine environment changes with increasing heat-treatment temperature. The lowermost $^{29}$F spectrum of the untreated LG120 glass in Figure 5 (right) demonstrates two broad peaks at ~90 and ~150 ppm that can be attributed to the amorphous fluorine environments in the untreated glass, F–M(n) and Al–F–M(n) (Zeng and Stebbins, 2000). However, at $T_{c2}$, a sharp peak at around ~103 ppm develops at the expense of F–Ca(n) peak, which is assigned to fluorine in a F–Ca(3) environment in FAp. At higher temperatures, such as $T_{c3}$, fluorine from Al–F–M(n) peak is also fully consumed and Samboulis et al. (2004) further proposed that F–M(n) such as F–Ca(n) species preferentially charge balance the non-bridging oxygen in the phosphorus locality. It can be additionally postulated that such preference to balance the non-bridging oxygen in the phosphorus locality reduces kinetic energy barrier to FAp nucleation and crystal growth, since the local environment of Ca, P, and F in the glass is similar to that present in FAp.

Relatively recently, Samboulis et al. (2006), Hill et al. (2007), and O’Donnell et al. (2010) conducted real-time SANS and neutron diffraction (ND) experiments on the A–M systems developed in the early 1990s. They postulated that amorphous glasses that form the A–M system may have undergone phase separation by spinodal decomposition during the casting process on a scale of 25–27 nm (Hill et al., 2007). Similarly, the same cast A–M system isothermally heat treated at 740°C and 750°C initially showed neutron scattering at higher q, which then moved to lower q with increasing temperatures where the scale of the LLPs corresponded to about 35 nm. This provides evidence that undercooled liquids can undergo LLPS by nucleation and growth, whereupon the chemical system can overcome the thermodynamic and kinetic energy barriers to nucleation and subsequent amorphous phase growth. It is suggested by Hill et al. (2007) that the A–M cast glass is initially phase-separated by spinodal decomposition, whereby rapid cooling creates a barrier to nucleation and growth. However, it may be argued that scattering at lower q in the as-cast glasses may be attributed to a finer LLPS by nucleation and growth. SANS experiments by Hill and coworkers provide evidence that finer scale phase separation in the apatite–mullite system increases in size as a function of temperature, which can be either attributed to the fact that the cast A–M system is initially spinodally decomposed and then undergoes LLPS by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>37.90</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>35.20</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>23.23</td>
<td>5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>31.58</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>15.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
nucleation and growth or more likely LLPS growth by an OR mechanism.

Further studies of an A-M glass-ceramic analyzed by heat treating an amorphous precursor glass up to 1,200°C with in situ TOP-ND (O’Donnell et al., 2010) explain the crystallization behavior of the A-M system in more detail. O’Donnell et al. (2010) reported that FAp and mullite crystallized on heating until 1130°C followed by the partial dissolution of both phases at higher temperatures. It was also found that on the subsequent cooling of the A-M system, recrystallization occurred and additional new phases were produced, namely berlinitic (AIPo4) and cristobalite (SiO2), which formed at around 1,025°C in addition to the FAp and mullite. This indicates that the subsequent cooling of the GC can produce additional crystal phases, which may be undesirable but can be avoided by introducing higher cooling rates to create an energy barrier to nucleation and growth of the undesirable crystal phases.

Stansso and Hill (2005) found that apatite phases in the A-M system grow as dendrites and spherulites. Generally, the microstructure of a GC is strongly influenced by the conditions of the heat treatment of the parent glass, this namely includes duration, temperature, and cooling rate and whether or not the base glass compositions is doped with additional nucleants, for example, such as reported with niobium-doped FAp GCs by Denny et al. (2012). Mechanical properties, particularly fracture toughness of the A-M GC developed by Hill and coworkers, surpass mechanical properties of the alternative glass-ceramic systems discussed in this review.

In vivo animal studies conducted by Freeman et al. (2003) show that a fully crystallized A-M glass-ceramic osseointegrates with bone as shown in Figure 6B; however, its amorphous precursor base glass implant does not osseointegrate, which is evident from the fibrous tissue around the glass implant as shown in Figure 6A and the lack of implant/bone interfaces. Goodridge et al. (2007) report on both, in vitro and in vivo properties of the porous A-M glass ceramic system produced through selective laser sintering (SLS) method, using cast A-M and commercial A-W glass-ceramics as positive controls. In a 4 weeks study, Goodridge et al. (2007) reports that no sign of inflammation or adverse tissue reaction was observed around all the implants. Analyses of implant-bone interfaces by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) showed evidence for bone ingrowth into the both porous materials, the A-M system produced through SLS method and the A-W sintered glass-ceramic. Although Goodridge et al. (2007) report that the laser sintered apatite-mullite system developed by Hill et al. (1991) does not form apatite in SBF, previous studies indicate that SBF studies are not adequate in the assessment of bioactivity of aluminum-containing GCs (Strnad, 1992).

Stansso et al. (2009) assessed the interfacial chemistry between the A-M system and a titanium alloy, for potential applications of the A-M system for orthopedic implant coatings. Stansso et al. (2009) examined the A-M glass-ceramic to titanium by heat treatment and therefore analyzed the interfacial reaction zone between the A-M glass-ceramic and the titanium alloy by high-angle annular dark field TEM (HAADF-TEM). Stansso et al. (2009) found that titanium diffused into the intermediate layer of the glass-ceramic and postulated that complex titanium silicides and titanium phosphides were formed based on the elemental analysis of the interface zones by energy dispersive X-rays (EDX), which produced characteristic photons for Ti, Si, and P elements, but not O elements. This study provides evidence that the A-M system can chemically adhere to titanium. This can be useful in overcoming the problem of coating detachment observed with micromechanical surface retention of plasma sprayed HAp coatings (Filanghi et al., 1991). The A-M glass-ceramic coating may enhance osseointegration at the bone-implant interface and provide long-term stability of the A-M-coated implants.

Wood and Hill (1991) produced cements from the A-M glass-ceramic ionomer-type systems with varying degrees of crystallinity for potential application as bone cements. Wood and Hill (1991) found that the degree of crystallinity of the A-M glass-ceramic can influence the properties of the cements, such as working and setting times of the cement paste and the mechanical properties of the set cements.

**Strontium-Substituted FAp Glass-Ceramics**

Hill et al. (2004) developed strontium-substituted FAp glass-ceramics for potential orthopedic applications, in the system SiO2·Al2O3·P2O5·CaO·SiO2·CaF2·SrF2 (Table 5). Since strontium has a higher atomic number than calcium, strontium-substituted materials exhibit higher radiopacity, which enables the clinician to distinguish between the implant and bone on a radiograph.

Hill et al. (2004) observed that substituting strontium for calcium has little effect on the parent glass structure. However, the crystallization behavior of the glasses as a function of strontium content was markedly altered. Base glasses without any strontium exhibited complete bulk crystallization with the first crystallization temperature being independent of the particle size, whereas...
glasses with strontium and no calcium exhibited predominaently surface nucleation. Glasses with equimolar proportions of calcium and strontium crystallized through bulk and surface. Hill et al. (2004) demonstrate that increasing strontium substitution hinders bulk crystallization of apatite, which is reflected in an increase in crystallization temperature, and promotion of surface nucleation of apatite at the expense of bulk nucleation, as evidenced by particle size dependence on the crystallization temperature of the FAP phases. Hill et al. (2009) also observed that equimolar strontium–calcium composition resulted in a glass with a reduced second exotherm associated with mullite crystallization. This can be attributed to an increased mobility of the glass network and a lower glass transition temperature, which produces a more dominant heterogeneous crystallization effect. Such a reduction in crystallization temperature would suggest a new crystalline phase, and not simply a reduction in crystallization temperature (Hill et al. 2004). In another study, Hill et al. (2006) elucidated Ca and Sr sites in mixed FAP through 137 F NMR. Results showed the F to be present at F–Ca(3) in the all calcium glass and as F–Sr(F3) in the all strontium glass. In the mixed glasses, fluoride was present as mixed sites F–Ca(3), F–Ca(2)Sr(1), F–CaSr(2), and F–Sr(F3). Ca had a higher tendency to occupy the F–M(3) sites than Sr, which may reflect the higher charge to size ratio of Ca2+ relative to Sr2+ and its greater affinity for F− ions. An in vivo animal study by Sabareeswaran et al. (2013) on a glass-ceramic that surface crystallized to a strontium FAp phase and a Sr-celsian phase (feldspar) as identified by XRD was found to be highly osteoconductive and biocompatible. X-ray microtomography (XMT) analyses of the synthetic–organic interfaces showed highly mineralized newly formed bone adjacent to the synthetic implant surface. It is known that, at low concentrations, strontium can promote nonmelanoma bone tumor growth (Verbeckmoes et al., 2003). It is also known that strontium-containing bio-glasses show increased osteoblast proliferation and alkaline phosphatase activity (Gentleman et al., 2010). Therefore, it would be desirable to establish ion release (particularly Sr2+) profile for the strontium FAp GC systems developed by Hill et al. (2004).

