Letter for Nature Climate Change

Climate predicts global patterns and redistribution of predation pressure

Gustavo Q. Romero^{1*}, Thiago Gonçalves-Souza², Pavel Kratina³, Nicholas A.C. Marino⁴, William K. Petry⁵, Thadeu Sobral-Souza⁶, Tomas Roslin^{7,8}

¹ Laboratory of Multitrophic Interactions and Biodiversity (LIMBIO), Department of Animal Biology, Institute of Biology, University of Campinas (UNICAMP), CP 6109, CEP 13083-970, Campinas, SP, Brazil.

² Departamento de Biologia, Laboratório de Ecologia Funcional e Filogenética, Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, CEP 52171-900, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil.

³ School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Rd, London E1 4NS, UK.

⁴ Laboratório de Limnologia, Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biologia, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, PO Box 68020, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

⁵ Institute of Integrative Biology, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zürich, Universitätstrasse 16, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland.

⁶ Bioscience Institute, UNESP - Univ Estadual Paulista, Câmpus Rio Claro, Department of Ecology, Spatial Ecology and Conservation Lab (LEEC) Av. 24-A, 1515, Bela Vista, Rio Claro, São Paulo, Brazil.

⁷ Spatial Foodweb Ecology Group, Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Post Office Box 7044, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden.

⁸ Spatial Foodweb Ecology Group, Department of Agricultural Sciences, Post Office Box 27, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland.

Supplementary information

Table S1 | **Climatic and topographic predictors.** This table summarizes the bioclimatic variables extracted from two publicly available databases (WorldClim v.2 and ENVIREM) and used to categorise each sampling site of Roslin et al. (2017).

Bioclimatic variables	Definitions
(WorldClim v.2)*	
bio1	Mean annual temperature (MAT)
bio2	Mean diurnal range (mean of monthly [maximum
	temperature - minimum temperature])
bio4	Temperature seasonality (standard deviation *100)
bio7	Temperature annual range (maximum temperature of
	warmest month - minimum temperature of coldest month)
bio12	Mean annual precipitation (MAP)
bio13	Precipitation of wettest month
bio14	Precipitation of driest month
bio15	Precipitation seasonality (coefficient of variation, CV)
ENVIREM variables	
(climate and	
topography)**	
Aridity	Thornthwaite aridity index; measures the degree of water
	deficit below water need
TRI	Terrain roughness index; measures the local variation in
	seabed terrain compared with a central pixel
topoWET	Topographic wetness; altitude above channel networks,
	which reflects lateral water accumulation and measures the
	moisture status of a particular area
$D C \Psi T' 1 1 I I''$	(2017) * T' (1 1 D 1 (2017))

Refs: * Fick and Hijmans (2017), ** Title and Bemmels (2017)

Table S2 | **Evaluation of the niche models.** Values of area under the curve (AUC) of receiver operator characteristic (ROC) and True Skilled Statistic (TSS) evaluating the niche models built to predict the effects of future climate change on predation pressure (attack rates) for two categories of predation rate (high, low). Values of TSS and AUC higher than 0 and 0.5 indicate models different from those generated randomly, and those higher than 0.5 and 0.7 are considered adequate, respectively. Standard deviation values (SD) indicate variation among the models, used to infer uncertainty.

E	Bioclim		Gower]	Maxent		SVM	
	AUC	TSS	AUC	TSS	AUC	TSS	AUC	TSS
High predation pressure								
1	0.781	0.625	0.77	0.5	0.824	0.688	0.867	0.75
2	0.814	0.75	0.82	0.75	0.945	0.875	0.988	0.938
3	0.883	0.875	0.82	0.75	0.941	0.813	0.918	0.813
4	0.848	0.75	0.781	0.563	0.895	0.75	0.926	0.875
5	0.951	0.875	0.914	0.688	0.938	0.875	0.934	0.875
6	0.707	0.563	0.77	0.563	0.867	0.688	0.895	0.625
7	0.762	0.688	0.785	0.625	0.809	0.625	0.832	0.625
8	0.666	0.375	0.699	0.375	0.715	0.438	0.738	0.438
9	0.672	0.5	0.758	0.5	0.801	0.563	0.867	0.688
10	0.736	0.5	0.758	0.563	0.852	0.688	0.938	0.938
Mean	0.782	0.65	0.788	0.588	0.859	0.7	0.89	0.756
SD	0.093	0.167	0.056	0.119	0.074	0.138	0.069	0.163
Low predat	tion pres	ssure						
1	0.816	0.652	0.736	0.522	0.858	0.609	0.892	0.609
2	0.665	0.391	0.698	0.435	0.762	0.565	0.854	0.696
3	0.737	0.565	0.723	0.391	0.798	0.522	0.9	0.696
4	0.66	0.348	0.602	0.261	0.773	0.478	0.783	0.522
5	0.759	0.522	0.738	0.478	0.853	0.652	0.877	0.696
6	0.786	0.522	0.767	0.522	0.781	0.609	0.853	0.609
7	0.681	0.522	0.713	0.478	0.794	0.565	0.851	0.652
8	0.727	0.478	0.766	0.478	0.805	0.609	0.919	0.739
9	0.841	0.696	0.841	0.652	0.868	0.696	0.913	0.87
10	0.886	0.652	0.866	0.652	0.864	0.609	0.977	0.87
Mean	0.756	0.535	0.745	0.487	0.816	0.591	0.882	0.696
SD	0.077	0.112	0.074	0.116	0.041	0.062	0.052	0.11

