Published for SISSA by 🖄 Springer

RECEIVED: June 28, 2018 REVISED: October 18, 2018 ACCEPTED: November 30, 2018 PUBLISHED: December 10, 2018

Exotic branes in Exceptional Field Theory: $E_{7(7)}$ and beyond

David S. Berman,^a Edvard T. Musaev^{b,c} and Ray Otsuki^a

^a Queen Mary University of London, Centre for Research in String Theory, School of Physics and Astronomy, Mile End Road, London, E1 4NS, England
^b Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Institutskii per. 9, Dolgoprudny, 141700, Russia
^c Kazan Federal University, Institute of Physics, Kremlevskaya st. 16, Kazan, 420008, Russia
E-mail: d.s.berman@qmul.ac.uk, musaev.et@phystech.edu, r.otsuki@qmul.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: In recent years, it has been widely argued that the duality transformations of string and M-theory naturally imply the existence of so-called 'exotic branes' — low codimension objects with highly non-perturbative tensions, scaling as g_s^{α} for $\alpha \leq -3$. We argue that their intimate link with these duality transformations make them an ideal object of study using the general framework of Double Field Theory (DFT) and Exceptional Field Theory (EFT) — collectively referred to as ExFT. Parallel to the theme of dualities, we also stress that these theories unify known solutions in string- and M-theory into a single solution under ExFT. We argue that not only is there a natural unifying description of the lowest codimension objects, many of these exotic states *require* this formalism as a consistent supergravity description does not exist.

KEYWORDS: M-Theory, p-branes, Space-Time Symmetries

ARXIV EPRINT: 1806.00430





Contents

1	Introduction	1				
2	Overview of exotic branes	2				
3	The non-geometric solution in $E_{7(7)}$ EFT3.1 Overview of $E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ Exceptional Field Theory3.2 M-theory section3.2.1 Type IIA reduction3.3 Type IIB section3.4 DiscussionMapping out the exotic states4.1 Introduction	4 4 10 15 19 28 29 29				
	4.1.1Notation4.1.2Duality transformations4.1.3A partial example4.2 g_s^0 duality orbits4.3 g_s^{-1} duality orbits4.4 g_s^{-2} duality orbits4.5 g_s^{-3} duality orbits4.6 g_s^{-4} duality orbits4.7 g_s^{-6} duality orbits4.8 g_s^{-6} duality orbits4.9 g_s^{-7} duality orbits4.10M-theory origins of Type IIA branes4.11Discussion4.11.1Known exotic branes in the literature4.11.2Mixed-symmetry potentials in the literature4.11.3Unification at larger duality groups	$29 \\ 30 \\ 32 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 35 \\ 36 \\ 39 \\ 43 \\ 48 \\ 56 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 67 \\ 8 \\ 67 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ $				
5	Conclusion	68				
A B C	Exotic brane metrics A.1 M-theory branes A.2 Type II branes Twisted self-duality Bianchi identity	696970707072				
D Generators of $E_{7(7)} imes \mathbb{R}^+$						

1 Introduction

One of the remarkable aspects of string theory is the presence of non-perturbative branes whose tensions scale as $\frac{1}{g_s}$ (the D-branes) and as $\frac{1}{g_s^2}$ (the Neveu-Schwarz five branes). The study of these branes in string theory over the last 20 years has revealed much about the connection between quantum field theories and gravity and have been a huge part of the construction of M-theory where there are no perturbative brane states.

Following the work of [1–3], and others, it was realised that string theory also contains so-called 'exotic brane' states whose tensions scale as g_s^{α} with $\alpha < -2$. These objects typically have low codimension¹ and so potentially suffer from various pathologies. Nevertheless there is now a substantial corpus of work in the area including [4–6] where such branes have been shown to play an important role in duality symmetries. Of course it is also interesting to speculate what such branes would correspond to in a dual holographic theory with masses scaling as $\frac{N^{\alpha}}{\lambda^{\alpha}}$ after taking a 't Hooft limit. These states in the dual field theory with higher N dependences should then be related to multiple traces as in the giant graviton story [7].²

Apart from being exotic due to their novel scaling, these branes were also curious objects since it appeared that they were not well defined globally as supergravity solutions; a key part of their construction is to use elements of the duality group to patch together local solutions such that (globally) these branes then have holonomies valued in the duality groups. For the case of U-duality, these produce examples of Hull's U-folds [8] which obviously contain S-folds and T-folds amongst their reductions. If they are not solutions of supergravity then what are they solutions of?

For a while Exceptional Field Theory (EFT) appeared to look like a rather nice answer looking for a question. In fact, Exceptional Field Theory is the natural setting for exotic branes. Below we shall review EFT in more detail to provide the setting and set up conventions but first let us state some of the ideas behind EFT relevant for this paper. One of the key ideas from M-theory is that branes in IIA and IIB are descendants of a smaller set of branes in the higher dimensional theory of eleven dimensional supergravity. In addition to branes descending to branes, crucially some branes have their origin from the purely geometric solutions in eleven dimensional supergravity; namely the D0 is a null wave solution in eleven dimensions and the D6 brane is an eleven dimensional Kaluza Klein monopole of Gross-Sorkin-Perry type [9, 10]. Ideally we would like a theory with no central charges and no additional external sources. EFT has a chance of being this theory. As was shown in [11] and based on work in DFT [12, 13] the membrane, five-brane and their bound states all come from a single EFT solution, namely the EFT version of the superposition of a wave and monopole. Thus the EFT superalgebra doesn't have central charges for these states. Just as the D0 is part of the wave solution in M-theory, and thus its IIA central charge has its origin as an eleven dimensional momentum, so are all the usual M-theory branes in EFT! The next question then is to investigate the role of exotic branes in EFT.

¹By low codimension, we mean branes of codimension-2 ('defect branes'), codimension-1 ('domain wall') and codimension-0 ('space-filling branes').

²We thank Sanjaye Ramgoolam for discussions on this.

This has begun with the works [14–19] and others. Ultimately one would wish that all the branes in string and M-theory including the exotic ones come from a single object in EFT. The hope for this is that any object in the same duality orbit must come from a single solution in EFT. So why hasn't this been already achieved?

A key problem for EFT is that one picks the exceptional group E_n and works with a particular n. For a given n, there is a split between so called *internal* and *external* spaces. This split respects the E_n symmetry (by construction) but does not respect the higher E_{d+n} symmetries. Thus there are objects that are connected through higher E_{d+n} symmetries that one sees as separate objects in the E_n theory. Only with the full E_{11} theory [20–26] would one expect all the symmetries to be manifest.

This paper concentrates on the brane solutions of the $E_{7(7)}$ EFT and constructs the single solution that give rise to the codimension-2 exotic branes in Type IIA, IIB and M-theory. We then look further at what sort of exotic branes may exist beyond those contained in this solution by going through simple duality rotations beyond the explicit solution we give.

In the following section, we shall describe these exotic branes in slightly more detail alongside explaining the notation used to denote the branes. In section 3, we demonstrate why ExFT provide an ideal playground in which to probe these exotic branes by explicitly constructing a single solution in $E_{7(7)}$ EFT which unifies many of the exotic branes described to date. This section is perhaps best thought of as complementary to the work described in [11] as is shown in figure 1. In section 4, we map out all the exotic branes that one may encounter down to g_s^{-7} using a very simple procedure and we compare with what has been found to date in the literature. We apologise for bombarding the reader with an extensive taxonomy. The purpose is to reveal patterns in the exotic brane spectrum that we will comment in the final section.

2 Overview of exotic branes

Even before the work of [3], low codimension objects were known to possess non-standard features, regardless of the g_s scaling; the D7 (codimension 2 in D = 10) already modifies the spacetime asymptotics, the D8 (codimension 1 in D = 10) terminates spacetime at a finite distance due to a fast running of the dilaton and the D9 is space-filling. In addition, the NS7 (the S-dual of the D7 and later reclassified as a 7₃) possesses a tension scaling as g_s^{-3} and was thus more highly non-perturbative than the other conventional branes. It has since become customary to organise these exotic states in terms of the g_s -scaling of their tensions which we discuss now.

The embedding of the T-duality group within the U-duality groups

$$E_{n(n)} \supset \mathcal{O}(n-1, n-1) \times \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{2.1}$$

induces a grading of the tension of the branes, which may be characterised by a single number $\alpha \leq 0$. The highest values of α correspond to the well-known branes, whilst the lower powers form the focus of this paper:

- $\alpha = 0$: Fundamental F1 $\equiv 1_0$, P
- $\alpha = -1$: Dirichlet $Dp \equiv p_1$
- $\alpha = -2$: SolitonicNS5 $\equiv 5_2 \xrightarrow{T}$ KK5 $\equiv 5_2 \xrightarrow{T}$ 52
- $\alpha \leq -3$: Exotic e.g. $(p_3^{7-p} \text{ or } 0_4^{(1,6)})$

These exotic branes are generically low-codimension objects that are additionally nongeometric, either globally or locally. Such objects generically require duality transformations, in addition to the conventional diffeomorphisms and gauge transformations, in order to patch correctly and thus realise the T-folds and U-folds of Hull [8]. Further, they may explicitly depend on winding or wrapping coordinates and may thus not even be well-defined locally in conventional supergravity. Since their existence and behaviour is so closely tied to the duality transformations of string- and M-theory, ExFT are an obvious candidate in which to study these objects as they geometrise these pathologies in a way described later. Nevertheless, some of the better-behaved of these exotic branes were explicitly argued to exist in string theory in [3] via the supertube effect amongst conventional branes and it follows that a better understanding of these exotic branes is required.³

As the D7, D8 and D9 show, possessing low codimension (whilst interesting in their own right) is not necessarily indicative of the sort of non-geometry that we seek to study which are characterised by low g_s^{α} scaling. On the other hand, there exists an exotic 5_2^2 -brane (we shall cover the notation denoting these branes shortly) which, whilst being codimension-2, possesses the same g_s scaling as the NS5 and KK5 but manifests the sort of non-geometry that we seek by virtue of the fact that the metric is not single-valued at $\theta = 0, 2\pi$ as one traverses around the brane. This form of non-geometry is a realisation of Hull's T-fold which possess the odd property that traversing around the brane does not return it to its original configuration. Such states require more than conventional diffeomorphisms to patch together the spacetime. We thus see that low codimension alone is not sufficient for non-geometry; one appears to require low g_s scaling as well. Yet, as the 5_2^2 demonstrates, there exists states which are non-geometric but still scale as g_s^{-2} .

The use of ExFT is that many of the exotic states that one can construct can be better understood or more elegantly unified when the duality transformations are realised linearly and, indeed, we shall demonstrate in section 4 that, not only are codimension< 2 objects are common, they form the majority of the exotic states and may even require an ExFT description to make sense. In particular, ExFT allow for the construction of non-trivial space-filling branes by allowing for a dependence of the fields on the extended coordinates. This is only possible because of the distinguishing feature of ExFT in that they capture winding mode dependences. We shall give a more detailed argument for this in section 4.

³See, for example, [1] for early work along these lines; [3] for a thorough discussion of codimension-2 exotic branes; [18, 27–29] for a group-theoretic discussion on classifying mixed-symmetry potentials that these branes couple to; [30, 31] for a discussion in the GLSM formalism; [32–34] for effective world-volume actions of exotic five-branes and [14, 35–38] amongst others for a specific discussion of the so-called 'non-geometric' parametrisations in the context of ExFT and β -supergravity.

For the $E_{7(7)}$ solution presented here, we shall focus on codimension-2 states. However, we shall move onto discussing all the possible exotic states that one should be able to construct. We briefly discuss the notation used in [3] for branes, in which they are characterised by the mass-dependence when wrapping an internal torus. For Type II states, the mass of a $b_n^{(...,d,c)}$ -brane depends linearly on *b* radii, quadratically on *c* radii, cubically on *d* radii, and so on. Additionally, the subscript denotes the power dependence on the string coupling. Finally, the power of l_s on the denominator is such that the total mass has units of (Length)⁻¹ as required. For M-theory states, the notation is very similar except for the absence of the string coupling number *n* and the role of l_s being reprised by the Planck length l_P in eleven dimensions:

Type II:
$$M(b_n^{(\dots,d,c)}) = \frac{\dots (R_{k_1} \dots R_{k_d})^3 (R_{j_1} \dots R_{j_c})^2 (R_{i_1} \dots R_{i_b})}{g_s^n l_s^{1+b+2c+3d+\dots}},$$
(2.2)

M-Theory:
$$M(b^{(\dots,d,c)}) = \frac{\dots (R_{k_1} \dots R_{k_d})^3 (R_{j_1} \dots R_{j_c})^2 (R_{i_1} \dots R_{i_b})}{l_p^{1+b+2c+3d+\dots}}.$$
 (2.3)

For states that appear in both Type IIA and IIB, we may additionally append an A/B suffix to the brane if the theory being discussed is relevant e.g. $0_4^{(1,6)}$ B. These exotic branes couple electrically to the mixed symmetry potentials⁴

$$(E^{(n)})_{b+c+d+1,c+d,d} \leftrightarrow b_n^{(d,c)},\tag{2.4}$$

where the subscripts on the potentials denote the number of indices in that set. The notation is such that the each set is implicitly antisymmetrised over and contains all the sets of indices to the right of it. For example, the $0_4^{(1,6)}$ couples to

$$F_{8,7,1} \sim F_{xy_1\dots y_6 z, y_1\dots y_6 z, z}.$$
(2.5)

Note that the we do not consider the Hopf fibre (and more generally, distinguished isometric directions) as a worldvolume direction and so the KK-monopole in eleven dimensions shall be denoted KK6 (or KK6M) and the monopole in ten dimensions as KK5 (or KK5A/B).

3 The non-geometric solution in $E_{7(7)}$ EFT

3.1 Overview of $E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ Exceptional Field Theory

Extended Field Theories (ExFTs) are a class of theories that augment the usual spacetime with a set of novel coordinates that are related to the winding modes of extended objects. Double field theory (DFT) was the first example to be constructed this way. Geometrically, the usual spacetime coordinates (dual to momentum) were supplemented with a second set of coordinates, dual to the winding modes [39, 40].

Exceptional field theories (EFTs) were the natural progression to this where now the spacetime coordinates are extended to include with coordinates dual to the wrapping modes

⁴Note that we shall label the type of potential by the power of g_s , schematically labelled $E^{(n)}$. Thus, for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots$, we shall denote the potentials B, C, D, E, F, \ldots . Thus, the fundamental string (n = 0) couples to the NS-NS 2-form B_2 , the Dp-branes (n = 1) couple to C_{p+1} etc.

of the M-branes. These theories possess a natural global $E_{n(n)}$ exceptional symmetry. Note that, the symmetry here is a *continuous* $E_{n(n)}(\mathbb{R})$ action and are thus not themselves the U-duality groups. The arithmetic subgroup associated to U-duality arises in the presence of isometries and relate the ambiguities in the choice of section, as explained in [13].

Formalisms to make manifest the various $E_{n(n)}$ symmetry were developed in [41–47].⁵ Later in what became known as EFT these extended spaces with manifest exceptional symmetries were combined with the normal spacetime. These were constructed in the series of papers [51–57] for n = 8, ..., 2. For a review of the ideas in extended theories and exceptional field theory one may consult the reviews [48, 50, 58].

In this paper we work with the $E_{7(7)}$ theory. What follows is a brief description so as to make the paper self contained and introduce notation. If the reader is not already familiar with EFT then they are urged to consult the original paper in the area [52]. The coordinate representation ρ_1 of $E_{7(7)}$ EFT is the 56-dimensional fundamental representation which we index with $M = 1, \ldots, 56$. For every EFT, there are exactly two inequivalent (i.e. not related by $E_{n(n)}$ transformations) solutions to the section constraint; the M-theory section and the Type IIB section. For the M-theory section, we decompose the coordinates under GL(7) as

$$56 \to 7_{+3} + 21'_{+1} + 21_{-1} + 7'_{-3}, \tag{3.1}$$

where the subscript denotes the weight under GL(1). Letting m, n = 1, ..., 7 denote the vector representation of GL(7), we thus decompose the 56 coordinates of the internal space Y^M to

$$Y^{M} = (y^{m}, y_{mn}, y^{mn}, y_{m}), (3.2)$$

where y_{mn} and y^{mn} are labelled by a pair of antisymmetric indices. The coordinates y_{mn} are dual to the wrapping modes the M2-brane, $y^{mn} \sim \epsilon^{mnk_1...k_5} y_{k_1...k_5}$ is dual to the wrapping modes of the M5-brane and $y_m \sim y_{n_1...n_7,m}$ are KK-modes.

The other section is the Type IIB which corresponds to decomposition under $GL(6) \times SL(2)$:

$$56 \to (6,1)_{+2} + (6',2)_{+1} + (20,1)_0 + (6,2)_{-1} + (6',1)_{-2}. \tag{3.3}$$

Denoting $\hat{m}, \hat{n}, \hat{k} = 1, \dots, 6$ and $\hat{\alpha} = 1, 2$ for the SL(2) index, this corresponds to the decomposition

$$\hat{Y}^{M} = \left(\hat{y}^{\hat{m}}, \hat{y}_{\hat{m},\hat{\alpha}}, \hat{y}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{k}}, \hat{y}^{\hat{m},\hat{\alpha}}, \hat{y}_{\hat{m}}\right).$$
(3.4)

To distinguish between the two sections, we shall adorn all Type IIB quantities with carets as above. Moving on to the field content of the theory, we have the following fields:

$$\left\{g_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{M}_{MN}, \mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}, \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu,\alpha}, \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}{}^{M}\right\}, \qquad (3.5)$$

⁵See the reviews [48-50] instead for DFT.

where $\alpha = 1, \ldots, 133$ is and adjoint index and $\mu, \nu = 1, \ldots 4$ indexes the external space. The first of these fields is self-explanatory and so we focus on the remainder. The scalar degrees of freedom (from the perspective of the 4-dimensional external space) are held in the generalised metric \mathcal{M}_{MN} which parametrises the coset $(E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+)/SU(8)$. A simple counting reveals that the 70 independent components of the metric, three-form and sixform potentials combine with the extra \mathbb{R}^+ scaling generator to match the dimension of the coset, as required. The generalised metric⁶ of $E_{7(7)}$ EFT (without the \mathbb{R}^+ scaling), which we denote $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{MN}$, is given in [35, 43] (though note that the latter adopt a slightly modified choice of dualised coordinates to those used here, which follow the conventions of [43, 59]) and is the one used in [11]. In the absence of internal potentials, it is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{MN} = \text{diag}\left[g_{(7)}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_{mn}; g_{(7)}^{\frac{1}{2}}g^{mn,pq}; g_{(7)}^{-\frac{1}{2}}g^{mn}; g_{(7)}^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_{mn,pq}\right],\tag{3.6}$$

where g_{mn} is the internal metric, $g_{(7)}$ is its determinant and $g_{mn,pq} := \frac{1}{2}(g_{mp}g_{qn} - g_{mq}g_{pn})$ (similarly for $g^{mn,pq}$). With this choice, the generalised metric is a true $E_{7(7)}$ element (with determinant 1). However, allowing for the \mathbb{R}^+ scaling factor, we consider a generalised metric of the form $\mathcal{M}_{MN} = e^{-\Delta} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{MN}$. For example, in [59], they constructed the generalised metric from a non-linear realisation of E_{11} and obtaining $e^{-\Delta} = g_{(7)}^{-1}$ (though note that the external space has been truncated). However, since we consider the full ExFT and thus wish to accommodate a non-trivial relative scaling between the internal space and external space (see, for example, [19, 60]), we choose to adopt a different choice of scaling. In particular, we shall choose

$$e^{-\Delta} = g_{(4)}^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$
(3.7)

This overall scaling is identified as an extra scalar determining the relative scaling of the internal and external spaces and is the analogue of the $e^{\phi} = \det g_{\text{ext}}^{\frac{1}{7}}$ introduced first in [61, 62]⁷ and later used in [19] for the SL(5) × \mathbb{R}^+ EFT. We are thus able to induce transformations on (the determinant of) the external metric via transformations of the generalised metric \mathcal{M}_{MN} .

One way to understand this is as follows. From the perspective of gauged supergravities we consider two distinct symmetries. The first is, of course, the Julia-Cremmer $E_{n(n)}$ duality symmetry which itself contains a natural scaling of the internal torus under the embedding $\operatorname{GL}(1) \subset \operatorname{GL}(n) \subset E_{n(n)}$ — essentially a rescaling of the coordinates of the internal torus y^m by $y^m \mapsto \alpha y^m$. The second is the so-called *trombone symmetry* which is a well-known global scaling symmetry acting on the supergravity fields as

$$g \mapsto \lambda^2 g, \qquad A_{(3)} \mapsto \lambda^3 A_{(3)}.$$
 (3.8)

This is on-shell for $n \leq 9$, being realised only at the level of the equations of motion (the Lagrangian of 11-dimensional supergravity transforms as $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \lambda^{d-2} \mathcal{L}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$), but

⁶Note that we refer to both the unscaled metric $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{MN}$ and scaled metric $\mathcal{M}_{MN} = e^{-\Delta} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{MN}$ (with any choice of $e^{-\Delta}$) as 'the generalised metric' although, strictly speaking, the former is only a generalised metric of an $E_{n(n)}$ EFT and only the latter is of an $E_{n(n)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ EFT.

⁷See also [63].

is promoted to an off-shell symmetry for n = 9 (i.e. a symmetry of both the action and equations of motion⁸). The extra \mathbb{R}^+ factor that we consider here may thus be considered as a combination of these two symmetries and was first considered in the present context in the closely related exceptional generalised geometry in [45–47]. Just as the conventional gaugings embedding tensors of higher duality groups seed both the conventional gaugings and trombone gaugings of lower-dimensional gauged supergravities, the extra \mathbb{R}^+ factor may be understood as arising from the truncation of a higher duality group and is thus likely indispensable in generating distinct U-duality orbits, related by some *solution-generating transformation* (itself distinct from the global $E_{7(7)}$ group).

The remaining fields $(\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}, \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu,\alpha}, \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu,M})$ are a set of generalised gauge transformations. The fully gauge-covariant field strength is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} = F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} - 12(t^{\alpha})^{MN}\partial_{N}\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{MN}\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu,N}, \qquad (3.9)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}{}^M \coloneqq 2\partial_{[\mu}\mathcal{A}_{\nu]}{}^M$ is the naïve Abelian field strength. This satisfies the Bianchi identity

$$3\mathcal{D}_{[\mu}\mathcal{F}_{\nu\rho]}{}^{M} = -12(t^{\alpha})^{MN}\partial_{N}\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\rho,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{MN}\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\rho,N}, \qquad (3.10)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} := \partial_{\mu} - \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mu}}$ denotes the generalised Lie-covariantised derivative. More relevant to this line of work it also satisfies the twisted self-duality relation

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} = -\frac{1}{2}|g_{(4)}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}g^{\rho\lambda}g^{\sigma\kappa}\Omega^{MN}\mathcal{M}_{NK}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\kappa}{}^{K}, \qquad (3.11)$$

where ε is the tensor density. For the purposes of this solution, the $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu,\bullet}$ fields (which are only required to close the gauge structure of the algebra will be set to zero).

Additionally, the theory possesses two group invariants; a symplectic form Ω_{MN} and a totally symmetric four-index object c_{MNPQ} , though we shall be dealing primarily with only the former. Due to the \mathbb{R}^+ factor, this is a weighted symplectic matrix [65], of weight $\lambda(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2}$, and it is related to $\tilde{\Omega}_{MN} \in \text{Sp}(56) \supset E_{7(7)}$ by

$$\Omega_{MN} = e^{-\Delta} \tilde{\Omega}_{MN}. \tag{3.12}$$

We adopt the convention that indices are raised and lowered according to

$$V^M = \Omega^{MN} V_N, \qquad V_M = V^N \Omega_{NM}. \tag{3.13}$$

⁸The special case of n = 9 is perhaps best understood in terms of the allowed gaugings of the dimensionally reduced theory. In $n \leq 9$, the standard $E_{n(n)} \subset G$ gaugings (excluding the trombone symmetry) organise themselves into the embedding tensor $\theta_M{}^{\alpha}$, transforming under a subrepresentation of $\mathcal{R}_{V^*} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{adj.}$ dictated by group theoretic arguments — the so-called algebraic 'linear' and 'quadratic constraints', related to preserving supersymmetry and requiring $E_{n(n)}$ -invariance of $\theta_M{}^{\alpha}$ respectively. In addition, the gaugings of the trombone symmetry organise themselves into a second object θ_M , transforming under \mathcal{R}_{V^*} . For n = 9, one finds that these two objects unify into a single object transforming under a single representation of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $E_{9(9)}$ [64]. Thus, unlike in higher dimensions, generic gaugings in d = 2naturally contain trombone gaugings and so one finds that the trombone symmetry is promoted to an off-shell symmetry.

