Rapid sucrose monitoring in green coffee samples using multienzymatic biosensor

| 3 | Miroslav Stredansky ^{a,d} , Luca Redivo ^{b*} , Peter Magdolen ^c , Adam Stredansky ^d , Luciano Navarini ^e | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 4 | ^a Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical and Food Technology, Slovak University of | | |
| 5 | Technology, Radlinskeho 9, 81237 Bratislava, Slovak Republic | | |
| 6 | ^b Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary | | |
| 7 | University of London, Mile End Road, London, E1 4NS, United Kingdom | | |
| 8 | ^c Institute of Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dubravska cesta 9, 84538 Bratislava Slovak Republic | | |
| 9 | ^d Biorealis s.r.o., Radlinskeho 9, 81107 Bratislava, Slovak Republic | | |
| 10 | ^e illycaffè S.p.A., Via Flavia 110, 34147 Trieste, Italy | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | *E-mail: l.redivo@qmul.ac.uk | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | Abstract | | |
| 16 | Amperometric biosensor utilizing FAD-dependent glucose dehydrogenase (FAD-GDH) for a specific | | |
| 17 | sucrose monitoring in green coffee is described. FAD-GDH was co-immobilized with invertase and mutarotase on | | |
| 18 | a thin-layer gold planar electrode using chitosan. The biosensor showed a wide linearity (from 10 to 1200 μ M), | | |
| 19 | low detection limit (8.4 μ M), fast response time (50 s), and appeared to be O2 independent. In addition the | | |
| 20 | biosensors exhibited a good operational (3 days) and storage (1 year) stability. Finally, the results achieved from | | |
| 21 | the biosensor measurements of sucrose in 17 samples of green coffee (Coffea arabica, C. canephora and C. liberica) | | |
| 22 | were compared with those obtained by the standard HPLC method. The good correlation among results of real | | |
| 23 | samples, satisfactory analytical performance and simple use of the presented biosensor make it suitable for | | |
| 24 | application in coffee industry. | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | Keywords: Sucrose; Biosensor; Green coffee; Rapid analysis, Amperometry | | |
| 27 | 1. Introduction | | |
| 28 | Coffee is the most commercialized food product and most widely consumed beverage in the world. In | | |
| 29 | 2010, coffee production reached 8.1 million tons worldwide which represents more than 500 billion cups. The cup | | |
| | | | |

30 quality is affected primarily by the composition of green coffee being influenced with agricultural practices, 31 environmental factors, variety and maturity (Farah, 2012). Sucrose is one of the major constituent of green coffee 32 and is responsible for coffee flavour and quality (Yigzaw Dessaleng et al., 2007). It is an important precursor of 33 taste and aroma developed during the roasting process. Besides Borém et al. (2016) recently found that the level 34 of sucrose is a good discriminant marker for the beverage quality. For instance, the higher sucrose content is one 35 of the reasons for the superior aroma and overall flavour of Arabica coffee in comparison to Robusta one. In fact, 36 Arabica contains from about 6 to 11 % and Robusta from 3 to 7 % of sucrose in green beans (Ky et al. 2001; 37 Campa et al., 2004; Knopp et al., 2006; Farah, 2012).

38 Several methods have been used for determination of sucrose in green coffee, including high performance 39 liquid chromatography (HPLC; O'Driscoll, 2014; Borém et al., 2016), anion-exchange chromatography coupled 40 to pulsed amperometric detection (Ky et al., 2001), enzymatic spectrophotometric method (Alcázar et al., 2005), 41 near infrared spectroscopy (Aluka et al., 2016; Santos et al., 2016). However, these methods require expensive 42 laboratory equipment and educated personnel. Moreover, the HPLC analyses are time-consuming. Biosensors can 43 represent an alternative method to overcome these drawbacks (Monošík et al. 2012a). They exhibit rapid response, 44 high selectivity, cost effectiveness, and they provide an option to perform analysis in situ due to their ability to be 45 miniaturized. Various enzymatic compositions and detection principles were described for the construction of 46 sucrose biosensors. Sole invertase (INV) was used for the thermometric (Thavarungkul et al., 1999) or fluorescent 47 (Bagal-Kestwal et al., 2015) biosensors. The combination of INV, glucose oxidase (GOX) and mutarotase (MUT) 48 was employed for conductometric (Soldatkin et al., 2013; Pyeshkova et al., 2015) and amperometric 49 (Surareungchai et al., 1999; Gouda et al., 2001; Majer-Baranyi et al., 2008). The simultaneous use of INV and 50 fructose dehydrogenase (FDH) was presented, too. However, FDH is relatively expensive enzyme and the GOX 51 based biosensors are susceptible to oxygen concentration in the measuring media, which can lead to a decrease in 52 the signal, and underestimation of measured values in cases where artificial mediators are used (Tang et al., 2001). 53 Recently we have proposed the implementation of FAD-dependent glucose dehydrogenase (FAD-GDH) in the 54 biosensor for glucose analyses in various beverages (Monošík et al., 2012b). This commercially available 55 convenient enzyme exhibits no dependency on oxygen and a high stability.