**FAP and Chlorapatite Glass-Ceramics**

Recently, Chen et al. (2014a,b) developed novel alkali-free FAP and chlorapatite (CIAP) glass-ceramics from bioactive glasses. Chen et al. (2014a) produced a series of bioactive glasses of varying metal fluoride content and found that bioactive glasses with high fluoride content crystallized to FAP and crystalline CaF2 and SrF2 on quenching. This demonstrates that metal fluoride content can determine crystallization window (Tg) between the glass transition (Tg) and the crystallization onset (Tm). It is desirable to obtain initially amorphous base glass so that the crystallization of, say a FAP phase, can be controlled. Chen et al. (2014a) also found that the amorphous base glass powder without any fluoride content crystallized to a wollastonite phase through a surface mechanism. Additionally, all fluoride-containing glasses bulk crystallized to FAP via a homogeneous nucleation mechanism. Chen et al. (2014a) were able to develop novel bioactive chlorapatite, CIAP glass-ceramics in the system of SiO2–P2O5–CaO–CaCl2. It is known that CIAP completely converts to HAp in the presence of water (Elliott and Young, 1967), hence making CIAP glass-ceramics attractive for both medical and dental applications. Chen et al. (2014c) emphasize that CIAP is less stable than FAP. This is attributed to the chloride ion being larger than hydroxyl or fluoride ion. The fluoride ion is small enough to fit in the center of the Ca(II) triangle in the FAP lattice, whereas larger ions such as hydroxyl and chloride do not fit in the center of the Ca(II) triangle but are rather displaced above the plane of the Ca(II) triangle. The chloride ion is larger than hydroxyl ion, and therefore, it is displaced further away from the Ca(II) triangle. This intrinsic apatite lattice instability brought about by the chloride ion allows the rapid exchange for a smaller ion, such as a hydroxyl ion. On increasing the fluoride or chloride content in the bioactive glass systems, Chen et al. (2014d) found that there was an increasing tendency of the glasses to crystallize. The halogen-free glass surface crystallized to a pseudowollastonite (α-CaSiO3) and an apatite, presumably an oxyapatite. Pseudowollastonite induces apatite formation in SBF (Sriraman et al., 2010) and is highly resorbable in vivo (De Araujo et al., 2000). CIAP phases in the Chen et al. (2014) crystalized via the homogenous route, which from a material processing point of view is highly desirable. FAP is largely insoluble in vivo so CIAP GCs offer the potential for producing highly resorbable GC implants. Nonetheless, there is a need for further characterization of these CIAP GCs, including characterization of the in vivo activity and the relationships between the composition, heat-treatment, microstructure, and mechanical properties.

**Dentistry**

**FAP-Leucite Glass-Ceramics**

Höland et al. (1994) developed FAP-leucite (KA3Si2O8) (A-L) glass-ceramics in the SiO2–Al2O3–Na2O–K2O–P2O5–F system, for potential application in restorative dentistry. Research led by Höland led to the development of the commercial A-L glass-ceramic IPS dSINT (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein). Needle-like apatite phase in the A–L system crystallized through a homogenous crystallization mechanism, which is a likely indication of prior LIPS. Additionally, upon DSC analyses of the A–L system, Höland et al. (2000) observed a unique phenomenon, the A–L system exhibits two endothermic reactions, first at 565°C and second endothermic reaction at 634°C. Previously, the two endothermic reactions were assigned to a phase transformation into two amorphous phases; one glassy, silica-rich phase and a droplet-like phase rich in Ca and F elements. Höland et al. (2000) suggested that the second endothermic reaction at 634°C is a transformation to a crystal phase, namely a sodium–calcium orthophosphate (NaCaPO4), which was confirmed by XRD analysis. Furthermore, Höland et al. (2000) observed another interesting phenomena; at higher temperatures (640°C), NaCaPO4 crystals dissolved and recrystallized to a new crystal phase. However, this new crystal phase could not be matched to any known phases when checked against the International Center of Diffraction Data (ICDD) database. Additionally, Höland et al. (2000) found that once the new phase is formed, apatite crystallization proceeds at 700°C. Heat treatment of the A-L system at 170°C for 8 h does not result in a material with needle-like
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microstructure; it requires additional heat treatment at 1,050°C for 2 h for the development of needle-like FAp crystals (Höland et al., 2000). This demonstrates that the thermal treatment of the base glass can strongly influence both the appearance and other properties of the GC material.

Höland et al. (2000) suggest that the morphology of the needle-like apatite is comparable to that of the apatite in natural teeth; therefore, such needle-like FAp morphology imparts the GC restoration with exceptional aesthetics. The A-L glass-ceramic exhibits good chemical durability, solubility of the GC being only at 60–70 μg/cm². Translucency of the A-L system varies between 5.8 and 10.4%, depending on the mode of processing. The A-L shows thermal expansion of 14.1–14.8 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹, which is close to that of titanium; therefore, the A-L glass-ceramic is highly suitable for direct sintering on metal abutments. Since 1998, there have been more than 60 million dental restorations performed using commercial IPS d-SIGN® A-L glass-ceramic (Höland and Rheinberger, 2008), making it the most commercially successful apatite glass-ceramic developed to date.

**Strontium-Substituted A-L Glass-Ceramics**

Höland et al. (2015) developed radiopaque strontium FAp (Sr-FAp) containing glass-ceramics (Table 6) for dental applications where Sr-FAp phase crystalized through homogeneous mechanisms. Höland et al. (2015) were also able to obtain secondary and tertiary crystal phases, in addition to Sr-FAp, including leucite (KAlSiO₄), rubidium leucite (Rb₂SiO₃Cl), cesium pollica (CsAl₂(SiO₄)₃), and sodium strontium orthophosphate (NaSrPO₄). However, both leucite phases and pollica phases showed surface crystallization. Höland et al. (2015) found that some of the base glasses that were rapidly quenched into water (to prevent crystallization) did not avoid crystallization completely. Based on XRD analyses of all parent glasses, Höland et al. (2015) found that all glasses, except reference glass No. 5, were nanocrystalline. XRD analyses of the base glasses No. 1 and No. 2 showed the presence of nanoscale Sr₃(PO₄)₂, whereas base glasses No. 3 and 4 contained nanocrystalline phases of NaSrPO₄. Höland et al. (2015) also report that only two of the “as-quenched” base glasses were optically clear, namely, No. 1 and 5, as opposed to base glasses No. 2, 3, and 4, which were opalescent in the visible light. Höland et al. (2015) showed that Sr-FAp glass-ceramics are radiopaque; therefore, these dental GCs may become more clinically relevant once commercialized.

**Nanoscale Apatite Glass-Ceramics and Optoelectronics**

Glass-ceramics containing nanoscale phases of crystals are produced by carefully controlling heat treatments of the parent glasses, in which the nucleation rate is enhanced and the crystal growth rate is low. Crystal growth rates can be suppressed by keeping the crystal growth temperature close to Tₛ. The Tₛ of the residual glass phase increases as crystallization occurs. Crystallization of fluorine containing phases, such as FAp, is particularly attractive here since a reduction in fluorine content in the residual glass phase results in a large increase in Tₛ. Alternatively, nanocrystalline phases in GCs can be obtained by developing parent glasses that phase-separate on a nanoscale, whereby subsequent crystal growth is limited by the boundaries of the LPPS domain, provided the parent glass does not overcome kinetic energy barrier to OR during heat treatment. Regardless of the application of the nano-GCs, the size and microstructure of apatite crystals are very important factors that determine whether a glass-ceramic is opaque or transparent after crystallization. Transparent GCs contain crystals smaller than the wavelength of light. Such nanoscale GCs are used for different applications, ranging from transparent zero thermal expansion coefficient cooking pans, consumer electronics to laser amplifiers in optoelectronics. Transparency of the GC is highly desirable in these applications because light transmission through a transparent GC is highly efficient due to no or low internal light scattering.

