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Abo1, a conserved bromodomain AAA-ATPase, maintains global nucleosome occupancy and organisation
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Abstract

Maintenance of the correct level and organisation of nucleosomes is crucial for genome function. Here, we uncover a role for a conserved bromodomain AAA-ATPase, Abo1, in the maintenance of nucleosome architecture in fission yeast. Cells lacking abo¹⁺ experience both a reduction and mis-positioning of nucleosomes at transcribed sequences in addition to increased intragenic transcription, phenotypes that are hallmarks of defective chromatin re-establishment behind RNA polymerase II. Abo1 is recruited to gene sequences and associates with histone H3 and the histone chaperone FACT. Furthermore, the distribution of abo1 on chromatin is disturbed by impaired FACT function. The role of Abo1 extends to some promoters and also to silent heterochromatin. Abo1 is recruited to pericentromeric heterochromatin independently of the HP1 ortholog, Swi6, where it enforces proper nucleosome occupancy. Consequently, loss of Abo1 alleviates silencing and causes elevated chromosome mis-segregation. We suggest that Abo1 provides a histone chaperone function that maintains nucleosome architecture genome-wide.
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Introduction

Nuclear genomes are organised by assembly into chromatin. The fundamental repeating unit of chromatin is the nucleosome, which is composed of approximately 147 bp of DNA wrapped around an octamer of histone subunits. Nucleosomes represent an obstacle to DNA-dependent processes such as transcription, and as a result, key steps in transcription involve regulated nucleosome removal and replacement [1]. Transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II (RNAP II) may cause the displacement of H2A–H2B dimers from nucleosomes or even the eviction of entire histone octamers [1–4]. Therefore, RNAP II-coupled nucleosome assembly is required to maintain the chromatin structure over gene bodies. A variety of factors have been shown to contribute to this process but prominent amongst them is FACT, an ATP-independent histone chaperone complex that both facilitates nucleosome disassembly ahead of RNAP II and promotes reassembly behind it [5]. Defects in transcription-coupled chromatin assembly pathways result in a global decrease in nucleosome occupancy which leads to increased spurious intragenic transcription initiation [1].

Human ATAD2 (or ANCCA) is an evolutionarily conserved bromodomain containing ATPase that functions as a transcriptional co-regulator via the control of chromatin [6–10]. ATAD2 is predominantly expressed in the male germline but is systematically over-expressed in tumours and is significantly associated with lung and breast cancers with poor prognoses [8,11–13]. A paralogous protein, ATAD2B, has been shown to be expressed during neuronal differentiation [14], but its molecular functions have not been determined. ATAD2 and ATAD2B belong to the AAA (ATPases associated with diverse cellular activities) ATPase family [15] and are distinct from the well-characterised Snf2 class of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling enzymes. AAA-ATPases typically form hexameric chaperones that utilise the energy from ATP hydrolysis to mediate the folding/unfolding of client proteins [15]. The presence of a bromodomain in ATAD2 suggests that its targets include histones and this is supported by protein interaction studies [6,16].
As such, bromodomain AAA-ATPases represent a novel group of ATP-dependent histone chaperones.

Orthologs of ATAD2 and ATAD2B have been identified in a range of eukaryotes including yeasts, worms and mammals. Like humans, Schizosaccharomyces pombe has two bromodomain AAA-ATPases designated Abo1 and Abo2. In contrast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae has a single ortholog called Yta7, which was identified as a component of a boundary element that restricts the spread of silencing from the HMR locus [17]. Yta7 is also required for transcriptional induction of histone genes, the GAL gene cluster and early meiotic genes [18,19]. Other evidence is suggestive of a global role in the control of nucleosome density. Yta7 exhibits both physical and genetic interactions with ATP-independent histone chaperones and also core nucleosome organisation. These defects in chromatin structure result in widespread transcriptional de-repression, loss of heterochromatic silencing and increased chromosome mis-segregation.