The aim of the present study was to develop a sucrose biosensor based on the combination of three enzymes (INV, MUT, FAD-GDH) suitable for the rapid and selective sucrose analysis in green coffee and compatible with the portable analytical device Omnilab currently serving beverage producers as an alternative to classic analytical methods.

61 2. Experimental

62

63 2.1. Materials

64 Glucose dehydrogenase FAD-dependent (GDH-FAD, 1160 U mg⁻¹ solid) was purchased from Sekisui Diagnostic (Tokyo, Japan), and is reported to have been isolated for Aspergillus sp., invertase and mutarotase from 65 Sorachim (Lausanne, Switzerland). Meldola blue, Azure A, Azure C, methylene blue, thionine, N-66 67 methylphenazonium methyl sulfate, sucrose, trehalose and chitosan from shrimp shells (85% deacetylated) were 68 supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Potassium phosphate monobasic and potassium phosphate dibasic 69 were purchased from Riedel-de Haen (Seelze, Germany). Water deionized by a Millipore Milli-Q purification 70 system was used. All chemicals used were of analytical grade. Gold planar electrodes with diameter of 1.6 mm 71 equipped with Ag/AgCl reference electrode (diameter 2 mm, screen-printed) deposited on the planar glass-epoxy-72 laminate substrate were obtained from Biorealis (Bratislava, Slovakia).

Nine different samples of green *Coffea arabica* L. beans (geographical origin: El Salvador, India,
Ethiopia, Brazil, Indonesia, Tanzania, Colombia), five different samples of green *C. canephora* Pierre ex Froehner
var. robusta beans (geographical origin: Indonesia, Ivory Coast, Vietnam, Tanzania, Cameroon) and three different
samples of green *C. liberica* Bull ex Hiern beans (geographical origin: Indonesia) from commercial lots were used. *C. arabica* sample from El Salvador was a Low Caffeine Bourbon (BLC) cultivar.

78

79 *2.2. Apparatus*

80 Electrochemical measurements were performed with electrochemical analyzers Autolab M101 (Methrom
81 Autolab, Netherlands) and Omnilab from Biorealis (Bratislava, Slovakia)

Reference HPLC assays were run on Waters 600E HPLC System (Waters, Milford, USA) equipped with
the refractometer detector (model PU 4026, Philips, Eindhoven, Netherlands).

84

85 2.3. Preparation of biosensors

The planar gold electrodes were cleaned with Milli-Q water and ethanol. The immobilization of the enzymes on the electrode surface was carried out by their sandwiching between (1 % w/w) chitosan layers. Each layer was deposited after the previous one was dried. All enzymes were dissolved in Milli-Q water before procedure. The prepared biosensors were stored at room temperature in a desiccator until use. The details on thequantities of enzymes are given in Results and Discussion.

91

92 2.4. Preparation of green coffee samples

Green coffee beans were ground to a fine powder using a mixer mill Retsch MM400 (Retsch GmbH.,
Germany). Then 2 g of each sample were deposited into a 100-mL flask, mixed with 40 ml of deionized water,
heated up to the boiling point agitated and left slowly until laboratory temperature. The extracts were subsequently
filtered through a fine paper.

97

98 2.5. Amperometric measurements

99 Electrochemical measurements were performed with electrochemical analyzers Autolab M101 (Methrom 100 Autolab, Netherlands) and Omnilab from Biorealis (Bratislava, Slovakia). Chronoamperometry was performed by 101 applying selected constant potential (vs. Ag/AgCl) after inserting the biosensor in volume of a measuring solution 102 either 1 mL in microtube or 10 mL in beaker under stirring at laboratory temperature. Values from -300 mV to 103 +300 mV were tested for the optimization of working potential. The pH values of a 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution 104 (PBS) were optimized from pH 5.0 to 8.0. Similarly, the suitable concentrations of electrochemical mediators 105 (from 0.1 to 2 mM) in the working media were also investigated. The biosensors were stored after measurements 106 in 0.1 M PBS of pH 6.0 at laboratory temperature (up to 10 hours) or at 4 °C (for longer operational stability 107 studies). The biosensors were kept dry in a desiccator at laboratory temperature for the storage stability studies.