The only non-vanishing components of Ω_{MN} are

$$\Omega_m{}^n = e^{-\Delta} \delta_n^m = -\Omega^n{}_m, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\Omega_{mn}{}^{pq} = e^{-\Delta} \delta_{pq}^{mn} = -\Omega^{pq}{}_{mn}, \qquad (3.15)$$

and similarly for the inverse, defined by $\Omega^{MK}\Omega_{NK} = \delta_N^M$.

In addition to the global $E_{n(n)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ symmetry, the theory possesses a number of local symmetries — the general coordinate transformations of the metric and the *p*-form gauge transformations. Analogous to how the Lie derivative generates the algebra of infinitesimal diffeomorphisms in GR, we define a *generalised Lie derivative* \mathbb{L} which generates these local symmetries. In component form, the generalised Lie derivative of a generalised vector V, of weight $\lambda(V)$, with along U in $E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ EFT is given by

$$\mathbb{L}_U V^M = [U, V]^M + Y^{MN}{}_{PQ} \partial_N U^P V^Q + \left(\lambda(V) - \frac{1}{2}\right) \partial_N U^N V^M, \qquad (3.16)$$

where Y^{MN}_{PQ} is the Y-tensor, given in terms of group invariants as⁹

$$Y^{MN}{}_{PQ} = -12\mathbb{P}_{(adj)}{}^{M}{}_{Q}{}^{N}{}_{P} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{M}_{Q}\delta^{N}_{P} + \delta^{M}_{P}\delta^{N}_{Q}$$
(3.19)

$$= -12(t^{\alpha})^{MN}(t_{\alpha})_{PQ} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{MN}\Omega_{PQ}, \qquad (3.20)$$

where we have used that the projection onto the adjoint $\mathbb{P}_{(adj)}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\mathrm{adj})}{}^{M}{}_{Q}{}^{N}{}_{P} = (t^{\alpha})_{Q}{}^{M}(t_{\alpha})_{P}{}^{N}$$
(3.21)

$$= (t^{\alpha})^{MN} (t_{\alpha})_{QP} + \frac{1}{24} \delta^{M}_{Q} \delta^{N}_{P} + \frac{1}{12} \delta^{M}_{P} \delta^{N}_{Q} - \frac{1}{24} \Omega^{MN} \Omega_{QP}, \qquad (3.22)$$

and normalised according to $\mathbb{P}_{(adj)}^{M}{}_{N}{}^{N}{}_{M} = 133$. One sees that, in the form (3.16), there is a naturally defined *effective* weight defined in the theory given by the bracketed term which naturally singles out $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ (indeed, for consistency, one requires that the gauge transformations of the generalised gauge fields must have weight $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$). We may thus equivalently write the generalised Lie derivative in the form given in [52]:

$$\mathbb{L}_{U}V^{M} = U^{N}\partial_{N}V^{M} - 12\mathbb{P}^{M}_{(\mathrm{adj})Q}{}^{N}{}_{P}\partial_{N}U^{P}V^{Q} + \lambda(V)\partial_{N}U^{N}V^{M}.$$
(3.23)

The strong constraint $Y^{MN}{}_{PQ}\partial_M \bullet \partial_N \bullet = 0$ supplements the weak constraint $Y^{MN}{}_{PQ}\partial_M\partial_N \bullet = 0$ which, for this EFT, reduces to

$$(t_{\alpha})^{MN}\partial_{MN}\bullet = 0, \qquad (t_{\alpha})^{MN}\partial_{M}\bullet\partial_{N}\bullet = 0, \qquad \Omega^{MN}\partial_{M}\bullet\partial_{N}\bullet = 0.$$
 (3.24)

⁹[66] gives an equivalent form in terms of the quartic invariant c_{MNPQ} of $E_{7(7)}$:

$$Y^{MN}{}_{PQ} = 12c^{MN}{}_{PQ} + \delta^{(M}_{P}\delta^{N)}_{Q} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{MN}\Omega_{PQ}, \qquad (3.17)$$

where the quartic invariant is defined in terms of the generators $(t_{\alpha})_{MN} = (t_{\alpha})_{NM}$ and symplectic form as [67]

$$(t^{\alpha})_{MN}(t_{\alpha})_{KL} = \frac{1}{12}\tilde{\Omega}_{M(K}\tilde{\Omega}_{L)N} + c_{MNKL}.$$
 (3.18)

Indeed, this last relation is used to show equivalence between (3.21) and (3.22) by permuting the indices on the two generators using the symmetry of c_{MNKL} .



Figure 1. The branes that we consider. Red lines denote T-duality, blue lines denote lifts/reductions and black lines denote S-dualities. The hashed green area contains all the branes contained within this non-geometric solution whilst the hashed orange area contains all the branes contained in the geometric solution.

Note that the analogous weak section constraint for the symplectic form is automatically satisfied by the symmetry of partial derivatives.

For this section, we shall denote a particular choice of frame by a superscript such that e.g. $g_{\mu\nu}^{5^3}$ denotes the external metric in the 5³ frame (or, more generally, $g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm M}$ for a generic M-theory solution). Additionally, we shall adorn all objects in the Type IIB section with carets and all objects in the Type IIA reduction with a caron.

Here we add to a growing list of solutions in DFT [12, 13, 16, 31, 68] and EFT [11, 19, 62] in which a single solution in the extended space reduces to a number of distinct solutions upon applying the section constraint. Although apparently unrelated in the reduced section, they are nonetheless related by a duality transformation (or at least a *solution-generating* transformation) acting linearly on the extended space. The solution presented here is a very close analogue of the solution presented in [11] which described all the conventional branes. We shall henceforth refer to that solution the 'geometric solution'. The solution that is presented here covers all the non-geometric branes of de Boer and Shigemori [3] and will thus be referred to as the 'non-geometric solution'.

The solutions that are contained in this solution are indicated in figure 1. The set-up that we choose is as follows. We shall define the four-dimensional external space by the coordinates

$$x^{\mu} = (t, r, \theta, z), \qquad (3.25)$$

and take the external metric to be the same in both M-theory and Type IIB section, given by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{diag}\left[-(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK)^{\frac{1}{2}}, r^2(HK)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] = \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}, \qquad (3.26)$$

where H is a harmonic function in the r- θ plane

$$H(r) = h_0 + \sigma \ln \frac{\mu}{r}, \qquad (3.27)$$

$$K = H^2 + \sigma^2 \theta^2. \tag{3.28}$$

The determinant of the external metric shall be denoted $g_{(4)}$. The external metrics of all the branes shall be proportional to this e.g. $g_{\mu\nu}^{5^3} = (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{6}}g_{\mu\nu} = \left|g_{(7)}^{5^3}\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_{\mu\nu}$. The generalised metric is also chosen to be completely diagonal and, in any given

The generalised metric is also chosen to be completely diagonal and, in any given frame, the 56 components of the generalised metric split into 27 components of $(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, 27 components of $(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and one component each of $(HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. The two components of the EFT vector always point in these distinguished directions.

From the form of the generalised vielbein one sees that this diagonal ansatz means that, under the simple coordinate swaps that we consider, every frame has no internal $A_{(3)}$ and $A_{(6)}$ potentials.

For the generalised gauge fields we shall take the $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu,\bullet}$ fields (which are only required to close the gauge structure of the theory and which is broken upon applying the section condition anyway) to vanish and choose the only non-vanishing components of $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}$ to be

$$A_t{}^M = -H^{-1}Ka^M, (3.29)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_z{}^M = -K^{-1}\theta\sigma\tilde{a}^M,\tag{3.30}$$

where a^M and \tilde{a}^M determine the direction the vector points in the generalised space. Note that these two vectors are related through the definition of the generalised field strength (3.9) in the twisted self-duality condition (3.11) and are thus not independent. Under these simplifications, the covariantised generalised field strength reduces to the Abelian field strength and one may check that it does indeed satisfy the twisted self-duality and Bianchi identities.

3.2 M-theory section

For this non-geometric solution, we take a subtly different approach to the solution constructed in [11]. There, they chose the generalised metric to be a genuine $E_{7(7)}$ element $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{MN}$, of the form (3.6), and implemented a relative scaling between the internal and external sectors $g_{\mu\nu} \mapsto |g_{(7)}|^{\frac{1}{2}}g_{\mu\nu}$ (reducing to the Einstein frame for the Type II solutions) as a conventional Kaluza-Klein decomposition of the 11-dimensional metric $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + e^{2\alpha}g_{mn}(dy^m + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^m dx^{\mu})(dy^n + \mathcal{A}_{\nu}{}^n dx^{\nu})$. In particular, fixing a particular frame M in (3.6), there is no preferred scaling of the external metric encoded within $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{MN}$.

Whilst notionally intuitive, the scaling in [11] requires the section condition to have been imposed and has an ad hoc feel in the sense that there appears to be nothing in the EFT framework that compels one to take their particular scaling $g_{\mu\nu} \mapsto |g_{(7)}|^{\frac{1}{2}}g_{\mu\nu}$; it is only imposed to match the known brane metrics. Here we make a small modification. We may use the scaling (3.7) to encode this information directly into the generalised metric such that it forces the required relative scaling of the internal and external sector without imposing the section condition — a perhaps more natural description in terms of EFT. In particular let a superscript/subscript M denote a given duality frame. Since we wish to impose the scaling of the external metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \left| g_{(7)}^{\mathrm{M}} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} g_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{M}} \Rightarrow \left| g_{(4)}^{\mathrm{M}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \left| g_{(7)}^{\mathrm{M}} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{3.31}$$

we choose to define the $E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ element

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{MN} = \left| g_{(4)}^{\mathrm{M}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[g_{mn}^{\mathrm{M}}; g_{\mathrm{M}}^{mn,pq}; \left(g_{(7)}^{\mathrm{M}} \right)^{-1} g_{\mathrm{M}}^{mn}; \left(g_{(7)}^{\mathrm{M}} \right)^{-1} g_{mn,pq}^{\mathrm{M}} \right].$$
(3.32)

One sees that all terms, including the scaling of the external metric, are in the same duality frame. To be explicit, we are constructing a generalised metric \mathcal{M}_{MN} which reproduces the backgrounds of the exotic branes in the following fashion:¹⁰

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left| g_{(4)}^{53} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[g_{mn}^{53}; g_{53}^{mn,pq}; (g_{(7)}^{53})^{-1} g_{53}^{mn}; (g_{(7)}^{53})^{-1} g_{mn,pq}^{53} \right] \\ = \left| g_{(4)}^{26} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[g_{mn}^{26}; g_{26}^{mn,pq}; (g_{(7)}^{26})^{-1} g_{26}^{mn}; (g_{(7)}^{26})^{-1} g_{mn,pq}^{26} \right] \\ = \left| g_{(4)}^{0^{(1,7)}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[g_{mn}^{0^{(1,7)}}; g_{0^{(1,7)}}^{mn,pq}; (g_{(7)}^{0^{(1,7)}})^{-1} g_{0^{(1,7)}}^{mn}; (g_{(7)}^{0^{(1,7)}})^{-1} g_{mn,pq}^{0^{(1,7)}} \right]$$
(3.37)
$$= \left| g_{(4)}^{KK6} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[g_{mn}^{KK6}; g_{KK6}^{mn,pq}; (g_{(7)}^{KK6})^{-1} g_{KK6}^{Kk6}; (g_{(7)}^{KK6})^{-1} g_{mn,pq}^{KK6} \right] \\ \vdots$$

¹⁰We can easily apply the same trick to the solution in [11] using the same choice of scaling (3.7) and interpreting $\mathcal{M}_{MN}^{(\text{there})} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{MN}^{(\text{here})}$. To be explicit, mirroring (3.37) this means that the generalised metric may now be brought to the similar form for all brane metrics:

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left| g_{(4)}^{\text{KK6}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[g_{mn}^{\text{KK6}}; g_{\text{KK6}}^{mn,pq}; \left(g_{(7)}^{\text{KK6}} \right)^{-1} g_{\text{KK6}}^{mn}; \left(g_{(7)}^{\text{KK6}} \right)^{-1} g_{mn,pq}^{\text{KK6}} \right] \\ = \left| g_{(4)}^{\text{M5}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[g_{mn}^{\text{M5}}; g_{\text{M5}}^{mn,pq}; \left(g_{(7)}^{\text{M5}} \right)^{-1} g_{\text{M5}}^{mn}; \left(g_{(7)}^{\text{M5}} \right)^{-1} g_{mn,pq}^{\text{M5}} \right]$$

$$(3.33)$$

and so on for all the branes included in that solution. Explicitly, in coordinates where the metric of the KK6 takes the form

$$ds_{KK6}^2 = -dt^2 + Hd\vec{x}_{(3)}^2 + d\vec{v}_{(2)}^2 + d\vec{w}_{(3)}^2 + dy^2 + H^{-1}(dz + A \cdot d\vec{x}_{(3)})^2,$$
(3.34)

where the external and internal spaces are spanned by the coordinates $x^{\mu} = (t, \vec{x}_{(3)})$ and $y^{m} = (\vec{v}_{(2)}, \vec{w}_{(3)}, \omega, z)$ respectively, the generalised metric is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[H^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(3)}, H^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(3)}, H^{-\frac{1}{2}}, H^{-\frac{3}{2}}; \dots \right]$$
(3.35)

$$= \left| g_{(4)}^{\text{KK6}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[\delta_{(3)}, \delta_{(3)}, 1, H^{-1}; \ldots \right],$$
(3.36)

where $g_{(4)}$ is the determinant of the external metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}\left[H^{-\frac{1}{2}}, H^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{(3)}\right]$. The remaining component of the generalised metric may be reconstructed from the given data as a trivial lift if required. Note that the solution given in [11] is harmonic in three dimensions, unlike the solution given here which is harmonic in two and, in particular, $dA = \star_3 dH$ with $H = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\vec{x}_{(3)}^2}}$.

where the vertical dots represent all the Type IIA and Type IIB branes listed in tables 2 and 3.

We split the seven internal coordinates into

$$y^m = (\xi, \chi, w^a),$$
 (3.38)

where a = 1, ..., 5. These are promoted to the 56 coordinates of the extended internal space in EFT, indexed by M = 1, ..., 56 which we order according to

$$Y^{M} = (Y^{\xi}, Y^{\chi}, Y^{a}; Y_{\xi\chi}, Y_{\xi a}, Y_{\chi a}, Y_{ab}; Y_{\xi}Y_{\chi}, Y_{a}; Y^{\xi a}Y^{\chi a}, Y^{ab}),$$
(3.39)

where the coordinates with two labels are antisymmetric. Since the generalised metric that we consider here is diagonal, this unambiguously defines the ordering of the components of the generalised matrix. Under this coordinate splitting, the configurations of the branes that we obtain is summarised in table 1. Upon taking the M-theory section, the components of the EFT vector take on different roles, depending on the direction in which it points in the generalised space:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M} \to (\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{m}, \mathcal{A}_{\mu,mn}, \mathcal{A}_{\mu m}, \mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{mn}).$$
(3.40)

The first of these sources the conventional Kaluza-Klein cross-sector coupling, of the type seen in the $0^{(1,7)}$, and the third is related to the dual graviton. The remaining two components then source potentials; $\mathcal{A}_{\mu,mn} \sim A_{\mu mn}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{mn} \sim \epsilon^{mnp_1...p_5} A_{\mu p_1...p_5}$.

The components of the generalised metric are interchanged by a rotation on the internal space and are thus dependent on the frame chosen but for the 5^3 frame, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)} \right]$$
(3.41)

$$= \underbrace{\left[\underbrace{(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{6}}}_{|g_{(7)}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right] \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ \frac{|g_{(7)}^{53}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{4}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{5}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(10)} \right],$$

$$(3.42)$$

where we have stressed the factor in the square braces is fixed by the scaling (3.31). One may verify that this does indeed give the background of the 5³ upon applying the section condition if one identifies

$$g_{mn}^{5^3} = \text{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(5)} \right],$$
(3.43)

$$\left|g_{(4)}^{5^{3}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \coloneqq \left[\left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}}|g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right] \Rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}^{5^{3}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}g_{\mu\nu}.$$
(3.44)

	t	r	θ	z	ξ	χ	w^a	
5^{3}	*	•	•	0	0	0	*	
2^{6}	*	•	٠	0	*	*	0	
$0^{(1,7)}$	*	•	٠	0	\odot	0	0	
KK6	*	٠	٠	\odot	0	*	*	

Table 1. The configuration of M-theory branes that we consider. Asterisks * denote worldvolume coordinates, empty circles \circ denote smeared transverse coordinates and filled circles \bullet denote coordinates that the harmonic function depends on. Finally, \odot denotes an otherwise distinguished direction; the Hopf fibre for the monopole and the quadratic direction for the $0^{(1,7)}$.

For this frame, we choose the EFT vectors to point out of section such that they do not contribute to the metric, but rather source the potentials of the 5^3

$$\mathcal{A}_t^{\xi\chi} = -H^{-1}K,\tag{3.45}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{z,\xi\chi} = -K^{-1}\theta\sigma. \tag{3.46}$$

We thus obtain the background of the 5^3 :

$$ds_{5^3}^2 = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}} (-dt^2 + d\vec{w}_{(5)}^2) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} (dz^2 + d\xi^2 + d\chi^2) + H^{\frac{2}{3}} K^{\frac{1}{3}} (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2),$$

$$A_{(3)} = -K^{-1} \theta \sigma dz \wedge d\xi \wedge d\chi, \qquad A_{(6)} = -H^{-1} K dt \wedge dw^1 \dots \wedge dw^5.$$
(3.47)

After applying the coordinate swap¹¹

$$Y^M \leftrightarrow Y_M,\tag{3.48}$$

one obtains

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{6}} |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right] \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{2}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{2}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{4}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{5}{3}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(10)} \right].$$

$$(3.49)$$

Identifying

$$g_{mn}^{2^6} = \operatorname{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(5)} \right],$$
(3.50)

$$\left|g_{(4)}^{2^{6}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \coloneqq \left[\left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}|g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right] \Rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}^{2^{6}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}}g_{\mu\nu},\tag{3.51}$$

¹¹This is most easily done on the form multiplied through by the braced prefactor in (3.42) i.e. apply the rotation on (3.41) and then factoring out the new scaling $(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{6}} = \left|g_{(7)}^{2^6}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ afterwards.

and noting that the EFT vectors get inverted by the rotation, such that they still source potentials

$$\mathcal{A}_t^{\xi\chi} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{t,\xi\chi},\tag{3.52}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{z,\xi\chi} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_z^{\xi\chi},\tag{3.53}$$

one obtains the background of the 2^6 :

$$ds_{2^{6}}^{2} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{2}{3}} (-dt^{2} + d\xi^{2} + d\chi^{2}) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{3}} (dz^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(5)}^{2}) + H^{\frac{1}{3}} K^{\frac{2}{3}} (dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2}),$$

$$A_{(3)} = -H^{-1} K dt \wedge d\xi \wedge d\chi, \qquad A_{(6)} = -K^{-1} \sigma \theta dz \wedge dw^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dw^{5}.$$
(3.54)

Further applying the rotations

$$Y^{ab} \leftrightarrow Y_{ab} \tag{3.55}$$

$$Y^{\xi} \leftrightarrow Y_{\xi\chi}, \qquad Y_{\xi} \leftrightarrow Y^{\xi\chi}$$
(3.56)

$$Y^{\chi} \leftrightarrow Y_{\chi} \tag{3.57}$$

$$Y^{\chi a} \leftrightarrow Y_{\chi a},\tag{3.58}$$

one obtains the generalised metric

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right] \operatorname{diag} \left[HK^{-1}, 1, \delta_{(5)}; \\ H^{-1}K, H^{-1}K\delta_{(5)}, \delta_{(5)}, \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-2}, H^{-1}K, H^{-1}K\delta_{(5)}; \\ 1, \delta_{(5)}, H^{-1}K\delta_{(5)}, H^{-1}K\delta_{(10)}; \right],$$
(3.59)

which is consistent with the background of the $0^{(1,7)}$ if one identifies

$$g_{mn}^{0^{(1,7)}} = \text{diag}\left[HK^{-1}, 1, \delta_{(5)}\right], \qquad (3.60)$$

$$\left|g_{(4)}^{0^{(1,7)}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \coloneqq \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}|g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right] \Rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}^{0^{(1,7)}} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_{\mu\nu}.$$
(3.61)

Combining with the generalised vectors,

$$\mathcal{A}_{t,\xi\chi} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_t{}^{\xi}, \tag{3.62}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_z{}^{\xi\chi} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{z,\xi},\tag{3.63}$$

one obtains the background of the $0^{(1,7)}$

$$ds_{0^{(1,7)}}^2 = -H^{-1}Kdt^2 + \vec{w}_{(5)}^2 + dz^2 + d\chi^2 + HK^{-1}(d\xi - H^{-1}Kdt)^2 + K(dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2).$$
(3.64)

Then applying the swap

$$Y^M \leftrightarrow Y_M,$$
 (3.65)

one obtains

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left[(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right] \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{-1}, 1, \delta_{(5)}; \\ HK^{-1}, HK^{-1}\delta_{(5)}, \delta_{(5)}, \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{2}, HK^{-1}, HK^{-1}\delta_{(5)}; \\ 1, \delta_{(5)}, HK^{-1}\delta_{(5)}, HK^{-1}\delta_{(10)} \right].$$
(3.66)

One may verify that this is sourced by the background

$$g_{mn}^{\text{KK6}} = \text{diag}\left[H^{-1}K, 1, \delta_{(5)}\right],$$
 (3.67)

$$\left|g_{(4)}^{\text{KK6}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \coloneqq \left[\left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}|g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right] \Rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{KK6}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}g_{\mu\nu}.$$
(3.68)

The EFT vectors are rotated to

$$\mathcal{A}_t{}^{\xi} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{t,\xi}, \tag{3.69}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{z,\xi} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_z^{\ \xi},\tag{3.70}$$

and so the latter sources a cross-sector coupling. We thus obtain the following background:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + H \left(dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2} \right) + HK^{-1} dz + H^{-1} K \left(d\xi - K^{-1} \theta \sigma dz \right)^{2} + d\chi^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(5)}^{2}.$$
(3.71)

However, focusing on the dz and d ξ terms, one finds that this is a disguised KK6 metric:

$$(HK^{-1} + H^{-1}K^{-1}\theta^{2}\sigma^{2})dz^{2} + H^{-1}Kd\xi^{2} + H^{-1}\sigma\theta d\xi dz$$

= $H^{-1}dz^{2} + (H + H^{-1}\theta^{2}\sigma^{2})d\xi^{2} + H^{-1}\theta\sigma d\xi dz$ (3.72)

$$= H d\xi^{2} + H^{-1} (dz + \theta \sigma d\xi)^{2}.$$
(3.73)

We thus obtain the metric of the KK6:

$$ds_{\rm KK6}^2 = -dt^2 + d\chi^2 + d\vec{w}_{(5)}^2 + H(dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2 + d\xi^2) + H^{-1}(dz + \theta\sigma d\xi)^2.$$
(3.74)

Note that the harmonic function is smeared in ξ (one of the transverse directions).

