A genome for gnetophytes and early evolution of seed plants
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Abstract

Gnetophytes are an enigmatic gymnosperm lineage comprising three genera, *Gnetum*, *Welwitschia* and *Ephedra*, which are morphologically distinct from all other seed plants. Their distinctiveness has triggered much debate as to their origin, evolution, and phylogenetic placement amongst seed plants. To increase our understanding of the evolution of gnetophytes, and their relation to other gymnosperms and seed plants, we report here a high-quality draft genome sequence for *Gnetum montanum* - the first for any gnetophyte. By using a novel genome assembly strategy to deal with high levels of heterozygosity, we assembled > 4 Gb of sequence encoding 27,491 protein-coding genes. Comparative analysis of the *G. montanum* genome with other gymnosperm genomes unveiled some remarkable and distinctive genomic features, such as a diverse assemblage of retrotransposons with evidence for elevated frequencies of elimination rather than accumulation, considerable differences in intron architecture, including both length distribution and proportions of (retro) transposon elements, and distinctive patterns of proliferation of functional protein domains. Furthermore, a few gene families showed *Gnetum*-specific copy number expansions (e.g. CesA) or contractions (e.g. LEA), which could be connected with *Gnetum’s* distinctive morphological innovations associated with their adaptation to warm, mesic environments. Overall, the *G. montanum* genome enables a better resolution of ancestral genomic features within seed plants, and the identification of genomic characters that distinguish *Gnetum* from other gymnosperms.

Introduction

The seed plants today are represented by five distinct lineages: the species-rich angiosperms (flowering plants, c. 352,000 species) and four gymnosperm lineages (which together comprise c. 1,000 species and encompass cycads, *Ginkgo biloba*, conifers and gnetophytes). It is apparent from their long fossil record (dating back to the Late Devonian c. 360 million years ago (Mya)) that considerably greater seed plant diversity existed in the past. Nevertheless, widespread extinctions among many
gymnosperm lineages means that today’s gymnosperms are only a relic of their
former diversity, and this has presented a major challenge for reconstructing
evolutionary relationships between the extant lineages\(^2\). Probably the most
controversial outstanding question in plant evolution is the phylogenetic position of
gnetophytes\(^3\) (comprising the genera *Gnetum*, *Welwitschia* and *Ephedra*, Fig. 1) in
relation to the other seed plant lineages. Apparent morphological similarities with
angiosperms, such as vessel-like water conducting cells, double fertilization, and leaf
morphologies with reticulate venation, have historically led to the proposition that
gnetophytes form a group that is sister to angiosperms (termed the ‘Anthophyte
hypothesis’)\(^4,5\). That hypothesis has, however, largely been rejected by molecular
phylogenetic data and a deeper understanding of the developmental pathways that
lead to similar morphological features. Nevertheless, the use of molecular data has
also been problematic in inferring the exact phylogenetic position of gnetophytes,
with topologies differing depending on the type of sequence data (e.g. plastid versus
nuclear genes, nucleotide versus amino acid data) and analytical approach used (e.g.
maximum parsimony, maximum likelihood, Bayesian, multispecies coalescent based
methods)\(^6-8\). Consequently, several possible hypotheses have been put forward that
place gnetophytes as sister to: (i) Pinaceae (‘Gnepine’ hypothesis); (ii) cupressophytes
(‘Gnecup’ hypothesis); (iii) all conifers (‘Gnetifer’ hypothesis); (iv) all other
gymnosperms; or (v) all seed plants\(^9\). Currently, the emerging consensus, based on
both older and more recent studies, and recently released data from the 1KP initiative
(see https://sites.google.com/a/ualberta.ca/onekp/, and Wickett et al. (8)), indicates
that gnetophytes are sister to, or within, the conifers.

So far, the availability of whole genome sequences for gymnosperms has been limited
to conifers (specifically to Pinaceae)\(^10-13\) and *G. biloba*\(^14\), with no whole genome
assemblies available for the two remaining major seed plant lineages - cycads and
gnetophytes. This deficiency, together with the conflicting phylogenetic evidence for
relationships among these groups, is impeding our understanding of genome evolution
across all seed plants. Here, we present a high-quality draft genome of *G. montanum,*
the first for gnetophytes. The availability of this genome, as well as survey sequence
data and transcriptome data from other vascular plants (including novel data from
gnetophytes *Ephedra* and *Welwitschia*), enables us to compare genomic characters
with *G. biloba*, conifers, angiosperms and non-seed plants. Comparisons within
gymnosperms, and between gymnosperms and angiosperms, highlight the unique
nature of the *Gnetum* genome, providing new insights into patterns of genome
divergence across seed plants.