Results

Global role for Abo1 in the control of transcription

The S. pombe genome has two poorly characterised genes, abo1+ and abo2+, that encode homologs of human ATAD2/ATAD2B and S. cerevisiae Yta7 (Fig 1A). Analysis of a genome-wide haploid deletion collection has shown that neither abo1+ nor abo2+ are essential [21], and therefore, strains carrying null alleles in these genes were subjected to further characterisation. Loss of Abo1 resulted in an increase (~20%) in doubling time and also an elongated cell morphology that is characteristic of a cell cycle delay (Fig EV1A and B). The elongated morphology was retained in the absence of the ATR kinase, Rad3 (Fig EV1C), suggesting that the cell cycle delay is independent of the DNA replication and damage checkpoints. Cells lacking abo2+ did not display any obvious phenotypes; however, genetic crosses indicated that an abo1Δ abo2Δ double mutant strain is not viable (Fig EV1D).

We next determined the global transcriptional contributions of Abo1 and Abo2 using microarray analyses. Only a small number of transcripts were reproducibly misregulated in the absence of abo2+. This is consistent with the phenotypic analysis and data indicating that abo2+ is expressed at very low levels [22]. In contrast, 280 transcripts were reproducibly up-regulated upon abo1+ deletion.
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**Figure 1. Impact of Abo1 on transcriptome signatures.**

A Domain architecture of Schizosaccharomyces pombe bromodomain AAA-ATPases. The D1 and D2 ATPase domains (AAA) are shaded blue and orange, respectively, and the bromodomain (BD) is grey. The percentage similarity to each other and the indicated proteins were determined by FASTA sequence comparison [73] using the scoring matrix BLOSUM50.

B Venn diagrams showing overlap between genes up-regulated (≥ 1.5-fold) in abo1Δ mutants with genes up-regulated under the indicated condition, along with the significance of the overlaps (based on hypergeometric distribution).
These transcripts included mRNAs and also a variety of non-coding RNAs such as antisense transcripts and snoRNAs. Only 8 transcripts were found to be reproducibly down-regulated in the abo1Δ background, suggesting that Abo1 predominantly functions to suppress transcription, at least in rapidly dividing cells. Consistent with this view, RNAs that were up-regulated in the abo1Δ mutant significantly overlapped (P < 2.3 × 10⁻¹²) with targets of HDAC-Sin3 corepressor complexes [23,24] (Fig 1B). Further examination of gene expression patterns also revealed a significant overlap (P < 2.8 × 10⁻¹⁶) between genes that are up-regulated in the abo1Δ mutant and those that are induced in response to generic instability resulting from telomere crisis [25]. It has been established that eukaryotic cells respond to diverse threats to genome integrity by inducing the expression of stress-response genes [26] and accordingly, the abo1Δ profile was enriched for core environmental stress-response (CESR) genes [27] (P < 6.6 × 10⁻¹¹) (Fig 1B). Overall, the transcriptional signature of the abo1Δ mutant is indicative of a stress response resulting from impaired genome integrity.

**Abo1 maintains nucleosome organisation and occupancy at RNAP II transcribed sequences**

Analysis of the subcellular localisation of Abo1 revealed that it was broadly distributed throughout the nucleus (Fig EV1E), consistent with a global role in the regulation of chromatin. Therefore, the impact of Abo1 on genome-wide nucleosome organisation was assessed using a chromatin sequencing methodology in which both the position and size of micrococal nuclease (MNase)-resistant chromatin species are determined [28]. Two bioreps were processed, within which MNase digestion levels for chromatin in abo1Δ cells were closely matched to isogenic wild-type cells (Fig EV2). However, between bioreps, we employed two different levels of MNase digestion (Biorp1 low MNase digestion; Biorp2 high MNase digestion) to control for chromatin regions with enhanced MNase sensitivity [29]. Data sets were stratified according to paired-read end-to-end distance into ranges where read pairs of 150 bp (± 20%) derive primarily from mono-nucleosomes [28]. Frequency distributions of the read mid-points were then mapped to the S. pombe genome, and peaks in these distributions were taken to imply the presence of positioned nucleosomes in the cell population (Fig EV2). Bulk positioned nucleosomes from wild-type cells in this study closely matched those determined in a previous study [30], confirming the validity of our technological approach (Fig EV2C). However, the distributions of positioned nucleosomes in abo1Δ mutant cells were perturbed relative to wild-type in both biorep comparisons, suggesting a significant defect in genomic chromatin organisation (Fig EV2C and D).