3.2.1 Type IIA reduction

The reduction to Type IIA solutions is not an independent solution to the section condition but rather a simple re-identification of degrees of freedom in terms of the Type IIA fields. We exploit the fact that the M-theory background, reduced on an isometry η , is equivalent to a Type IIA background (in the Einstein frame) under the identification

$$ds_{\rm M}^2 = e^{-\frac{\phi}{6}} ds_{\rm IIA,E}^2 + e^{\frac{4\phi}{3}} (d\eta + A_{(1)})^2, \qquad (3.75)$$

$$A_{(3)} = B_{(2)} \wedge \mathrm{d}\eta + C_{(3)},\tag{3.76}$$

where $A_{(p)}$ are the M-theory potentials and $B_{(q)}, C_{(r)}$ are the Type IIA NS-NS and R-R potentials. Grouping the surviving six coordinates into $\check{y}^{\check{m}}$, the reduction induces a decomposition of the generalised coordinates according to

$$Y^{M} = \left(Y^{\check{m}}, Y^{\eta}; Y_{\check{m}\check{n}}, Y_{\check{m}\eta}; Y_{\check{m}}, Y_{\eta}; Y^{\check{m}\check{n}}; Y^{\check{m}\eta}\right).$$
(3.77)

One thus sees that the $g_{\eta\eta}$ component gives the ten-dimensional dilaton. Since the reduction is always along an internal direction, the external metric is rescaled by $e^{-\frac{\phi}{6}}$ as follows:

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm M} = e^{-\frac{\phi}{6}} \check{g}_{\mu\nu}^{\rm A}, \qquad \left| g_{(4)}^{\rm M} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = e^{\frac{\phi}{6}} \left| \check{g}_{(4)}^{\rm A} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{3.78}$$

with $\check{y}^{\check{\mu}} = (t, r, \theta z)$ still indexing the same coordinates on the external space as the M-theory section and $\check{g}_{\check{\mu}\check{\nu}}$ taking on the same numerical values as in the M-theory frame:

$$\check{g}_{\check{\mu}\check{\nu}} = \operatorname{diag}\left[-(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK)^{\frac{1}{2}}, r^{2}(HK)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right].$$
(3.79)

The internal metric decomposes according to

$$g_{mn}^{\rm M} = \text{diag}\left[e^{-\frac{\phi}{6}}\check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n}}^{\rm A}, e^{\frac{4\phi}{3}}\right], \qquad g_{(7)}^{\rm M} = e^{\frac{\phi}{3}}\check{g}_{(6)}^{\rm A}, \tag{3.80}$$

and so the generalised metric decomposes to¹²

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \underbrace{e^{\frac{\phi}{6}} \left| \check{g}_{(4)}^{A} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}}}_{\text{from } |g_{(4)}^{M}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \operatorname{diag} \left[e^{-\frac{\phi}{6}} \check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n}}^{A}, e^{\frac{4\phi}{3}}; e^{\frac{\phi}{3}} g_{A}^{\check{m}\check{n},\check{p}\check{q}}, e^{-\frac{7\phi}{6}} g_{A}^{\check{m}\check{n}}; e^{-\frac{7\phi}{6}} g_{A}^{\check{m}\check{n}}; e^{-\frac{\phi}{6}} \check{g}_{(6)}^{A-1} \check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n}}^{A}, e^{-\frac{5\phi}{6}} \check{g}_{(6)}^{A-1} \check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n},\check{p}\check{q}}^{A}, e^{\frac{5\phi}{6}} \check{g}_{(6)}^{A-1} \check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n}}^{A} \right],$$

$$(3.83)$$

where the ordering of components follows that of the coordinates (3.77). The EFT vector likewise decomposes to

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M} \to \left(\mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu}}{}^{\check{m}}, \mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu}}{}^{\eta}; \mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu},\check{m}\check{n}}, \mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu},\check{m}\eta}; \mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu},\check{m}}, \mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu},\check{\eta}}; \mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu}}{}^{\check{m}\check{n}}, \mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu}}{}^{\check{m}\eta}\right).$$
(3.84)

As before, the $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{\check{m}}$ components sources the KK-vector of the 4+6 split and the $\mathcal{A}_{\mu,\check{m}}{}^{\check{m}}$ is related to the dual graviton. Of the remaining components, the R-R potentials $C_{(1)}, C_{(3)}, C_{(5)}$ and $C_{(7)}$ are encoded in the components $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{\eta}, \mathcal{A}_{\mu,\check{m}\check{n}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{\check{m}\check{n}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu}\eta}$ respectively (where the latter two are to be dualised on the internal space) and the NS-NS potentials $B_{(2)}$ and $B_{(6)}$ are held in $\mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu},\eta\check{m}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\check{\mu}}{}^{\eta\check{m}}$ respectively.

In order to tabulate the brane configurations that we obtain, it will be convenient to split the five w^a coordinates into $w^a = (u^a, v)$ with $a = 1, \ldots, 4$. The results are summarised in table 2.

$^{12}\mathrm{If}$ one wishes to work in the string frame, the analogous decomposition is

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left| \check{g}_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[\check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n}}, e^{2\phi}; e^{2\phi} \check{g}^{\check{m}\check{n}, \check{p}\check{q}}, \check{g}^{\check{m}\check{n}}; e^{4\phi} \check{g}_{(6)}^{-1} \check{g}^{\check{m}\check{n}}, e^{2\phi} \check{g}_{(6)}^{-1}; e^{2\phi} \check{g}_{(6)}^{-1} \check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n}, \check{p}\check{q}}, e^{4\phi} \check{g}_{(6)}^{-1} \check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n}} \right], \quad (3.81)$$
which follows simply from

$$ds_{\rm M}^2 = e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}} ds_{\rm IIA,s}^2 + e^{\frac{4\phi}{3}} (d\eta + A_{(1)})^2.$$
(3.82)

							w^a			
Parent		t	r	θ	z		ξ	χ	u^{a}	v
≍ 3	$5^2_2 A$	*	•	•	0	-	×	0	*	*
5	4_3^3	*	٠	٠	0		0	0	*	×
9 6	2_{3}^{5}	*	٠	٠	0		*	*	0	×
2	$1_4^6 A$	*	٠	٠	0		\times	*	0	0
$0^{(1,7)}$	$0_4^{(1,6)} A$	*	٠	٠	0		\odot	0	0	×
0,	0_{3}^{7}	*	٠	٠	0		\times	0	0	0
KKG	6^{1}_{3}	*	•	٠	0		\times	*	*	*
17170	KK5A	*	٠	•	\odot		0	*	*	×

Table 2. The configuration of the Type IIA branes that we consider. Note that the coordinates heading the columns are those of the M-theory section. A cross \times denotes the direction that is being reduced on.

Note that since some of the M-theory solutions are symmetric under certain coordinate transformations, these are not the only reductions that we could have done to obtain the Type IIA branes. For example, the generalised metrics of the 5³ and 2⁶ are invariant under the exchange $\xi \leftrightarrow \chi$ and so we could have obtained the 5²₂A and 1⁶₄A by reducing along χ instead of ξ (although this further requires a re-identification of $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{\eta \tilde{m}} \sim -B_{(6)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu,\eta \tilde{m}} \sim -B_{(2)}$). Likewise, the role of χ is indistinguishable from any of the w^a in the generalised metrics of the 0^(1,7) and KK6 and so we may have equally swapped χ with any one of the w^a coordinates (which we nominally called v in table 2) and obtained a valid reduction to the KK6A and 0^(1,6)₄A by reducing on χ instead of v (again with a suitable re-identification of the potentials to $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{\eta} \sim -C_{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu,\eta} \sim -C_{(7)}$). Nonetheless, the choice given in table 2 is the most symmetric choice of reductions.

Since all the reductions given above are along ξ or v, we work through two examples in detail to illustrate the two reduction. The first is the reduction of the 5³ generalised metric along $\eta = \xi$. We begin by noting that the external metric scales as

$$\left|g_{(4)}^{5^{3}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$
(3.85)

$$= (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{6}} |\check{g}_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$
(3.86)

$$\coloneqq e^{\frac{\phi}{6}} \left| g_{(4)}^{5^2_2 \mathrm{A}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{3.87}$$

where we have used (3.78) and (3.79). The dilaton is obtained from the $\mathcal{M}_{\eta\eta} = \mathcal{M}_{\xi\xi}$ component of the generalised metric in the 5³ frame (3.42):

$$e^{\frac{4\phi}{3}} = (HK^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} \tag{3.88}$$

We thus obtain the scaling of the external metric as

$$\left|\check{g}_{(4)}^{5^{2}_{2}A}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\check{g}_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Rightarrow \check{g}_{\check{\mu}\check{\nu}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \check{g}_{\check{\mu}\check{\nu}}.$$
(3.89)

One may verify that the rest of the 5^3 generalised metric (3.42) is sourced by the background

$$\check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n}}^{5^{2}A} = \operatorname{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(5)} \right],$$
(3.90)

according to (3.83). Here, the reduced internal index spans $\check{m} = (\chi, w^a)$. Since the nonvanishing components of the EFT vector in this frame $\mathcal{A}_t^{\xi\chi}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{z,\xi\chi}$ lie along the reduction direction $\eta = \xi$, they must source the NS-NS potentials, giving the background of the 5²₂A in the Einstein frame:

$$ds_{5_{2}^{2}A,E}^{2} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(-dt^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(5)}^{2} \right) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(dz^{2} + d\chi^{2} \right) + H^{\frac{3}{4}}K^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2} \right),$$

$$B_{(2)} = -K^{-1}\theta\sigma dz \wedge d\chi, \qquad B_{(6)} = -H^{-1}Kdt^{2} \wedge dw^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dw^{5},$$

$$e^{2(\phi-\phi_{0})} = HK^{-1}.$$
(3.91)

The second reduction of the 5³ is along $\eta = v \equiv w^5$ and so the coordinates of the Type IIA internal space are $y^{\check{m}} = (\xi, \chi, u^a)$. We begin by rewriting the generalised metric of the 5³, given in (3.42), adapted to this coordinate splitting:

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left| g_{(4)}^{53} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(4)}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}; \right. \\ \left. \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{4}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(4)}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \delta_{(4)}; \right. \\ \left. \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(6)}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(4)}; \right. \\ \left. \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(4)}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}; \right. \\ \left. \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{5}{3}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(4)}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{(4)} \right] \right].$$

$$(3.92)$$

We now proceed as before and examine the prefactor and \mathcal{M}_{vv} component to obtain the dilaton and relative scaling:

$$\left|g_{(4)}^{5^3}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} |\check{g}_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \coloneqq e^{\frac{\phi}{6}} |\check{g}_{(4)}^{4^3}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{3.93}$$

$$\left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} = e^{\frac{4\phi}{3}},\tag{3.94}$$

to obtain

$$e^{2(\phi-\phi_0)} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$
(3.95)

$$\left|\check{g}_{(4)}^{4_{3}^{3}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{8}} |\check{g}_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Rightarrow \check{g}_{\check{\mu}\check{\nu}}^{4_{3}^{3}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \check{g}_{\check{\mu}\check{\nu}}.$$
(3.96)

One may verify that the rest of the generalised background is sourced, conforming to the Type IIA decomposition (3.83), by

$$\check{g}_{\check{m}\check{n}}^{4_{3}^{3}} = \operatorname{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{5}{8}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{5}{8}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{8}}\delta_{(4)}\right].$$
(3.97)

Since the direction being reduced on is not contained in the EFT vector, it reduces trivially to source the 5-form and 3-form R-R potentials. We thus obtain the background of the 4_3^3 in the Einstein frame:

$$ds_{4_{3},E}^{2} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{8}} \left(-dt^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(4)}^{2} \right) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{5}{8}} \left(dz^{2} + d\xi^{2} + d\chi^{2} \right) + H^{\frac{5}{8}} K^{\frac{3}{8}} (dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2}),$$

$$C_{(3)} = -K^{-1} \theta \sigma dz \wedge d\xi \wedge d\chi, \qquad C_{(5)} = -H^{-1} K dt^{2} \wedge dw^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dw^{4},$$

$$e^{2(\phi - \phi_{0})} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.98)

The remaining reductions of the M-theory solutions are done in exactly the same fashion as described above. The only complication is that the reduction of the KK6 reduces to the KK5A, along $\eta = v$, to give the non-canonical form

$$ds_{\rm KK5A}^2 = -dt^2 + d\chi^2 + d\vec{u}_{(4)}^2 + H(dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2) + HK^{-1}dz^2 + H^{-1}K(d\xi - K^{-1}\theta\sigma)dz^2,$$
(3.99)

and one needs to apply the same trick (3.72) to obtain the canonical form of the KK-monopole.

3.3 Type IIB section

The generalised metric in the Type IIB section, in the absence of internal potentials, is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = |\hat{g}_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[\hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}; \hat{g}^{\hat{m}\hat{n}} \hat{\gamma}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}; \hat{g}_{(6)}^{-1} \hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{k}\hat{p},\hat{n}\hat{k}\hat{q}}; \hat{g}_{(6)}^{-1} \hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}} \hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}; \hat{g}_{(6)}^{-1} \hat{g}^{\hat{m}\hat{n}} \right], \qquad (3.100)$$

where $\hat{m}, \hat{n} = 1, \ldots, 6$ index the Type IIB section and $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} = 1, 2$ are SL(2) indices. Accordingly, $\hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}$ are the metric on the internal space and torus respectively. The former we take to be of the same form as the M-theory section

$$\hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = \text{diag}\left[-(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK)^{\frac{1}{2}}, r^2(HK)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right], \qquad (3.101)$$

and the latter parametrised by the axio-dilaton $\tau = A_{(0)} + ie^{-\phi}$ by

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}\tau} \begin{pmatrix} |\tau|^2 & \operatorname{Re}\tau\\ \operatorname{Re}\tau & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.102)

Additionally, we have defined $\hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{k}\hat{p},\hat{n}\hat{l}\hat{q}} \coloneqq \hat{g}_{\hat{m}[\hat{n}|}\hat{g}_{\hat{k}|\hat{l}|}\hat{g}_{\hat{p}|\hat{q}]}$. The EFT vector splits according to this coordinate decomposition to

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M} \to \left(\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\mu}}{}^{\hat{m}}, \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{m}\hat{\alpha}}, \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\mu}}{}^{\hat{m}\hat{k}\hat{p}}, \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\mu}}{}^{\hat{m}\hat{\alpha}}, \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{m}}\right).$$
(3.103)

As always, the $\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\mu}}{}^{\hat{m}}$ component is the KK-vector and $\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{m}}$ is the dual graviton vector. The SL(2) index $\hat{\alpha}$ distinguishes between $C_{(2)}/B_{(2)}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mu\hat{m}\hat{\alpha}}$ and $C_{(6)}/B_{(6)}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{\hat{m}\hat{\alpha}}$. In particular, when $\hat{\alpha} = 1$, the potential is of R-R type and when $\hat{\alpha} = 2$, the potentials is of NS-NS type. Finally, the $\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\mu}}{}^{\hat{m}\hat{k}\hat{p}}$ component, once dualised on the internal space, sources the self-dual 4-form potential $A_{(4)}$. In order to tabulate the brane configurations that we obtain, it will be necessary to split the five w^a coordinates into $w^a = (\omega, \bar{w}^{\bar{a}}, \underline{w}_{\underline{a}})$ with $\bar{a} = 1, 2$ and $\underline{a} = 1, 2$. The results are conveniently summarised in table 3. In order to identify the relation between the M-theory section and Type IIB section, we examine the 5³ generalised metric. Recall the internal coordinates of the M-theory section were (ξ, χ, w^a) . Of these, the five w^a coordinates enter directly into the Type IIB section but the remaining two coordinates (ξ, χ) become, loosely speaking, the SL(2) indices. In particular, denoting the six coordinates of the Type IIB section as $\hat{y}^{\hat{m}} = (\zeta, w^a)$ and generating the remaining generalised coordinates \hat{Y}^M , the correspondence between the M-theory coordinates Y^M and the Type IIB coordinates \hat{Y}^M

$$Y^{\xi} \equiv \hat{Y}^{\zeta 1}, \qquad Y_{\xi} \equiv \hat{Y}_{\zeta 1}, \qquad (3.104)$$

$$Y^{\chi} \equiv \hat{Y}_{\zeta}, \qquad Y^{\chi} \equiv \hat{Y}^{\zeta}, \qquad (3.105)$$

$$Y^a \equiv \hat{Y}^a, \tag{3.106}$$

$$Y^{ab} \equiv \hat{Y}^{abc}, \qquad Y_{ab} \equiv \hat{Y}^{\zeta ab}, \tag{3.107}$$

$$Y^{\xi\chi} \equiv \hat{Y}^{\zeta^2}, \qquad Y_{\xi\chi} \equiv \hat{Y}_{\zeta^2}. \tag{3.108}$$

$$Y^{\xi a} \equiv \hat{Y}_{a1}, \qquad Y_{\xi a} \equiv \hat{Y}^{a1},$$
 (3.109)

$$Y^{\chi a} \equiv \hat{Y}^{a2}, \qquad Y_{\chi a} \equiv \hat{Y}_{a2}.$$
 (3.110)

This gives the generalised metric

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)} \right]$$

$$= \left[(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right] \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{5}{4}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(10)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(5)} \right],$$
(3.112)

							w^a	
	t	r	θ	z	ζ	ω	$\bar{w}^{\bar{a}}$	$w^{\underline{a}}$
$5^2_2 B$	*	•	•	0	0	*	*	*
5_{3}^{2}	*	٠	٠	0	0	*	*	*
3_{3}^{4}	*	•	٠	0	0	*	0	*
1_{3}^{6}	*	•	•	0	0	*	0	0
$1_4^6 B$	*	•	•	0	0	*	0	0
$0_4^{(1,6)}B$	*	•	•	0	0	0	0	0
KK5B	*	•	٠	\odot	*	0	*	*

Table 3. The configuration of the Type IIB branes that we consider.

which is consistent with the background of the 5^2_2B if one identifies

$$\hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}^{5_2^2 \mathrm{B}} = \operatorname{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(5)} \right], \qquad (3.113)$$

$$\left|\hat{g}_{(4)}^{5^{2}\text{B}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \coloneqq \left[\left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left|\hat{g}_{(4)}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right] \Rightarrow \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{5^{2}\text{B}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}, \tag{3.114}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \operatorname{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \Rightarrow \tau = i \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.115)

Applying (3.108) on (3.45) to identify the direction that the EFT vector points in the Type IIB frame, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_t{}^{\xi\chi} \to \mathcal{A}_t{}^{\zeta 2} = -H^{-1}K, \tag{3.116}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{z,\xi\chi} \to \mathcal{A}_{z,\zeta 2} = -K^{-1}\theta\sigma, \qquad (3.117)$$

of which the first sources $B_{(6)}$ (upon being dualised on the internal space) and the latter sources $B_{(2)}$. We thus obtain the background of the 5²₂B in the Einstein frame:

$$ds_{5_{2}^{2}B,E}^{2} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(-dt^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(5)}^{2} \right) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(dz^{2} + d\zeta^{2} \right) + H^{\frac{3}{4}}K^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2} \right),$$

$$B_{(2)} = -K^{-1}\theta\sigma dz \wedge d\zeta, \qquad B_{(6)} = -H^{-1}Kdt^{2} \wedge dw^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dw^{5},$$

$$e^{2(\phi-\phi_{0})} = HK^{-1}.$$
(3.118)

Alternatively, we could have reduced the 5^3 to the 5^2_3 — the S-dual of the 5^2_2 B. The coordinate identifications that we make between the M-theory and Type IIB coordinates are essentially the same as for the 5^2_2 B except for with the SL(2) indices 1 and 2 exchanged,

as expected. The generalised metric that one obtains is then

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)} \right]$$

$$= \left[(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right] \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{5}{4}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(10)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{7}{4}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(5)} \right],$$

$$(3.120)$$

which is consistent with the background of the 5_3^2 if one identifies

$$\hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}^{5_3^2} = \text{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(5)} \right], \qquad (3.121)$$

$$\left|\hat{g}_{(4)}^{5_{3}^{2}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \coloneqq \left[\left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\hat{g}_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right] \Rightarrow \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{5_{3}^{2}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}, \tag{3.122}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \text{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \Rightarrow \tau = i(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (3.123)

Additionally, in the identification of coordinates used here, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_t^{\xi\chi} \to \mathcal{A}_t^{\zeta 1} = -H^{-1}K, \qquad (3.124)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{i,\xi\chi} \to \mathcal{A}_{i,\zeta 1} = -K^{-1}\theta\sigma, \qquad (3.125)$$

and so these source $C_{(2)}$ and $C_{(6)}$ potentials respectively. We thus obtain the background of the 5_3^2 with the inverted dilaton relative to the 5_2^2 B:

$$ds_{5^{2}_{3},E}^{2} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(-dt^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(5)}^{2} \right) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(dz^{2} + d\zeta^{2} \right) + H^{\frac{3}{4}}K^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2} \right),$$

$$C_{(2)} = -K^{-1}\theta\sigma dz \wedge d\zeta, \qquad C_{(6)} = -H^{-1}Kdt^{2} \wedge dw^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dw^{5},$$

$$e^{2(\phi-\phi_{0})} = H^{-1}K.$$
(3.126)

Equivalently, this is obtainable from the 5_2^2B generalised metric by the rotations

$$\hat{Y}^{\hat{m},1} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\hat{m},2}, \tag{3.127}$$

$$\hat{Y}_{\hat{m},1} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\hat{m},2}.\tag{3.128}$$

Once we are in a Type IIB frame, we are now free to apply rotations to the generalised metric as before. In order to rotate to the 3_3^4 , we must first split the five w^a coordinates to a further 1+2+2 splitting. As such, let $w^a \to (\omega, \bar{w}^{\bar{a}}, \underline{w}^{\bar{a}})$ where \bar{a} and \underline{a} can each take on values 1 or 2. The generalised metric of the 5_2^2 B in this coordinate splitting becomes

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left| g_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(4)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(4)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)} \right].$$
(3.129)

Note the positions of the semicolons that delimit each part of the generalised metric. Then, applying the rotations

$$\hat{Y}^{\zeta a \underline{b}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\zeta 2}, \qquad \hat{Y}^{\omega a \underline{b}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\zeta \overline{a} \overline{b}},$$

$$(3.130)$$

$$\hat{Y}^{\omega\bar{a}b} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\zeta 2},\tag{3.131}$$

$$\hat{Y}^{a\underline{b}\underline{c}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\zeta\omega a},$$
(3.132)

$$\hat{Y}_{\omega 2} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\omega 2}, \qquad \hat{Y}_{\bar{a}2} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\bar{a}2}, \qquad \hat{Y}^{\bar{a}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\underline{a}}, \qquad (3.133)$$

$$\hat{Y}^{\underline{a}1} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\underline{a}2}. \tag{3.134}$$

The generalised metric that one obtains is

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(4)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(4)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac$$

which one may verify is sourced by the background

$$\hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}^{3_3^4} = \text{diag}\left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{(2)} \right], \qquad (3.136)$$

$$\left|\hat{g}_{(4)}^{3\frac{4}{3}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \left|\hat{g}_{(4)}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Rightarrow \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{3\frac{4}{3}} = \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}},\tag{3.137}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \text{diag}[1,1] \Rightarrow \tau = i. \tag{3.138}$$

Since the dilaton is trivial, there is no distinction between string and Einstein frames and, indeed, the 3_3^4 is self-dual under S-duality, much like the D3-brane. The EFT vector is rotated to

$$\mathcal{A}_t^{\zeta 2} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_t^{\zeta \underline{a}\underline{b}},\tag{3.139}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{z,\zeta 2} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_z{}^{\omega \bar{a} \bar{b}},\tag{3.140}$$

and these both source the self-dual 4-form potential $C_{(4)}$. Thus, the background that one obtains is that of the 3_3^4 :

$$ds_{33}^{2} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(-dt^{2} + d\omega^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(2)}^{2} \right) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(dz^{2} + d\zeta^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(2)}^{2} \right) + (HK)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2} \right), C_{(4)} = -K^{-1}\theta\sigma dt \wedge d\omega \wedge d\bar{w}^{\bar{1}} \wedge d\bar{w}^{\bar{2}}, \qquad C_{(4)} = -H^{-1}K dz \wedge d\zeta \wedge d\underline{w}^{\underline{1}} \wedge d\underline{w}^{2}, e^{2(\phi-\phi_{0})} = 1.$$
(3.141)

Note that the apparent distinction of the $\hat{\alpha} = 2$ index in, for example, (3.133) is a consequence of rotating from the 5²₂B; were we to rotate from the 5²₃ instead, they would be replaced with $\hat{\alpha} = 1$.

Using the same coordinate splitting as for the 3_3^4 , we rotate the generalised internal coordinates according to

$$\hat{Y}^{\bar{a}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\bar{a}}, \qquad \hat{Y}_{\bar{a}1} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\bar{a}1}, \qquad \hat{Y}_{\underline{a}2} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\underline{a}2}, \qquad \hat{Y}_{\zeta 2} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\zeta 2}, \qquad (3.142)$$

$$\hat{Y}^{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\nu}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\omega 2}, \qquad \hat{Y}^{\omega a b} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\omega 2}, \tag{3.143}$$

$$\hat{Y}^{\zeta \bar{a} \bar{b}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\omega \underline{a} \underline{b}}, \qquad \hat{Y}^{\bar{a} \underline{b} \underline{a}|} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\zeta \omega \underline{a}},$$

$$(3.144)$$

to obtain the generalised metric of the 1_4^6 B:

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left| \hat{g}_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(4)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(4)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(4)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(2)} \right]$$

$$= \left[\left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot \left| \hat{g}_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right] \operatorname{diag} \left[\left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)}; \right) \right] \\ \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(2)}; \right) \\ \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)}; \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)}; \right) \\ \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(4)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)}; \right) \\ \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \delta_{(2)}; \left(HK^{$$

This is sourced by the background

$$\hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}^{1_{4}^{6}B} = \operatorname{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{(2)} \right], \quad (3.147)$$

$$\left|\hat{g}_{(4)}^{1_{4}^{6}B}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left|\hat{g}_{(4)}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Rightarrow \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{1_{4}^{6}B} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}, \tag{3.148}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \operatorname{diag}\left[\left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \Rightarrow \tau = i \left(HK^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.149)

The EFT vector is rotated to

$$\mathcal{A}_t{}^{\zeta\underline{a}\underline{b}} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{t\omega 2},\tag{3.150}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_z^{\ \omega \bar{a}b} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_z^{\ \omega 2},\tag{3.151}$$

and so must source the 2-form and 6-form NS-NS potentials. We thus obtain the background of the 1_4^6B :

$$ds_{1_{4}B}^{2} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(-dt^{2} + d\omega^{2} \right) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(dz^{2} + d\zeta^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(2)}^{2} + d\vec{w}_{(2)}^{2} \right) + H^{\frac{1}{4}}K^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2} \right), B_{(2)} = -H^{-1}Kdt \wedge d\omega, \qquad B_{(6)} = -K^{-1}\sigma\theta dz \wedge d\zeta \wedge d\vec{w}^{\bar{1}} \wedge d\vec{w}^{\bar{2}} \wedge d\underline{w}^{1} \wedge d\underline{w}^{2}, e^{2(\phi-\phi_{0})} = (HK^{-1})^{-1}.$$
(3.152)

The rotation of the 3_3^4 to the dual 1_3^6 is very similar, except with the SL(2) indices exchanged in the rotations above.