**Genome assembly and annotation**

The genome of *G. montanum* (2n = 44) is small compared with other gymnosperms
(flow cytometry: 4.2 Gb / 1C; k-mer analysis: 4.11 Gb), and is highly heterozygous
and rich in repeats (Supplementary Fig. 1a-c, and Supplementary Note 1). To
overcome problems caused by repeats and heterozygosity, we generated deep
coverage (~302 ×, Supplementary Table 1) Illumina sequence data and applied a
novel genome assembly strategy (Supplementary Note 2, Supplementary Fig. 2) to
assemble 4.07 Gb of sequence (contig N50 size = 25.02 kb, scaffold N50 size =
475.17 kb, Supplementary Table 2), to which > 99% of genome reads, > 90% ESTs
and > 99% of BACs were mapped (Supplementary Fig. 1d, e, Supplementary Table 3
and Note 3).

A total of 27,491 protein-coding genes were predicted from this assembly
(Supplementary Table 4 and Note 4), 97% of which were supported by orthology (> 50% coverage of high-scoring segment pair, Supplementary Fig. 3a) with existing
protein sequences and/or RNA-seq data from multiple tissues (Supplementary Table
5). A BUSCO analysis to assess the quality of the genome and annotation
completeness suggested that 81% of the genes have been recovered (Supplementary
Table 6). Unlike conifer genomes, which contain numerous pseudogenes\(^{(15)}\) (e.g. 8,328 in *Picea abies*, 13,550 in *Pinus taeda*), many fewer were found in the *G. montanum*
genome (3,122, Supplementary Note 5). The read depth distribution across genic
regions (Supplementary Fig. 3b) suggested little sequence redundancy caused by
heterozygosity (see Supplementary Fig. 3c for further confirmation of gene assembly quality).

Repetitive sequence dynamics

Repetitive sequences have been shown to account for the major component of all gymnosperm genomes that have been sequenced to date\textsuperscript{11-14}, with diverse and ancient transposable elements (TEs), especially LTR retrotransposons (LTR-RTs), being particularly prevalent. Overall, the repetitive element content of \textit{G. montanum} was also high (85.9\%) and dominated by LTR-RTs (especially \textit{gypsy}-like elements), which comprised 77.4\% of the genome (Supplementary Table 8 and Supplementary Note 6). The genome assembly of \textit{G. montanum} is likely to be sufficient to represent most of the LTR-RTs, since their length is typically around 25 kb\textsuperscript{16}, whilst 90\% of the scaffolds are larger than 34 kb. Phylogenetic reconstructions of the reverse transcriptase domains of LTR-RTs in \textit{G. montanum} and \textit{P. taeda} revealed that most of the \textit{gypsy}- and \textit{copia}-like elements in \textit{G. montanum} were restricted to just a few clades, representing only a small minority of the diversity encountered in \textit{P. taeda} (Supplementary Fig. 4, Supplementary Note 6).

Comparative analyses of repeats identified by RepeatExplorer using survey sequence data from multiple gnetophytes (\textit{G. montanum}, \textit{G. gnemon}, \textit{W. mirabilis} and \textit{E. altissima}) and \textit{P. taeda} revealed substantial differences in the abundance of the major repeat classes (Supplementary Fig. 5a, Supplementary Table 9 and Supplementary Notes 1, 7). Further, the majority of individual repeat types (repeat clusters in RepeatExplorer) were shown to be species-specific (i.e. containing Illumina reads from just one species, data not shown). The species-specific nature of the repeat profiles probably reflects the long estimated divergence times between species (e.g. the two \textit{Gnetum} species likely diverged between c. 25 Mya and 75 Mya\textsuperscript{17,18}).