Given the change in transcript profile observed in the abo1Δ mutant, we focused on the nucleosome architecture surrounding RNA pol II transcription start sites (TSS). Chromatin at the 5' end of eukaryotic genes is typically organised with a nucleosome-depleted region (NDR) located immediately upstream of the TSS, which is flanked by an ordered nucleosomal array extending into the coding sequence [31,32]. Comparison of average nucleosome positions surrounding TSSs from wild-type and abo1Δ revealed that the NDR and upstream gene regulatory chromatin structure were retained in cells lacking Abo1, as was the average spacing of the reading frame nucleosomal array (Fig 2A). However, the peak amplitude under both MNase digestion conditions was significantly reduced in the mutant background in coding region nucleosomes. Furthermore, there is also a reduction in the depth of the troughs between peaks, suggesting that a re-distribution of nucleosomes is occurring in the abo1Δ mutant cells. We note that under high MNase digestion conditions, the frequency of nucleosome-sized particles detected in both wild-type and abo1Δ mutant samples dropped in the region directly surrounding the TSS relative to the values observed under low MNase conditions. This result is consistent with the observation that eukaryotic chromatin in this region is labile to nuclease digestion [29].

To examine whether or not a specific set of genes was affected by loss of Abo1, we used k-means clustering to define nine arbitrary nucleosome position peak profiles for S. pombe protein-coding regions in the abo1Δ (low MNase digestion) data set (Fig 2B). When this clustering was used to order the nucleosome position peak profiles from the wild-type data set, no one cluster was found to exhibit a distinct dependence or independence on Abo1. A similar result was observed when this analysis was applied to the high MNase digestion data set (Appendix Fig S1). In both cases, all of the clustered peak profiles identified in the abo1Δ mutant resolved more clearly with the wild-type data set, suggesting that most S. pombe protein-coding gene reading frames exhibit changes to nucleosome organisation to some extent in the absence of Abo1.

To determine whether loss of Abo1 affects nucleosome occupancy as well as organisation, we compared histone levels in wild-type and abo1Δ. Although steady-state histone mRNA levels were not affected by loss of Abo1 when measured using both the microarray data described above and qRT–PCR (Fig 2C), Western analyses revealed significant drops in both histone H3 and H2A protein levels in abo1Δ cells (Fig 2D). This indicates that total histone dosage and thus nucleosome occupancy at a global level are lowered in the absence of Abo1. Consistent with this, we found that abo1Δ cells are sensitive to DNA-damaging agents, and deletion of the H3–H4 gene pair hht2−–hhf2− exacerbates this phenotype (Fig EV3A).

**Abo1 associates with the FACT histone chaperone and suppresses cryptic transcription**

As Abo1 is required to maintain nucleosome architecture in gene sequences, we hypothesised that it may interact with a histone chaperone that mediates RNAP II transcription-coupled nucleosome assembly. Indeed, preliminary analysis of Abo1 affinity purifications revealed the presence of subunits of the FACT complex. Therefore, this interaction was probed using co-immunoprecipitation experiments. Abo1 was found to co-purify with both Pob3 and Spt16 subunits, confirming an association with FACT (Fig 3A and B). As expected, Abo1 also co-purified with histone H3 (Fig EV3B).