We begin by noting that we may clean up the generalised metric of the 1_4^6 B by defining the set of coordinates $v^a = (\zeta, \bar{w}^{\bar{a}}, \underline{w}_{\bar{a}})$. Note that this is essentially the similar grouping to w^a before, but with ω exchanged for ζ . Then, the six coordinates of the Type IIB section are (ω, v^a) and the generalised metric becomes

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left| \hat{g}_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)} \right].$$
(3.153)

If we apply the rotations

$$\hat{Y}^{\omega} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\omega 2}, \qquad \hat{Y}_{\omega} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\omega 2}, \qquad (3.154)$$

$$\hat{Y}_{\omega 1} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{\omega 1}, \qquad \hat{Y}_{a1} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{a1}, \qquad (3.155)$$

$$\hat{Y}^{\omega ab} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{cde},$$
 (3.156)

we obtain the generalised metric of the $0_4^{(1,6)}$ B:

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left| \hat{g}_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)} \right] \\ = \left[(HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left| \hat{g}_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right] \operatorname{diag} \left[HK^{-1}, \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-1}, \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-1}, \delta_{(5)}; \\ \delta_{(10)}, (HK^{-1})^{-1} \delta_{(10)}; \\ \end{array}$$
(3.158)

$$\delta_{(10)}, (HK^{-1}) \quad \delta_{(10)};$$

$$1, (HK^{-1})^{-1}\delta_{(5)}, 1, (HK^{-1})^{-1}\delta_{(5)};$$

$$(HK^{-1})^{-2}, (HK^{-1})^{-1}\delta_{(5)} \bigg].$$
(3.

One may verify that this is sourced by the background

$$\hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}^{0_4^{(1,6)}\mathrm{B}} = \operatorname{diag}\left[HK^{-1}, \delta_{(5)}\right], \qquad (3.159)$$

$$\left| \hat{g}_{(4)}^{0_4^{(1,6)}B} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \hat{g}_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Rightarrow \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{0_4^{(1,6)}B} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}, \qquad (3.160)$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \text{diag}[1,1] \Rightarrow \tau = i.$$
(3.161)

The EFT vector is rotated to source the Kaluza-Klein vector:

$$\mathcal{A}_{t,\omega 2} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_t^{\ \omega},\tag{3.162}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_z^{\ \omega 2} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{z\omega},\tag{3.163}$$

and so one obtains the background of the $0_4^{(1,6)}{\rm B}$:

$$ds_{0_{4}^{(1,6)}B}^{2} = -(HK^{-1})^{-1}dt^{2} + HK^{-1}(d\omega - H^{-1}Kdt)^{2} + dz^{2} + d\vec{v}_{(5)}^{2} + K(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2}),$$

$$e^{2(\phi-\phi_{0})} = 1.$$
(3.164)

Applying the rotation

$$\hat{Y}^{\hat{m}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\hat{m}}, \qquad \hat{Y}^{\hat{m}\hat{\alpha}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\hat{m}\hat{\alpha}}, \qquad (3.165)$$

$$\hat{Y}^{\zeta ab} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{cde},$$
 (3.166)

one obtains

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left| \hat{g}_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{diag} \left[(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(10)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}, (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(5)} \right]$$

$$= \left[(HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left| \hat{g}_{(4)} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right] \operatorname{diag} \left[H^{-1}K, \delta_{(5)}; \\ \delta_{(10)}, HK^{-1} \delta_{(10)}; \\ 1, HK^{-1} \delta_{(5)}, 1, HK^{-1} \delta_{(5)}; \\ (HK^{-1})^{2}, HK^{-1} \delta_{(5)} \right].$$
(3.168)

This is sourced by the background

$$\hat{g}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}^{\text{KK5B}} = \text{diag}\left[H^{-1}K, \delta_{(5)}\right],$$
(3.169)

$$\left|\hat{g}_{(4)}^{\text{KK5B}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left|\hat{g}_{(4)}\right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Rightarrow \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}^{\text{KK5B}} = \left(HK^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}, \tag{3.170}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \text{diag}[1,1] \Rightarrow \tau = i. \tag{3.171}$$

The EFT vector is rotated to

$$\mathcal{A}_t^{\ \omega} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{t,\omega},\tag{3.172}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{z\omega} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{z}^{\ \omega},\tag{3.173}$$

and so we obtain the background

$$ds_{\rm KK5B}^2 = -dt^2 + d\vec{v}_{(5)}^2 + H(dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2) + HK^{-1}dz + H^{-1}K(d\omega - K^{-1}\theta\sigma dz)^2$$

= $-dt^2 + d\vec{v}_{(5)}^2 + H(dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2 + d\omega^2) + H^{-1}(dz + \theta\sigma d\omega)^2,$ (3.174)

$$e^{2(\phi-\phi_0)} = 1. (3.175)$$

Recall that we switched coordinates in the $0_4^{(1,6)}$ B frame such that it has coordinates (ω, v^a) with ζ in v^a . Thus, one sees that the transverse three-space of the KK5B is spanned by (r, θ, ω) whereas the three-transverse space in the KK6A was spanned by (r, θ, ζ) .

3.4 Discussion

The rotations amongst the branes presented here need not, in principle, be E_7 rotations (which would need to preserve $\Omega_{[MN]}$ and $c_{(MNPQ)}$); they may be part of the larger solutiongenerating transformations, despite acting on an E_7 index.

Note that there the following hold:

$$Y^M \leftrightarrow Y_M : \begin{cases} M2 \leftrightarrow M5, \\ 0^{(1,7)} \leftrightarrow KK6, \end{cases}$$
(3.176)

where $Y^M \leftrightarrow Y_M$ corresponds to $Y^m \leftrightarrow Y_m$ and $Y^{mn} \leftrightarrow Y_{mn}$. For the Type IIB section, we have

$$\hat{Y}^{M} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{M} : \begin{cases} 5_{2}^{2} \mathbf{B} \leftrightarrow 1_{4}^{6} \mathbf{B}, \\ 5_{3}^{2} \leftrightarrow 1_{3}^{6}, \\ 3_{3}^{4} \leftrightarrow 3_{3}^{4}, \\ 0_{4}^{(1,6)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{K} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{5} \mathbf{B}, \end{cases}$$
(3.177)

where $\hat{Y}^M \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_M$ corresponds to $\hat{Y}^{\hat{m}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\hat{m}}, \hat{Y}^{\hat{m}\hat{\alpha}} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}_{\hat{m}\hat{\alpha}}, \hat{Y}^{\zeta ab} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}^{cde}$. Under this transformation, the 3_3^4 is self-dual, but with the roles of $(\omega, \bar{w}_{(2)}) \leftrightarrow (\zeta, \bar{w}_{(2)})$ in the resulting metric.

Note that this solution shows a strong resemblance to the solution in [11] if one notes that the combination HK^{-1} is itself harmonic in two dimensions. More concretely, recall that the geometric solution was constructed with a three-dimensional transverse space. Denoting the coordinates of this transverse space as (r, θ, z) and the harmonic function as \tilde{H} , the potential \tilde{A} sourcing this is obtained by solving $d\tilde{A} = \star_3 d\tilde{H}$. The non-geometric solution as presented above is obtained by smearing this solution over z to give the (H, A)used in the solution as presented above. However, noting that HK^{-1} is itself harmonic in two dimensions, we obtain the following identification:

Geometric	Non-Geometric						
3-dimensional on \mathbb{R}^3	Effective 2-dimensional on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$	2-dimensional on \mathbb{R}^2					
$\mathcal{A}_t{}^M = (1 - H^{-1})a^M$	$\mathcal{A}_t{}^M = -H^{-1}Ka^M$	$\mathcal{A}_t{}^M = -\hat{H}^{-1}a^M$					
$\mathcal{A}_i{}^M = A_i \tilde{a}^M$	$\mathcal{A}_z{}^M = -K^{-1}\theta\sigma\tilde{a}^M$	$\mathcal{A}_z{}^M = \hat{A}\tilde{a}^M$					
$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left\{ \tilde{H}^{\pm \frac{3}{2}}, \tilde{H}^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(27)} \right\}$	$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left\{ (HK^{-1})^{\pm \frac{3}{2}}, (HK^{-1})^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(27)} \right\}$	$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \left\{ \hat{H}^{\pm \frac{3}{2}}, \hat{H}^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{(27)} \right\}$					

where

$$d\tilde{A} = \star_3 d\tilde{H},$$
 $dA = \star_3 dH,$ $d\hat{A} = \star_2 d\hat{H},$ (3.178)

$$\tilde{H} = 1 + \frac{h_0}{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}}, \qquad H = h_0 + \sigma \ln \frac{\mu}{r}, \qquad \hat{H} = HK^{-1}, \qquad (3.179)$$

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{h_0 z}{2r^2 \sqrt{r^2 + z^2}} \mathrm{d}\theta, \qquad A = -\sigma \theta \mathrm{d}z, \qquad \hat{A} = -\sigma \theta K^{-1}, \qquad (3.180)$$

and so we see a clear parallel between the geometric solution with transverse space (r, θ, z) and the non-geometric solution with transverse space (r, θ) . The fact that the $\mathcal{A}_t{}^M \sim -\hat{H}a^M$ rather than $\mathcal{A}_t{}^M \sim (1-\hat{H})a^M$ is mostly irrelevant considering the fact that the asymptotics of the harmonic function require some method of regularising the divergence (e.g. some anti-brane configuration around the exotic branes to absorb any flux along similar lines to what happens with the D8). More generally, we may construct the solutions as a genuine codimension-2 solution with an arbitrary harmonic function $\hat{H}(r,\theta)$ rather than the smearing a codimension-3 solution.

4 Mapping out the exotic states

Whilst we have given an EFT parent to a modest number of exotic branes in the previous sections, it has become increasingly clear that exotic branes are far more common than were previously thought. Indeed, the branes discussed in the solution above are only a tiny fraction of exotic branes that one may find in ExFT-like theories (specifically, those studying E_9 and larger). Here, we discuss a very simple algorithm for mapping out all dual branes based on the transformations of the masses under the various dualities. We then compare what we obtain with what has previously appeared in the literature where work has primarily focused on the mixed-symmetry potentials that these branes couple to. We warn the reader that after the following sections on notation, duality transformations and a worked example, we will simply list the duality orbits, stratified by their g_s -dependence. As α decreases, the size of the orbits generally grows, leaving us with a vast taxonomy. The hope is that this taxonomy will allow us to find patterns in the exotic brane structure and point to the existence of unifying solutions in EFT.

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 Notation

In order to describe all the possible branes that one obtains, we require an extension of the notation introduced in section 2. We also define branes with a prefixed superscript to denote branes with an inverse dependence on given radii as follows:

Type II:
$$M({}^{a}b_{n}^{(\dots,d,c)}) = \frac{\dots (R_{k_{1}} \dots R_{k_{d}})^{3} (R_{j_{1}} \dots R_{j_{c}})^{2} (R_{i_{1}} \dots R_{i_{b}})}{(R_{l_{1}} \dots R_{l_{a}}) g_{s}^{n} l_{s}^{1-a+b+2c+3d+\dots}},$$
(4.1)

M-Theory:
$$M(^{a}b^{(\dots,d,c)}) = \frac{\dots(R_{k_{1}}\dots R_{k_{d}})^{3}(R_{j_{1}}\dots R_{j_{c}})^{2}(R_{i_{1}}\dots R_{i_{b}})}{(R_{l_{1}}\dots R_{l_{a}})l_{p}^{1-a+b+2c+3d+\dots}}.$$
(4.2)

For the work presented here, only the momentum mode in Type II is of this form.

$$M(P = {}^{1}0_{0}) = \frac{1}{R_{1}}$$
(4.3)

4.1.2 Duality transformations

In the following sections, we map out all the allowed exotic branes down to $\alpha = 7$ the lowest power of $g_s^{-\alpha}$ admissible in $E_{7(7)}$ EFT.¹³ The general scheme is to map out all allowed S- and T-duality transformations and lifts from a given brane. The result of a given transformation is identified by the mass of the resulting object. By noting that T-duality does not change the scaling of g_s , these are then organised by their mass scaling into Tduality orbits. Each figure in the proceeding pages correspond to a single T-duality orbit i.e. every brane in each figure may be reached from any other brane in the same figure by judicious T-dualities alone. The T-duality transformation along the direction y is given by

$$T_y: R_y \mapsto \frac{l_s^2}{R_y}, \qquad g_s \mapsto \frac{l_s}{R_y}g_s.$$
 (4.4)

We stress that this process only has a natural description in ExFT wherein the duality transformations correspond to different choices of section condition that allow winding mode dependences. It is a well-known fact that the T-duality rules encoded in the Buscher rules or the reduction from M-theory to Type IIA both require an isometry but the ExFT description of this is simply the rotation of coordinates in and out of section which does not require an isometry. These extended theories thus afford us a much richer spectrum of branes since one can take duality transformation in directions which classically would not be allowed.

For example, in supergravity, whilst a codimension-1 brane may be T-dualised along the transverse direction after smearing the harmonic function in that direction, this removes any dependence of the harmonic function on any of the coordinates and thus become a simple constant which renders it equivalent to the trivial D9. The DFT description of this, however, still allows for a meaningful duality transformation since the dependence of the harmonic function is simply shifted to a dependence on a winding coordinate, rather than being lost entirely. Thus, one may still construct space-filling branes in DFT that remain non-trivial by virtue of this winding mode dependence. A similar story holds for reductions of M-theory branes; a codimension-1 brane in M-theory may be 'reduced' along the transverse direction to yield a non-trivial codimension-0 solution in ten dimensions simply because the coordinate dependence is simply shifted out of section.

The dependence on winding modes pre-dates DFT and has been well-studied in the context of Gauged Linear Sigma Models (GLSM). By comparing their interpretations on either sides of the T-dual pair (NS5, KK5), it was shown that such a winding mode dependence may be understood as worldsheet instanton corrections [69, 70]. More specifically, the worldsheet instanton corrections of an H-monopole break the isometry in the S^1 , localising it to an NS5 and this transfers over to the T-dual picture as the breaking of the isometry in the dual circle. Thus, one concludes that the information encoded in a dependence on dual coordinates is equivalent to that of worldsheet instanton corrections. More recently, the GLSM analysis was extended to include the 5^2_2 [71, 72] and further studied in [31] in the context of DFT with similar conclusions that winding mode dependences may be interpreted as worldsheet instanton corrections to the geometry.

 $^{^{13}\}mathrm{We}$ shall work in the string frame throughout.

It is easy to see that the T-duality rules given in (4.4) are, together equivalent to the general rule proposed in [18]

$$\alpha = -n: \qquad \underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{p} \xleftarrow{T_a} \underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{n-p}.$$
(4.5)

The S-duals of each of the Type IIB branes, which map between the orbits/figures, are also given and are determined by the following transformations:

$$S: g_s \mapsto \frac{1}{g_s}, \qquad l_s \mapsto g_s^{\frac{1}{2}} l_s. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that this does not affect the wrapping structure of the brane and only touches the g_s scaling i.e. a $b_n^{(\dots,d,c)}$ -brane is mapped to some $b_{n'}^{(\dots,d,c)}$ -brane. Finally, the lift of each Type IIA brane is determined by using the relations between the ten- and eleven-dimensional constants

$$\begin{cases} l_s &= \frac{l_p^3}{R_{\natural}^2} \\ g_s &= \left(\frac{R_{\natural}}{l_p}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \end{cases} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} R_{\natural} &= l_s g_s \\ l_p &= g_s^{\frac{1}{3}} l_s \end{cases}$$

$$(4.7)$$

This, in turn, indicates the existence of other Type IIA branes. The above procedure is repeated iteratively until all possible duality transformations and lifts have been saturated.

All the figures presented here were generated by saturating all possible S- and Tduality transformations as well as lifts/reductions. We have chosen to display any even- α branes that appear in both Type II theories as separate nodes such that the number of lines coming out of each brane is always equal to the number of T-dual partners that the brane possesses — this provides a simple verification that all possible T-duality transformations have been accounted for. Specifically, representing each $b_n^{(...,d,c)}$ -brane as a single node, one must always have t lines emanating from it where

$$t = \begin{cases} (b+c+d+\ldots)+1 & \text{if codimension} \neq 0, \\ (b+c+d+\ldots) & \text{if codimension} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4.8)

Since T-dualising along a transverse direction produces a brane of 1 lower codimension, the special case of codimension-0 branes in eleven dimensions is precisely why these T-duality orbits close. For example, the $0_4^{(2,1,6)}$ (obtained from a double T-duality along the two transverse coordinates of the $0_4^{(1,6)}$) has only three T-dual partners, not four.

The branes presented here are 'complete' to g_s^{-7} in so far as all branes down to there whose existence is implied by the above rules are included. The missing figure references are all for branes of $\alpha \leq -8$ but these are also expected to fall into T-duality orbits. For example, at g_s^{-8} , the branes of higher g_s scaling imply the existence of 64 branes in Type IIA and 26 branes in Type IIB. Another 190 further branes are required to organise these into eight complete T-duality orbits. The proliferation of branes is evident and it is not clear whether the process will terminate at finite g_s^{α} . Already at g_s^{-7} , one finds the implied existence of branes down to g_s^{-15} and at g_s^{-8} there is an implied existence of branes down to g_s^{-17} (the lift of an $0_8^{(6,1,2,0,0)}$ will give rise to a $0_{17}^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0)}$ as one of its descendants).

4.1.3 A partial example

To illustrate the procedure, we give a partial example below. Consider the $0_4^{(1,6)}$ -brane in Type IIA. Its mass is given by

$$\mathcal{M}(0_4^{(1,6)}) = \frac{R_7^3 (R_6 \dots R_1)^2}{g_s^4 l_s^{16}}.$$
(4.9)

We have three possible distinct T-duality transformations that we may apply (up to renaming of coordinates); a duality transformation along the cubic direction, the quadratic direction or a direction entirely transverse to the brane:

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{0}_{4}^{(1,6)}\mathbf{A}) = \frac{R_{7}^{3}(R_{6}\dots R_{1})^{2}}{g_{s}^{4}l_{s}^{16}} \to \begin{cases} \frac{T_{8}}{(\frac{l_{s}}{R_{8}}g_{s})^{4}l_{s}^{16}} = \frac{R_{8}^{4}R_{7}^{3}(R_{6}\dots R_{1})^{2}}{g_{s}^{4}l_{s}^{20}} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{0}_{4}^{(1,1,6)}\mathbf{B}), \\ \frac{T_{7}}{(\frac{l_{s}}{R_{7}}g_{s})^{4}l_{s}^{16}} = \frac{R_{7}(R_{6}\dots R_{1})^{2}}{g_{s}^{4}l_{s}^{14}} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{4}^{6}\mathbf{B}), \\ \frac{T_{6}}{(\frac{T_{6}}{R_{7}}g_{s})^{4}l_{s}^{16}} = \frac{R_{7}(R_{6}\dots R_{1})^{2}}{(\frac{l_{s}}{R_{7}}g_{s})^{4}l_{s}^{16}} = \frac{R_{7}(R_{6}\dots R_{1})^{2}}{g_{s}^{4}l_{s}^{14}} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{4}^{6}\mathbf{B}), \end{cases}$$

$$(4.10)$$

Of these, the first is a novel codimension-1 object that appears only because we are allowing transformations along non-isometric directions (if one is more careful, one should be able to obtain these in the standard supergravity picture by appropriate arraying and smearing of the $0_4^{(1,6)}$). Finally, note that the appearance of the $0_4^{(1,6)}$ in the Type IIB theory also means that one must have $0_4^{(1,1,6)}$ A- and 1_4^6 A-branes as well. This is a manifestation of the even- α effect that was discussed earlier.

We now proceed with the example. The respective S-duals of these branes are given by

$$\mathcal{M}(0_4^{(1,1,6)}\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{S} \frac{R_8^4 R_7^3 (R_6 \dots R_1)^2}{\left(\frac{1}{g_s}\right)^4 \left(g_s^{\frac{1}{2}} l_s\right)^{20}} = \frac{R_8^4 R_7^3 (R_6 \dots R_1)^2}{g_s^6 l_s^{10}} = \mathcal{M}(0_6^{(1,1,6)}\mathcal{B}), \tag{4.11}$$

$$M(1_4^6B) \xrightarrow{S} \frac{R_7(R_6\dots R_1)^2}{\left(\frac{1}{g_s}\right)^4 \left(g_s^{\frac{1}{2}}l_s\right)^{14}} = \frac{R_7(R_6\dots R_1)^2}{g_s^3 l_s^{14}} = M(1_3^6B),$$
(4.12)

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{0}_{4}^{(1,6)}\mathbf{B}) \xrightarrow{S} \frac{R_{7}(R_{6}\dots R_{1})^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{g_{s}}\right)^{4} \left(g_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}l_{s}\right)^{16}} = \frac{R_{7}(R_{6}\dots R_{1})^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{g_{s}}\right)^{4} g_{s}^{8} l_{s}^{16}} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{0}_{4}^{(1,6)}\mathbf{B}).$$
(4.13)

Again, the last two are known results and it is only the $0_6^{(1,1,6)}$ B which is novel. Its existence means that there is at least one T-duality orbit at g_s^{-6} which must be fleshed out. One must then map out all allowed S- and T-duals of these objects. Finally, we may lift the $0_4^{(1,6)}$ A to M-theory by rewriting it in terms of M-theory constants:

$$M(0_4^{(1,6)}A) = \frac{R_7^3(R_6\dots R_1)^2}{g_s^4 l_s^{16}} = \frac{R_7^3(R_6\dots R_1)^2 R_{\natural}^2}{l_p^{18}},$$
(4.14)

where R_{\natural} is the M-theory circle. Thus, we may deduce that the $0_4^{(1,6)}$ A is obtained from the $0^{(1,7)}$ by choosing the M-theory circle to correspond to one of the quadratic directions. The existence of the parent brane in M-theory then requires the introduction of other branes in Type IIA. In particular, we have three distinct choices for the reduction of the $0^{(1,7)}$: the M-theory circle may lie along a direction entirely transverse to the brane, along the cubic direction or along one of the quadratic directions. Relabelling coordinates, we have

$$\mathbf{M}(0^{(1,7)}) = \frac{R_8^3(R_7\dots R_1)^2}{l_p^{18}} \begin{cases} R_{\natural} = R_9 : \frac{R_8^3(R_7^2\dots R_1)^2}{l_p^{18}} = \frac{R_8^3(R_7\dots R_1)^2}{g_s^6 l_s^{18}} = \mathbf{M}(0_6^{(1,7)}\mathbf{A}), \\ R_{\natural} = R_8 : \frac{R_{\natural}^3(R_7\dots R_1)^2}{l_p^{18}} = \frac{(R_7\dots R_1)^2}{g_s^3 l_s^{18}} = \mathbf{M}(0_3^7\mathbf{A}), \\ R_{\natural} = R_7 : \frac{R_8^3R_{\natural}^2(R_6\dots R_1)^2}{l_p^{18}} = \frac{R_8^3(R_6\dots R_1)^2}{g_s^4 l_s^6} = \mathbf{M}(0_4^{(1,6)}\mathbf{A}). \end{cases}$$
(4.15)

The last two are in agreement with the de Boer-Shigemori classification. Indeed the 0_3^7 obtained in this way happens to be in the same p_3^{7-p} T-duality orbit (the only g_s^{-3} orbit) as the 1_3^6 B found above. Likewise, the $0_6^{(1,7)}$ A obtained here happens to be in the same g_s^{-6} orbit (of which there are multiple) as the $0_6^{(1,1,6)}$ B found above. We thus see the beginnings of a heavily intertwined, complex structure in these dualities and lifts/reductions. The novel branes appear only because we are allowing for dependence on winding modes. The number of such branes is seen to quickly proliferate once one starts to apply this procedure iteratively, until exhaustion of all possible duality transformations and lifts/reductions.

4.2 g_s^0 duality orbits



Figure 2. The T-duality orbit of the $F1 = 1_0$.