108

109 2.6. HPLC analysis

110 Reference HPLC assays of sucrose were run on Waters 600E HPLC System (Waters, Milford, USA) equipped with the refractometer detector (model PU 4026, Philips, Eindhoven, Netherlands). The analytical 111 112 conditions were as follows: column Polymer IEX in H⁺ form 250 mm x 8 mm, 8µm in diameter (Watrex, 113 Bratislava, Slovakia); column temperature 80 °C and pressure 300 Psi; mobile phase Milli-Q water; flow rate 1.0 114 mL min⁻¹. Data were collected and processed by Clarity chromatography station DataApex (Prague, Czech 115 Republic). Samples were diluted in a mobile phase and filtered through 0.22 µm Chromafil AO filters, Macherey-116 Nagel (Dűren, Germany) prior to analysis. Sugars were identified by comparison with retention times and co-117 elution of authentic standard solutions.

119 3. Results and discussion

120 The principle of the presented biosensor is illustrated in Figure 1. It is based on the amperometric detection 121 of reduced electron acceptor, further referred to as mediator (Med), which is generated during the course of the 122 GDH-FAD-catalyzed oxidation of β -D-glucose formed from sucrose by the co-immobilized INV and MUT. The 123 GDH-FAD enzyme was previously employed in the development of glucose specific biosensor and its specificity 124 is reported in the work by (Monošík R. et al., 2012b). From this study, the high specificity for β -D-glucose of 125 GDH-FAD enzyme was proved against other sugars, alcohols, and acids. The reduced mediator is oxidized on the 126 electrode surface and the resulting current proportional to the analyte concentration is measured. Gülce et al. (1995) 127 reported that phosphate ions used in the medium at a high concentration catalyse the conversion of α -glucose to 128 β -glucose, eliminating the need for MUT. When we applied the high level of phosphates instead of MUT the 129 biosensor response became sluggish. Another possible principal problem of the used enzyme cascade comes from 130 the fact that glucose presented in real samples could cause an interference, but its content in green coffee is 131 negligible in comparison with sucrose (Knopp et al., 2006; Smrke et al., 2015). Besides small amounts of glucose 132 in coffee samples did not influence the results obtained by the sucrose biosensor because differential measurements 133 were applied and the signal obtained by the biosensor without invertase (measuring only glucose) was subtracted 134 from the signal of the sucrose biosensor (measuring sucrose + glucose).

135

136 *3.1. Optimization of biocatalytic layer*

137 The quantities of enzymes on the electrode surfaces were optimized from 0.5 to 15 U. The optimal 138 amounts of 6.0 U of FAD-GDH, 1.75 U of MUT, and 2.5 U of INV were found for immobilization on the electrode. 139 Higher enzyme loadings induce the significant current decrease, which is probably caused by a partial blocking of 140 the electrode surface with the large mass of protein. By contrary, lower enzyme quantities led to the decline of 141 biosensor sensitivities and narrow linear ranges. The enzymes were immobilized on the electrode surface by their 142 sandwiching between chitosan layers. Similarly, to our previous works (Monošík et al., 2012b; Monošík et al., 143 2013), the chitosan concentration of 1 % (w/w) showed the best results. Finally, the addition of 1.5% of trehalose 144 in the solution of enzymes before their spreading on the electrodes improved the sensibility and stability of the 145 biosensors. The use of trehalose is a common practice to improve the long-term stability and activity of enzymes, 146 especially in the dried state, which is the condition for the storage of the biosensor. The long-term storage stability 147 results in an improved enzyme functionality and therefore sensor sensitivity. The mechanism of action of trehalose 148 is explained in more details in other works (Kaushik & Bhat, 2003; Olsson, Jansson, & Swenson, 2016).