An increased level of cryptic intragenic transcripts is a hallmark of impaired transcription-coupled chromatin assembly [1]. To determine whether abo1Δ mutants experience increased levels of spurious transcription, we examined the SPBC19C7.11 locus using Northern blotting. As previously reported [33], mutations in spt16++ resulted in high levels of short abnormal transcripts from this gene and furthermore, similar small transcripts were observed in the abo1Δ background (Fig 3C). To further investigate this result, we examined some other genes (hrp1+ and zer1+) where disruption to RNAP II-coupled chromatin reassembly is known to result in
increased levels of cryptic antisense transcription [24,34]. Strand-specific RT–PCR confirmed that increased levels of cryptic transcripts were detectable in the absence of abo1Δ (Fig 3D). As such, we conclude that like FACT, Abo1 is required to limit cryptic intragenic transcription.

ChIP analysis revealed that Abo1 was readily detected at ORFs (Fig 3E) ranging from the highly expressed act1+ gene to the silenced tlh1+ gene [22]. This is consistent with Abo1 playing a direct role in maintaining nucleosome architecture in transcribed regions, and indeed, histone H3 levels at act1+ were significantly reduced in the abo1Δ background (Fig 3F). As Abo1 co-immunoprecipitates with both Spt16 and Pob3, we next determined whether FACT influences the recruitment of Abo1 to chromatin or vice versa. Levels of Pob3 and Spt16 at ORFs were not affected by abo1Δ deletion with the exception of tlh1+ which exhibited significantly increased levels of Spt16 in the abo1Δ background (Fig 3G). As discussed further below, we find that Abo1 is required to silence tlh1+ expression and therefore, the increase in Spt16 levels at this locus may simply reflect increased RNAP II (and thus FACT) recruitment. To determine the influence of FACT upon Abo1 recruitment, we first utilised a pob3Δ mutant. Deletion of pob3Δ did not affect Abo1 levels at tlh1+, but a significant reduction was observed at act1+. In contrast, at both msh1+ and pot1+, Abo1 levels were significantly increased (Fig 3E). Since spt16Δ is an essential gene, it was not possible to determine the impact of its deletion upon Abo1 levels. However, ChIP experiments...
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with the spt16-18 allele showed a similar pattern to the pob3Δ mutant (Fig EV3C). Thus, it appears that the recruitment of Abo1 to transcription units does not depend upon FACT, but the chromatin distribution of Abo1 is perturbed by mutations in this histone chaperone.

We next examined genetic interactions between abo1+ and genes encoding subunits of the FACT complex. Analysis of the progeny resulting from genetic crosses between spt16-18 and abo1Δ strains indicated that the double mutant is not viable indicating that Abo1 is essential when Spt16 function is impaired (Fig EV3D). In contrast, deletion of abo1+ did not exacerbate the growth or DNA damage sensitivity phenotypes associated with pob3Δ (Fig 3H), suggesting that Abo1 functions on the same pathway as Pob3. We also examined the interaction of abo1Δ with nhp6Δ (SPAC57A10.09c) because in S. cerevisiae, Nhp6 proteins are loosely associated with Spt16-Pob3 and promote FACT function in vivo [35]. Interestingly, we found a strong negative genetic interaction between abo1Δ and nhp6Δ alleles (Fig 3H).

Nucleosomes in intergenic regions are disrupted in abo1Δ

Although average nucleosome positions surrounding TSSs from wild-type and abo1Δ mutant data sets revealed a relatively normal NDR and upstream gene regulatory chromatin structure (Fig 2A), we were also able to detect Abo1-dependent changes in chromatin structures within intergenic regions. For instance, inspection of the nucleosome peak profiles of grt1+, which was identified by microarray analysis as an Abo1-repressed gene, revealed that the −1 nucleosome peak is lost in abo1Δ cells (Fig EV4A). We also found examples of promoters (e.g. tea1+ and SPBC12C2.04) where loss of Abo1 resulted in additional mis-positioned nucleosome peaks (Fig EV4B and C). Thus, Abo1 can also affect the chromatin associated with specific intergenic regions.