Rare earth elements, such as those in the lanthanide group, have special photon absorption and reemission properties. Under near-infrared excitation, rare earth elements can absorb low energy photons and reemit high energy photons (anti-Stokes emission). In contrast, quantum dots or organic dyes, on the other hand, are excited by high-energy photons but reemit low-energy photons (Stokes emission), which exhibit luminescence in the visible spectrum.

| Crystal phase | SrPO₄F | SrPO₄Cl | SrPO₄Cl | NaSrPO₄ | NaSrPO₄ | NaSrPO₄ | NaSrPO₄
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KASiO₄</td>
<td>KASiO₄</td>
<td>KASiO₄</td>
<td>KASiO₄</td>
<td>KASiO₄</td>
<td>KASiO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSiPO₄</td>
<td>NaSiPO₄</td>
<td>NaSiPO₄</td>
<td>NaSiPO₄</td>
<td>NaSiPO₄</td>
<td>NaSiPO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass code</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SrPO₄F</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrPO₄Cl</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSrPO₄</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion, nanocrystalline phases in GCs can provide special optical properties, including photon absorption and reemission, which are useful in various applications, such as in optoelectronics and luminescence devices.
Furthermore, fluoride-containing crystals such as FAp are also known to have low phonon energies and low-dielectric loss, which is an attractive property in optoelectronics. Despite the commercial use of single crystal lasers based on apatites and the fact that apatite lattices are also very attractive for accommodating rare earth ions, there is limited number of publications on nanocrystalline apatite glass-ceramics since the first patent publication by Pickney and Duerkau (1999).

Tulyaganov (2000) showed that FAp (Ca(PO₄)F)–anorthite (Ca₆(Al₂Si₂O₈))–diopside (CaMgSi₂O₆) glass-ceramics in the system SiO₂–Al₂O₃–P₂O₅–CaO–CaF₂–MgO (Table 7) for potential applications in optical amplifiers. In the above system, FAp crystallization was through a homogeneous mechanism, whereas anorthite and diopside phases crystallized via a surface mechanism.

Hill et al. (2010) found evidence that FAp crystallization in the GC developed by Tulyaganov (2000) was on a nanoscale and self-limiting. Hill et al. (2010) provided evidence that FAp crystallization is self-limiting because the system exhibits LLPS on a nanoscale, i.e., the size of the phase-separated domain restricts subsequent crystal growth such that it cannot grow easily beyond the bounds of the LLPS. Hill et al. (2010) also argued that if the crystallization of the fluorine-containing crystal phase occurs close to the glass transition temperature and the crystallization process results in a significant increase in the glass transition temperature, the surrounding "glassy" phase will limit further crystal growth because of the increased glass transition temperature. Hill et al. (2010) proposed that metal fluoride content at or below the stoichiometry of the FAp crystal is one of the factors needed to obtain nanoscale phases of FAp in the GC studied. These results are explained in terms of an approach, which views glasses as being inorganic polymers where the presence of fluoride disrupts the glass network, and thereby reduces the energy barrier to heterogeneous nucleation and crystallization of the FAp phases. Notably, Hill et al. (2010) also found some evidence that Mg can occupy Ca(II) sites of the FAp lattice, which was previously unknown in GPs. It is widely known that Mg⁺ cations limit apatite crystal growth by blocking surface sites on the FAp crystal.

Doped single crystal FAp have been considered for potential applications in lasers (Ohlmann et al., 1968; Delosh et al., 1993, 1999). However, their poor thermomechanical properties (Hopkins et al., 1971; Payne et al., 1994) can result in beam distortion due to thermal distortion that produces refractive index variations within the crystal. Furthermore, production of single crystals of FAp is not only expensive but unlike a glass-ceramic route cannot be processed into fibers and complex shapes. Polycrystalline apatites generally result in an opaque material, which is undesirable for optoelectronics unless the crystals are nanoscale and less than the wavelength of light. Consequently, nanocrystalline FAp GCs are of particular interest.

Zhang et al. (2012, 2013a,b) developed Nd and Eu-doped visually transparent FAp glass-ceramics (Table 8) for potential applications in optical amplifiers. Nd and Eu ions are F-block elements and can exhibit high degree of up-conversion in appropriate crystal lattices, therefore, they are highly attractive dopants in rare earth accommodating lattices, such as the apatite. Zhang et al. (2012, 2013a,b) systems crystalize to FAp and mullite; therefore, careful control of the heat treatment is crucial to avoid the crystallization of the mullite phase.

The ZH1 Nd doped glass (Table 8), as compared to its undoped version, showed a markedly reduced FAp-crystallization temperature, in contrast, ZH3, strontium version of the same parent glass (Table 8) did not show a reduction in crystallization temperature but rather a less pronounced area under the exotherm assigned to FAp. Furthermore, although Zhang et al. (2013b) systems contain strong nucleators, such as P₂O₅, doping with SrCO₃, calcium or barium oxides in the framework of SrF₂–NdO₃–SrO–CaO–P₂O₅ glasses observed with Nd doped GC may be attributed to enhanced heterogeneous nucleation mechanism, as opposed to reduced overall crystallization; however, such explanation would have to be confirmed by analyzing particle size dependence on the crystallization temperature. Notably, Zhang et al. (2012) system (Z1H, Table 8) could remain optically clear after 24-h heat treatment at 790°C. This indicates that Zhang et al. (2012) system has undergone LLPS on a nanoscale which therefore restricts FAp growth beyond the LLPS domain. Additionally, the kinetic barrier to OR during heat treatment is, therefore, not overcome in Zhang et al. (2012, 2013a,b) systems, which prevents crystal growth into the light scattering dimensions.

Furthermore, SrF₂Ap (Zhang et al., 2013b), as opposed to CaF₂Ap (Zhang et al., 2012), GC was found to have a markedly reduced visible spectrum transmittance, which authors attributed to a lower volume fraction of SrF₂ crystals (12 ± 2%) as opposed to volume fraction of CaF₂Ap (19 ± 6%) in the alternative calcium-containing GC system. Additionally, Zhang et al. (2012) found that Nd-doped calcium FAp GC showed better absorption and emission properties as compared to a strontium FAp GC (Zhang et al., 2013b) and argued that better absorption and emission
properties of a calcium FAp GC may be attributed to a higher fraction of Nd ions being incorporated into the former crystal lattice. Therefore, Nd-doped calcium FAp GCs likely have an advantage over Nd-doped strontium FAp GCs.

Nonetheless, Zhang et al. (2012, 2013a,b) were able to closely match the refractive index between the glass matrix and the crystal phases for smooth photon transitions across the medium. Additionally, the crystals in the GCs are smaller than the excitation and emission wavelengths and, therefore, such crystals are outside of the light scattering dimensions that in turn produce an energy-efficient material for luminescence applications.

**NUCLEAR WASTE IMMOBILIZATION**

Currently, high-level radioactive waste (HLW) from nuclear fission products are fused at high temperatures (~1,250°C) with a borosilicate glass and subsequently stored in repositories. However, due to long half-life of α-emitting radioactive elements, these HLW can potentially only be stored for up to 300 years in these glass matrices. GC, on the other hand, can provide a useful alternative for nuclear waste immobilization because they will provide two barriers of containment: one being the host crystal phase(s) and second the amorphous glass matrix. Additionally, a devitrified material is more resistant to water due to a higher network connectivity of the residual glassy phase.

Weber et al. (1979) and Weber (1993) analyzed CaF-doped silicates with the apatite structure but found them to be poor nuclear waste hosts as they completely transformed into an amorphous state. This resulted in a volume expansion and microfracturing of the material.