150 *3.2. Optimization of working conditions*

The pH of working media is a very important factor affecting the biosensor performance, particularly in the case of multienzymatic biosensors. The pH dependence of the presented biosensor was investigated over the range from 5.0 to 8.0 in 0.1 M PBS. The highest relative response was obtained at pH 5.75 which corresponds to the optimum of FAD-GDH (Monošík et al. 2012b) and it is the compromise between the optimum values of INV (3.5-4.0) and MUT (7.4) given by their supplier. The concentration of the PBS showed a low effect in the range from 0.025 to 2.0 M, and next experiments were performed in 0.1 M PBS.

157 The selection of a good electrochemical mediator is important for the good functionality, sensitivity and 158 selectivity of the amperometric biosensors. The suitable mediator accelerates an electron transport from the 159 enzyme to the electrode surface and determines the working potential. The possibility to apply low potential allows 160 a substantial reduction of eventual interferences coming from electroactive compounds presented in real samples, 161 such as polyphenols, ascorbate, etc. Green coffee contains a very high quantity of polyphenols (various chlorogenic 162 acids), up to 12% of its dry weight (Farah, 2012). Chlorogenic acids showed oxidation peaks about +225 mV 163 against Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Šeruga & Tomac, 2014). It means lower working potentials should be used 164 to eliminate this interfering current of the oxidation of chlorogenic acids during sucrose measurements with 165 biosensors. The use of mediators from groups of phenothiazine or phenoxazine dyes allows working at low 166 potentials. Monošík et al. (2012b) utilized N-methylphenazonium methyl sulfate at +50 mV for the glucose 167 biosensor based on FAD-GDH. Here we tested the following dyes: N-methylphenazonium methyl sulfate, Meldola 168 blue, Azure A, Azure C, methylene blue and thionine. All of them showed the highest biosensoric responses 169 between -200 and +50 mV (vs. Ag/AgCl). The best results derived from the use of 0.5 mM Azure C at -100 mV, 170 which we chose for next study. No interferences from green coffee extracts were observed at these conditions 171 using the bare electrode without enzymes. Therefore, this potential permits satisfactory sucrose measurement 172 sensitivities and simultaneously avoids undesirable interferences.

173

174 *3.3. Analytical performance*

175 The analytical studies were performed at the optimal working conditions in 1 mL of PBS in microtube 176 under stirring (at laboratory temperature) by additions of 10 mM sucrose solution. The resulting calibration plot 177 (Figure 2) was linear over the range from 10 to 1200 μ M with a correlation coefficients R² = 0.998 (n=11) (the 178 equation is reported below). 179 $y = 7.030 (\pm 4.772) + 0.647 (\pm 0.008) \times Sucrose concentration (\mu M)$ 180 The biosensor showed a detection limit of 8.4 μ M with the sensitivity of 0.65 nA μ M⁻¹. Limit of detection is based 181 on signal/noise = 5. The time required to reach steady-state response was 50s. These results are comparable to 182 those obtained with the amperometric biosensors reported previously (Surareungchai et al., 1999; Gouda et al., 183 2001; Majer-Baranyi et al., 2008; Vargas et al., 2013; Antiochia et al., 2014). 184

185 *3.4. Reproducibility and stability*

The reproducibility of the biosensor measurements was carried-out by consecutive addition of 10 μL of
standard sucrose solution (10mM) in 1 mL PBS solution. The average response of the biosensor was 71.3 ± 1.8
nA (n=10, R.S.D.= 2.28%). This finding confirms the reliability of the biosensor for analysis of real samples.

Long-term storage stability of biosensors is one of the most important parameters in case of their potential commercial use. Humidity and high temperatures are the most negative factors, which can affect the storage stability of enzymatic biosensors. The presented biosensors held in a desiccator at room temperature without use, kept more than 90% of the initial response ability at least after 12 months. Moreover, they were resistant against 50 °C heat for at least 5 days, which proves the stability of the sensor for shipment also in summer. The stability monitoring yet continues. Among the described sucrose biosensors only the one reported by Antiochia et al. (2014) showed the comparable stability retaining 80% of the original response after 4 months.