Abo1 is required for heterochromatin

As FACT is required for heterochromatin in S. pombe [36], we determined whether the function of Abo1 also extended to heterochromatic regions such as the pericentromeric otr (dh-dg) and outer imr repeats. ura4+ reporter genes located in these repeats are transcriptionally silenced, thereby rendering cells resistant to 5-FOA. While deletion of abo2+ did not alter the resistance of either the otr::ura4+ or imr::ura4+ reporter strains to 5-FOA, deletion of abo1+ resulted in 5-FOA sensitivity. Therefore, pericentromeric silencing is impaired by loss of Abo1 (Fig 4A and B).

Silencing at pericentromeric regions is dependent upon the RNAi machinery. The dg-dh repeats are bi-directionally transcribed at low levels by RNAP II, giving rise to long ncRNAs which are processed by Dicer to form siRNAs which promote heterochromatin assembly [37,38]. As the establishment of heterochromatin limits the transcription of repeat sequences, mutations that disrupt its integrity result in the accumulation of long ncRNAs. Consistent with the results of the reporter gene silencing assays, deletion of abo1+ resulted in a significant (∼five-fold) increase in these ncRNAs relative to wild-type cells (Fig 4C).

To determine whether Abo1 is also required for heterochromatin at other loci, we examined the tlt1+ gene which is one of a family of telomere-linked helicase genes that contain dg-dh repeats similar to those in pericentromeric regions [39]. Deletion of abo1+ resulted in a large (> 20 fold) increase in tlt1+ expression, indicating that Abo1 is required for subtelomeric heterochromatin silencing (Fig 4D). We also examined the cryptic mating (mat) locus as heterochromatin assembly at this site is only partially dependent upon the RNAi pathway due to the existence of a parallel pathway that utilises the Atf1/Pcr1 bZIP transcription factor [40,41]. Wild-type cells that contain a silenced mat3-M::ade6+ reporter form red colonies on adenine-limiting media (Fig 4E). Silencing was maintained in the abo2Δ background, but abo1Δ cells formed light pink/white colonies, indicating that silencing had been impaired. Thus, Abo1 is also required for mat locus silencing, suggesting that it contributes to heterochromatin structures independently of the RNAi pathway.

To address the mechanism by which Abo1 contributes to heterochromatin, we analysed the transcript levels of 65 genes whose products are involved in its assembly and maintenance (Appendix Fig S2). No marked changes in the expression of these genes were observed in abo1Δ cells, suggesting that Abo1 regulates heterochromatin directly. Furthermore, ChIP analysis revealed that
Abo1 is enriched at dh, dg and imr repeats (Fig 5A), consistent with a direct contribution to pericentromeric heterochromatin. However, deletion of abo1+ did not result in a reduction in pericentromeric H3K9me2 (Fig EV5A) or perturbations to the level or localisation of the HP1 homolog Swi6 (Figs 5B and EV5B and C). Furthermore, analysis of H3K9me2 levels associated with central core sequences of centromere 1 (cnt1) revealed no difference between wild-type cells and the abo1D mutant, suggesting that Abo1 is also not required to prevent the spread of heterochromatin into the CENP-A containing chromatin in the central core (cnt) (Fig EV5D).

Nucleosome position frequency values observed in the MNase-seq data within the pericentromeric repeat regions were relatively low compared to those found associated with arrays of positioned nucleosomes associated with ORF 5′ regions suggesting a more disorganised nucleosome positioning environment. No consistent changes in nucleosome position were evident between the two MNase-seq experiments. However, ChIP analysis did reveal a significant reduction in histone H3 levels at centromeric (dh) repeats (Fig 5C), indicating that Abo1 is required for normal levels of nucleosome occupancy in pericentromeric heterochromatin.