Table S3 | **Evaluation of the niche models derived at the site level, with plot-level attack rates pooled to a site-level attack rate.** Values of area under the curve (AUC) of receiver operator characteristic (ROC) and True Skilled Statistic (TSS) evaluating the niche models built to predict the effects of future climate change on predation pressure (attack rates) for two categories of predation rate (high, low). Values of TSS and AUC higher than 0 and 0.5 indicate models different from those generated randomly, and those higher than 0.5 and 0.7 are considered adequate, respectively. Standard deviation values (SD) indicate variation among the models, used to infer uncertainty.

E	Bioclim		Gower	l	Maxent		SVM		
	AUC	TSS	AUC	TSS	AUC	TSS	AUC	TSS	
High predation pressure									
1	0.800	0.600	0.960	0.800	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
2	0.700	0.400	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.720	0.600	
3	0.600	0.200	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
4	0.720	0.600	0.800	0.800	0.880	0.800	0.800	0.800	
5	0.600	0.400	0.640	0.600	0.800	0.600	0.760	0.400	
6	0.600	0.200	0.960	0.800	0.960	0.800	0.920	0.800	
7	0.800	0.600	0.960	0.800	1.000	1.000	0.920	0.800	
8	0.680	0.400	0.680	0.400	0.840	0.600	0.840	0.600	
9	0.440	0.200	0.440	0.400	0.720	0.400	0.520	0.400	
10	0.700	0.400	0.960	0.800	0.960	0.800	1.000	1.000	
Mean	0.664	0.400	0.840	0.740	0.916	0.800	0.848	0.740	
SD	0.108	0.163	0.193	0.212	0.101	0.211	0.154	0.232	
Low predat	tion pres	sure							
1	0.472	0.167	0.556	0.333	0.861	0.667	0.833	0.500	
2	0.486	0.167	0.417	0.333	0.750	0.500	0.583	0.333	
3	0.806	0.667	0.889	0.833	0.639	0.333	0.750	0.500	
4	0.639	0.333	0.778	0.500	0.750	0.500	0.889	0.833	
5	0.486	0.167	0.667	0.333	1.000	1.000	0.861	0.667	
6	0.500	0.167	0.750	0.667	0.694	0.333	0.750	0.500	
7	0.764	0.500	0.667	0.333	0.611	0.500	0.556	0.333	
8	0.514	0.167	0.639	0.333	0.778	0.667	0.833	0.833	
9	0.611	0.333	0.653	0.500	0.611	0.333	0.722	0.500	
10	0.722	0.333	0.694	0.500	0.667	0.500	0.917	0.833	
Mean	0.600	0.300	0.671	0.467	0.736	0.533	0.769	0.583	
SD	0.127	0.172	0.127	0.172	0.122	0.205	0.123	0.196	



b) PCA biplot



Fig. S1 | Evaluation of the climatic components of temperature. a, Correlation among individual temperature variables (bio1 – bio7; Table S1) and the first two axes of a Principal Component Analysis (PCA); colour intensity and the size of the circle are proportional to the correlation coefficients. b, Principal component bi-plot showing that bio1 contributes to positive values for PC1, whereas bio4 and bio7 contribute to negative value of PC1. Definitions of climatic components of temperature are provided in Table S1 (see Methods for details).



Fig. S2. Causal relationships determined by structural equation model (SEM), including components of topography (tri and topoWET, see Table S1). Here, we dissect the causal effect of latitude (L), elevation (E), interactions between L and E, and two components of topography (TRI and topoWET), on separate components of temperature and precipitation (first axis of a principal component analysis, PCA), and the predation pressure by arthropod on model caterpillars (data from Roslin et al. 2017). Red, black and grey lines represent negative, positive and non-significant adjusted paths of a piecewise SEM, respectively, with marginal R^2 for endogenous variables. Model fit components are presented at the top of the figure. * P<0.05, *** P<0.001.