196 Operational stability is also required for the evaluation of biosensor performance because describes the 197 stability of the biosensor during routine analysis. To assess the capability of the sucrose biosensor for routine 198 analysis standard sucrose solutions were measured in various intervals to simulate a real use. Between 199 measurements, biosensors were stored in PBS at laboratory temperature and overnight at 4°C. The biosensors did 200 not show any loss of activity after 60 analyses in a row and after 24 hours of use. All of them exhibited response 201 ability above 75% after 4 days. Some sucrose biosensors based on combination of INV and GOX (Gülce et al., 202 1995; Surareungchai et al., 1999) or FDH (Vargas et al., 2013; Antiochia et al., 2014) showed comparable or better 203 operational stabilities. But these biosensors are constructed using classical disc electrodes (Pt, Au, carbon paste) 204 which are not convenient for a low-cost mass production. On the other hand, the here presented biosensor, have a 205 simple concept, and is based on commercially available cheap planar electrodes, which are easily processable, and 206 the enzyme and chitosan layers could be deposited onto the planar substrate by well-known printing techniques. 207 Thus, a more frequent sensor exchange is acceptable.

209 3.5. Sucrose analysis in green coffee

210 Although the biosensor showed good analytical performance when using pure sucrose solutions, it was 211 necessary to assess the performance of the biosensor with respect to more complex real samples and to compare 212 the results with those obtained by a standard analytical method. It is an important step for a verification of 213 biosensor's accurateness to measure real samples. Considering the linear range of the biosensor and the sugar 214 levels in green coffee, the extraction by the 20-fold amount of water allowed direct biosensoric analyses without 215 further dilution and any other pre-treatment. The sucrose determination was performed by successive injecting 10 216 µL of sample and calibration solution in 1 mL of PBS of pH 5.75 containing 0.5 mM Azure C. The measurements 217 of 17 green coffee (C. arabica, C. canephora and C. liberica) samples were performed simultaneously with the 218 standard HPLC method (Table 1). A satisfactory correlation was obtained between the biosensor and the HPLC 219 techniques results.

Figure 3 compares the performance of the proposed biosensor against the HPLC method. The obtained correlationequation and its linearity are reported below.

 $y = 0.059(\pm 0.311) + 0.995(\pm 0.047)x$ $R^2 = 0.965$

The correlation between the two set of data is good, as evident from the slope of the fitted line very close to 1 and the low intercept value. These data confirm the validity of the proposed biosensor for accurate and reliable sucrose analysis in green coffee beans.

As expected, no interferences coming from green coffee constituents were observed at the selected measuring conditions. This opens the possibility to adopt the rapid, easy and convenient application of the presented sucrose biosensor by coffee industry. The content of fructose and glucose measured by HPLC in the used samples was negligible. Only some African green coffee samples (Ethiopia, Cameroon, Tanzania, and Ivory Coast) contained slightly higher amounts of glucose (from 0.23 to 0.42 %) and fructose (from 0.24 to 0.95 %). These data were confirmed also by measuring with the glucose and fructose specific biosensors, described previously by our group (Monošík et al., 2012b; 2013).

233

234 4. Conclusions

A novel multienzymatic biosensor selectively quantifying sucrose in green coffee based on commercially available materials is reported. INV, MUT and FAD-GDH were co-immobilized between chitosan layers on the surface of thin-layer planar gold electrodes. The simple and effective immobilization technique provided longterm storage stability, low fabrication costs, and good analytical performance. The biosensor exhibited a wide linear range (10-1200 μ M), low detection limit (8.4 μ M), high sensitivity (0.65 nA μ M⁻¹), short measuring time (50 s) and interference-free measurements. It was successfully applied to sucrose analysis in green coffee samples, and validated through comparison with the reference HPLC method. Performance characteristics of this useful analytical tool make it appropriate for coffee industry, as valid alternative of standard analytical techniques. The developed biosensor is fully compatible with the small commercial biosensoric devices Omnilab and is now commercially available.

245

246 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Competence Center for SMART Technologies for Electronics and Informatics Systems and Services, ITMS 26240220072, funded by the Research&Development Operational Programme from the ERDF. LR would like to acknowledge the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 642014 (IPCOS).

