K-5 Teachers’ Uses of Levels of Abstraction Focusing on Design
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ABSTRACT
Recent research with middle school and university students highlights two factors that contribute to programming success: 1) understanding the level of abstraction that you are working at, and 2) being able to move between levels. In this qualitative study we explored levels of abstraction, and particularly the design level, with five K-5 teachers. Here we outline 11 main findings. The teachers interviewed use the design level for both programming and writing. However, the two expert computing teachers have a far greater depth of understanding of the opportunities for the use of the design level, supporting pupils to understand the level they are working at and helping them move between levels of abstraction by using designs in novel ways. Further work is needed to investigate whether our results are generalisable. Further exploration of levels of abstraction and particularly how the design level helps K-5 learners learn to program, in the same way that planning supports novices learning to write, is warranted.

ACM Reference format:

1 INTRODUCTION
Despite a lack of consensus on exactly what computational thinking is, proponents of computational thinking, surveys of computational thinking, and emerging curriculum frameworks propose that abstraction forms a core component [5, 10, 15] with ambitious potential and possibly exaggerated claims [12]. We investigate K-5 teachers use of abstraction through the lens of one scenario of abstraction: that of the levels of abstraction hierarchy, particularly focusing on the design level.
labelled diagrams in Science. Concept maps, labelled diagrams and storyboards were mentioned as being used across a wide range of subjects including computing. The expert computing teachers showed a more in-depth understanding of the design level of abstraction for computing. They explained how storyboards were good for animations as they show sequence clearly, and how mind maps were better for games as common features can be easily shown. How different design types exemplify the level of abstraction at which one is working at, or are effective to support transition, requires further investigation.

**Students’ understanding:** Synergy with teaching writing & Self regulation: Novice and expert computing teachers, mentioned the importance of, and utility of, using planning, a form of design, to support self-regulation for novice writers as they learn to write. Self-regulation, planning, revising and editing compositions is recommended as having high impact on improvement of writing[3, 4]. Novice writers use their plan to focus on one part of it at a time, transition levels of abstraction as they write that part, revise and edit, and check back to the plan. Similarly, a design in programming becomes a personalised scaffolding map that supports traversing the levels of abstraction as learners decompose their problem, implement each component, debug each part as they run the code and check back to the problem level, before moving onto the next component of their design.

**Instructional Strategies:** Aide memoire: All teachers mentioned the role of a design in managing the process of pupils progressing their programming project. Design served as an aide memoir of what was to be done, what had been done and what to do next. Completeness, coverage, cohesion: One teacher drew attention to the use of design to help pupils finish work improving completeness, another to the design helping pupils check coverage, and another to how design kept pupils on track improving cohesion. Here the quality of the finished piece is improved by using the design level to manage a complex task. There are links to cognitive load theory [13] which asserts that if a complex scenario is broken down into discrete units then the complex task becomes easier to solve. Annotation: The expert teachers mentioned adding notes, such as code constructs, to designs, both before and after coding had started. Annotations not only transitioned the levels of abstraction, but were also used for differentiation and to provide a record of the original and changed ideas supporting a growth mindset. Pair programming: One expert teacher raised the idea of using a design as a contract between pupils when working in pairs. What next?: An expert teacher also pointed out design was useful for him as well as pupils, as he could see what was needed to be taught to implement ideas planned. Each strategy may draw attention to the level of abstraction one is working at or support transition across levels. However, further investigation is needed to explore this.

**Assessment:** Do-ability: The expert teachers required pupils to consider ‘do-ability’.‘Do-ability’ is understanding whether one can, at one’s current and anticipated level of experience and within the time frame of a project, implement a design, within the constraints of the programming language being used. Self-assessment: The expert teachers required pupils to mark their design with a self-assessment of their confidence to implement its components. Both these assessment activities straddle the design and code level.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Despite a limited population of participants, our findings suggest that the levels of abstraction hierarchy may be useful for reviewing pedagogy for programming. Our novice and expert teachers situate work at the design level in programming as well as in other subjects. Our expert teachers use design in novel and interesting ways including using it to facilitate movement across the levels of abstraction. However, our findings require further investigation to assess whether they are generalisable. We suggest there is particular merit in investigating the use of design as a self-regulation tool to develop independence for novice and struggling programmers in the same way that planning is used to support novice and struggling writers.

6 FURTHER WORK

We plan to explore in more detail the relationship between abstraction, design and levels of abstraction. Our next steps also include: further literature review and survey of experts; a review of curricula material for incorporation of design and other levels of abstraction; a survey of teachers to verify the findings presented here with a wider audience; work with a focus group of teachers to create guidance on the practical application of the levels of abstraction particularly the design level, in K-5 programming teaching.

REFERENCES