It is quite important to point out that phosphate apatite, as opposed to other apatitic phases show better resistance to amorphization (due to nuclear decay) and better chemical stability. Sokol et al. (2001) demonstrated that phosphosilicate FAp ceramics exhibit increasing resistance to amorphization when SiO₂ is substituted by PO₃⁻. Fang et al. (2014) also found that phosphate apatites exhibit superior chemical stability (under acetic acid challenge) when the atomic proportion of phosphorus replaced by silicon and sulfur did not exceed one-third.

At the Atomic Weapons Establishment (UK), Donald et al. (2007) developed novel calcium phosphate-based halogen-containing calcium apatite ceramics for a universal actinide- and halide-containing waste immobilization. In the preliminary study, Donald et al. (2007) produced four types of experimental halide-containing nuclear waste streams. In addition to a series of mainly sodium aluminum phosphate (NaAlP) glasses for subsequent encapsulation of the waste-hosting calcium phosphate ceramics. However, it is important to underline that apatite ceramics developed by Donald et al. (2007) require additional encapsulation in a durable glass matrix. Therefore, it may be argued that systems developed by Donald et al. (2007) are not traditional GCs; however, research led by Donald et al. (2007) is still ongoing. From a manufacturing point of view, developing a "traditional" apatite GC could possibly provide a more economical alternative. Nonetheless, apatite-containing glass-ceramics are potentially excellent candidates as nuclear waste hosts, which evidence from studies on the apatite ceramic materials. Therefore, there is a great but challenging potential for new developments in this field.

**CONCLUSION**

The review provides an overview of the apatite glass-ceramics, their crystallization behavior, their remarkable properties, and commercial applications in the fields of medicine and dentistry, optoelectronics, and potential nuclear waste management.

The A-W glass-ceramic discussed in the review shows excellent osseointegration and exhibits clinically suitable mechanical properties, including fracture toughness and flexural strength. However, the failure of this system to bulk nucleate and a lack of bioreabsorbability open up new challenging fronts for research and development to overcome these drawbacks. Data on the newly developed chlorapatite GCs suggest that these materials may provide the desired resorbability and osseointegration; however, further work is required in terms of their in vivo activity and structure–property relationship, including the microstructure and mechanical properties.

To date, the most commercially successful apatite glass-ceramics are those developed for dental veneering. These dental GCs exhibit low solubility, excellent translucency, and clinical esthetics, and are bioceramic in nature.

Current information in the literature suggests that apatite is potentially a good host phase for radioactive waste entrainment. Apatite glass-ceramics would be highly attractive for this application; however, a lack of publications in this area suggests that further studies are required.

The authors would like to conclude that apatite lattice inherently exhibits ease of solid solution whereby various ionic substitutions can take place. As such, its solid-state chemistry is of greater interest in both, the fundamental as well as the applied research. Understanding derived from research in apatite-containing glass-ceramics continues to intrigue and convey new concepts in structural solid-state chemistry and the applied sciences discussed in this review with undoubtedly a great future potential.
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Dental restoration aesthetics, particularly the translucency of modern dental restorative filling materials depends on the refractive index (RI) match between the different components in the material. In the case of dental composites (DC), the RI of the polymer must match the RI of the filler otherwise the material is optically opaque and has limited depth of cure. In the case of glass ionomer cements (GICs), the RI of the ion-leachable glass must match the RI of the polyalkali to engineer a smart material with a tooth-like appearance. The RI of oxide glasses can be calculated by means of Appen factors. However, no Appen factors are available for the fluoride components in dental glasses. Therefore, the objective of this study is to empirically derive composition-specific Appen factors for the metal fluorides in complex multicomponent glasses for use in dentistry.

Methods: Two series of bioactive glasses and two series of ionomer-type glasses were produced for this study. Refractive indices of all glasses were then measured by the Becke line technique. Thereafter, composition-specific factors for the metal fluorides were derived.

Results: It was found that increasing metal fluoride content reduces the RI of multicomponent dental glasses linearly. A series-specific Appen factors for the metal fluorides were successfully derived and allow RI calculation to within 0.005.

Significance: This paper proposes a modified Appen Model with composition-specific Appen factors for the metal fluorides for the development of dental restoratives with enhanced aesthetics and improved depth of cure of dental composites.

© 2018 The Academy of Dental Materials. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The aesthetics, particularly the translucency is an important feature of dental restorations. In order to obtain a translucent glass ionomer cement (GIC) or a dental composite (DC) it is essential to match the refractive index of the glass to the polymer or the polyalkali cement matrix to avoid light scattering at the interfaces. Light scattering at the interfaces results in an opaque material. In DCs, light scattering because of a RI mismatch between the resin and the glass filler causes light attenuation and strongly influences the depth of cure of the composite material [1]. Furthermore, in photoactive resin based composites RI mismatch between the resin and the filler increases light scattering, however the RI of the resin changes dynamically during the curing process [2]. This can either
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increase or decrease light scattering depending on the refractive index match, i.e. if the RI of the filler matches the RI of the uncured resin, then light scattering will increase as the material polymerizes, light scattering will decrease and so will the opacity and light transmission through the material. Optimum RI matching and careful design of the DCs may produce DC materials with considerably improved optical properties

The only alternative way to obtain a highly translucent cement is to have well dispersed nano-sized particles where the dimensions of the particles are smaller than the wavelength of the visible light. Such fine scale powder particles are particularly difficult to manufacture as they have a high tendency to aggregate once mixed essentially forming larger clusters to reduce their high surface energy. Furthermore, it is difficult and costly to produce such nano particles particularly from melt-derived glasses. It is notable that translucent glass-ceramics are also produced by matching the RI between the different components in these multiphasic materials i.e. crystal phase/s and the residual "glassy" phase.

In the case of fluoride free glasses the RI of the glass can be calculated within about 0.01 by the means of Appen factors [3], which are empirically derived factors calculated on the basis of previous measurements of RI of oxide glasses. Appen factors have been successfully used to calculate not only RI but also thermal expansion coefficients [4]. The RI of an oxide glass can be calculated using the following equation:

\[ n_R = \sum \frac{n_{A_i} n_{C_i}}{100} \]

where \( n_{A_i} \) is the Appen factor (Table 1) for the respective oxide component and \( C_i \) is the weight of the oxide component.

However, Appen factors for the metal fluorides are not available. Consequently, the refractive index of fluoride-containing glasses cannot be readily predicted. Fluoride components are known to reduce the RI of various glasses [5] and fluoride-containing glasses are attractive components for dental composites and particularly for GICs. The anticariogenic effects of fluoride have been long known [6]. Based on Scanning Electron Microradiography (SMR) in vitro studies, optimum fluoride concentrations under acidic conditions have also been proposed by Mohammed et al. [7].

However, despite the importance of RI it has rarely been measured for fluoride containing dental glasses there is only one peer reviewed article published in the literature. This article demonstrates how increasing CaF_2 content in bioactive glasses allows design of dental composite materials with improved optical properties, such as improved depth of care and reduced light transmission [8]. Improved depth of cure of the DC will also result in increased longevity of the tooth restoration due to minimized shrinkage and increased polymerization.

The ability to model the role of metal fluorides on the RI of multicomponent glasses also allows the prediction of RI of fluoride-containing glass-ceramics (GICs) used in restorative dentistry, particularly GICs based on fluorapatite and fluorite phases. Since translucency is required for aesthetics, it is important to match the RI of the crystal phase to the residual glass phase. In these glass-ceramics, relatively large interlocking crystals are required to provide optimum strength and fracture toughness, as well as machinability in the case of mica glass-ceramics [9]. GICs containing nano-sized crystal phases would provide the desired aesthetics, however at the expense of mechanical properties and machinability. Therefore in summary it is necessary to develop a model by which RIs of fluoride-containing glasses can be predicted.