S-dualities:

M-theory origins:

- $P = {}^{1}0_{0} \leftrightarrow P = {}^{1}0_{0}$ $P = {}^{1}0_{0} \rightarrow WM = 0$
- $F1 = 1_0 \leftrightarrow D1 = 1_1$ See figure 3
- $F1 = 1_0 \rightarrow M2 = 2$

Note that the massless WM must be treated separately from the remaining branes; one instead uses $P^2 = 0$ such that the masses of the PA and D0 are obtained from the radii of the 11th direction.





S-dualities:

- $D1 = 1_1 \leftrightarrow F1 = 1_0$ See figure 2
- $D3 = 3_1 \leftrightarrow D3 = 3_1$ Self-dual
- $D5 = 5_1 \leftrightarrow NS5 = 5_2$ See figure 4
- $D7 = 7_1 \leftrightarrow NS7 = 7_3$ See figure 5
- $D9 = 9_1 \leftrightarrow 9_4$ See figure 8

M-theory origins:

- $D0 = 0_1 \rightarrow WM = 0$
- $D2 = 2_1 \rightarrow M2 = 2$
- $D4 = 4_1 \rightarrow M5 = 5$
- $D6 = 6_1 \rightarrow KK6M = 6^1$
- $D8 = 8_1 \rightarrow KK8M = 8^{(1,0)}$

4.4 g_s^{-2} duality orbits



Figure 4. The T-duality orbit of the 5^3_2 .

S-dualities:

- $NS5/5_2 \leftrightarrow D5/5_1$ See figure 3
- KK5A/5¹₂ \leftrightarrow KK5A/5¹₂ Self-dual
- $5_2^2 \leftrightarrow 5_3^2$ See figure 5
- $5_2^3 \leftrightarrow 5_4^3$ See figure 7
- $5_2^4 \leftrightarrow 5_5^4$ See figure 10

M-theory origins:

- $NS5/5_2 \rightarrow M5/5$
- $\mathrm{KK5A}/\mathrm{5}^1_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{KK6M}/\mathrm{6}^1$
- $5^2_2 \rightarrow 5^3$
- $5^3_2 \to 5^{(1,3)}$
- $5_2^4 \to 5^{(1,0,4)}$
4.5 g_s^{-3} duality orbits





S-dualities:

- $1_3^6 \leftrightarrow 1_4^6$ See figure 6
- $3_3^4 \leftrightarrow 3_3^4$ Self-dual
- $5_3^2 \leftrightarrow 5_2^2$ See figure 4
- $7_3 \leftrightarrow 7_1$ See figure 3
- $0_3^{(1,7)} \leftrightarrow 0_6^{(1,7)}$ See figure 11
- $2_3^{(1,5)} \leftrightarrow 2_5^{(1,5)}$ See figure 9
- $4_3^{(1,3)} \leftrightarrow 4_4^{(1,3)}$ See figure 7
- $6_3^{(1,1)} \leftrightarrow 6_3^{(1,1)}$ Self-dual
- $1_3^{(2,6)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(2,6)}$ See figure 14
- $3_3^{(2,4)} \leftrightarrow 3_6^{(2,4)}$ See figure 12
- $5_3^{(2,2)} \leftrightarrow 5_5^{(2,2)}$ See figure 10
- $7_3^{(2,0)} \leftrightarrow 7_4^{(2,0)}$ See figure 8

- $0^7_3 \to 0^{(1,7)}$
- $2^5_3 \rightarrow 2^6$
- $4^3_3 \rightarrow 5^3$
- $6^1_3 \rightarrow 6^1 = \text{KK6M}$
- $1_3^{(1,6)} \to 1^{(1,1,6)}$
- $3_3^{(1,4)} \to 3^{(2,4)}$
- $5_3^{(1,2)} \to 5^{(1,3)}$
- $7_3^{(1,0)} = \text{KK7A} \to 8^{(1,0)} = \text{KK8M}$
- $0_3^{(2,7)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,2,7)}$
- $2_3^{(2,5)} \to 2^{(1,0,2,5)}$
- $4_3^{(2,3)} \to 4^{(1,2,3)}$
- $6_3^{(2,1)} \to 6^{(3,1)}$



Figure 6. The T-duality orbit of the 1_4^6 .

- $0_4^{(1,6)} \leftrightarrow 0_4^{(1,6)}$ Self-dual
- $0_4^{(1,1,6)} \leftrightarrow 0_6^{(1,1,6)}$ See figure 11
- $0_4^{(2,1,6)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(2,1,6)}$
- $1_4^6 \leftrightarrow 1_3^6$ See figure 5
- $1_4^{(1,0,6)} \leftrightarrow 1_5^{(1,0,6)}$ See figure 9
- $1_4^{(2,0,6)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(2,0,6)}$ See figure 14

- $0_4^{(1,6)} \to 0^{(1,7)}$
- $0_4^{(1,1,6)} \to 0^{(2,1,6)}$
- $0_4^{(2,1,6)} \to 0^{(1,0,2,1,6)}$
- $1_4^6 \rightarrow 2^6$
- $1_4^{(1,0,6)} \to 1^{(1,1,6)}$
- $1_4^{(2,0,6)} \to 1^{(1,2,0,6)}$



 $\mathbf{2}$

4

Figure 7. The T-duality orbit of the $4_4^{(1,3)}$.

S-dualities:

- $0_4^{(5,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_7^{(5,3)}$ See figure 15
- $1_4^{(4,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_6^{(4,3)}$ See figure 13
- $2_4^{(3,3)} \leftrightarrow 2_5^{(3,3)}$ See figure 9
- $3_4^{(2,3)} \leftrightarrow 3_4^{(2,3)}$ Self-dual
- $4_4^{(1,3)} \leftrightarrow 4_3^{(1,3)}$ See figure 5
- $5_4^3 \leftrightarrow 5_2^3$ See figure 4
- $0_4^{(1,5,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(1,5,3)}$
- $1_4^{(1,4,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_8^{(1,4,3)}$
- $2_4^{(1,3,3)} \leftrightarrow 2_7^{(1,3,3)}$ See figure 17
- $3_4^{(1,2,3)} \leftrightarrow 3_6^{(1,2,3)}$ See figure 12
- $4_4^{(1,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 4_5^{(1,1,3)}$ See figure 10

•
$$5_4^{(1,0,3)} \leftrightarrow 5_4^{(1,0,3)}$$
 Self-dual

- $0_4^{(5,3)} \to 0^{(1,0,5,3)}$
- $1_4^{(4,3)} \to 1^{(1,4,3)}$
- $2_4^{(3,3)} \to 2^{(4,3)}$
- $3_4^{(2,3)} \to 3^{(2,4)}$
- $4_4^{(1,3)} \to 5^{(1,3)}$
- $5^3_4 \rightarrow 5^3$
- $0_4^{(1,5,3)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,1,5,3)}$
- $1_4^{(1,4,3)} \to 1^{(1,0,1,4,3)}$
- $2_4^{(1,3,3)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,1,3,3)}$
- $3_4^{(1,2,3)} \to 3^{(2,2,3)}$
- $4_4^{(1,1,3)} \to 4^{(1,2,3)}$
- $5_4^{(1,0,3)} \to 5^{(1,0,4)}$





- $0_4^{(9,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(9,0)}$
- $1_4^{(8,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(8,0)}$
- $3_4^{(6,0)} \leftrightarrow 3_7^{(6,0)}$ See figure 18
- $5_4^{(4,0)} \leftrightarrow 5_5^{(4,0)}$ See figure 10
- $7_4^{(2,0)} \leftrightarrow 7_3^{(2,0)}$ See figure 5
- $NS9B/9_4 \leftrightarrow D9/9_1$ See figure 3

- $0_4^{(9,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,9,0)}$
- $2_4^{(7,0)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,0,0,7,0)}$
- $4_4^{(5,0)} \to 4^{(1,5,0)}$
- $6_4^{(3,0)} \to 6^{(3,1)}$
- $8_4^{(1,0)} = \text{KK8A} \to 8^{(1,0)} = \text{KK8M}$



Figure 9. T-duality orbit of $2_5^{(1,5)}$.

- $2_5^{(1,0,0,6)} \leftrightarrow 2_5^{(1,0,0,6)}$ Self-dual
- $2_5^{(1,0,2,4)} \leftrightarrow 2_6^{(1,0,2,4)}$ See figure 12
- $2_5^{(1,0,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 2_7^{(1,0,4,2)}$ See figure 17
- $2_5^{(1,0,6,0)} \leftrightarrow 2_8^{(1,0,6,0)}$
- $1_5^{(1,1,1,5)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(1,1,1,5)}$ See figure 14
- $1_5^{(1,1,3,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_8^{(1,1,3,3)}$
- $1_5^{(1,1,5,1)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(1,1,5,1)}$
- $0_5^{(1,2,0,6)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(1,2,0,6)}$
- $0_5^{(1,2,2,4)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(1,2,2,4)}$
- $0_5^{(1,2,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(1,2,4,2)}$
- $0_5^{(1,2,6,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(1,2,6,0)}$
- $2_5^{(1,5)} \leftrightarrow 2_3^{(1,5)}$ See figure 5
- $2_5^{(3,3)} \leftrightarrow 2_4^{(3,3)}$ See figure 7
- $2_5^{(5,1)} \leftrightarrow 2_5^{(5,1)}$ Self-dual
- $1_5^{(1,0,6)} \leftrightarrow 1_4^{(1,0,6)}$ See figure 6
- $1_5^{(1,2,4)} \leftrightarrow 1_5^{(1,2,4)}$ Self-dual
- $1_5^{(1,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 1_6^{(1,4,2)}$ See figure 13
- $1_5^{(1,6,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(1,6,0)}$ See figure 16
- $0_5^{(2,1,5)} \leftrightarrow 0_6^{(2,1,5)}$ See figure 11
- $0_5^{(2,3,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_7^{(2,3,3)}$ See figure 15
- $0_5^{(2,5,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(2,5,1)}$

- $2_5^{(1,0,1,5)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,0,2,5)}$
- $2_5^{(1,0,3,3)} \to 2^{(1,1,3,3)}$
- $2_5^{(1,0,5,1)} \rightarrow 2^{(2,0,5,1)}$
- $1_5^{(1,1,0,6)} \to 1^{(1,2,0,6)}$
- $1_5^{(1,1,2,4)} \to 1^{(2,1,2,4)}$
- $1_5^{(1,1,4,2)} \to 1^{(1,1,1,4,2)}$
- $1_5^{(1,1,6,0)} \to 1^{(1,0,1,1,6,0)}$
- $0_5^{(1,2,1,5)} \to 0^{(1,1,2,1,5)}$
- $0_5^{(1,2,3,3)} \to 0^{(1,0,1,2,3,3)}$
- $0_5^{(1,2,5,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,1,2,5,1)}$
- $2_5^6 \rightarrow 2^6$
- $2_5^{(2,4)} \to 3^{(2,4)}$
- $2_5^{(4,2)} \to 2^{(4,3)}$
- $2_5^{(6,0)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,0,0,6,0)}$
- $1_5^{(1,1,5)} \to 1^{(1,1,6)}$
- $1_5^{(1,3,3)} \to 1^{(1,4,3)}$
- $1_5^{(1,5,1)} \to 1^{(2,5,1)}$
- $0_5^{(2,0,6)} \to 0^{(2,1,6)}$
- $0_5^{(2,2,4)} \to 0^{(3,2,4)}$
- $0_5^{(2,4,2)} \to 0^{(1,2,4,2)}$
- $0_5^{(2,6,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,2,6,0)}$



L

Figure 10. T-duality orbit of $5_5^{(2,2)}$.

- $0_5^{(5,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(5,1,3)}$
- $0_5^{(5,3,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(5,3,1)}$
- $1_5^{(4,0,4)} \leftrightarrow 1_8^{(4,0,4)}$
- $1_5^{(4,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(4,2,2)}$
- $1_5^{(4,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{10}^{(4,4,0)}$
- $2_5^{(3,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 2_7^{(3,1,3)}$ See figure 17
- $2_5^{(3,3,1)} \leftrightarrow 2_8^{(3,3,1)}$
- $3_5^{(2,0,4)} \leftrightarrow 3_5^{(2,0,4)}$ Self-dual
- $3_5^{(2,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 3_6^{(2,2,2)}$ See figure 12
- $3_5^{(2,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 3_7^{(2,4,0)}$ See figure 18
- $4_5^{(1,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 4_4^{(1,1,3)}$ See figure 7
- $4_5^{(1,3,1)} \leftrightarrow 4_5^{(1,3,1)}$ Self-dual
- $5_5^4 \leftrightarrow 5_2^4$ See figure 4
- $5_5^{(2,2)} \leftrightarrow 5_3^{(2,2)}$ See figure 5
- $5_5^{(4,0)} \leftrightarrow 5_4^{(4,0)}$ See figure 8

- $0_5^{(5,0,4)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,5,0,4)}$
- $0_5^{(5,2,2)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,5,2,2)}$
- $0_5^{(5,4,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,5,4,0)}$
- $1_5^{(4,1,3)} \to 1^{(1,0,4,1,3)}$
- $1_5^{(4,3,1)} \to 1^{(1,0,0,4,3,1)}$
- $2_5^{(3,0,4)} \to 2^{(4,0,4)}$
- $2_5^{(3,2,2)} \to 2^{(1,3,2,2)}$
- $2_5^{(3,4,0)} \to 2^{(1,0,3,4,0)}$
- $3_5^{(2,1,3)} \to 3^{(2,2,3)}$
- $3_5^{(2,3,1)} \to 3^{(3,3,1)}$
- $4_5^{(1,0,4)} \to 5^{(1,0,4)}$
- $4_5^{(1,2,2)} \to 4^{(1,2,3)}$
- $4_5^{(1,4,0)} \to 4^{(1,5,0)}$
- $5_5^{(1,3)} \to 5^{(1,3)}$
- $5_5^{(3,1)} \to 6^{(3,1)}$

- $0_6^{(1,0,7,1,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{13}^{(1,0,7,1,0)}$
- $0_6^{(1,0,6,1,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(1,0,6,1,1)}$
- $0_6^{(1,0,5,1,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(1,0,5,1,2)}$
- $0_6^{(1,0,4,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(1,0,4,1,3)}$

- $0_6^{(1,0,3,1,4)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(1,0,3,1,4)}$
- $0_6^{(1,0,2,1,5)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(1,0,2,1,5)}$
- $0_6^{(1,0,1,1,6)} \leftrightarrow 0_7^{(1,0,1,1,6)}$ See figure 14
- $0_6^{(1,0,0,1,7)} \leftrightarrow 0_6^{(1,0,0,1,7)}$ Self-dual
- $0_6^{(7,1,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(7,1,0)}$
- $0_6^{(6,1,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(6,1,1)}$
- $0_6^{(5,1,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(5,1,2)}$

- $0_6^{(4,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_7^{(4,1,3)}$ See figure 15
- $0_6^{(3,1,4)} \leftrightarrow 0_6^{(3,1,4)}$ Self-dual
- $0_6^{(2,1,5)} \leftrightarrow 0_5^{(2,1,5)}$ See figure 9
- $0_6^{(1,1,6)} \leftrightarrow 0_4^{(1,1,6)}$ See figure 6
- $0_6^{(1,7)} \leftrightarrow 0_3^{(1,7)}$ See figure 5

M-theory origins:





• $0_6^{(1,1,6)} \to 1^{(1,1,6)}$

• $0_6^{(2,1,5)} \to 0^{(2,1,6)}$

• $0_6^{(3,1,4)} \to 0^{(3,2,4)}$

• $0_6^{(4,1,3)} \to 0^{(5,1,3)}$

• $0_6^{(5,1,2)} \to 0^{(1,5,1,2)}$

• $0_6^{(6,1,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,6,1,1)}$

• $0_6^{(7,1,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,7,1,0)}$

• $0_6^{(1,0,0,1,7)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,2,7)}$

• $0_6^{(1,0,1,1,6)} \to 0^{(1,0,2,1,6)}$

• $0_6^{(1,0,2,1,5)} \to 0^{(1,1,2,1,5)}$

• $0_6^{(1,0,3,1,4)} \to 0^{(2,0,3,1,4)}$

• $0_6^{(1,0,4,1,3)} \to 0^{(1,1,0,4,1,3)}$

• $0_6^{(1,0,5,1,2)} \rightarrow 0^{(1,0,1,0,5,1,2)}$

• $0_{\epsilon}^{(1,0,6,1,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,1,0,6,1,1)}$

• $0_6^{(1,0,7,1,0)} \rightarrow 0^{(1,0,0,0,1,0,7,1,0)}$



- $0_6^{(1,7)} \to 0^{(1,7)}$







4.8 g_s^{-6} duality orbits



Figure 12. The T-duality orbit of the $3_6^{(2,4)}$.

- $3_6^{(2,4)} \leftrightarrow 3_3^{(2,4)}$ See figure 5
- $3_6^{(1,2,3)} \leftrightarrow 3_4^{(1,2,3)}$ See figure 7
- $3_6^{(2,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 3_5^{(2,2,2)}$ See figure 10
- $3_6^{(3,2,1)} \leftrightarrow 3_6^{(3,2,1)}$ Self-dual
- $3_6^{(4,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 3_7^{(4,2,0)}$ See figure 18
- $2_6^{(1,0,2,4)} \leftrightarrow 2_5^{(1,0,2,4)}$ See figure 9
- $2_6^{(1,1,2,3)} \leftrightarrow 2_6^{(1,1,2,3)}$ Self-dual
- $2_6^{(1,2,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 2_7^{(1,2,2,2)}$ See figure 17
- $2_6^{(1,3,2,1)} \leftrightarrow 2_8^{(1,3,2,1)}$
- $2_6^{(1,4,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 2_9^{(1,4,2,0)}$
- $1_6^{(2,0,2,4)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(2,0,2,4)}$ See figure 14
- $1_6^{(2,1,2,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_8^{(2,1,2,3)}$
- $1_6^{(2,2,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(2,2,2,2)}$
- $1_6^{(2,3,2,1)} \leftrightarrow 1_{10}^{(2,3,2,1)}$
- $1_6^{(2,4,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{11}^{(2,4,2,0)}$
- $0_6^{(3,0,2,4)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(3,0,2,4)}$
- $0_6^{(3,1,2,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(3,1,2,3)}$
- $0_6^{(3,2,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(3,2,2,2)}$
- $0_6^{(3,3,2,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(3,3,2,1)}$
- $0_6^{(3,4,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{13}^{(3,4,2,0)}$

- $3_6^{(2,4)} \to 3^{(2,4)}$
- $3_6^{(1,2,3)} \to 4^{(1,2,3)}$
- $3_6^{(2,2,2)} \to 3^{(2,2,3)}$
- $3_6^{(3,2,1)} \to 3^{(3,3,1)}$
- $3_6^{(4,2,0)} \to 3^{(5,2,0)}$
- $2_6^{(1,0,2,4)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,0,2,5)}$
- $2_6^{(1,1,2,3)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,1,3,3)}$
- $2_6^{(1,2,2,2)} \to 2^{(1,3,2,2)}$
- $2_6^{(1,3,2,1)} \to 2^{(2,3,2,1)}$
- $2_6^{(1,4,2,0)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,1,4,2,0)}$
- $1_6^{(2,0,2,4)} \to 1^{(2,1,2,4)}$
- $1_6^{(2,1,2,3)} \to 1^{(3,1,2,3)}$
- $1_6^{(2,2,2,2)} \to 1^{(1,2,2,2,2)}$
- $1_6^{(2,3,2,1)} \to 1^{(1,0,2,3,2,1)}$
- $1_6^{(2,4,2,0)} \to 1^{(1,0,0,2,4,2,0)}$
- $0_6^{(3,0,2,4)} \to 0^{(1,3,0,2,4)}$
- $0_6^{(3,1,2,3)} \to 0^{(1,0,3,1,2,3)}$
- $0_6^{(3,2,2,2)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,3,2,2,2)}$
- $0_6^{(3,3,2,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,3,3,2,1)}$
- $0_6^{(3,4,2,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,3,4,2,0)}$



• $1_6^{(1,0,0,4,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(1,0,0,4,3)}$ See figure 17

• $1_6^{(1,0,1,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 1_8^{(1,0,1,4,2)}$

• $1_6^{(1,0,2,4,1)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(1,0,2,4,1)}$

• $1_6^{(1,0,3,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{10}^{(1,0,3,4,0)}$

- $1_6^{(3,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(3,4,0)}$ See figure 16
- $1_6^{(2,4,1)} \leftrightarrow 1_6^{(2,4,1)}$ Self-dual
- $1_6^{(1,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 1_5^{(1,4,2)}$ See figure 9
- $1_6^{(4,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_4^{(4,3)}$ See figure 7
- $0_6^{(1,1,3,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(1,1,3,4,0)}$
- $0_6^{(1,1,2,4,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(1,1,2,4,1)}$
- $0_6^{(1,1,1,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(1,1,1,4,2)}$
- $0_6^{(1,1,0,4,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(1,1,0,4,3)}$

- $0_6^{(1,3,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(1,3,4,0)}$
- $0_6^{(1,2,4,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(1,2,4,1)}$
- $0_6^{(1,1,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_7^{(1,1,4,2)}$ See figure 15
- $0_6^{(1,0,4,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_6^{(1,0,4,3)}$ Self-dual

S-dualities:

- $1_6^{(1,0,3,4,0)} \to 1^{(1,1,0,3,4,0)}$
- $1_6^{(1,0,2,4,1)} \to 1^{(2,0,2,4,1)}$
- $1_6^{(1,0,1,4,2)} \to 1^{(1,1,1,4,2)}$
- $1_6^{(1,0,0,4,3)} \to 1^{(1,0,1,4,3)}$
- $1_6^{(3,4,0)} \to 1^{(4,4,0)}$
- $1_6^{(2,4,1)} \to 1^{(2,5,1)}$
- $1_6^{(1,4,2)} \to 1^{(1,4,3)}$
- $1_6^{(4,3)} \to 2^{(4,3)}$
- $0_6^{(1,1,3,4,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,1,1,3,4,0)}$
- $0_6^{(1,1,2,4,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,1,1,2,4,1)}$
- $0_6^{(1,1,1,4,2)} \to 0^{(1,1,1,1,4,2)}$
- $0_6^{(1,1,0,4,3)} \to 0^{(2,1,0,4,3)}$
- $0_6^{(1,3,4,0)} \to 0^{(1,1,3,4,0)}$
- $0_6^{(1,2,4,1)} \to 0^{(2,2,4,1)}$
- $0_6^{(1,1,4,2)} \to 0^{(1,2,4,2)}$
- $0_6^{(1,0,4,3)} \to 0^{(1,0,5,3)}$



Figure 14. The T-duality orbit of the $1_7^{(2,6)}$.

- $1_7^{(6,2,0,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{13}^{(6,2,0,0)}$
- $1_7^{(6,0,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{12}^{(6,0,2,0)}$
- $1_7^{(5,1,1,1)} \leftrightarrow 1_{11}^{(5,1,1,1)}$
- $1_7^{(4,2,0,2)} \leftrightarrow 1_{10}^{(4,2,0,2)}$
- $1_7^{(4,0,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(4,0,2,2)}$
- $1_7^{(3,1,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_8^{(3,1,1,3)}$
- $1_7^{(2,2,0,4)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(2,2,0,4)}$ Self-dual
- $1_7^{(2,0,2,4)} \leftrightarrow 1_6^{(2,0,2,4)}$ See figure 12
- $1_7^{(1,1,1,5)} \leftrightarrow 1_5^{(1,1,1,5)}$ See figure 9
- $1_7^{(2,0,6)} \leftrightarrow 1_4^{(2,0,6)}$ See figure 6
- $1_7^{(2,6)} \leftrightarrow 1_3^{(2,6)}$ See figure 5
- $0_7^{(1,6,1,1,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{15}^{(1,6,1,1,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,5,2,0,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{14}^{(1,5,2,0,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,5,0,2,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{13}^{(1,5,0,2,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,4,1,1,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(1,4,1,1,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,3,2,0,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(1,3,2,0,3)}$
- $0_7^{(1,3,0,2,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(1,3,0,2,3)}$
- $0_7^{(1,2,1,1,4)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(1,2,1,1,4)}$
- $0_7^{(1,1,2,0,5)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(1,1,2,0,5)}$
- $0_7^{(1,1,0,2,5)} \leftrightarrow 0_7^{(1,1,0,2,5)}$ Self-dual
- $0_7^{(1,0,1,1,6)} \leftrightarrow 0_6^{(1,0,1,1,6)}$ See figure 11

- $1_7^{(6,1,1,0)} \to 1^{(1,0,0,0,6,1,1,0)}$
- $1_7^{(5,2,0,1)} \to 1^{(1,0,0,5,2,0,1)}$
- $1_7^{(5,0,2,1)} \to 1^{(1,0,5,0,2,1)}$
- $1_7^{(4,1,1,2)} \to 1^{(1,4,1,1,2)}$
- $1_7^{(3,2,0,3)} \to 1^{(4,2,0,3)}$
- $1_7^{(3,0,2,3)} \to 1^{(3,1,2,3)}$
- $1_7^{(2,1,1,4)} \to 1^{(2,1,2,4)}$
- $1_7^{(1,2,0,5)} \to 1^{(1,2,0,6)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,2,5)} \to 2^{(1,0,2,5)}$
- $1_7^{(1,1,6)} \to 1^{(1,1,6)}$
- $0_7^{(1,6,2,0,0)} \rightarrow 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,1,6,2,0,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,6,0,2,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,1,6,0,2,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,5,1,1,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,1,5,1,1,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,4,2,0,2)} \rightarrow 0^{(1,0,0,1,4,2,0,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,4,0,2,2)} \to 0^{(1,0,1,4,0,2,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,3,1,1,3)} \to 0^{(1,1,3,1,1,3)}$
- $0_7^{(1,2,2,0,4)} \to 0^{(2,2,2,0,4)}$
- $0_7^{(1,2,0,2,4)} \to 0^{(1,3,0,2,4)}$
- $0_7^{(1,1,1,1,5)} \to 0^{(1,1,2,1,5)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,2,0,6)} \to 0^{(1,0,2,1,6)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,0,2,6)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,2,7)}$



Figure 15. The T-duality orbit of the $0_7^{(5,3)}$.