Previously, it was reported from conifers and \textit{G. biloba} that LTR-RTs have
accumulated steadily over the last c. 25 Mya, especially between 16-24 Mya, a process contributing to their large genome sizes\textsuperscript{11,12,14}. This interpretation is consistent with the data here (Supplementary Table 10), which shows that most LTR-RTs in conifers are intact (solo LTR / intact LTR ratio ranged from 0.16:1 to 0.72:1, Supplementary Table 10). It is notable that the solo LTR / intact LTR ratio was substantially higher in \textit{G. montanum} (~1.94:1), which together with its small genome and similar profile of accumulation (Supplementary Fig. 5b), suggests higher frequencies of LTR-RT elimination than amplification compared with \textit{G. biloba} and conifers.

Most angiosperm genomes analysed to date have far fewer ancient repeats and less divergent LTR-RT subsets than conifers and \textit{G. biloba}, presumably due to more efficient elimination and replacement processes operating within these angiosperm genomes\textsuperscript{19} (e.g. in \textit{Oryza sativa} the half-life of LTR-RTs is estimated to be less than five million years\textsuperscript{20}, leading to “genome turnover”\textsuperscript{21}). However, an exception to this pattern has been observed in \textit{Amborella trichopoda}. The genome of this species is considered to have retained many features that were likely present in the ancestral angiosperm genome\textsuperscript{22}. It is notable that its repeat content\textsuperscript{13} and lower abundance of intact LTR-RTs (i.e. solo LTR / intact LTR ratio = 2.43/1.0; Supplementary Table 10) is similar to that observed in \textit{G. montanum}. These observations suggest that neither \textit{A. trichopoda} nor \textit{G. montanum} genomes have experienced recent, extensive (retro) transposon activity, although they continue to eliminate repetitive sequences. Both these species seem to differ from conifers and \textit{G. biloba} with respect to the dynamics of repeat accumulation\textsuperscript{11,12,14}, and from other angiosperms in terms of the levels of repeat amplification/removal.

\textbf{Intron morphologies}

Although intron size has been positively correlated with genome size across eukaryotes as a whole\textsuperscript{23}, this trend does not translate well across broad and some narrow taxonomic distances in seed plants (Fig. 2a). Previous studies of \textit{G. biloba}\textsuperscript{14}
and conifers\textsuperscript{11,12} have reported larger introns than angiosperms, probably arising from
the long-term, steady amplification of LTR-RTs (Fig. 2b), as also observed here,
where LTR-RTs account for 51\% and 59\% of the large intron sequences in \emph{P. taeda}
and \emph{G. biloba}, respectively (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Table 12). The evolution of these
large introns may have arisen from similar repeat accumulation processes that are
operating across the genome as a whole.

When comparing these observations with introns of \emph{G. montanum}, it is apparent that
their introns are substantially smaller (minimum, mean and maximum intron lengths)
than those of \emph{P. taeda} and \emph{G. biloba} (Fig. 2a, see also statistics test in Supplementary
Table 11). In addition, the repeat composition of \emph{G. montanum}'s introns is dominated
by both long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs) as well as LTR-RTs, rather than
predominantly LTR-RTs, as in conifers and \emph{G. biloba} (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table
12). The correlation between smaller intron sizes and smaller genome size in \emph{G. montanum}
compared with conifers and \emph{G. biloba} may reflect the repeat dynamic
processes operating across its genome as a whole. In contrast, the variable length
distributions of introns in angiosperms suggest that the evolution of repeats in their
introns do not necessarily reflect the repeat dynamics observed across the rest of their
genomes\textsuperscript{24}. In the highly dynamic repetitive genome of \emph{Z. mays}, the profile of repeats
across the genome\textsuperscript{25} and within the whole intron set (Supplementary Fig. 6a) both
suggests many recent insertions. However, in \emph{A. trichopoda}, the intron sizes are
overall larger, and the genome size smaller than in \emph{Z. mays} (Fig. 2a, b). In addition, an
analysis of introns in \emph{A. trichopoda} and \emph{G. montanum} highlighted a closer similarity
to each other (in terms of length distributions, repeat composition and divergence)
than either species has to conifers and \emph{G. biloba}, despite a 4.8-fold difference in their
genome sizes (Fig. 2a, 2b, Supplementary Table 12).