The objectives of this paper are to:

i) Measure the RI of two types of the fluoride-containing glasses used in glass (ionomer) cement formulations and as potential remineralising additives in various dental restorative materials.

ii) To develop Appen Factors for fluoride-containing glasses so that RIs of these glasses may be calculated which will allow the design of restoratives with improved translucency.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Glass synthesis

Two series of bioactive glasses containing fluoride components were produced. These are given in Tables 2 and 3. The bioactivity of these two series of bioactive glasses was previously studied by Mrimenr et al. [10] and Lynch et al. [11] respectively. In these glasses the metal fluorides were added to the composition rather than substituted for the metal oxide. All glasses were melted in platinum/rhodium 80/20 crucibles. Details of the synthesis conditions are given in the respective papers [10] and [11]. The first series of ion-exchange type glasses (Table 2) are based on the series 4.5SiO2-3Al2O3-1.5P2O5-5-xCaO-xCaF2. In this series of ion-leachable glasses CaO is substituted for CaF2 on a molar basis. These glasses were synthesized using a method described earlier by Stanton and Hill [12]. A second series of high fluoride ionomer-type glasses (Table 3) were provided by Ceram Dynamics Limited (Stoke-on-Trent, UK). These high fluoride glass samples were produced in a custom-built cold-top furnace to prevent fluoride volatilization. Full chemical analyses of the industrially manufactured
glasses was carried out by X-ray fluorescence. These glasses have much higher fluoride contents than the laboratory synthesized ionomer glasses whose compositions were designed to prevent fluoride loss as volatile silicon tetrafluoride [13].

### 2.2. Measurement of RI

Fluoride-containing glasses are prone to rapid crystallization [13] and fluoride loss on curing therefore as opposed to using Abbé refractometer refractive indices of the samples were measured by the Becke line test [14]. The refractive indices all fluoride-containing amorphous powdered glass samples were measured using polarized light microscopy by mounting the amorphous glass samples in suitable immersion liquids (Caragille, USA) and making observations of the Becke line. The immersion liquids have known refractive index values ranging from 1.45 to 1.70 with 0.01 intervals. Refractive index measurements were performed at room temperature (~20 °C) using the sodium D line. The microscope was calibrated prior to each measurement using a known RI glass sample. Digital images of the glass samples were captured using a digital camera (Q Imaging, Canada) affixed to the microscope and digitized by manufacturer’s software.

### 2.3. Appen factors

First, Eq. (1) was used to calculate RIs of all glass samples. Since no Appen factors are available for the metal fluorides, the metal fluoride component contribution to the RI was underestimated. To compensate for this, multiple factors were tried for the respective metal fluoride (CaF₂/SrF₂) component until the calculated RI value matched to within 0.005 to experimentally derived RI value.

### 3. Results

All glasses used in the study were found to be amorphous by X-ray powder diffraction analyses. Fig. 1(a–c) shows the RI as a function of CaF₂, SrF₂ and F content for phospho-silicate bioactive glasses and aluminio-silicate ionomer-type glasses. There is a linear decrease in RI with metal fluoride/elemental fluorine content. A comparison of the experimental and calculated RI values for Munnim et al. [10] series of bioactive glasses shows a good correlation between the two (Fig. 1(d)). However, for more complex F series of bioactive glasses containing additional oxide components it can be observed that there is a slight deviation between the experimental and calculated values with Ca/SrF₂ contents (Fig. 1(e)). Fig. 1(f) shows the RI for a series of ionomer glasses based on 4(SiO₂)(Al₂O₃)(1.5P₂O₅)₉(X)CaO₅CaF₂. The RIs calculated using Appen factors agree well with the experimentally determined RIs. Fig. 1(g) shows the RI as a function of F content for the industrially manufactured high fluoride (HF) series (Table 4) of glasses. In summary, there is a clear linear relationship between fluoride content (either as elemental F or as a metal fluoride) and refractive index of the glasses studied. Table 6 shows series-specific Appen factors derived from this study.

### 4. Discussion

Based on the experimentally derived RIs of the fluoride-containing glass samples, a linear (R² = 0.98) correlation between elemental fluoride/metal fluoride content and the refractive index of glasses is clearly observed. Generally, fluoride containing glasses have larger atomic spacings and therefore more disrupted structure. Larger spacing in the glass network results in the reduction in glass density and thus is attributed to lower refractive index. It has been long known that there is a linear correlation between density and refractive index in glasses [15]. However, many materials other than glasses do not exhibit this phenomenon. It may not be surprising that incorporation of fluoride lowers the dielectric constant of the glasses which is observed in other dielectric

### Table 3 – Compositions of multicomponent bioactive glasses in mol% [11].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaF₂</th>
<th>SrO</th>
<th>SrF₂</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>ZnO</th>
<th>CaF₂ + SrF₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>13.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>25.14</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>32.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 – Compositions of model laboratory ionomer-type glasses in mol%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SrO</th>
<th>CaF₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.47</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>8.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.71</td>
<td>17.48</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>15.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.05</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>15.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>25.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>20.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>18.14</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>30.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.17</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>21.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 – Compositions of high fluoride ionomer-type glasses produced by Cera Dynamics Limited (mP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SrO</th>
<th>Fluorine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.71</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.35</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>25.89</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>20.58</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>18.14</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>30.30</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.17</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>21.81</td>
<td>47.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6 – Empirically derived Appen factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CaF₂</th>
<th>SrF₂</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>CaF₂</th>
<th>SrF₂</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
materials [16] and results in reduced polarizability and henceforth a reduction in refractive index.

For the first series of bioactive glasses developed by Mmeiene et al. [20] calculated and measured RI values are matching within 0.005. In this series, it was found that CaF$_2$ contributes to the RI by a factor of 1.42, which is quite close to the RI of crystalline CaF$_2$, which at room temperature is 1.4338 [17]. It is notable that solid-state $^{19}$F MAS-NMR studies of related glasses by Brauer et al. [18] have shown fluorine to be present as F-M(O) species where M is largely Ca with a small fraction of Na and it is close to four molecular dynamics simulations by Christie et al. [19] predict the formation of F-Ca(n) species. Thus, in these glasses the fluorine exists in a fluoride-like F-Ca(n) environment and it is therefore not surprising that the RI can be predicted based on a model assuming the presence of a fluoride-like environment. Furthermore, Brauer et al. [20] measured the density of CaF$_2$ containing bioactive glasses and showed the density could be predicted based on the assumption of fluorine existing in a CaF$_2$ like environment and using a density factor for crystalline CaF$_2$. It is worth noting that in the present glasses, as well as the ones studied by Brauer et al. [20] the CaF$_2$ was added to the glass rather than being substituted for CaO and in these glasses there is no change in the non-bridging oxygen content and the Q speciation of the silicon remains constant. In the original studies of Hench et al. [21] and more recently by Lusvardi et al. [22] CaF$_2$ was substituted for CaO which results in changes in the non-bridging oxygen content of the glass and the silicon speciation as well as potential loss of fluorine as silicon tetrafluoride during melting.

The calculations for the second series of bioactive glasses (Table 1) [11] with strontium and potassium components have also been performed by assuming the nominal proportions of CaF$_2$ and SrF$_2$, incorporated in the original glass composition. It was found that metal fluorides contribute to the RI by a factor of 1.45 (CaF$_2$) and 1.47 (SrF$_2$), which is higher than the factor for the first series. In the final melted glass composition the fluorine might be expected to form more F-Ca(n) species than F-Sr(n) species since Ca$^{2+}$ has a slightly smaller ionic size than Sr$^{2+}$ and this might be expected to favor F-Ca(n) speciation. In addition, there is likely to be mixed F-Ca/Sr(n) and F-Na(n) sites where Na and Ca is more prevalent than Sr and this may have an effect on the RI and hence may explain why the Appen factor for the metal fluoride component in this series is higher.

Appen factor for CaF$_2$ for the first series of alumino-silicate glasses (Table 3) was found to be 1.59, which is much higher than the calculated RI of crystalline CaF$_2$. In addition, it was also found that Appen factor for K$_2$O is different from that published by Appen [3] and is around 1.48 for this series of glasses. This may be due to different phosphorus speciation in glass compositions containing aluminium and can be linked to several structural aspects [23]. Characterization by $^{19}$F, $^{29}$Si and $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR [24] indicate that the structure of ionomer type glasses is much
more complex than the fluoride containing bioactive glasses. The fluoride can exist as Al-F-Ca(Na)\(_2\), as well as F-Ca(O) and the proportion of these two species changes with the glass composition [24]. The assumption of the glass consisting of a CaF\(_2\) free glass plus CaF\(_2\) like species in the calculation of the RI is only partially valid and neglects the presence of Al-F-Ca(Na) species. In addition substituting CaF\(_2\) for CaO may also reduce the non-bridging oxygen content of the glass, which will also influence the RI. In addition increasing fluoride content can cause the AlO to move from Al(V) to higher coordination states of V and VI.