251

252 References

- Alcázar, A., Jurado, J. M., Martín, J., Pablos, F. & González, A. G. (2005). Enzymatic-spectrophotometric
 determination of sucrose in coffee beans. *Talanta*, 67, 760-766
- 255 Aluka, P., Davrieux, F., Ngugi, K., Omaria, R., Gerard, F., Leroy, T., Dufour, M. & Fabrice, P. (2016). The
- diversity of green bean biochemical compounds in robusta coffee (*Coffea canephora* Pierre ex A. Froehner)
- as evaluated by near infrared spectroscopy. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, *12*, 1-13.
- Antiochia, R., Gorton, L. & Mannina, L. (2014). Rapid determination of sucrose in fruit juices: A new sensitive
 carbon nanotube paste osmium-polymer mediated biosensor. *Journal of Food Research*, *3*, 101-112.
- Bagal-Kestwal, D., Kestwal, R. M. & Chiang, B.-H. (2015). Invertase-nanogold clusters decorated plant
 membranes for fluorescence-based sucrose sensor. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, *13*, 30-40.
- Borém, F. M., Figueiredo L. P., Ribeiro F. C., Taveira J. H. S., Giomo G. S. & Salva T. J. G. (2016). The
 relationship between organic acids, sucrose and the quality of specialty coffees. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, *11*, 709-717.
- 265 Campa, C., Ballester, J.F., Doulbeau, S., Dussert, S., Hamon S. & Noirot M. (2004). Trigonelline and sucrose
 266 diversity in wild Coffea species. *Food Chemistry*, 88, 39-43.

- Farah, A. (2012). Coffee: Emerging Health Effects and Disease Prevention. In Yi-Fang Chu (Eds.). *Coffee constituents* (pp. 21-58). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Gouda, M. D., Thakur, M. S. & Karanth, N. G. (2001). Optimization of the multi-enzyme system for sucrose
 biosensor by response surface methodology. *World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology*, *17*, 595-600.
- 271 Gülce, H., Celebi, S. S., Özyörük, H. & Yildiz, A. (1995). Amperometric enzyme electrode for sucrose
- determination prepared from glucose oxidase and invertase co-immobilizedin poly(vinylferrocenium). *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 397, 217-223.
- Kaushik, J. K., & Bhat, R. (2003). Why is trehalose an exceptional protein stabilizer? An analysis of the thermal
 stability of proteins in the presence of the compatible osmolyte trehalose. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*,
 276 278, 26458–26465.
- Knopp, S., Bytof, G. & Selmar, D. (2006). Influence of processing on the content of sugars in green Arabica coffee
 beans. *European Food Research Technology*, 223, 195-201.
- Ky, C.-L., Louarn, J., Dussert, S., Guyot, B., Hamon, S. & Noirot, M. (2001). Caffeine, trigonelline, chlorogenic
 acids and sucrose diversity in wild *Coffea arabica* L. and *C. canephora* P. accessions. *Food Chemistry*, *75*,
 223-230.
- Majer-Baranyi, K., Adányi, N. & Váradi, M. (2008). Investigation of a multienzyme based amperometric biosensor
 for determination of sucrose in fruit juices. *European Food Research Technology*, 228, 139-144.
- 284 Monošík, R., Stredansky, M., Tkáč, J., & Šturdík, E. (2012a). Application of enzyme biosensors in analysis of
 285 food and beverages. *Food Analytical Methods*, *5*, 40-53.
- 286 Monošík, R., Stredansky, M., Lušpai, K., Magdolen, P. & Šturdík, E. (2012b). Amperometric glucose biosensor
- utilizing FAD-dependent glucose dehydrogenase immobilized on nanocomposite electrode. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 50, 227-232.
- 289 Monošík, R., Magdolen, P., Stredansky, M. & Šturdík, E. (2013). Monitoring of monosaccharides,
 290 oligosaccharides, ethanol and glycerol during wort fermentation by biosensors, HPLC and
 291 spectrophotometry. *Food Chemistry*, *138*, 220-226.
- O'Driscoll, D. J. (2014). Analysis of coffee bean extracts by use of ultra-performance liquid chromatography
 coupled to quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *MethodsX*, 1, 264-268.
- Olsson, C., Jansson, H., & Swenson, J. (2016). The Role of Trehalose for the Stabilization of Proteins. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, *120*, 4723–4731.