---

**Figure 4. Abo1 is required for heterochromatic silencing.**

A The position of ura4+ reporter alleles in centromere 1 is shown in the top panel. Strains containing the imr::ura4+ allele were grown to log phase in YESS medium, subjected to five-fold serial dilutions and spotted onto YESS agar or YESS agar supplemented with 5-FOA (1 mg/ml). Data are representative of three independent biological repeats.

B Strains carrying otr::ura4+ were analysed as described for (A).

C RNA was purified from the indicated strains and the level of centromeric transcripts determined by qRT–PCR. Data are the mean of three independent repeats, and error bars indicate ±SEM. P-value was calculated using a two-tailed unpaired t-test.

D RNA was purified from the indicated strains and subjected to qRT–PCR for the subtelomeric gene, tlh1+. Data are the mean of three biological repeats, and error bars indicate ±SEM. P-value was calculated using a two-tailed unpaired t-test.

E The position of insertion of ade6+ reporter allele in the silent mat locus is shown in the top panel. Log-phase cells containing the mat3-M:ade6+ allele were subjected to five-fold serial dilution and spotted onto YESS agar plates lacking adenine (Low Ade). The plates were incubated for 4 days at 30°C. Data are representative of at least three independent biological repeats. Note, microarray analysis indicates that deletion of abo2+ does not influence the expression of ade6+ (or ura4+) when these genes are present at their normal genomic loci.
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We next determined whether the recruitment of Abo1 to heterochromatin repeats was dependent upon FACT. Mutations in spt16Δ and pob3Δ did not influence the level of Abo1 at centromeric repeats, suggesting that its recruitment to heterochromatin is FACT independent (Fig 5D and E). Moreover although Abo1 has previously been shown to co-purify with Swi6/HP1 [42], deletion of Swi6 did not alter the level of Abo1 enrichment at centromeric repeats (Fig 5F). Taken together, our data indicate that Abo1 is recruited to heterochromatin in a FACT- and Swi6-independent manner where it is required to maintain proper nucleosome occupancy.

**Abo1 represses LTR retrotransposons**

The microarray analyses suggested that Abo1 also represses the expression of Tj2 LTR retrotransposons. Although these retroelements are silenced, they are not enriched with H3K9me [43] and are instead subjected to a distinct form of silencing [34,44–48]. Quantitative RT–PCR and reporter gene analyses confirmed that the suppression of Tj2 mRNA levels is also dependent upon Abo1 (Fig 6A and B). The 13 Tj2 elements are the only full-length retrotransposons in the genome of the *S. pombe* reference strain,
although a related element, $Tf1$, has been present during its evolution and intact $Tf1$ elements can be isolated from other wild-type $S. pombe$ strains [49]. To address the regulation of $Tf1$ elements, we constructed a strain with an integrated $Tf1$-lacZ reporter and determined that this “extinct” retrotransposon is also silenced in an Abo1-dependent manner (Fig 6C).

ChIP analysis confirmed that Abo1 is associated with $Tf2$ LTR retrotransposons (Fig 6D) and so we determined the impact of Abo1 on the MNase-seq profiles associated with these elements (Fig 6E). The peak downstream of the TSS was found to be highly sensitive to MNase digestion, suggesting that this nucleosome is labile. In the $abo1\Delta$ background, changes in the amplitude of specific peaks were observed in both data sets consistent with a perturbation to local nucleosome architecture at $Tf2$ LTR retrotransposons.

Abo1 is required for accurate chromosome segregation

Our findings predicted that deletion of $abo1^+$ will lead to dysfunctional centromeres. Therefore, we analysed the sensitivity of $abo1\Delta$ cells to thiabendazole (TBZ), a drug which depolymerises microtubules and impairs mitotic spindle function. In common with other mutants that have defective centromeres, $abo1\Delta$ cells were sensitive to TBZ (Fig 7A). To further investigate the requirement of Abo1 in chromosome segregation, we analysed the mitotic stability of a 0.5-Mbp linear mini-chromosome, Ch16 [50]. Deletion of $abo1^+$ resulted in a ~25-fold increase in the loss rate of Ch16, confirming that it is required for accurate mitotic chromosome segregation (Fig 7B).