Previous comparisons of orthologous introns have led to the suggestion that the
expansion of introns occurred early in the evolutionary history of conifers\textsuperscript{12}.
Comparisons of orthologous introns (with identical adjacent exons) between \emph{P. taeda}
and \emph{G. biloba} showed that introns identified as being long (\textgreater{} 6 kb) in \emph{P. taeda} were
also typically long in their orthologues in *G. biloba*, containing, in both cases, abundant LTR-RTs (both *gypsy*- and *copia*-like elements, Fig. 2c). These features were likely to have been present in their most recent common ancestor (MRCA).

Using similar approaches to analyse the length and repeat content of 4,348 orthologous introns of *G. montanum* shared with *P. taeda* (Supplementary Note 8) highlighted notable differences. Whilst the length of exons remained similar, a substantial fraction of orthologous genes had longer introns in *P. taeda* (Supplementary Fig. 6b). The introns identified as ‘short’ in *P. taeda* comprised c. 4% repeats, rising to c. 56% in ‘long’ introns, largely through the accumulation of LTR-RTs (especially *copia* elements) (Fig. 2d, Supplementary Table 13). In contrast, introns in *G. montanum* that are orthologous to the ‘long’ introns of *P. taeda* (36% of introns analysed) showed high proportions of LINEs. As with comparisons of all introns, pairwise comparisons of orthologous introns in *G. montanum* and *A. trichopoda* again showed some similarities in their introns, with both species having abundant LINEs (Fig. 2e). Collectively, these data reveal a different repeat dynamic within introns of *G. montanum* compared with the other gymnosperms.

**('Lack of') Whole genome duplication (WGD)**

All angiosperms are reported to have undergone at least one round of ancient WGD, and in many lineages WDGs are recurrent and ongoing\(^{26}\). In addition, a WGD event has been proposed at the base of all seed plants c. 341 Mya (= zeta WGD\(^{27}\)), although the underlying evidence for these two ancient WGD events has been recently questioned\(^{28}\). In gymnosperms, WDGs have been reported for conifers, *G. biloba* and cycad (a likely shared WGD\(^{14,29,30}\). Although recent polyploidy seems common in extant *Ephedra*\(^{31}\), evidence for ancient WDGs in gnetophytes is missing (Supplementary Note 9 and Supplementary Fig. 7), except for a WGD in *Welwitchia* which likely occurred after the divergence of its lineage from that leading to *Ephedra* (Supplementary Fig. 7)\(^{29}\). If indeed the ancient zeta WGD is shared by all seed plants, the absence of evidence for this event in gnetophytes is best explained by their faster
rates of gene evolution compared with other gymnosperms\textsuperscript{32,33}, erasing all evidence of this more than 300 million year old event (Supplementary Note 9 and Supplementary Fig. 7).

\textbf{Organization of functional protein domains}

To characterize the patterns of functional diversification in gene domains across land plants, we used principal component analysis (PCA) to analyse the number of pfam domains (conserved protein domains) in multiple species (Supplementary Note 10, Supplementary Table 13). Our approach showed that angiosperms formed a discrete cluster that was separate from the gymnosperms (Fig. 3a), with \textit{G. montanum} being an outlier. Indeed, heatmaps compiled from the pfam data that contributed most (top 10\%) to PCA1 and PCA2 showed that \textit{G. montanum} formed a clade with the lycophyte \textit{S. moellendorffii} and the moss \textit{Physcomitrella patens} (Fig. 3b), whilst the non-gnetophyte gymnosperms formed a separate clade (Fig. 3b).

Given the distinct distributions of \textit{G. montanum}, non-gnetophyte gymnosperms and angiosperms in the PCA analysis, the data suggest that significant functional diversification of the conserved protein domains has occurred since these major lineages split. It may be surprising given the long divergence times (\textit{c.} 300 Mya\textsuperscript{2}), that \textit{G. biloba} and conifers retain similar conserved domain organizations (with similar eigenvector values). This could reflect their relatively low substitution rates (on average 7 \times lower) compared with angiosperms\textsuperscript{33}.