- $0_7^{(1,0,3,4,1,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{14}^{(1,0,3,4,1,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,3,2,3,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{13}^{(1,0,3,2,3,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,3,0,5,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(1,0,3,0,5,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,2,5,0,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{13}^{(1,0,2,5,0,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,2,3,2,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(1,0,2,3,2,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,2,1,4,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(1,0,2,1,4,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,1,4,1,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(1,0,1,4,1,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,1,2,3,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(1,0,1,2,3,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,1,0,5,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(1,0,1,0,5,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,0,5,0,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(1,0,0,5,0,3)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,0,3,2,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(1,0,0,3,2,3)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,0,1,4,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(1,0,0,1,4,3)}$
- $0_7^{(3,5,0,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(3,5,0,0)}$
- $0_7^{(3,3,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(3,3,2,0)}$
- $0_7^{(3,1,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(3,1,4,0)}$
- $0_7^{(2,4,1,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(2,4,1,1)}$
- $0_7^{(2,2,3,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(2,2,3,1)}$
- $0_7^{(2,0,5,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_7^{(2,0,5,1)}$ Self-dual
- $0_7^{(1,5,0,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(1,5,0,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,3,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_7^{(1,3,2,2)}$ Self-dual
- $0_7^{(1,1,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_6^{(1,1,4,2)}$ See figure 13
- $0_7^{(4,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_6^{(4,1,3)}$ See figure 11
- $0_7^{(2,3,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_5^{(2,3,3)}$ See figure 9
- $0_7^{(5,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_4^{(5,3)}$ See figure 7

- $0_7^{(1,0,3,5,0,0)} \rightarrow 0^{(1,0,0,0,1,0,3,5,0,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,3,3,2,0)} \rightarrow 0^{(1,0,0,1,0,3,3,2,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,3,1,4,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,1,0,3,1,4,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,2,4,1,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,1,0,2,4,1,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,2,2,3,1)} \to 0^{(1,1,0,2,2,3,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,2,0,5,1)} \to 0^{(2,0,2,0,5,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,1,5,0,2)} \to 0^{(1,1,0,1,5,0,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,1,3,2,2)} \to 0^{(2,0,1,3,2,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,1,1,4,2)} \to 0^{(1,1,1,1,4,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,0,4,1,3)} \to 0^{(1,1,0,4,1,3)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,0,2,3,3)} \to 0^{(1,0,1,2,3,3)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,0,0,5,3)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,1,5,3)}$
- $0_7^{(3,4,1,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,3,4,1,0)}$
- $0_7^{(3,2,3,0)} \to 0^{(1,3,2,3,0)}$
- $0_7^{(3,0,5,0)} \to 0^{(4,0,5,0)}$
- $0_7^{(2,5,0,1)} \to 0^{(1,2,5,0,1)}$
- $0_7^{(2,3,2,1)} \to 0^{(3,3,2,1)}$
- $0_7^{(2,1,4,1)} \to 0^{(2,2,4,1)}$
- $0_7^{(1,4,1,2)} \to 0^{(1,5,1,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,2,3,2)} \to 0^{(1,2,4,2)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,5,2)} \to 0^{(1,0,5,3)}$
- $0_7^{(5,0,3)} \to 0^{(5,1,3)}$
- $0_7^{(3,2,3)} \to 0^{(3,2,4)}$
- $0_7^{(1,4,3)} \to 1^{(1,4,3)}$

- $0_7^{(1,1,0,7,0,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{14}^{(1,1,0,7,0,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,1,0,5,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{13}^{(1,1,0,5,2,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,1,0,3,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(1,1,0,3,4,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,1,0,1,6,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(1,1,0,1,6,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,6,1,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(1,0,6,1,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,4,3,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(1,0,4,3,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,2,5,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_8^{(1,0,2,5,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,0,7,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_7^{(1,0,0,7,0)}$ Self-dual
- $\mathbf{1}_7 \qquad \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}_{11}$ (10070) (10070)
- $1_7^{(1,0,0,6,1,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{11}^{(1,0,0,6,1,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,0,4,3,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{10}^{(1,0,0,4,3,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,0,2,5,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(1,0,0,2,5,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,0,0,7,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_8^{(1,0,0,0,7,0)}$
- $1_7^{(7,0,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_8^{(7,0,0)}$

- $1_7^{(5,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(5,2,0)}$ Self-dual
- $1_7^{(3,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_6^{(3,4,0)}$ See figure 13
- $1_7^{(1,6,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_5^{(1,6,0)}$ See figure 9

- $0_7^{(1,1,0,6,1,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,1,1,0,6,1,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,1,0,4,3,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,1,1,0,4,3,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,1,0,2,5,0)} \to 0^{(1,1,1,0,2,5,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,1,0,0,7,0)} \to 0^{(2,1,0,0,7,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,7,0,0)} \to 0^{(1,1,0,7,0,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,5,2,0)} \to 0^{(2,0,5,2,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,3,4,0)} \to 0^{(1,1,3,4,0)}$
- $0_7^{(1,0,1,6,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,2,6,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,0,7,0,0)} \to 1^{(1,1,0,0,7,0,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,0,5,2,0)} \to 1^{(2,0,0,5,2,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,0,3,4,0)} \to 1^{(1,1,0,3,4,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,0,1,6,0)} \to 1^{(1,0,1,1,6,0)}$
- $1_7^{(6,1,0)} \to 1^{(7,1,0)}$
- $1_7^{(4,3,0)} \to 1^{(4,4,0)}$
- $1_7^{(2,5,0)} \to 1^{(2,5,1)}$
- $1_7^{(7,0)} \to 2^{(7,0)}$

Figure 16. The T-duality orbit of the $1_7^{(1,6,0)}$.



- $1_7^{(1,1,3,1,2)} \leftrightarrow 1_{10}^{(1,1,3,1,2)}$
- $1_7^{(1,1,1,3,2)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(1,1,1,3,2)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,4,0,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_8^{(1,0,4,0,3)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,2,2,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_7^{(1,0,2,2,3)}$ Self-dual
- $1_7^{(1,0,0,4,3)} \leftrightarrow 1_6^{(1,0,0,4,3)}$ See figure 13
- $2_7^{(3,4,0,0)} \leftrightarrow 2_{10}^{(3,4,0,0)}$
- $2_7^{(3,2,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 2_9^{(3,2,2,0)}$
- $2_7^{(3,0,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 2_8^{(3,0,4,0)}$
- $2_7^{(2,3,1,1)} \leftrightarrow 2_8^{(2,3,1,1)}$
- $2_7^{(2,1,3,1)} \leftrightarrow 2_7^{(2,1,3,1)}$ Self-dual
- $2_7^{(1,4,0,2)} \leftrightarrow 2_7^{(1,4,0,2)}$ Self-dual
- $2_7^{(1,2,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 2_6^{(1,2,2,2)}$ See figure 12
- $2_7^{(1,0,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 2_5^{(1,0,4,2)}$ See figure 9
- $2_7^{(3,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 2_5^{(3,1,3)}$ See figure 10
- $2_7^{(1,3,3)} \leftrightarrow 2_4^{(1,3,3)}$ See figure 7
- S-dualities:



• $1_7^{(1,2,0,4,1)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(1,2,0,4,1)}$

• $1_7^{(1,2,2,2,1)} \leftrightarrow 1_{10}^{(1,2,2,2,1)}$

• $1_7^{(1,2,4,0,1)} \leftrightarrow 1_{11}^{(1,2,4,0,1)}$

• $1_7^{(1,3,1,3,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{11}^{(1,3,1,3,0)}$

• $1_7^{(1,3,3,1,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{12}^{(1,3,3,1,0)}$

• $0_7^{(2,0,1,3,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_9^{(2,0,1,3,3)}$

• $0_7^{(2,0,3,1,3)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(2,0,3,1,3)}$

• $0_7^{(2,1,0,4,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{10}^{(2,1,0,4,2)}$

• $0_7^{(2,1,2,2,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{11}^{(2,1,2,2,2)}$

• $0_7^{(2,1,4,0,2)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(2,1,4,0,2)}$

• $0_7^{(2,2,1,3,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(2,2,1,3,1)}$

• $0_7^{(2,2,3,1,1)} \leftrightarrow 0_{13}^{(2,2,3,1,1)}$

• $0_7^{(2,3,0,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{13}^{(2,3,0,4,0)}$

• $0_7^{(2,3,2,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{14}^{(2,3,2,2,0)}$

• $0_7^{(2,3,4,0,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{15}^{(2,3,4,0,0)}$



- $1_7^{(1,1,4,0,2)} \to 1^{(1,1,1,4,0,2)}$
- $1_7^{(1,1,2,2,2)} \to 1^{(1,2,2,2,2)}$
- $1_7^{(1,1,0,4,2)} \to 1^{(1,1,1,4,2)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,3,1,3)} \to 1^{(1,0,4,1,3)}$
- $1_7^{(1,0,1,3,3)} \to 1^{(1,0,1,4,3)}$
- $2_7^{(3,3,1,0)} \to 2^{1,3,3,1,0)}$
- $2_7^{(3,1,3,0)} \rightarrow 2^{(4,1,3,0)}$
- $2_7^{(2,4,0,1)} \rightarrow 2^{(3,4,0,1)}$
- $2_7^{(2,2,2,1)} \rightarrow 2^{(2,3,2,1)}$
- $2_7^{(2,0,4,1)} \rightarrow 2^{(2,0,5,1)}$
- $2_7^{(1,3,1,2)} \to 2^{(1,3,2,2)}$
- $2_7^{(1,1,3,2)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,1,3,3)}$
- $2_7^{(4,0,3)} \to 2^{(4,0,4)}$
- $2_7^{(2,2,3)} \to 3^{(2,2,3)}$
- $2_7^{(4,3)} \to 2^{(4,3)}$

- $0_7^{(2,3,3,1,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,2,3,3,1,0)}$
- $0_7^{(2,3,1,3,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,2,3,1,3,0)}$
- $0_7^{(2,2,4,0,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,2,2,4,0,1)}$
- $0_7^{(2,2,2,2,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,2,2,2,2,1)}$
- $0_7^{(2,2,0,4,1)} \to 0^{(1,0,2,2,0,4,1)}$
- $0_7^{(2,1,3,1,2)} \to 0^{(1,0,2,1,3,1,2)}$
- $0_7^{(2,1,1,3,2)} \to 0^{(1,2,1,1,3,2)}$
- $0_7^{(2,0,4,0,3)} \to 0^{(1,2,0,4,0,3)}$
- $0_7^{(2,0,2,2,3)} \to 0^{(3,0,2,2,3)}$
- $0_7^{(2,0,0,4,3)} \to 0^{(2,1,0,4,3)}$
- $1_7^{(1,3,4,0,0)} \to 1^{(1,0,0,1,3,4,0,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,3,2,2,0)} \to 1^{(1,0,1,3,2,2,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,3,0,4,0)} \to 1^{(1,1,3,0,4,0)}$
- $1_7^{(1,2,3,1,1)} \to 1^{(1,1,2,3,1,1)}$
- $1_7^{(1,2,1,3,1)} \to 1^{(2,2,1,3,1)}$

- $0_7^{(3,0,5,1,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{14}^{(3,0,5,1,0)}$
- $0_7^{(3,0,3,3,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{13}^{(3,0,3,3,0)}$
- $0_7^{(3,0,1,5,0)} \leftrightarrow 0_{12}^{(3,0,1,5,0)}$
- $1_7^{(2,0,6,0,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{12}^{(2,0,6,0,0)}$
- $1_7^{(2,0,4,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{11}^{(2,0,4,2,0)}$
- $1_7^{(2,0,2,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_{10}^{(2,0,2,4,0)}$
- $1_7^{(2,0,0,6,0)} \leftrightarrow 1_9^{(2,0,0,6,0)}$
- $2_7^{(1,0,5,1,0)} \leftrightarrow 2_9^{(1,0,5,1,0)}$
- $2_7^{(1,0,3,3,0)} \leftrightarrow 2_8^{(1,0,3,3,0)}$
- $2_7^{(1,0,1,5,0)} \leftrightarrow 2_7^{(1,0,1,5,0)}$ Self-dual
- $3_7^{(6,0,0)} \leftrightarrow 3_7^{(6,0,0)}$ Self-dual
- $3_7^{(4,2,0)} \leftrightarrow 3_6^{(4,2,0)}$ See figure 12
- $3_7^{(2,4,0)} \leftrightarrow 3_5^{(2,4,0)}$ See figure 10
- $3_7^{(6,0)} \leftrightarrow 3_4^{(6,0)}$ See figure 8
- S-dualities:

- $0_7^{(3,0,6,0,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,3,0,6,0,0)}$
- $0_7^{(3,0,4,2,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,0,3,0,4,2,0)}$
- $0_7^{(3,0,2,4,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,0,3,0,2,4,0)}$
- $0_7^{(3,0,0,6,0)} \to 0^{(1,0,3,0,0,6,0)}$
- $1_7^{(2,0,5,1,0)} \to 1^{(1,0,2,0,5,1,0)}$
- $1_7^{(2,0,3,3,0)} \to 1^{(1,2,0,3,3,0)}$
- $1_7^{(2,0,1,5,0)} \to 1^{(3,0,1,5,0)}$
- $2_7^{(1,0,6,0,0)} \rightarrow 2^{(2,0,6,0,0)}$
- $2_7^{(1,0,4,2,0)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,1,4,2,0)}$
- $2_7^{(1,0,2,4,0)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,0,3,4,0)}$
- $2_7^{(1,0,0,6,0)} \rightarrow 2^{(1,0,0,7,0)}$
- $3_7^{(5,1,0)} \to 3^{(5,2,0)}$
- $3_7^{(3,3,0)} \to 3^{(3,3,1)}$
- $3_7^{(1,5,0)} \to 4^{(1,5,0)}$





4.10 M-theory origins of Type IIA branes

Here we collate all the M-theory lifts of every exotic Type IIA brane that we have introduced up to this point as well as their reductions. Since every parent in M-theory may be reduced in multiple ways, the existence of any one brane in Type IIA indicates the existence of multiple 'siblings' obtained in this manner. Every single brane down to g_s^{-7} have been housed in one of the duality orbits and so any gaps in the figure references correspond to lower powers of α .

The format should be self-explanatory: the left-most brane in each column is an Mtheory brane whilst the branes to the right of each brace are all the possible reductions that one can obtain from that brane. We stress that it is only within EFT that one may 'reduce' along a non-isometric direction since this corresponds only to a re-identification of section. One may still 'reduce' transverse to a codimension-1 brane in M-theory to give a codimension-0 brane in ten dimensions without worrying about isometries, for example, without obtaining a trivial result; the non-trivial structure is encoded in the dependence on wrapping directions, which distinguishes between the space-filling branes in 10-dimensions.

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ 0 = \mathrm{WM} \begin{cases} 10_0 = \mathrm{P}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 2} \\ 0_1 = \mathrm{D0}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 3} \end{cases} \\ \bullet \ 0^{(1,7)} \begin{cases} 0_3^{7}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 5} \\ 0_4^{(1,6)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 6} \\ 0_6^{(1,7)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 6} \\ 0_6^{(1,7)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 6} \\ 0_6^{(1,7)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 6} \\ 0_5^{(2,0,6)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 9} \\ 0_6^{(2,1,6)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 9} \\ 0_6^{(3,1,2)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 15} \\ 0_8^{(2,2,4)} \\ 0_{11}^{(1,4,1,2)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 15} \\ 0_8^{(1,5,1,2)} \\ 0_{11}^{(1,5,1,2)} \\ 0_{11}^{(2,1,4)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 15} \\ 0_{11}^{(2,2,4,1)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 15} \\ 0_{11}^{(2,2,4,1)} \\ 0_{11}^{(2,2,4,1)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 15} \\ 0_{11}^{(2,2,4,1)} \\ 0_{11}^{(2,2,4,1)}; \ \mathrm{see \ figure \ 15} \\ 0_{11}^{(3,3,2,0)} \\ 0_{10}^{(3,3,2,1)}; \ 0_{10}^{(3,3,2,1)}; \ 0_{11}^{(3,3,2,0)};$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,2,7)} \begin{cases} 0_{1}^{(2,7)}; see \ figure 5 \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,0,1,7)}; see \ figure 11 \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,0,2,6)}; see \ figure 14 \\ \bullet \ 0^{(1,3,2,3,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,3,2,3,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,3,2,3,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,3,2,3,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,3,2,3,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,3,2,3,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,3,2,3,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,3,2,3,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,3,2,1,6)}; see \ figure 11 \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,2,0,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,2,1,6)} \\ \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,2,6,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,2,1,6)} \\ \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,2,6,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,2,6,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,2,6,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,2,6,0)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,2,1,6)} \\ \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,1,1)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,2,1,6)} \\ \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1,6)} \\ 0_{1}^{(1,0,1,2,1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,1,2,3,3)} & \begin{cases} 0^{(1,0,0,2,3,3)}_{0^{(1,0,1,1,3,3)}} & \text{see figure 15} \\ 0^{(1,0,1,2,3,3)}_{0^{(1,0,1,2,3,2)}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,2,0,4,0,3)}_{0^{(1,1,0,4,0,3)}} & \begin{cases} 0^{(2,0,4,0,3)}_{0^{(1,1,0,4,0,3)}} & \\ 0^{(1,0,1,2,3,2)}_{0^{(1,0,1,2,3,2)}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,2,1,1,3,2)}_{0^{(1,2,1,1,3,2)}_{1,2,2,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,2,1,1,3,2)}_{0^{(1,2,1,1,3,2)}_{1,2,2,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,2,1,1,3,2)}_{0^{(1,2,1,1,3,2)}_{1,2,2,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(2,0,1,3,2,2)}_{0^{(2,0,1,3,2,2)}_{1,2,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(2,0,1,3,2,2)}_{0^{(2,0,1,3,2,2)}_{1,2,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(2,0,1,3,2,2)}_{0^{(2,0,1,3,2,2)}_{1,2,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(2,0,0,5,1)}_{0^{(2,0,1,3,2,2)}_{1,2,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(2,0,0,5,1)}_{0^{(2,0,0,5,1)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(2,0,0,0,5,0)}_{0^{(2,0,0,5,1)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,2,2)}_{0^{(2,0,0,5,1)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,2,2)}_{0^{(1,0,0,0,5,2)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,2,2)}_{0^{(1,0,0,0,0,5,2)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,2,2)}_{0^{(1,0,0,0,0,5,2)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,2,2)}_{0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,2,2,1)}_{0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{1,3,3,3}} & \bullet \ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)}_{$$

• $0^{(1,0,0,0,1,5,1,1,1)}$ $\begin{cases} 0^{(1,5,1,1,1)}_{7}; \text{ see figure 14} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,5,1,1,1)}_{11} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,1,4,1,1,1)}_{12} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,1,5,0,1,1)}_{13} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,1,5,1,0,1)}_{14} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,1,5,1,1,0)}_{15} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,2,2,2,2,1)}$ $\begin{cases} 0^{(2,2,2,2,1)}_{7}; \text{ see figure 17}\\ 0^{(1,0,0,1,2,2,2,1)}_{10}\\ 0^{(1,0,0,2,1,2,2,1)}_{11}\\ 0^{(1,0,0,2,2,1,2,1)}_{12}\\ 0^{(1,0,0,2,2,2,1,1)}_{13}\\ 0^{(1,0,0,2,2,2,2,0)}_{14} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,0,2,2,4,0,1)} \begin{cases} 0^{(2,2,4,0,1)}_{7}; \text{ see figure 17} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,1,2,4,0,1)}_{11} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,2,1,4,0,1)}_{12} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,2,2,3,0,1)}_{13} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,2,2,4,0,0)}_{15} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,3,0,2,4,0)} \begin{cases} 0^{(3,0,2,4,0)}_{7}; \text{ see figure 18} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,2,0,2,4,0)}_{10} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,3,0,1,4,0)}_{12} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,3,0,2,3,0)}_{13} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,0,3,0,4,2,0)} \begin{cases} 0^{(3,0,4,2,0)}_{7}; \text{ see figure 18} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,2,0,4,2,0)}_{11} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,3,0,3,2,0)}_{13} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,3,0,4,1,0)}_{14} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,1,0,2,4,1,1)}$ $\begin{cases}
0^{(1,0,2,4,1,1)}_{7}; \text{ see figure 15}\\
0^{(1,0,0,0,2,4,1,1)}_{9}\\
0^{(1,0,1,0,1,4,1,1)}_{12}\\
0^{(1,0,1,0,2,3,1,1)}_{12}\\
0^{(1,0,1,0,2,4,0,1)}_{13}\\
0^{(1,0,1,0,2,4,1,0)}_{14}
\end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,1,0,3,3,2,0)} \begin{cases} 0^{(1,0,3,3,2,0)}_{7}; \text{ see figure 15} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,3,3,2,0)}_{10} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,1,0,2,3,2,0)}_{12} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,1,0,3,2,2,0)}_{13} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,1,0,3,3,1,0)}_{14} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,1,0,3,1,4,0)}$ $\begin{cases} 0^{(1,0,3,1,4,0)}_{7}; \text{ see figure 15}\\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,0,3,1,4,0)}_{9}\\ 0^{(1,0,1,0,2,1,4,0)}_{11}\\ 0^{(1,0,1,0,3,0,4,0)}_{12}\\ 0^{(1,0,1,0,3,1,3,0)}_{13} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,1,1,0,6,1,0)} \begin{cases} 0_7^{(1,1,0,6,1,0)}; \text{ see figure 16} \\ 0_{10}^{(1,0,0,0,1,0,6,1,0)} \\ 0_{11}^{(1,0,0,1,0,0,6,1,0)} \\ 0_{13}^{(1,0,0,1,1,0,5,1,0)} \\ 0_{14}^{(1,0,0,1,1,0,6,0,0)} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,1,1,0,4,3,0)}$ $\begin{cases} 0^{(1,1,0,4,3,0)}_7; \text{ see figure 16}\\ 0^{(1,0,0,1,0,4,3,0)}_9\\ 0^{(1,0,1,0,0,4,3,0)}_{10}\\ 0^{(1,0,1,1,0,3,3,0)}_{12}\\ 0^{(1,0,1,1,0,4,2,0)}_{13} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,0,2,3,1,3,0)} \begin{cases} 0^{(2,3,1,3,0)}_{7}; \text{ see figure 17} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,1,3,1,3,0)}_{11} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,2,2,1,3,0)}_{12} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,2,3,0,3,0)}_{13} \\ 0^{(1,0,0,0,2,3,1,2,0)}_{14} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,0,0,3,4,2,0)} \begin{cases} 0_6^{(3,4,2,0)}; \text{ see figure 12} \\ 0_{10}^{(1,0,0,0,0,2,4,2,0)} \\ 0_{11}^{(1,0,0,0,0,3,3,2,0)} \\ 0_{12}^{(1,0,0,0,0,3,4,1,0)} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,0,1,0,3,5,0,0)} \begin{cases} 0_7^{(1,0,3,5,0,0)}; \text{ see figure } 15\\ 0_{11}^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,3,5,0,0)}\\ 0_{13}^{(1,0,0,0,1,0,2,5,0,0)}\\ 0_{14}^{(1,0,0,0,1,0,3,4,0,0)} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,0,0,1,6,0,2,0)} \begin{cases} 0_7^{(1,6,0,2,0)}; \text{ see figure 14} \\ 0_{12}^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,6,0,2,0)} \\ 0_{13}^{(1,0,0,0,0,1,5,0,2,0)} \\ 0_{15}^{(1,0,0,0,0,1,6,0,1,0)} \end{cases}$ • $0^{(1,0,0,0,1,0,7,1,0)} \begin{cases} 0_6^{(1,0,7,1,0)}; \text{ see figure 11} \\ 0_{10}^{(1,0,0,0,0,0,7,1,0)} \\ 0_{12}^{(1,0,0,0,1,0,6,1,0)} \\ 0_{13}^{(1,0,0,0,1,0,7,0,0)} \end{cases}$