To analyse the role of Abo1 in meiosis, the $abo1\Delta$ allele was introduced into a homothallic ($h^{00}$) background. Then mating and meiosis were induced by starving cells for nitrogen. The $h^{00}$ $abo1\Delta$
cells also showed no obvious defect in their ability to conjugate, but they frequently produced asci with an aberrant number of spores, a proportion of which failed to display a DAPI signal (Fig 7C). To quantify this defect, spore viability was determined and was found to be significantly reduced (~50%) in abo1Δ (Fig 7D). Therefore, the changes in chromatin structure that result from loss of Abo1 function lead to major defects in both the mitotic and meiotic cell cycles.

Discussion

Here, we report the global chromatin functions of a bromodomain AAA-ATPase. Cells lacking abo1+ have perturbed nucleosome organisation in transcribed regions and a corresponding increase in cryptic transcription which are features of mutants that are defective in transcription-coupled chromatin assembly. Consistent with this, Abo1 interacts with histone H3 and the essential histone chaperone FACT, and furthermore, the level of Abo1 at some genes is altered by mutations in FACT subunits. However, the impact of Abo1 upon nucleosome structure was not restricted to transcribed gene sequences. Given that Abo1 is an AAA-ATPase, it is unlikely that it has any direct nucleosome spacing/sliding activity. Furthermore, our microarray analysis indicates that loss of Abo1 does not lead to an increase in abo2+ expression. Therefore, it is highly unlikely that the reduced nucleosome levels in abo1Δ result from the up-regulation of Abo2.

Chromatin-seq analysis revealed that Abo1 impacts upon nucleosome positioning in coding sequences. Indeed, the peak profiles suggest that in abo1Δ cells, many nucleosomes become “fuzzy” and shift from occupation of a single favoured position. Regular nucleosome spacing over genes sequences is controlled by ISWI and CHD chromatin remodelling complexes [30,52,53]. In S. pombe (which lacks ISWI complexes), loss of the CHD remodelers Hrp1 and Hrp3 abolishes regularly spaced nucleosomal arrays over transcribed sequences [30]. Given that Abo1 is an AAA-ATPase, it is unlikely that it has any direct nucleosome spacing/sliding activity. Furthermore, nucleosomal arrays are not completely lost in abo1Δ, as is the case in hrp3Δ hrp1Δ mutants, suggesting that Abo1 is not necessary for the function of these CHD remodelers. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that Abo1 may promote proper localisation of these or other chromatin modifying complexes. In this context, it
is interesting that human ATAD2 controls the chromatin association of the MLL histone methyltransferase complex [6].

The phenotypes of the abo1Δ strain are reminiscent of *S. cerevisiae* mutants which lack the HMGB proteins, Nhp6a and Nhp6b. These mutants experience a substantial drop in nucleosome numbers and also a proportion of the remaining nucleosome positions become fuzzy [54]. On this basis, it has been argued that Nhp6 proteins provide a chaperone-like activity for nucleosome assembly [54]. An alternative interpretation is that Nhp6 proteins function to increase nucleosome stability [55]. Equally, the abo1Δ phenotypes are open to both interpretations. While these modes of action are not mutually exclusive, a prediction of the stabilisation model is that nucleosomes would become increasingly labile in the absence of Abo1 and that rates of H2A–H2B dimer exchange may increase. It is therefore noteworthy that photobleaching experiments suggest that H2A turnover is increased by knockdown of human ATAD2 [12]. The impact of *S. pombe* Nhp6 on global nucleosome occupancy and organisation has not yet been determined. However, that the loss of Nhp6 enhances the growth and DNA damage phenotypes associated with Abo1 inactivation suggests that they contribute to the maintenance of chromatin via distinct pathways.