Due to the complexity of the second series of high-fluorine ionomer-type glasses (Table 5) the data is expressed as measured RI as a function of elemental fluoride content. These compositions contain excessive amounts of fluoride and further structural characterization is ongoing. However, RIs that all within the compositional domain of this series of glasses can also be predicted based on the amount of elemental fluoride in mol% using equation for straight line expressed in Fig. 1d).

Most commercial ionomer glasses also contain small amounts of sodium whereby it forms Al-F-Na(Na) species in the glass in addition to Al-F-Ca(Na) and F-Ca(Na) species. Furthermore, many commercial glasses also contain strontium [23], which will result in Al-F-Sr(Na) and F-Sr(Na) species in addition to mixed species if calcium is included in the composition. In general the amount of sodium in ionomer glass compositions is typically less than 1% so the formation of Al-F-Na(Na) species is not likely to have a large influence on the RI of ionomer-type glasses.

In regard to glass ionomer cements it is necessary that the RI of glass matches the RI of the polyol matrix. This can be quite well facilitated in cement compositions where the initial difference between refractive index of the glass and the refractive index of the polyalcohol solution is lower.

5. Conclusions

The RI of fluoride containing bioactive glasses correlates linearly with metal fluoride content and the RI can also be predicted readily using Appen factors close to that of fluoride containing crystalline phases, such as CaF\(_2\) as proposed in the study. The RIs of the more complex ionomer glasses also demonstrate a linear relationship with fluoride content. Nonetheless, the paper proposes a modified Appen model with new composition-specific Appen factors for the metal fluorides for the development of highly translucent dental materials and improved depth of cure of dental composites. The present study also provides a very useful tool for the design of highly advanced restorative materials which can exhibit bioactivity alongside improved aesthetics and increased restoration longevity.
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Poster Presentations
Refractive Index Matching for Superior Aesthetics

Translucency is an essential property of modern restoratives. In order to obtain an aesthetically superior product it is important to match the refractive index (RI) of the glass to the resin matrix.

In general the RI of glasses can be calculated using Appen factors; however, there are no Appen factors for fluoride-glasses.

In this study we have measured the RI of a wide range of fluoride glasses. An empirical RI factor for amorphous fluorides was established. Cera Dynamics can use RI calculation to aid customers formulating aesthetically superior restoratives.
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Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London, E1 4BG United Kingdom

Cera Dynamics Limited, Number Three, Sidcup-on-Heath, DA14 5DD, United Kingdom
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Development of novel fluorapatite glass-ceramics for use in biomimetic GICs

Tomas Duminis, Robert Hill, Natalia Karpukhina and Saroosh Shahid
Centre for Oral Bioengineering, Institute of Dentistry, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK

Introduction
Fluorapatite (FAP) additions in glass ionomer cements (GICs) can be used to promote remineralisation [1]. However, due to light scattering at the interface between cement components they cause opacity and can result in a weak translucent material (Figure 1(a)).

Some GIC glasses containing Sodium, Phosphorus and Fluoride Components can be developed into bioactive glass-ceramics (GICs) with FAP within the glass matrix [1]. If crystallites in the glass matrix are smaller than the wavelength of light, the material is translucent and bioactive. It is known that if strontium is incorporated into the glass composition, the material can exhibit regenerative properties [2] and can also repair the material with the help of the body's own cells. This research aims to develop novel, bioactive strontium-substituted FAP nano-GICs for use in biomimetic GICs.

Materials and Methods
A series of glasses of varying calcium substitution for strontium, based on the SiO2-Al2O3-P2O5-Gd2O3-CaF2, system was synthesised by the melt-spinning technique. To understand thermal properties, synthesised glasses were analysed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Subsequently, all amorphous samples were prerelaxed in situ at the following temperatures: 25°C, glass transition temperature (Tg); 50°C, the glass transition temperature; 100°C, body temperature (Tb); and 150°C, the glass transition temperature. Single crystals of both samples were grown using the Czochralski method and analysed by XRD and WAXD. SEM and TEM (transmission electron microscopy) were used to determine the crystalline size of the FAP crystals.

Results and Discussion
DSC data presented in Figures 2(a) and 2(b) shows that increasing strontium content results in reduced homogenous crystallisation and a decrease in Tg.

Conclusions
Refractive FAP GICs have been successfully developed for use in aesthetic GICs. Increasing strontium content in the developed compositions was found to promote faster crystallisation in FAP phases. Developed FAP GICs can be incorporated into GICs for improved remineralisation.
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APPENDIX C

Conference Abstracts
Title: Modified Appen Model for Developing Restoratives with Enhanced Clinical Aesthetics

Presentation type: Oral

Authors: Tomas Duminis, Saroash Shahid, Philip Frampton and Robert Hill

Objectives: Acid-degradable and inert glasses containing CaF$_2$ and SrF$_2$ are widely used as fillers in aesthetic dental restorative materials. Aesthetically superior polyalkenoate cements and dental composites are produced by matching the refractive index (RI) of the glass to the resin or the polysalt matrix to avoid light scattering at the interfaces. RIs of glasses can be calculated by the means of Appen factors. However, there were no Appen factors for amorphous metal fluorides published to date. Hence, the objective of this study was to empirically derive Appen factors for amorphous Calcium and Strontium fluorides. Methods: Several series of acid-degradable fluoroaluminosilicate glasses of varying metal fluoride content were selected for this study. The Appen equation was used to estimate RIs of glasses by using previously published RIs for the crystalline metal fluorides, in addition to Appen factors for the glass oxides. RIs of glasses were measured by the Becke line technique. Fragments of coarse glass samples were dispersed in mineral oils of varying RI (1.45-1.55) and observed under a phase contrast microscope until RI match was found. Subsequently, once experimental RIs of glasses became available, Appen factors for amorphous CaF$_2$ and SrF$_2$ were derived. Results: Empirical Appen factors for the amorphous metal fluorides were found to be higher than those for the crystalline metal fluorides. It was also found that the RIs of glasses reduced linearly ($R^2=0.98$) with increasing fluoride content. Conclusions: Empirical Appen factors have been successfully derived for the amorphous metal fluorides that enable accurate RI estimation of fluoride-containing glasses for the development of dental restoratives with enhanced clinical aesthetics.
Title: **Novel Strontium-substituted Fluorapatite Glass-ceramics for Remineralising Glass Ionomer Cements**

Presentation type: Poster

Authors: Tomas Duminis, Saroash Shahid and Robert Hill

**Objectives:** Although fluorapatite (FAp) additives in glass ionomer cement (GIC) may act as nuclei for the remineralisation of tooth, they affect the aesthetics of the material. Upon controlled heat-treatment GIC glasses which contain strontium as a radiopacifier can nanocrystallise to produce glass-ceramics (GC) with FAp within the glass matrix. Such glasses will be beneficial for enhancing the remineralising potential of GICs without affecting the aesthetics. The aim of this study is to develop novel strontium-substituted GCs for use in GICs. **Methods:** A series of glasses of varying strontium substitution for calcium, based on the SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-P$_2$O$_5$-CaO/SrO-CaF$_2$ system was produced by the melt-quench route. For heat-induced apatite crystallisation all glasses were subjected to a heat-treatment regime at the following temperatures T$_g$, T$_g$+50°C, T$_g$+100°C and T$_g$+150°C for 1 hour. Glasses were then analysed by HT-DSC, multinuclear MAS-NMR, XRD, ATR-FTIR and SEM before and after heat-treatment to assess apatite crystallisation. **Results:** HT-DSC data suggests that increasing strontium content results in reduced volume crystallisation and a linear reduction in T$_g$. $^{31}$P MAS-NMR analysis of the glasses showed presence of apatite after heat treatment. $^{19}$F MAS-NMR analysis confirmed this be in the form of fluorapatite. Increasing heat-treatment temperature resulted in increased apatite crystallisation. SEM of heat-treated glasses showed needle-like fluorapatite crystals within the amorphous glass matrix. **Conclusions:** Fluorapatite containing glasses have been successfully developed for use in GICs. Increasing strontium content in the above systems was found to have a profound effect on the crystallisation behaviour of the glasses. Crystalline phase in the developed apatite containing glasses was identified to be a mixed strontium/calcium fluorapatite phase.
APPENDIX D
X-ray fluorescence analysis
# INORGANIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Quarter Mary University of London - Dental Physical Sciences  
Francis Bancroft Building  
RM 2.26.1 Second Floor  
Mile End Road  
London  
E1 4NS