$$\label{eq:results} \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{1}^{\{1,1,4,2\}}_{1}, \mbox{sec figure 9}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,0,4,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 13}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,0,4,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,0,1,1,0,0\}}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,1,3,2\}}}} & \mathbf{1}^{\{1,1,0,1,1,0,0\}}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,1,3,2\}}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,1,1,2\}}}} \\ \mathbf{1}^{\{1,1,1,3,2\}}_{1_{0}^{\{1,1,1,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 12}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,2,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 12}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,2,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 12}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,2,2,2\}}_{1_{0}^{\{1,2,2,2,3\}}}} & \mathbf{1}^{\{1,1,2,2,2\}}_{1_{0}^{\{1,2,2,2,3\}}} \\ \mathbf{1}^{\{1,1,1,2\}}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,1,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 14}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,1,2\}}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,2,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,2,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,2,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,2,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,2,3,1,1\}}} & \mathbf{1}^{\{1,0,0,2,3,2,1\}}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,2,2,2,3\}}} \\ \mathbf{1}^{\{1,1,1,2,2\}}_{1_{0}^{\{1,1,1,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,1,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,0,2\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{1}^{\{1,1,0,3,4,0\}}} & \mathbf{1}^{\{1,0,0,3,4,0\}}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,1,1\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,4,0\}}, \mbox{sec figure 13}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,1,1\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,4,0\}}, \mbox{sec figure 18}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,1,1\}}, \mbox{sec figure 18}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,1,1\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,4,0\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,4,0\}}, \mbox{sec figure 18}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,1,1\}}, \mbox{sec figure 18}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,1,1\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,4,0\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,4,0\}}, \mbox{sec figure 17}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,0,0\}}, \mbox{sec figure 18}_{1_{0}^{\{1,0,0,3,0,0\}}, \mbox{sec figure 18}_{$$

$$\begin{array}{l} 2^{(2,0,5,1)} \begin{cases} 2^{(1,0,5,1)}_{2}; & {\rm see figure 9} \\ 2^{(2,0,4,1)}_{2}; & {\rm see figure 17} \\ 2^{(2,0,5,0)}_{19} \\ 1^{(2,0,5,1)}_{19} \end{cases} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(2,0,5,0)}_{19} \\ 1^{(2,0,5,1)}_{19} \\ 2^{(2,2,2,1)}_{2}; & {\rm see figure 12} \\ 2^{(2,2,2,1)}_{2}; & {\rm see figure 17} \\ 2^{(2,2,2,1)}_{2}; & {\rm see figure 17} \\ 2^{(2,2,2,1)}_{110} \\ 2^{(2,2,2,0)}_{112} \\ 1^{(2,3,2,1)}_{110} \\ 2^{(2,3,2,0)}_{111} \\ 2^{(3,3,0,1)}_{23} \\ 2^{(3,3,0,1)}_{23} \\ 2^{(3,4,0,0)}_{111} \\ 2^{(3,4,0,0)}_{111} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(4,1,3,0)}_{2} \\ 2^{(4,1,3,0)}_{29} \\ 2^{(4,1,2,0)}_{111} \\ 2^{(4,1,3,0)}_{111} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,0,0,7,0)}_{2} \\ 2^{(4,1,2,0)}_{111} \\ 2^{(4,1,3,0)}_{111} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,0,0,7,0)}_{2} \\ 2^{(4,1,2,0)}_{111} \\ 2^{(1,0,2,4,0)}_{11}; & {\rm see figure 10} \\ 2^{(1,0,2,4,0)}_{1}; & {\rm see figure 10} \\ 2^{(1,0,2,4,0)}_{11}; & {\rm see figure 10} \\ 2^{(1,0,3,4,0)}_{11} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,1,4,2,0)}_{111} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,1,4,2,0)}_{111} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,1,4,2,0)}_{2} \\ 2^{(1,3,3,1,0)}_{111} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,3,3,1,0)}_{2} \\ 2^{(1,2,3,1,0)}_{2} \\ 2^{(1,3,3,1,0)}_{112} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,2,0,0,0)}_{112} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,0,6,0,0)}_{112} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(2,0,6,0,0)}_{112} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,0,6,0,0)}_{112} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} 2^{(1,0,6,0,0)}_{112} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{3}^{(2,4)} \begin{cases} 3_3^{(1,4)}; \text{ see figure 5} \\ 3_4^{(2,3)}; \text{ see figure 7} \\ 2_5^{(2,4)}; \text{ see figure 9} \\ 3_6^{(2,4)}; \text{ see figure 12} \\ 3_6^{(2,2,2)}; \text{ see figure 10} \\ 3_6^{(2,2,2)}; \text{ see figure 12} \\ 2_7^{(2,2,3)}; \text{ see figure 10} \\ 3_6^{(3,2,1)}; \text{ see figure 12} \\ 2_7^{(3,3,0)}; \text{ see figure 12} \\ 3_7^{(3,3,0)}; \text{ see figure 12} \\ 3_7^{(3,3,0)}; \text{ see figure 18} \\ 2_8^{(3,3,1)} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{3}^{(4,2,0)}; \text{ see figure 18} \\ 2_9^{(5,2,0)} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{4}^{(1,2,3)} \begin{cases} 4_3^{(4,2,0)}; \text{ see figure 18} \\ 2_9^{(5,2,0)}; \text{ see figure 18} \\ 2_9^{(5,2,0)} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{4}^{(1,2,3)} \begin{cases} 4_3^{(4,2,0)}; \text{ see figure 18} \\ 2_9^{(5,2,0)}; \text{ see figure 10} \\ 3_7^{(1,2,2)}; \text{ see figure 10} \\ 3_6^{(1,2,3)}; \text{ see figure 10} \\ 3_7^{(1,5,0)}; \text{ see figure 10} \\ 5_2^{(1,3)} \begin{cases} 5_2^3 = \text{R-monopole; see figure 4} \\ 5_3^3 \\ 5_2^3 = \text{R-monopole; see figure 4} \\ 5_3^{(1,2)}; \text{ see figure 5} \\ 4_4^{(1,3)}; \text{ see figure 7} \\ 5_5^{(1,3)}; \text{ see figure 7} \\ 5_5^{(1,3)}; \text{ see figure 7} \\ 4_5^{(1,0,4)}; \text{ see figure 7} \\ 4_5^{(1,0,4)}; \text{ see figure 7} \\ 5_5^{(1,3)}; \text{ see figure 7} \\ 5_5^{(1,3)}; \text{ see figure 7} \\ 5_2^{(1,0,4)}; \text{ see figure 7} \\ 5_2^{(1,0,4)}; \text{ see figure 10} \\ \mathbf{6}_3; \text{ see figure 3} \\ \mathbf{6}_4; \text{ see figure 3} \\ \mathbf{6}_4; \text{ see figure 5} \\ \mathbf{6}_4; \text{ see figure$$

•
$$6^{(3,1)} \begin{cases} 6_3^{(2,1)}; \text{ see figure 5} \\ 6_4^{(3,0)}; \text{ see figure 8} \\ 5_5^{(3,1)}; \text{ see figure 10} \end{cases}$$
 • $8^{(1,0)} = \text{KK8M} \begin{cases} 8_1 = \text{D8}; \text{ see figure 3} \\ 7_3^{(1,0)} = \text{KK7A}; \text{ see figure 5} \\ 8_4^{(1,0)} = \text{KK8A}; \text{ see figure 8} \end{cases}$

4.11 Discussion

4.11.1 Known exotic branes in the literature

A small subset of the exotic branes presented here have appeared in the literature before. The starting point are, of course, the 'standard' branes appearing at g_s^0 (P and F1), g_s^{-1} (D*p*-branes) and g_s^{-2} (NS5 and KK5). Additionally, the existence of the 7₃-brane as the S-dual of the D7 with much work being conducted in the context of the (p,q) 7-branes of F-theory. This was included amongst the codimension-2 exotic branes of [3, 73] (note that the former uses an alternative notation to what we use, e.g. the 5_2^2 here is called an NS5₂ there). The latter also gives a detailed exposition of the T-duality chain NS5 = $5_2 \xrightarrow{T} KK5 = 5_2^1 \xrightarrow{T} 5_2^2$. This prototypical chain was extended to include the 5_2^3 in [16] and then, more recently, a novel 5_2^4 -brane from DFT considerations in [31]. This whole five-brane chain matches what is found in the work presented here, specifically figure 4.

Lower codimension objects are even less well-studied and understood and there is limited literature on the subject. However, it has been known since, at least, [1, 74] (and references therein) that a massive deformation of 11-dimensional supergravity admits a domain wall solution in M-theory which has since appeared under various names such as the M9 in [75] or KK9M in [76]. However, as remarked in [1], it should more properly be called an M8-brane or perhaps KK8 following its mass formula designation $8^{(1,0)}$. It is, perhaps, to be understood as an object that exists only as a lift of the D8-brane of Type IIA. The remaining reductions of the $8^{(1,0)}$ are the $7_3^{(1,0)}$ and $8_4^{(1,0)}$ which were also tabulated in [76] (though named as KK8A and KK9A respectively, with the same caveat as above).

Finally, much like the D7-brane, the D9-brane also has an exotic S-dual (previously called an S9 or an NS9) but which we designate as a 9_4 B, as was done in [1].

4.11.2 Mixed-symmetry potentials in the literature

Recently, much work has been done on the mixed-symmetry potentials that these exotic branes couple to [18, 28]. These have focused on trying to classify the T-duality orbits starting from the highest weight representations of the Lie algebra but miss out on the T-duality orbits that require S-dualities and/or lifts to M-theory to obtain. Nonetheless, there is significant overlap between their work and the work presented here and we summarise this in table 4.

Another piece of work worth mentioning is [29] in which a similar set of potentials were derived from E_{11} and the tensor hierarchy associated to it. One may verify that the majority of the potentials that they obtain for Type II coincide with ours. Those that they are missing are, again expected to be those that appear in the d = 2, 1, 0 duality groups whilst those that we are missing are expected to turn up at lower g_s scaling (note that they have not organised their results in powers of g_s , complicating the comparison

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IB		NOUCES
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fig.	2	NS-NS potentials
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7_{2n} Fig.	$3 \qquad n \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}$	R-R potentials
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fig.	$4 \qquad n \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}$	5-brane duality chain
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$_{n,2m,n}$ Fig.	5 $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}, m \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fig.	6 $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}, m \in \{n, n+1\}$	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Fig.	7 $n \in \{0,1\}$	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		$m \in \{n, n+1, \dots, n+5\}$	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$,2n,2n Fig.	8 $n \in \{0, \ldots, 4\}$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	n,2m+1,n,p Fig.	9 $p \in \{0, 1\}, n \in \{p, p+1, p+2\}$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$n \leq 2m, 2m+1 \leq n+6$	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	+n,2m,n Fig.	$10 n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 5\}$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$n \leq 2m, 2m+1 \leq n+4$	
$ H_{10,6+n,2+m,m,n,p} \qquad \mbox{Fig. 12} n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ H_{9+p,7+n,4+m,m,n,p} \qquad \mbox{Fig. 13} p \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{p,p+1\} \\ m \in \{n,n+1,n+2,n+3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 14} p \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{p,p+1,\dots,n+4\} \\ H_{9+p,n+2,2m+1,n+p,p} \qquad I_{9+p,8+p,5+n+p,2m,n+p,p} \qquad \mbox{Fig. 14} p \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{p,p+1,\dots,n+4\} \\ H_{9+p,p+n+7,2m,n+p,n+p,p} \qquad I_{9+p,8+p,5+n+p,2m,n+p,p} \qquad \mbox{Fig. 15} p \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ H_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m,n+p,p} \qquad I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m+1,n+p,p} \qquad \mbox{Fig. 16} n \in \{0,1\}, p \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ H_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n} \qquad I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} \qquad \mbox{Fig. 18} n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ H_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n} \qquad H_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} \qquad \mbox{Fig. 18} n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 19} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 19} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 19} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 10} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 10} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 11} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 12} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 13} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 14} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 15} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 16} n = \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 18} n = \{1,2,3\} \\ \mbox{Fig. 18} n = \{1,2,3$	Fig.	11 $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}, n \in \{0, 1\}$	Not included in the analyses
$ H_{10,6+n,2+m,m,n} $ Fig. 12 $n \in \{0,1,2,3\} $ $m \in \{n,n+1,\ldots,n+4\} $ $H_{9+p,7+n,4+m,m,n,p} $ Fig. 13 $p \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{p,p+1\} $ $m \in \{n,n+1,n+2,n+3\} $ $m = \{n,n+2,n+3\} $ $m = \{n,1,2,3\} $ $m = \{n,1,2,3\}$			of [28, 77]
$ H_{9+p,7+n,4+m,n,p} \qquad \qquad$	Fig.	12 $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$	
$ H_{9+p,7+n,4+m,n,p} \qquad \mbox{Fig. 13} \qquad p \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{p,p+1\} \\ m \in \{n,n+1,n+2,n+3\} \\ m \in \{n,1\}, n \in \{n,1,2\}, n \in \{n,1,2\}$		$m \in \{n,n+1,\dots,n+4\}$	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Fig.	13 $p \in \{0, 1\}, n \in \{p, p+1\}$	Not included in the analysis
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		$m\in\{n,n+1,n+2,n+3\}$	of [28]
$\begin{split} I_{9+p,8+p,5+n+p,2m+1,n+p,p} & I_{9+p,8+p,5+n+p,2m,n+p,p} & \text{Fig. 15} p \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ & n + p \leq 2m, 2m + 1 \leq n + \\ I_{9+p,p+n+7,2m,n+p,n+p,p} & I_{9+p,p+n+7,2m+1,n+p,n+p,p} & \text{Fig. 16} n \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{0,1,2\}, n + \\ & I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m,n+p,p} & I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m+1,n+p,p} & \text{Fig. 17} p \in \{0,1,2\}, n \in \{0,1,2\}, n \in \{0,1,2\}, n + p \leq 2m, 2m + 1, \leq, 4 + \\ & I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n} & I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} & \text{Fig. 18} n \in \{0,1,2\}, n \in \{0,1,2\}, n \in \{0,1,2\}, n \in \{0,1,2\}, n + p \leq 2m, 2m + 1, \leq, 4 + \\ & I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n} & I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} & \text{Fig. 18} n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \end{split}$	$^{2+n,2m,n,p}$ Fig.	14 $p \in \{0, 1\}, n \in \{p, p+1, \dots, p+6\}$	Not included in the analyses
$\begin{split} I_{9+p,8+p,5+n+p,2m+1,n+p,p} & I_{9+p,8+p,5+n+p,2m,n+p,p} & \text{Fig. 15} p \in \{0,1\}, n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ n+p \leq 2m, 2m+1 \leq n+1 < n+1 <$		$n \leq 2m, 2m+1 \leq 2+n$	of [28, 77]
$\begin{split} I_{9+p,p+n+7,p+n+7,2m,n+p,p} & I_{9+p,p+n+7,2m+1,n+p,n+p,p} & \text{Fig. 16} & n \in \{0,1\}, p \in \{0,1\}, \\ I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m,n+p,p} & I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m+1,n+p,p} & \text{Fig. 17} & n + p \leq 2m, 2m + 1 \leq n + \\ I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n} & I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} & \text{Fig. 18} & n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n} & I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} & \text{Fig. 18} & n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \end{split}$	$^{n+p,2m,n+p,p,p}$ Fig.	15 $p \in \{0, 1\}, n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$	Not included in the analyses
$\begin{split} I_{9+p,p+n+7,2m,n+p,n+p,p} & I_{9+p,p+n+7,2m+1,n+p,n+p,p} & \text{Fig. 16} n \in \{0,1\}, p \in \{0,1\}, \\ n+p \leq 2m, 2m+1 \leq n+1 < n+1 \leq n+1 < n+1 \leq n+1 < n+$		$n+p \leq 2m, 2m+1 \leq n+p+5$	of [28, 77]
$I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m,n+p,p} \qquad I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m+1,n+p,p} \qquad \text{Fig. 17} p \in \{0,1,2\}, n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ n+p \leq 2m, 2m+1, \leq, 4+n, \leq n+1, \leq n, n \qquad I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} \qquad \text{Fig. 18} n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n} \qquad I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} \qquad \text{Fig. 18} n \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ \end{bmatrix}$	+7,2m+1,n+p,n+p,p Fig.	$16 n \in \{0,1\}, p \in \{0,1\},$	Not included in the analysis
$I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m,n+p,p} \qquad I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m+1,n+p,p} \qquad \text{Fig. 17} p \in \{0,1,2\}, n \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ $n+p \leq 2m, 2m+1, \leq , 4+1, 2m+1, n, n$ $I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n} \qquad I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} \qquad \text{Fig. 18} n \in \{0,1,2,3\}$		$n+p \leq 2m, 2m+1 \leq n+p+7$	of [28]
$I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n}$ $I_{10,6+n,2m,n,n}$ Fig. 18 $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ $I_{10,6+n,2m+1,n,n}$ Fig. 18 $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$	$_{+p,2m+1,n+p,p}$ Fig.	17 $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}, n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$	Not included in the analysis
$I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n} \qquad I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n} \qquad \text{Fig. 18} n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$		$n+p \leq 2m, 2m+1, \leq, 4+n+p$	of [28]
	3+n,2m,n,n Fig.	$18 n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$	
$n \leq 2m, 2m + 1 \leq 0 + n$		$n \leq 2m, 2m+1 \leq, 6+n$	

potential, listed in the second and third columns. Those potentials that straddle the two columns appear common to both. Most of the lower g_s^{α} potentials have not been found yet.

JHEP12 (2018) 053

of results). Note that [77] obtains many of the branes that we have, through studying Uduality multiplets¹⁴ and we find good agreement for the portions that overlap, specifically to g_s^{-7} . Here, we spell out the correspondence between their potentials (right-hand side) and ours (left-hand side) for g_s^{-6} and g_s^{-7} potentials only since the other potentials should hopefully be self-evident.

$$H_{10,6+n,2+m,m,n}|_{m \to m+n} \to E_{10,6+n,2+m+n,m+n,n}^{(0)}$$

$$H_{9+p,7+n,4+m,m,n,p}|_{p=n=0} \to E_{9,7,4+n,n}^{(6)}$$

$$(I_{9+p,p+n+7,p+n+7,2m,n+p,p}, I_{9+p,p+n+7,p+n+7,2m+1,n+p,n+p,p})|_{p=n=0} \to E_{9,7,7,q}^{(7)}$$

$$(I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m,n+p,p}, I_{10,7+p,4+n+p,2m+1,n+p,p})|_{p=0} \to E_{10,7,4+n,q,n}^{(7)}$$

$$(I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m+1,n,n}, I_{10,6+n,6+n,2m,n,n})|_{p=0} \to E_{10,6+n,6+n,q,n,n}^{(7)}$$

$$(4.16)$$

(6)

4.11.3 Unification at larger duality groups

The proliferation of extoic branes is self-evident from the figures above and it is currently difficult to tell if this procedure will even terminate at all. However, the growing number of DFT and EFT solutions found to date, including the DFT monopole [11, 16, 31], the $E_{7(7)}$ geometric solution [11] and the non-geometric solution presented here, all point to the over-arching theme of unification of branes in higher dimensions. Just as the possible wrappings of the M2 were found to give a unifying description of the F1 and D2 in one dimension higher, multiple branes have lifted to single solutions in DFT and EFT. That they only unify a small fraction of the branes that we have described is not a problem and, indeed, is probably to be expected given the awkward split between internal and external spaces that is inherent in EFT which puts a restriction on which branes can be lifted to the same solution in ExFT. More exciting is the possibility that every single brane presented in this section should all lift to one unified solution in ExFT at higher duality groups.

The rationale behind this claim is as follows. We have already mentioned that many of the novel branes that we have found at codimension-1 and 0 have not been found in the literature simply because previous efforts such as [28, 77] have always classified them under U-duality representations under reductions down to d = 3. It is thus natural to expect that the novel branes presented here will only appear when one considers reductions down to d = 2, 1 and even d = 0. Put differently, if one were to consider the largest duality groups, one should be able to accomodate more and more of them until every single brane presented here is accommodated for. This also consistent with the observation that the procedure does not appear to have any clear termination point — there still remains the possibility that there are indeed an infinite number of exotic branes whose wrapping modes are then used to construct the extended spaces of the highest ExFTs.

We further note that since every one of the figures are inter-related by S- and Tdualities, the lift to M-theory means that every one of those figures are part of a single

¹⁴We would like to thank the authors of [77] for pointing out an issue with the NS9-brane in an earlier version of this paper which we have now rectified. We have removed three small spurious orbits (one each at $g_s^{-2}, g_s - 4$ and g_s^{-6} respectively) following their comments.

U-duality orbit.¹⁵ From the discussion before, it is tempting to call it a single U-duality orbit of E_{11} that fragments to smaller U-duality orbits only when one descends down the E_n -series. See [20–26, 78–80] for a discussion on both standard and exotic branes in the context of the E_{11} program. According to this conjecture one may thus only construct 'truly' non-geometric objects (in the sense that there is no U-duality groups; what appear to be distinct orbits in those groups should successively merge into fewer and fewer orbits of the higher duality groups until one is left with only a single U-duality orbit at E_{11} . Thus whilst we now have two distinct solutions in $E_{7(7)}$ EFT, covering different sets of branes and with no apparent way to transition between the two (see figure 1), one should expect that these two EFT solutions can be unified into a single solution of a larger EFT (along with a much wider class of exotic branes).

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have argued that extended field theories (ExFT) provide an ideal laboratory in which to study exotic branes. Starting in section 3, we have tried to emphasise the power of ExFT in unifying the known solutions of M-theory — a fact that is built into EFT by construction since the Type IIB and M-theory sections (hence, also, the Type IIA section) are derived from a single extended space. This is reminiscent of the remarkable result in M-theory that the numerous Type IIA branes were lifted to just a handful of M-theory branes in the conventional story. We demonstrated this fact by constructing a novel solution of $E_{7(7)}$ EFT that gives rise to all of the codimension-2 exotic states listed in [3] upon taking either of the two sections (see figure 1).

Having demonstrated the utility of ExFT in studying these exotic branes, we moved onto a broader discussion of other types of exotic branes that one may hope to discover in section 4. We have raised the possibility that the exotic branes are far more numerous than previously thought, and that there may even be an infinite number of them. In the course of this analysis it was found that the vast majority of these novel exotic states lie at codimension-1 or 0 which are particuly diffcult to interpret in conventional supergravity and may even require a DFT or EFT description to make sense at all. Having revealed the structure of the intricately woven dualities and lifts/reductions, we hope that they will pave the way to a more complete understanding of these exotic states. In particular, it is hoped that they will aid in constucting more solutions in ExFT that unify these objects an exercise which has previously been complicated by the awkward split between internal and external spaces.

Note added. Whilst this work was in production, the paper [77] appeared on the e-Print arXiv which contains overlapping material with section 4 presented here. We have expanded on the relation of their work with ours in section 4.11.2.

 $^{^{15}{\}rm This}$ is, of course, assuming that U-duality is strictly generated from combinations of S- and T-dualities only.

Acknowledgments

We thank the folowing for discussions: Ilya Bakhmatov, Chris Hull, Axel Kleinschmidt and Masaki Shigemori. DSB is supported by the STFC grant ST/L000415/1, "String Theory, Gauge Theory and Duality" and also acknowledges hospitality from DAMTP, Cambridge, during the completion of this work. The work of ETM was supported by the Russian state grant Goszadanie 3.9904.2017/8.9 and by the Alexander von Humboldt return fellowship and partially by the program of competitive growth of Kazan Federal University. ETM is grateful to Queen Mary University of London for hospitality during the initial stage of this project. RO is supported by an STFC studentship.

A Exotic brane metrics

We collect the backgrounds of the exotic branes appearing in the non-geometric solution of section 3 for convenience. For the Type II branes, we adopt the Einstein frame which is related to the string frame via $ds_s^2 = e^{\frac{\phi}{2}} ds_E^2$ where ϕ is the dilaton. Here, we have obtained the metrics through a sequence of conventional T- and S-duality transformations, starting from a smeared NS5-brane. As such, all harmonic functions here H are harmonic in (r, θ) only, with

$$H(r) = h_0 + \sigma \ln \frac{\mu}{r} \tag{A.1}$$

where h_0 is a diverging bare quantity, μ a renormalisation scale and σ a dimensionless constant (which is different for each solution but irrelevant for the discussion here). We also define $K := H^2 + \sigma^2 \theta^2$.