An analysis of the pfam domain expansions that contributed most to the PCA1 and PCA2 distributions amongst angiosperms (except \textit{A. trichopoda}) included genes associated with flower and organ development (Supplementary Table 15). In contrast, non-gnetophyte gymnosperms showed large-scale specific expansions of pfam domains in genes associated with defence and secondary metabolism, as previously suggested (Supplementary Table 16)\textsuperscript{10,11}. The clustering of \textit{G. montanum} with non-seed plants in the heatmap (Fig. 3b) was a surprise, and may indicate the
approach has identified proteins that have diverged very little since the MRCA of seed plants. Nevertheless, such an explanation is at odds with the hypothesis that the genes of gnetophytes have diverged rapidly, given their comparatively high substitution rate compared with other gymnosperms.

**Growth form (shrubs and lianas) and leaf morphology**

Gnetophytes differ from other extant gymnosperms in growth form, with the unusual and distinct form of *Welwitschia*, the shrub habit of *Ephedra* and the shrub and liana habit and specialized leaf morphologies of *Gnetum*. Cellulose synthase (*CesA*) and cellulose synthase-like (*Csl*) genes are considered to play a role in influencing the biomechanical properties of the cell, hence potentially the distinctive growth forms of gnetophytes are associated with the divergence of these genes. To explore this hypothesis, *CesA* and *Csl* family members were examined in *G. montanum* and compared with those in other seed plants. The total number of *CesA* and *Csl* family members ranged about 3-fold amongst the seed plants analysed (*P. abies*, *P. taeda*, *A. trichopoda*, *A. thaliana* and *O. sativa*). However, only *G. montanum* showed a large expansion of the *Csl*B/H gene subfamily (to 20 genes, Supplementary Table 17), involving tandem duplications (Supplementary Fig. 9), and accounting for two-thirds of its total *Csl* gene repertoire. Furthermore, transcriptome analysis showed that these *Csl*B/H genes were differentially expressed in leaves, stems and roots of *G. montanum*, supporting an association with distinct growth forms and leaf morphologies (Supplementary Fig. 9). In contrast, all other species analysed, including *Welwitschia* and *Ephedra*, were seen to have only 1-6 *Csl*B/H genes (at least based on transcriptome analysis) (Supplementary Note 11, Supplementary Table 16, Supplementary Fig. 8).

Another gene family associated with leaf morphology and development is the WOX (*WUSCHEL-related homeobox*) family. Recent studies have shown that the conserved family members WOX3 and WOX4, which play a role in leaf development, show diffuse WOX3 expression at the leaf bases of *Arabidopsis* and
*Gnetum*, with such patterns being associated with the distinctive reticulate venation observed in their leaves. Two unusual paralogues, GgWOXX and GgWOXY, were previously reported to occur only in gnetophytes, and this is confirmed here in phylogenetic reconstructions of gene family members (Supplementary Note 12, Supplementary Fig. 10). These paralogues are unlikely to have arisen by *Gnetum*-specific gene amplifications, as this would group them with other *Gnetum* paralogues. Alternatively, these genes may correspond to ancestral seed plant sequences that have been lost in other plant lineages. Potentially the different patterns of gene loss, retention and amplification compared with other gymnosperms may be associated with their distinctive growth forms.

**Vessels**

The presence of vessel-like water-conducting cells, morphologically distinct from tracheids, is another feature that sets gnetophytes apart from other gymnosperms. However, there has been long-standing debate as to whether gnetophyte “vessels” are homologous to the “vessels” of angiosperms. In angiosperms, VASCULAR-RELATED NAC-DOMAIN (VND) proteins *VND1*-7 are members of the *NAC* domain class of transcription factors, *VND7* being a master regulator of vessel formation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and *VND1*-6 being upstream regulators of *VND7*. Although five *NAC* domain genes were identified in the genome of *G. montanum*, no orthologues of *VND7* or *VND1*-3 in the sister clade were identified, consistent with previous analyses of other gymnosperms, and suggesting that these proteins are restricted to angiosperms (Supplementary Fig. 11). Nevertheless, *Gnetum* does share the *VND4*-6 clade with angiosperms and other gymnosperms. Furthermore, *A. trichopoda*, which lacks angiosperm vessels, also lacks orthologues of *VND1*-3, but it does have *VND7* (Supplementary Fig. 11), indicating that the ability to form vessels may have occurred after angiosperms diverged. Taken together, these data suggest a greater dependency of vessel development on *VND1*-3 than is apparent from experiments on *A. thaliana*. The most parsimonious explanation of our data is that...
angiosperm vessel formation requires genes from the VND7 clade (and potentially its sister clade VND1-3), and that gymnosperms, including gnetophytes, which lack sequences from both these clades cannot form structures that are homologous to angiosperm vessels. Such an interpretation supports Carlquist’s morphological interpretations of vessels. It is therefore most likely that different molecular mechanisms underpin the origin and development of vessels in Gnetum and angiosperms. Indeed, these new molecular data support the hypothesis based on morphological studies that Gnetum vessels are actually more closely related to conifer tracheids than angiosperm vessels and that vessels in the two groups are convergent characters.