FAO: Mr Sara Shahid  
Report of Tests on: Fluoroaluminosilicate Glass 4  
Your Reference: RIVA  
Ceram Reference: (134255)-17299  
Date Reported: 23-Aug-2013  
Date Logged: 16-Aug-2013  
Order Number: 9270312  
Date(s) of Test(s): 21-Aug-2013 to 23-Aug-2013

**XRF Analysis**  
Methods C15, C201 based on BSEN ISO 12677:2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result(s)</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Dried 110 deg C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Dioxide</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>30.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Dioxide</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium Oxide</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>25.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxide</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Oxide</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Oxide</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxide</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus Pentoxide</td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (II) Oxide</td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zirconium Oxide</td>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafnium (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>HfO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Oxide</td>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium Oxide</td>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium (I) Oxide</td>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>22.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>SnO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Oxide</td>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>B₂O₅</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>Li₂O</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Equivalent Fluorine</td>
<td>OEF</td>
<td>-3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>7.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>99.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur Trioxide</td>
<td>S₂O₃</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKAS Accredited</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sulphur trioxide may not be a total sulphur figure but is the sulphur remaining after LOI and fusion. Results are quoted to 2 decimal places but are accurate to 3 significant figures or the number of figures given, whichever is the lesser.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

**End of Test Report**

Mrs Sharon Mansfield  
Author

---

*This report is issued in accordance with the Conditions of Business of Ceram Research Limited and relates only to the sample(s) tested. No responsibility is given for the accuracy of the sampling unless this work is under our own supervision. This report shall not be reproduced in part without the written approval of Ceram Research Limited, nor used in any way as to lead to misrepresentation of the results or their implications.*

Ceram is the trading name of Ceram Research Limited. Registered in England No. 1968405. Registered Office as above.
INORGANIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Queen Mary University of London - Dental Physical Sciences
Franco Bancroft Building
RM 2.26.1 Second Floor
Mile End Road
London
E1 4NS

FAO: Mr Saroosh Shahid

Report of Tests on: Fluorocalciumsilicate Glass 5
Your Reference: IONOFI.
Ceram Reference: (134255)-17300

Date Reported: 23-Aug-2013
Date Logged: 16-Aug-2013
Order Number: 9270312
Date(s) of Test(s): 21-Aug-2013 to 23-Aug-2013

XRF Analysis

Methods C15, C201 based on BSEN ISO 12677:2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result(s)</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Dioxide</td>
<td>SiO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Dioxide</td>
<td>TiO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium Oxide</td>
<td>Al₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxide</td>
<td>CaO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Oxide</td>
<td>MgO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Oxide</td>
<td>K₂O %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxide</td>
<td>Na₂O %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus Pentoxide</td>
<td>P₂O₅ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (II) Oxide</td>
<td>MnO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zirconium Oxide</td>
<td>ZrO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafnium (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>HfO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Oxide</td>
<td>PbO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>ZnO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium Oxide</td>
<td>BaO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium (II) Oxide</td>
<td>SrO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>SnO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Oxide</td>
<td>CuO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>B₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>Li₂O %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>F %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Equivalent Fluorine</td>
<td>OF %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur Trioxide</td>
<td>S₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sulphur trioxide may not be a total sulphur figure but is the sulphur remaining after LOI and fusion. Results are quoted to 2 decimal places but are accurate to 3 significant figures or the number of figures given, whichever is the lesser.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

End of Test Report

Mrs Sharon Mansfield
Author
INORGANIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Queens University of London - Dental Physical Sciences
France Bancroft Building
RM 2.26.1 Second Floor
Mile End Road
London
E1 4NS

FAO: Mr Saroash Shahid
Report of Tests on: Fluoroaluminosilicate Glass 1
Your Reference: KETAC FIL
Ceram Reference: (134255)-17302
Date Reported: 23-Aug-2013
Date Logged: 16-Aug-2013
Order Number: 9270219
Date(s) of Test(s): 21-Aug-2013 to 23-Aug-2013

XRF Analysis
Methods C15, C201 based on BSEN ISO 12677:2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result(s)</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Dried 110 deg C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Dioxide</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>28.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Dioxide</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium Oxide</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>25.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxide</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Oxide</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Oxide</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxide</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus Pentoxide</td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (II) Oxide</td>
<td>MnO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zirconium Oxide</td>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafnium (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>HfO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Oxide</td>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium Oxide</td>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium (II) Oxide</td>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>21.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>SnO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Oxide</td>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>B₂O₅</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>Li₂O</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Equivalent Fluorine</td>
<td>OEF</td>
<td>-4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanthanum (III) Oxide</td>
<td>La₂O₃</td>
<td>6.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>100.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur Trioxide</td>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sulphur trioxide may not be a total sulphur figure but is the sulphur remaining after LOI and fusion. Results are quoted to 2 decimal places but are accurate to 3 significant figures or the number of figures given, whichever is the lesser.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

End of Test Report

Mrs Sharon Mansfield
Author

This report is issued in accordance with the Conditions of Business of Ceram Research Limited and relates only to the sample(s) tested. No responsibility is taken for the accuracy of the sampling unless those done under our own supervision. This report shall not be reproduced in part without the written approval of Ceram Research Limited, nor used in any way as to lead to misrepresentation of the results or their implications.

Ceram is the trading name of Ceram Research Limited. Registered in England No. 1989405. Registered Office as above.
**INORGANIC ANALYSIS REPORT**

Queen Mary University of London - Dental Physical Sciences
France Bancroft Building
RM 2.26.1 Second Floor
Mile End Road
London
E1 4NS

FAO: Mr Saroash Shahid

**Report of Tests on:** Fluorocäminosilicate Glass 2

**Your Reference:** CHEMRL ROCK

**Ceram Reference:** (134255)-17303

**Date Reported:** 23-Aug-2013

**Date Logged:** 16-Aug-2013

**Order Number:** 9270219

**Dates of Test(s):** 21-Aug-2013 to 23-Aug-2013

**XRF Analysis**

Methods C15, C201 based on BS EN ISO 12677:2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result(s)</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Dried 110 deg C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Dioxide</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>20.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Dioxide</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium Oxide</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>20.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxide</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Oxide</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Oxide</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxide</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus Pentoxide</td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>14.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (II) Oxide</td>
<td>MnO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zirconium Oxide</td>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafnium (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>HfO₂</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Oxide</td>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>12.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium Oxide</td>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium (I) Oxide</td>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>11.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>SnO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Oxide</td>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>B₂O₅</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>Li₂O</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Equivalent Fluorine</td>
<td>OEF</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>11.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur Trioxide</td>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UKAS Accredited:** Yes

The sulphur trioxide may not be a total sulphur figure but is the sulphur remaining after LOI and fusion. Results are quoted to 2 decimal places but are accurate to 3 significant figures or the number of figures given, whichever is the lesser.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

**End of Test Report**

Mrs Sharon Mansfield
Author
INORGANIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Queen Mary University of London - Dental Physical Sciences
France Bancroft Building
RM 2.26.1 Second Floor
Mile End Road
London
E1 4NS

FAQ: Mr Saroosh Shahid
Report of Tests on: Fluorocuarninosilicate Glass 3
Your Reference: CHEM/FRL SUPERIOR
Ceram Reference: (134255)-17304
Date Reported: 23-Aug-2013
Date Logged: 16-Aug-2013
Order Number: 9270219
Date(s) of Test(s): 21-Aug-2013 to 23-Aug-2013