- 296 Pyeshkova, V. M., Dudchenko, O. Y., Soldatkin, O. O., Kasap, B. O., Lagarde, F., Kurç, B. A. & Dzyadevych, S.
- V. (2015). Application of silicalite-modified electrode for the development of sucrose biosensor with
 improved characteristics. *Nanoscale Research Letters*, *10*, 149-155.
- 299 Santos, J. R., Viegas, O., Páscoa, R. N. M. J., Ferreira, I. M. P. L. V. O., Rangel, A. O. S. S. & Lopes, J. A. (2016).
- In-line monitoring of the coffee roasting process with near infrared spectroscopy: Measurement of sucroseand colour. *Food Chemistry*, 208, 103-110.
- Šeruga, M. & Tomac, I. (2014). Electrochemical behaviour of some chlorogenic acids and their characterization
 in coffee by square-wave voltammetry. *International Journal of Electrochemical Science*, *9*, 6134-6154.
- Smrke, S., Kroslakova, I., Gloess, A. N. & Yeretzian, C. (2015). Differentiation of degrees of ripeness of *Catuai* and *Tipica* green coffee by chromatographical and statistical techniques. *Food Chemistry*, *174*, 637-642.
- 306 Soldatkin, O.O., Peshkova, V.M., Saiapina, O. Y., Kucherenko, I. S., Dudchenko, O. Y., Melnyk, V. G.,
- 307 Vasylenko, O. D., Semenycheva, L. M., Soldatkin, A. P. & Dzyadevych, S. V. (2013). Development of
- 308 conductometric biosensor array for simultaneous determination of maltose, lactose, sucrose and glucose.
 309 *Talanta*, *15*, 200-207.
- Surareungchai, W., Worasing, S., Sritongkum, P., Tanticharoen, M. & Kirtikara, K. (1999). Dual electrode signal subtracted biosensor for simultaneous flow injection determination of sucrose and glucose. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 380, 7-15.
- Tang, Z. R., Louie, R. F., Lee, J. H., Lee, D. M., Miller, E. E. & Kost, G. J. (2001). Oxygen effects on glucose
 meter measurements with glucose dehydrogenase- and oxidase-based test strips for point-of-care testing.
 Critical Care Medicine, 29, 1062-70.
- Thavarungkul, P., Suppapitnarm, P., Kanatharana, P. & Mattiasson, B. (1999). Batch injection analysis for the
 determination of sucrose in sugar cane juice using immobilized invertase and thermometric detection. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 14, 19-25.
- 319 Vargas, E., Gamella, M., Campuzano, S., Guzmán-Vázquez de Prada, A., Ruiz, M.A., Reviejo, A.J. & Pingarrón,
- 320 J.M. (2013). Development of an integrated electrochemical biosensor for sucrose and its implementation in
- a continuous flow system for the simultaneous monitoring of sucrose, fructose and glucose. *Talanta*, *10*, 593100.
- 323 Yigzaw Dessaleng, Labuschagne, M. T., Osthoff, G. & Herselman, L. (2007). Variation for green bean caffeine,
- 324 chlorogenic acid, sucrose and trigolline contents among Ethiopian arabica coffee accessions. *Ethiopian*
- **325** *Journal of Science*, *30*, 77-82.







346 Table 1 Sucrose content analysis in 17 different green coffee beans using the developed biosensor and a

347 comparative HPLC method.

| Green coffee sample | Sucrose by | Sucrose by |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| _ | biosensor (% | HPLC (% |
| | w/w) | w/w) |
| Arabica BLC | 8.66 ± 0.22 | 8.51 ± 0.30 |
| Arabica India | 7.36 ± 0.18 | 7.14 ± 0.25 |
| Arabica Ethiopia | 8.60 ± 0.31 | 8.63 ± 0.31 |
| Arabica Brazil 1 | 8.87 ± 0.78 | 9.74 ± 0.35 |
| Arabica Brazil 2 | 6.07 ± 0.18 | 5.75 ± 0.21 |
| Arabica Brazil 3 | 7.41 ± 0.80 | 7.60 ± 0.27 |
| Arabica Indonesia | 7.83 ± 0.38 | 7.27 ± 0.25 |
| Arabica Tanzania | 7.64 ± 0.23 | 7.88 ± 0.28 |
| Arabica Colombia | 7.40 ± 0.62 | 7.00 ± 0.24 |
| Robusta Indonesia | 3.98 ± 0.26 | 4.45 ± 0.18 |
| Robusta Ivory Cost | 3.84 ± 0.16 | 3.61 ± 0.15 |
| Robusta Vietnam | 3.16 ± 0.14 | 3.19 ± 0.13 |
| Robusta Tanzania | 4.38 ± 0.19 | 4.45 ± 0.19 |

| Robusta Cameroon | 3.55 ± 0.21 | 3.80 ± 0.15 |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Liberica Indonesia 1 | 5.89 ± 0.44 | 5.84 ± 0.22 |
| Liberica Asia | 6.76 ± 0.25 | 7.08 ± 0.25 |
| Liberica Indonesia 2 | 6.22 ± 0.12 | 6.20 ± 0.23 |