**Water stress**

Extant species of Gnetum are unusual amongst gymnosperms in being restricted to warm, mesic habitats, this contrasts to conifers that are adapted to cold and water-stressed environments. An analysis of genes involved in water and cold stress revealed some substantial differences between conifers and Gnetum. The Late Embryogenesis Abundant protein (LEA) gene family encodes crucial proteins that are involved in protecting plants from desiccation or osmotic stresses associated with low temperature. An analysis of LEA family members suggests that some members have been reduced in number in Gnetum or expanded in conifers (e.g. LEA-3), or lost completely in Gnetum (i.e. LEA-4, 5, 6). In addition, dehydrins, which play a role in the response to cold/drought, had only two members in G. montanum, compared with 38 in P. abies, 28 in P. taeda and 3-15 in angiosperms (Supplementary Table 19). Further analysis of the G. montanum genome also revealed relatively few gene family members of the AP2 domain containing protein families, which are involved in the cold stress response, and GPX and GST families, involved in the oxidant stress response. Taken together, these data appear consistent with the hypothesis that the ecological shift to a warm, wet forest habitat is associated with a relaxation of selection pressure on genes associated with water stress and low temperature.
**Conclusion**

Here, we have described the assembly, annotation, and comparative analysis of the first gnetophyte genome, namely that of *G. montanum*. Its genome is particularly enigmatic given a phylogenetic position within or sister to conifers. It also carries genomic peculiarities that may reflect its morphological and ecological uniqueness amongst gymnosperms. Comparisons of these genome features with the genomes of conifers and *G. biloba* provide opportunities to predict the nature and direction of genomic change accompanying the evolution of the lineage leading to *Gnetum* (Fig. 4). Assuming that gnetophytes do indeed form a clade that is sister to, or within, the conifers, the following genomic features can be predicted to have been present in the MRCA of the gymnosperms, as observed in *G. biloba* and conifers\textsuperscript{11,12}: (1) A large genome size (1C > 10 Gb) comprised predominantly of a heterogeneous set of large numbers of LTR-RTs associated with low levels of repeat deletion\textsuperscript{14}; (2) Long introns predominantly shaped by insertions of LTR-RTs (*gypsy* and *copia* elements); (3) Pfam domains that show a profile distinct from angiosperms; If this is so, and assuming a common ancestry of gnetophytes and conifers, these genomic characters, or their signatures, have subsequently been lost or diverged considerably in the lineage leading to *Gnetum*. This most likely involved the following genomic processes: (1) Genome downsizing, leading to the relatively (for a gymnosperm) small genomes of *Gnetum* species (1C= 2.25-4.11 Gb). This is supported by the high ratio of solo LTR / intact LTR-RTs observed in the genome of *Gnetum* compared with conifers, and is indicative of the activity of recombination-based processes, which can eliminate DNA from the genome. Similar processes leading to genome downsizing have also been reported in many angiosperms, resulting in small genomes despite the occurrence of multiple rounds of polyploidy detected in many lineages\textsuperscript{49}; (2) Reduction in the size of introns in *G. montanum* and a replacement of many of the LTR-RTs repeats with LINEs to give rise to introns that are more similar to those of, for instance, *A. trichopoda* than to other gymnosperms; (3) Elevated rates of sequence divergence
causing the erosion of a hypothesised shared seed-plant WGD event and leading to a pattern of Pfam domains, which is distinct from the remaining gymnosperms; (4) Expansion and contraction of specific gene families associated with adaptation to new ecologies.
Methods summary

The sequenced *G. montanum* is a single mature female individual growing naturally in Fairy Lake Botanical Garden, Shenzhen, China. Genome sequences were generated using an Illumina platform and assembled with a novel hierarchical assembly strategy. Gene annotations were determined by integrating results from both *de novo* prediction approaches and alignment-based methods based on orthology and transcriptomic data. RNA-seq was performed using an Illumina platform. All methods and bioinformatic analyses are detailed in the Supplementary Information.