XRF Analysis
Methods C15, C201 based on BSEN ISO 12677:2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result(s)</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Dried 110 deg C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Dioxide</td>
<td>% SiO₂</td>
<td>23.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Dioxide</td>
<td>% TiO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium Oxide</td>
<td>% Al₂O₃</td>
<td>26.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (III) Oxide</td>
<td>% Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxide</td>
<td>% CaO</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Oxide</td>
<td>% MgO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Oxide</td>
<td>% K₂O</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxide</td>
<td>% Na₂O</td>
<td>8.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus Pentoxide</td>
<td>% P₂O₅</td>
<td>8.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III) Oxide</td>
<td>% Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (II) Oxide</td>
<td>% MnO</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zirconium Oxide</td>
<td>% ZrO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafnium (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>% HfO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Oxide</td>
<td>% PbO</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>% ZnO</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium Oxide</td>
<td>% BaO</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium (II) Oxide</td>
<td>% SrO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>% SnO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Oxide</td>
<td>% CuO</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boric Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>% B₂O₅</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Oxide (by ICP)</td>
<td>% Li₂O</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>% F</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Equivalent Fluorine</td>
<td>% OEF</td>
<td>-5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>17.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>99.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur Trioxide</td>
<td>% S₃O₆</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKAS Accredited</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sulphur trioxide may not be a total sulphur figure but is the sulphur remaining after LOI and fusion. Results are quoted to 2 decimal places but are accurate to 3 significant figures or the number of figures given, whichever is the lesser. Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

End of Test Report

Mrs Sharon Mansfield
Author

This report is issued in accordance with the Conditions of Business of Ceram Research Limited and relates only to the sample(s) tested. No responsibility is assumed for the accuracy of the sampling unless those done under our own supervision. This report shall not be reproduced in part without the written approval of Ceram Research Limited, nor used in any way as to lead to misrepresentation of the results or their implications.

Ceram is the trading name of Ceram Research Limited. Registered in England No. 1989451. Registered Office as above.
INORGANIC ANALYSIS REPORT

James Kent (Ceramic Materials) Limited
Fountain Street
Fenton
Stoke on Trent
Staffs
ST4 2HB

FAQ: Christopher Jackson
Report of Tests on: CJ219 (QMTD7)
Your Reference: 1890
Lucideon Reference: (155334)-30545

Date Reported: 07-Dec-2015
Date Logged: 02-Dec-2015
Order Number: 8069
Date(s) of Test(s): 03-Dec-2015 to 07-Dec-2015

XRF Analysis
Methods C201 based on BSEN ISO 12677:2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result(s)</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Basis</td>
<td>Dried 110 deg C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Dioxide</td>
<td>SiO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Dioxide</td>
<td>TiO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium Oxide</td>
<td>Al₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (II) Oxide</td>
<td>FeO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxide</td>
<td>CaO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Oxide</td>
<td>MgO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Oxide</td>
<td>K₂O %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxide</td>
<td>Na₂O %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus Pentoxide</td>
<td>P₂O₅ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (II) Oxide</td>
<td>MnO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>ZnO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafnium (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>H₂O₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Oxide</td>
<td>PbO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium Oxide</td>
<td>BaO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium (II) Oxide</td>
<td>SrO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>SnO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Oxide</td>
<td>CuO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>F %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Equivalent Fluorine</td>
<td>OEF %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur Trioxide</td>
<td>SO₃ %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UKAS Accredited: Yes

The sulphur trioxide may not be a total sulphur figure but is the sulphur remaining after LOI and fusion. Results are quoted to 2 decimal places but are accurate to 3 significant figures or the number of figures given, whichever is the lesser.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

End of Test Report

Mrs Sharon Mansfield
Author

This report is issued in accordance with the Conditions of Business of Lucideon Limited and relates only to the samples/stated.
No responsibility is taken for the accuracy of the sampling unless this is done under our own supervision. This report shall not be reproduced in part without the written approval of Lucideon Limited, nor used in any way as to lead to misrepresentation of the results or their implications.

Lucideon is the trading name of Lucideon Limited. Registered in England No. 1966465.
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Lucideon Limited
Queens Road, Penhull
enquiries@lucideon.com
Stoke-on-Trent
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# INORGANIC ANALYSIS REPORT

**James Kent (Ceramic Materials) Limited**  
Fountain Street  
Fenton  
Staffs  
ST4 2HB

FAO: Christopher Jackson  
Report of Tests on: CJ220 (OMTD8)  
Your Reference: 1891  
Lucideon Reference: (155334)-30546

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Reported:</th>
<th>07-Dec-2015</th>
<th>Date(s) of Test(s):</th>
<th>03-Dec-2015 to 07-Dec-2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Logged:</td>
<td>02-Dec-2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## XRF Analysis
Methods C201 based on BS EN ISO 12677:2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result(s)</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Basis</th>
<th>Dried 110 deg C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Dioxide</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>20.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Dioxide</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium Oxide</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>23.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxide</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>11.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Oxide</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Oxide</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxide</td>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus Pentoxide</td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>15.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Mn₂O₃</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zirconium Oxide</td>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafnium (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>HfO₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Oxide</td>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium Oxide</td>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium (II) Oxide</td>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>17.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>SnO₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Oxide</td>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>12.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Equivalent Fluorine</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>-5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur Trioxide</td>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sulphur trioxide may not be a total sulphur figure but is the sulphur remaining after LOI and fusion. Results are quoted to two decimal places but are accurate to 3 significant figures or the number of figures given, whichever is the lesser.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

**End of Test Report**

---

Mrs Sharon Mansfield  
Author

---

This report is issued in accordance with the Conditions of Business of Lucideon Limited and relates only to the sample(s) tested. The responsibility for the accuracy of the results is that of the party requesting the tests. The report is based on the results or observations of the tests performed by Lucideon Limited. This report is for internal use only and not for redistribution or reproduction without the written approval of Lucideon Limited.
INORGANIC ANALYSIS REPORT

James Kent (Ceramic Materials) Limited
Fountain Street
Fenton
Stoke on Trent
Staffs
ST4 2HB

FAQ: Christopher Jackson
Report of Tests on: CJ221 (QMTD9)
Your Reference: 1892
Lucideon Reference: (155334)-30547
Date Reported: 07-Dec-2015
Date Logged: 02-Dec-2015
Order Number: 8069
Date(s) of Test(s): 03-Dec-2015 to 07-Dec-2015

XRF Analysis
Methods C201 based on BSEN ISO 12677:2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result(s)</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Basis</td>
<td>Dried 110 deg C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Dioxide</td>
<td>SiO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Dioxide</td>
<td>TiO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium Oxide</td>
<td>Al₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxide</td>
<td>CaO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Oxide</td>
<td>MgO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Oxide</td>
<td>K₂O %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxide</td>
<td>Na₂O %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus Pentoxide</td>
<td>P₂O₅ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (III) Oxide</td>
<td>Mn₂O₃ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>ZnO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafnium (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>HfO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Oxide</td>
<td>PbO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Oxide</td>
<td>ZnO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium Oxide</td>
<td>BaO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium (II) Oxide</td>
<td>SrO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (IV) Oxide</td>
<td>SnO₂ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Oxide</td>
<td>CuO %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorine</td>
<td>F %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Equivalent Fluorine</td>
<td>OF %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur Trioxide</td>
<td>SO₃ %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UKAS Accredited: Yes

The sulphur trioxide may not be a total sulphur figure but is the sulphur remaining after LOI and fusion. Results are quoted to 2 decimal places but are accurate to 3 significant figures or the number of figures given, whichever is the lesser.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

End of Test Report

Mrs Sharon Mansfield
Author

This report is issued in accordance with the Conditions of Business of Lucideon Limited and relates only to the samples tested. No responsibility is taken for the accuracy of the sampling unless this is done under our own supervision. This report shall not be reproduced in part or whole without the written approval of Lucideon Limited, nor used in any way as to lead to misrepresentation of the results or their implications.
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