A.1 M-theory branes

• 5^3

$$ds^{2} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{3}} (-dt^{2} + d\vec{x}_{(5)}^{2}) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} d\vec{y}_{(3)}^{2} + H^{\frac{2}{3}} K^{\frac{1}{3}} (dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2})$$

$$A_{(3)} = -K^{-1} \sigma \theta dy^{1} \wedge dy^{2} \wedge dy^{3}, \qquad A_{(6)} = -H^{-1} K dt \wedge dx^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{5}$$
(A.2)

• 2⁶

$$ds^{2} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{2}{3}} (-dt^{2} + d\vec{x}_{(2)}^{2}) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{3}} d\vec{y}_{(6)}^{2} + H^{\frac{1}{3}} K^{\frac{2}{3}} (dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2})$$

$$A_{(3)} = -H^{-1} K dt \wedge dx^{1} \wedge dx^{2}, \qquad A_{(6)} = -K^{-1} \sigma \theta dy^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dy^{6}$$
(A.3)

• $0^{(1,7)}$

$$ds^{2} = -H^{-1}Kdt^{2} + d\vec{x}_{(7)}^{2} + HK^{-1}(dz - H^{-1}Kdt)^{2} + K(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2})$$
(A.4)

A.2 Type II branes

Both Type IIA and IIB have $5_2^2 - 1_4^6$ - and $0_4^{(1,6)}$ -branes. Type IIA has p_3^{7-p} for p = 1, 3, 5, 7 whilst Type IIB has p_3^{7-p} for p = 0, 2, 4, 6.

• 5_2^2 — the only exotic brane with scaling g_s^{-2}

$$ds_{\rm E}^2 = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(-dt^2 + d\vec{x}_{(5)}^2 \right) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} d\vec{y}_{(2)}^2 + H^{\frac{3}{4}} K^{\frac{1}{4}} (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2)$$

$$B_{(2)} = -K^{-1} \sigma \theta dy^1 \wedge dy^2, \qquad B_{(6)} = -H^{-1} K dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^5$$

$$e^{2(\phi - \phi_0)} = HK^{-1}$$
(A.5)

• For p = 0, ..., 6, the p_3^{7-p} exotic branes (with mass scaling g_s^{-3}) are given by

$$ds_{\rm E}^2 = (HK^{-1})^{\frac{p-7}{8}} \left(-dt^2 + d\vec{x}_{(p)}^2 \right) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{p+1}{8}} d\vec{y}_{(7-p)}^2 + H^{\frac{p+1}{8}} K^{\frac{7-p}{8}} \left(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 \right)$$

$$C_{(7-p)} = -K^{-1} \sigma \theta dy^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dy^{7-p}, \qquad C_{(p+1)} = -H^{-1} K dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^p$$

$$e^{2(\phi - \phi_0)} = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{p-3}{2}}$$
(A.6)

The 7_3 , as with the D7, appears slightly anomalous.

• There are two g_s^{-4} branes. The 1_4^6 has background

$$ds_{\rm E}^2 = (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(-dt^2 + dx^2 \right) + (HK^{-1})^{\frac{1}{4}} d\vec{y}_{(6)}^2 + H^{\frac{1}{4}} K^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 \right)$$

$$B_{(6)} = -K^{-1} \sigma \theta dy^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dy^6, \qquad B_{(2)} = -H^{-1} K dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \qquad (A.7)$$

$$e^{2(\phi - \phi_0)} = H^{-1} K$$

whilst the $0_4^{(1,6)}$ has a purely metric background

$$ds_{\rm E}^2 = -(HK^{-1})^{-1}dt^2 + HK^{-1}(dz - H^{-1}Kdt)^2 + d\vec{x}_{(6)}^2 + K(dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2)$$

$$e^{2(\phi - \phi_0)} = 1$$
(A.8)

Note that the $(1_4^6, 1_3^6)$ and $(5_3^2, 5_2^2)$ each from S-duality doublets and thus share the same metric in the Einstein frame, exchanging only $B_{(p)} \stackrel{S}{\leftrightarrow} C_{(p)}$ and inverting the dilaton.

B Twisted self-duality

The twisted self-duality relation is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} = -\frac{|g_{(4)}|}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\tau} g^{\lambda\rho} g^{\tau\sigma} \Omega^{MN} \mathcal{M}_{NK} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{K}$$
(B.1)

For the present solution, we shall apply the simplifications $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu,\bullet} = 0$ and $\partial_N \mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^M = 0$ i.e. that the generalised vectors do not depend on the internal coordinates. Then, the covariantised generalised field strength reduces to the Abelian Field strength

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^M \to F_{\mu\nu}{}^M = 2\partial_{[\mu}\mathcal{A}_{\nu]}{}^M \tag{B.2}$$
For the ansatz given above, the only non-vanishing components of the field strength in the 5^3 frame are

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} = (\mathcal{F}_{tr}{}^{\xi\chi}, \mathcal{F}_{t\theta}{}^{\xi\chi}, \mathcal{F}_{rz,\xi\chi}, \mathcal{F}_{\theta z,\xi\chi})$$
(B.3)

$$=\left(\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2\theta^2 - H^2)}{rH^2}, \frac{2\sigma^2\theta}{H}, -\frac{2\sigma^2\theta H}{rK^2}, \frac{\sigma(\sigma^2\theta^2 - H^2)}{K^2}\right)$$
(B.4)

We begin by considering $\mathcal{F}_{tr}^{\xi\chi}$ component:

1

$$\mathcal{F}_{tr}^{\xi\chi} = -\left|g_{(4)}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_{tr\theta z} g^{\theta\theta} g^{zz} \Omega^{\xi\chi}{}_{\xi\chi} \mathcal{M}^{\xi\chi,\xi\chi} \mathcal{F}_{\theta z}{}^{\xi\chi} \tag{B.5}$$

We begin by substituting $\Omega^{\xi\chi}_{\xi\chi} = -e^{\Delta}\delta^{\xi\chi}_{\xi\chi} = -|g_{(4)}|^{\frac{1}{4}}\delta^{\xi\chi}_{\xi\chi}$ and $g^{\theta\theta}, g^{zz}$ read off from (3.26) to obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{tr}^{\xi\chi} = \left|g_{(4)}\right|^{\frac{3}{4}} \varepsilon_{tr\theta z} \frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 \theta^2 - H^2)}{r^2 H K^2} \mathcal{M}^{\xi\chi,\xi\chi} \tag{B.6}$$

Finally, using $\mathcal{M}^{\xi\chi,\xi\chi} = |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}} (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}$ (read-off from (3.42)) and $|g_{(4)}| = r^2 HK$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{tr}^{\xi\chi} = (r^2 HK)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 \theta^2 - H^2)}{r^2 HK^2} (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$
(B.7)

$$=\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2\theta^2 - H^2)}{rH^2} \tag{B.8}$$

and thus satisfies the duality relation. Likewise, the remaining relations all follow, with the only subtlety begin that $\Omega^{MN} \mathcal{M}_{NK} = \tilde{\Omega}^{MN} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{NK}$ i.e. $e^{-\Delta} = |g_{(4)}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ the scaling factors cancel:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\theta z,\xi\chi} = -\frac{|g_{(4)}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \times 2\epsilon_{\theta z tr} g^{tt} g^{rr} \tilde{\Omega}_{\xi\chi}{}^{\xi\chi} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\xi\chi,\xi\chi} \mathcal{F}_{tr}{}^{\xi\chi}$$
(B.9)

$$= -(r^{2}HK)^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon_{tr\theta z} \left[(-1)\cdot (HK)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{r} \left(\frac{\sigma^{2}\theta^{2} - H^{2}}{H^{2}} \right)$$
(B.10)

$$=\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2\theta^2 - H^2)}{K^2} \tag{B.11}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{t\theta}^{\xi\chi} = -\frac{|g_{(4)}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \times 2\epsilon_{t\theta rz} g^{rr} g^{zz} \tilde{\Omega}^{\xi\chi}{}_{\xi\chi} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\xi\chi,\xi\chi} \mathcal{F}_{tr,\xi\chi}$$
(B.12)

$$= -(r^{2}HK)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[-\epsilon_{tr\theta z}\right] (HK)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left[-\delta_{\xi\chi}^{\xi\chi}\right] \cdot (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \left(-\frac{2\sigma^{2}\theta H}{rK^{2}}\right)$$
(B.13)

$$=\frac{2\sigma^2\theta}{H}\tag{B.14}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{rz,\xi\chi} = -\frac{|g_{(4)}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \times 2\epsilon_{rzt\theta} g^{tt} g^{\theta\theta} \tilde{\Omega}_{\xi\chi}{}^{\xi\chi} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\xi\chi,\xi\chi} \mathcal{F}_{t\theta}{}^{\xi\chi}$$
(B.15)

$$= -(r^{2}HK)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[-\epsilon_{tr\theta z}\right] \cdot \left[(-1) \cdot (HK)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] (HK^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} (HK^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{2\sigma^{2}\theta}{H}\right)$$
(B.16)

$$= -\frac{2\sigma^2 \theta H}{K^2 r} \tag{B.17}$$

C Bianchi identity

For the solution discussed here, the Bianchi identity (3.10) reduces to

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{A}_{[\mu}}\mathcal{F}_{\nu\rho]}^{\mu} = 0 \tag{C.1}$$

but each term vanishes independently since $\partial_M \mathcal{A}_{\nu}{}^N = 0$.

D Generators of $E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$

Throughout the text, we adopt the convention that $\alpha = 1, ..., 133$ indexes the adjoint of the $E_{7(7)}$ algebra. With the conventions that we adopt, the adjoint is symmetric $(t_{\alpha})_{(MN)}$. We also have

$$(t_{\alpha})_{K}^{\ (P}c^{QRS)K} = 0 \tag{D.1}$$

$$(t_{\beta})_{M}^{K}(t^{\alpha})_{K}^{N} = \frac{19}{8}\delta_{M}^{N}$$
 (D.2)

$$(t^{\alpha})^{MK}(t_{\beta})_{KL}(t_{\alpha})^{LN} = -\frac{7}{8}(t_{\beta})^{MN}$$
 (D.3)

The \mathbb{R}^+ generator is $(t_0)_M{}^N = -\delta_M^N$

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- N.A. Obers and B. Pioline, U duality and M-theory, Phys. Rept. 318 (1999) 113 [hep-th/9809039] [INSPIRE].
- [2] J. de Boer and M. Shigemori, Exotic branes and non-geometric backgrounds, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 251603 [arXiv:1004.2521] [INSPIRE].
- [3] J. de Boer and M. Shigemori, Exotic Branes in String Theory, Phys. Rept. 532 (2013) 65 [arXiv:1209.6056] [INSPIRE].
- [4] E.A. Bergshoeff, T. Ortín and F. Riccioni, *Defect Branes*, *Nucl. Phys.* B 856 (2012) 210
 [arXiv:1109.4484] [INSPIRE].
- [5] E.A. Bergshoeff, A. Marrani and F. Riccioni, *Brane orbits*, *Nucl. Phys.* B 861 (2012) 104 [arXiv:1201.5819] [INSPIRE].
- [6] E.A. Bergshoeff, A. Kleinschmidt and F. Riccioni, Supersymmetric Domain Walls, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 085043 [arXiv:1206.5697] [INSPIRE].
- [7] S. Corley, A. Jevicki and S. Ramgoolam, Exact correlators of giant gravitons from dual N = 4 SYM theory, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 5 (2002) 809 [hep-th/0111222] [INSPIRE].
- [8] C.M. Hull, Doubled Geometry and T-Folds, JHEP 07 (2007) 080 [hep-th/0605149]
 [INSPIRE].
- [9] R.d. Sorkin, Kaluza-Klein Monopole, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 87 [INSPIRE].

- [10] D.J. Gross and M.J. Perry, Magnetic Monopoles in Kaluza-Klein Theories, Nucl. Phys. B 226 (1983) 29 [INSPIRE].
- [11] D.S. Berman and F.J. Rudolph, Strings, Branes and the Self-dual Solutions of Exceptional Field Theory, JHEP 05 (2015) 130 [arXiv:1412.2768] [INSPIRE].
- [12] J. Berkeley, D.S. Berman and F.J. Rudolph, Strings and Branes are Waves, JHEP 06 (2014) 006 [arXiv:1403.7198] [INSPIRE].
- [13] D.S. Berman and F.J. Rudolph, Branes are Waves and Monopoles, JHEP 05 (2015) 015 [arXiv:1409.6314] [INSPIRE].
- [14] Y. Sakatani, Exotic branes and non-geometric fluxes, JHEP 03 (2015) 135
 [arXiv:1412.8769] [INSPIRE].
- [15] E.A. Bergshoeff, V.A. Penas, F. Riccioni and S. Risoli, Non-geometric fluxes and mixed-symmetry potentials, JHEP 11 (2015) 020 [arXiv:1508.00780] [INSPIRE].
- [16] I. Bakhmatov, A. Kleinschmidt and E.T. Musaev, Non-geometric branes are DFT monopoles, JHEP 10 (2016) 076 [arXiv:1607.05450] [INSPIRE].
- [17] E.A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, V.A. Penas and F. Riccioni, Dual Double Field Theory, JHEP 06 (2016) 026 [arXiv:1603.07380] [INSPIRE].
- [18] D.M. Lombardo, F. Riccioni and S. Risoli, P fluxes and exotic branes, JHEP 12 (2016) 114 [arXiv:1610.07975] [INSPIRE].
- [19] I. Bakhmatov, D. Berman, A. Kleinschmidt, E. Musaev and R. Otsuki, *Exotic branes in Exceptional Field Theory: the SL(5) duality group*, *JHEP* 08 (2018) 021 [arXiv:1710.09740]
 [INSPIRE].
- [20] P.C. West, E₁₁ and M-theory, Class. Quant. Grav. 18 (2001) 4443 [hep-th/0104081]
 [INSPIRE].
- [21] P.C. West, E₁₁, SL(32) and central charges, Phys. Lett. B 575 (2003) 333 [hep-th/0307098]
 [INSPIRE].
- [22] P.C. West, E₁₁ origin of brane charges and U-duality multiplets, JHEP 08 (2004) 052 [hep-th/0406150] [INSPIRE].
- [23] P.C. West, Brane dynamics, central charges and E_{11} , JHEP **03** (2005) 077 [hep-th/0412336] [INSPIRE].
- [24] P.P. Cook and P.C. West, Charge multiplets and masses for E_{11} , JHEP 11 (2008) 091 [arXiv:0805.4451] [INSPIRE].
- [25] P.P. Cook, Exotic E₁₁ branes as composite gravitational solutions, Class. Quant. Grav. 26 (2009) 235023 [arXiv:0908.0485] [INSPIRE].
- [26] A.G. Tumanov and P. West, E_{11} must be a symmetry of strings and branes, Phys. Lett. B **759** (2016) 663 [arXiv:1512.01644] [INSPIRE].
- [27] D.M. Lombardo, F. Riccioni and S. Risoli, Non-geometric fluxes & tadpole conditions for exotic branes, JHEP 10 (2017) 134 [arXiv:1704.08566] [INSPIRE].
- [28] E.A. Bergshoeff and F. Riccioni, Wrapping rules (in) string theory, JHEP 01 (2018) 046 [arXiv:1710.00642] [INSPIRE].
- [29] A. Kleinschmidt, Counting supersymmetric branes, JHEP 10 (2011) 144 [arXiv:1109.2025] [INSPIRE].

- [30] T. Kimura and S. Sasaki, Worldsheet instanton corrections to 5²/₂-brane geometry, JHEP 08 (2013) 126 [arXiv:1305.4439] [INSPIRE].
- [31] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and K. Shiozawa, Worldsheet Instanton Corrections to Five-branes and Waves in Double Field Theory, JHEP 07 (2018) 001 [arXiv:1803.11087] [INSPIRE].
- [32] A. Chatzistavrakidis, F.F. Gautason, G. Moutsopoulos and M. Zagermann, Effective actions of nongeometric five-branes, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 066004 [arXiv:1309.2653] [INSPIRE].
- [33] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and M. Yata, World-volume Effective Actions of Exotic Five-branes, JHEP 07 (2014) 127 [arXiv:1404.5442] [INSPIRE].
- [34] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and M. Yata, World-volume Effective Action of Exotic Five-brane in M-theory, JHEP 02 (2016) 168 [arXiv:1601.05589] [INSPIRE].
- [35] K. Lee, S.-J. Rey and Y. Sakatani, Effective action for non-geometric fluxes duality covariant actions, JHEP 07 (2017) 075 [arXiv:1612.08738] [INSPIRE].
- [36] Y. Sakatani and S. Uehara, Connecting M-theory and type IIB parameterizations in Exceptional Field Theory, PTEP 2017 (2017) 043B05 [arXiv:1701.07819] [INSPIRE].
- [37] D. Andriot and A. Betz, NS-branes, source corrected Bianchi identities and more on backgrounds with non-geometric fluxes, JHEP 07 (2014) 059 [arXiv:1402.5972] [INSPIRE].
- [38] F. Hassler and D. Lüst, Non-commutative/non-associative IIA (IIB) Q- and R-branes and their intersections, JHEP 07 (2013) 048 [arXiv:1303.1413] [INSPIRE].
- [39] W. Siegel, Superspace duality in low-energy superstrings, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 2826 [hep-th/9305073] [INSPIRE].
- [40] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, Double Field Theory, JHEP 09 (2009) 099 [arXiv:0904.4664]
 [INSPIRE].
- [41] C.M. Hull, Generalised Geometry for M-theory, JHEP 07 (2007) 079 [hep-th/0701203] [INSPIRE].
- [42] P. Pires Pacheco and D. Waldram, M-theory, exceptional generalised geometry and superpotentials, JHEP 09 (2008) 123 [arXiv:0804.1362] [INSPIRE].
- [43] C. Hillmann, Generalized $E(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I}))$ coset dynamics and D = 11 supergravity, JHEP **03** (2009) 135 [arXiv:0901.1581] [INSPIRE].
- [44] D.S. Berman and M.J. Perry, Generalized Geometry and M-theory, JHEP 06 (2011) 074 [arXiv:1008.1763] [INSPIRE].
- [45] A. Coimbra, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, Supergravity as Generalised Geometry I: Type II Theories, JHEP 11 (2011) 091 [arXiv:1107.1733] [INSPIRE].
- [46] A. Coimbra, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, $E_{d(d)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ generalised geometry, connections and M-theory, JHEP 02 (2014) 054 [arXiv:1112.3989] [INSPIRE].
- [47] A. Coimbra, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, Supergravity as Generalised Geometry II: $E_{d(d)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and M-theory, JHEP 03 (2014) 019 [arXiv:1212.1586] [INSPIRE].
- [48] G. Aldazabal, D. Marques and C. Núñez, Double Field Theory: A Pedagogical Review, Class. Quant. Grav. 30 (2013) 163001 [arXiv:1305.1907] [INSPIRE].
- [49] D. Geissbuhler, D. Marques, C. Núñez and V. Penas, Exploring Double Field Theory, JHEP 06 (2013) 101 [arXiv:1304.1472] [INSPIRE].

- [50] D.S. Berman and D.C. Thompson, Duality Symmetric String and M-theory, Phys. Rept. 566 (2014) 1 [arXiv:1306.2643] [INSPIRE].
- [51] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, Exceptional field theory. III. E₈₍₈₎, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 066002 [arXiv:1406.3348] [INSPIRE].
- [52] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, Exceptional field theory. II. E₇₍₇₎, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 066017 [arXiv:1312.4542] [INSPIRE].
- [53] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, Exceptional Field Theory I: E₆₍₆₎ covariant Form of M-theory and Type IIB, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 066016 [arXiv:1312.0614] [INSPIRE].
- [54] A. Abzalov, I. Bakhmatov and E.T. Musaev, Exceptional field theory: SO(5,5), JHEP 06 (2015) 088 [arXiv:1504.01523] [INSPIRE].
- [55] E.T. Musaev, Exceptional field theory: SL(5), JHEP 02 (2016) 012 [arXiv:1512.02163]
 [INSPIRE].
- [56] O. Hohm and Y.-N. Wang, Tensor hierarchy and generalized Cartan calculus in SL(3) × SL(2) exceptional field theory, JHEP 04 (2015) 050 [arXiv:1501.01600] [INSPIRE].
- [57] D.S. Berman, C.D.A. Blair, E. Malek and F.J. Rudolph, An action for F-theory: SL(2)ℝ⁺ exceptional field theory, Class. Quant. Grav. 33 (2016) 195009 [arXiv:1512.06115] [INSPIRE].
- [58] O. Hohm, D. Lüst and B. Zwiebach, The Spacetime of Double Field Theory: Review, Remarks and Outlook, Fortsch. Phys. 61 (2013) 926 [arXiv:1309.2977] [INSPIRE].
- [59] D.S. Berman, H. Godazgar, M.J. Perry and P. West, Duality Invariant Actions and Generalised Geometry, JHEP 02 (2012) 108 [arXiv:1111.0459] [INSPIRE].
- [60] E. Malek, U-duality in three and four dimensions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32 (2017) 1750169 [arXiv:1205.6403] [INSPIRE].
- [61] C.D.A. Blair, E. Malek and J.-H. Park, *M-theory and Type IIB from a Duality Manifest Action*, JHEP 01 (2014) 172 [arXiv:1311.5109] [INSPIRE].
- [62] C.D.A. Blair and E. Malek, Geometry and fluxes of SL(5) exceptional field theory, JHEP 03 (2015) 144 [arXiv:1412.0635] [INSPIRE].
- [63] J.-H. Park and Y. Suh, U-geometry: SL(5), JHEP 04 (2013) 147 [Erratum ibid. 11 (2013) 210] [arXiv:1302.1652] [INSPIRE].
- [64] A. Le Diffon and H. Samtleben, Supergravities without an Action: Gauging the Trombone, Nucl. Phys. B 811 (2009) 1 [arXiv:0809.5180] [INSPIRE].
- [65] G. Aldazabal, M. Graña, D. Marqués and J.A. Rosabal, Extended geometry and gauged maximal supergravity, JHEP 06 (2013) 046 [arXiv:1302.5419] [INSPIRE].
- [66] D.S. Berman, M. Cederwall, A. Kleinschmidt and D.C. Thompson, The gauge structure of generalised diffeomorphisms, JHEP 01 (2013) 064 [arXiv:1208.5884] [INSPIRE].
- [67] A. Le Diffon, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, N = 8 Supergravity with Local Scaling Symmetry, JHEP 04 (2011) 079 [arXiv:1103.2785] [INSPIRE].
- [68] C.D.A. Blair, Doubled strings, negative strings and null waves, JHEP 11 (2016) 042 [arXiv:1608.06818] [INSPIRE].
- [69] D. Tong, NS5-branes, T duality and world sheet instantons, JHEP 07 (2002) 013
 [hep-th/0204186] [INSPIRE].

- [70] J.A. Harvey and S. Jensen, Worldsheet instanton corrections to the Kaluza-Klein monopole, JHEP 10 (2005) 028 [hep-th/0507204] [INSPIRE].
- [71] T. Kimura and S. Sasaki, Gauged Linear σ-model for Exotic Five-brane, Nucl. Phys. B 876 (2013) 493 [arXiv:1304.4061] [INSPIRE].
- [72] D. Lüst, E. Plauschinn and V. Vall Camell, Unwinding strings in semi-flatland, JHEP 07 (2017) 027 [arXiv:1706.00835] [INSPIRE].
- [73] E. Lozano-Tellechea and T. Ortín, 7-branes and higher Kaluza-Klein branes, Nucl. Phys. B 607 (2001) 213 [hep-th/0012051] [INSPIRE].
- [74] C.M. Hull, Gravitational duality, branes and charges, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 62 (1998) 412
 [INSPIRE].
- [75] E. Bergshoeff and J.P. van der Schaar, On M nine-branes, Class. Quant. Grav. 16 (1999) 23
 [hep-th/9806069] [INSPIRE].
- [76] T. Ortin, *Gravity and Strings*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press (2015) [INSPIRE].
- [77] J.J. Fernández-Melgarejo, T. Kimura and Y. Sakatani, Weaving the Exotic Web, JHEP 09 (2018) 072 [arXiv:1805.12117] [INSPIRE].
- [78] A.G. Tumanov and P. West, E11 in 11D, Phys. Lett. B 758 (2016) 278 [arXiv:1601.03974]
 [INSPIRE].
- [79] A. Kleinschmidt and P.C. West, Representations of G+++ and the role of space-time, JHEP
 02 (2004) 033 [hep-th/0312247] [INSPIRE].
- [80] P.P. Cook, Bound States of String Theory and Beyond, JHEP **03** (2012) 028 [arXiv:1109.6595] [INSPIRE].