Data availability

The *G. montanum* genome project has been deposited at the NCBI under the BioProject number PRJNA339497. The whole genome sequencing data were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under the accession number SRX2052734, SRX2098865, SRX2099144, SRX2114825, SRX2114827, SRX2134147, SRX2134160, SRX2134177, SRX2134180, SRX2134596, and SRX2134624. And the *G. montanum* assemblies, gene sequences, and annotation data are also available at the DRYAD website. The data or related program scripts that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.
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Figure Legends

Fig. 1 | Morphological variation and geographical distribution of gnetophytes and some other gymnosperms. Top row from left to right, female cones of *Gnetum montanum*, male cones of *Welwitschia mirabilis* and female cones of *Ephedra equisetina* (Bar = 5 cm). Below, pantropical distribution of the three gnetophyte genera, compared with three conifer species that are most abundant at higher latitudes and altitudes. The range of genomes sizes (1C-values) found in the three genera comprising gnetophytes and the three conifer species are also shown (data taken from http://data.kew.org/cvalues/ and unpublished data).

Fig. 2 | Comparative analysis of seed plant intron morphologies. (a) Intron length distributions and genome sizes (1C-values, depicted by the relative circle size) are shown for nine representative seed plants. (b) Distribution of sequence divergence for four types of transposable elements (TEs) in introns of *A. trichopoda*, *G. montanum*, *P. taeda*, and *G. biloba*. The data show that TEs in *G. montanum* and *A. trichopoda* are more diverse than in *P. taeda* and *G. biloba*. The latter two species also show a peak at around 10% sequence divergence probably reflecting a pulse of LTR-RT expansions. (c), (d) and (e), Comparison of orthologous introns between *P. taeda* (Pta) vs. *G. biloba* (Gbi) (c), *P. taeda* (Pta) vs. *G. montanum* (Gmo) (d) *G. montanum* (Gmo) vs. *A. trichopoda* (Atr) (e). Two orthologous intron sets that differed more than two-fold in length were examined, i.e. ‘short’ introns = 0.5-3 kb and ‘long’ introns ≥ 6 kb. Orthologous introns that were ‘long’ in one species were also found to be ‘long’ in the other species of the pair. Analysis of the TEs in orthologous introns showed the ‘long’ introns of *G. montanum* and *A. trichopoda* carried a high proportion of LINEs, contributing to intron expansion. In contrast, *gypsy* and *copia* LTR-RT elements contributed most to intron expansion in *P. taeda* and *G. biloba*. 
**Fig. 3** | **Genome-wide analysis to show the contrasting diversification of functional protein domains across land plants.** (a) PCA analysis of the occurrence and number of pfam domains in multiple orthologous genes across land plants. Plotting PC1 against PC2 reveals that monocots and eudicots cluster together, as do conifers with *G. biloba*, whilst the remaining species are separate from these clusters. (b) Heatmaps reveal the ancestral coding repertories shared by *S. moellendorffii* and *G. montanum*. Different patterns of expansion and contraction of the pfam domains are seen for other gymnosperms and angiosperms (see Supplementary Table 7 for species name list and corresponding abbreviations).

**Fig. 4** | **Prediction of patterns of genome divergence across seed plants.** The origin and evolution of distinctive genomic features observed in *G. montanum* genome are inferred, assuming a phylogenetic placement of gnetophytes as sister to, or within conifers. The predicted features shared by respective lineages are marked by coloured circles. Likely whole genome duplication (WGD) events (red stars) and a putative WGD event (grey star) are shown.
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**Figure 1**

- **Panel (a)**: A biplot showing the top 10% of PC1 and PC2 (454 items) with the number of items. The X-axis represents PC1 (24.74%) and the Y-axis represents PC2 (13.28%). Different species are color-coded:
  - **Angiosperms** (orange)
  - **Other gymnosperms** (purple)
  - **Gnetophytes** (blue)
  - **Non-seed plants** (green)
  - **Metaphytes** (red)

- **Panel (b)**: A heatmap showing the number of items with a color scale ranging from -2.0 to 2.0.
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