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Yta7 was originally identified as a component of a tRNA gene-containing barrier element that prevents the inappropriate spread of silencing from HMR [17]. While the *S. pombe* barriers that prevent the invasion of heterochromatin into the central core of centromere 1 are also dependent upon tRNA genes [56], they apparently do not require Abo1. Instead, our finding that Abo1 is required for silencing provides the first demonstration that bromodomain AAA-ATPases can contribute to the function of heterochromatin. Heterochromatin is characterised by the association of the HP1 proteins which form a platform for the assembly of an array of additional silencing factors (such as histone chaperones, histone deacetylases and ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling enzymes). Although Abo1 also appears to function as one of these silencing factors and is known to interact with Swi6/HP1 [42], its recruitment to heterochromatin is not dependent upon Swi6/HP1. Furthermore, the dysfunction of centromeric heterochromatin in the abo1Δ background does apparently result from reduced levels of Swi6/HP1. However, ChIP analysis revealed that loss of Abo1 leads to changes in nucleosome occupancy over centromeric repeats. Furthermore, recent studies indicate that relatively subtle changes to either nucleosome positioning or occupancy in centromeric heterochromatin can be sufficient to alleviate silencing and drive chromosome mis-segregation events [30,57].

Histone post-translational modification and ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling represent key mechanisms for modulating chromatin structure and function. However, controlling whether or not a particular DNA sequence is assembled into a nucleosome represents an even more profound mechanism for influencing chromatin structure. Thus, the regulation of nucleosome occupancy may be considered a primary layer of chromatin control. In this respect, it is now apparent that nucleosome number within cells is not fixed [54]. For instance, both ageing yeast and mammalian cells exhibit reduced histone and nucleosome content [58,59]. Here, we demonstrate that a bromodomain AAA-ATPase is required for global nucleosome occupancy, indicating that these factors play a key role in regulation of this primary layer of chromatin control.

Materials and Methods

**Schizosaccharomyces pombe strains**

Routine culture and genetic manipulation were performed as previously described [60]. The strains used in this study are listed in Appendix Table S1. Strains carrying *kanMX* replacements of ORFs *SPAC31G5.19* and *SPBP22H7.05c* were purchased from Bioneer, genotyped by PCR analysis and backcrossed with the appropriate strains. A strain expressing Pk-tagged Abo1 was constructed by cloning a PCR fragment (amplified with primers CAGTTCGG ATCCCTGACTGCAATTAAATCTAACCT and GTTCACCTGCAAGAA TTAAGGCCAGGAAAGCTTC) into the *Pst*I and *Bam*HI sites of pRip42-Pk [61]. The resulting plasmid was digested with *Bcl*I and transformed into *S. pombe*. A strain expressing Abo1 tagged with GFP was constructed using the approach described in [62]. Phenotypic analysis demonstrated that epitope-tagged versions of Abo1 are functional (Appendix Fig S3). A strain with a *Tf1-lacZ* reporter integrated into chromosome II (base pairs 1,877,855–1,878,381) was constructed by PCR amplifying a DNA fragment using template DNA derived from wild strainNCYC132 and with primers (GCTAAGCTGCAGTGTCAGCAATACTACACTACGCTA) and (GGAT GCCTGCAGGCTGTTCAGTTGAATATCTATCGG). The fragment was cleaved with *Pst*I and cloned into the *Pst*I site of pSPI356ChrmIICDAB [34]. The resulting plasmid was linearised with *Bam*HI and transformed into the appropriate strain *S. pombe* cells. Quantitative β-galactosidase assays were performed as previously described [63].

**Microarrays**

RNA was extracted using hot phenol and purified over RNase easy columns (Qiagen) as previously described [64]. A 15-μg aliquot of total RNA was prepared for microarray analysis using the Superscript Plus Direct labelling kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies), in two biological repeats with dye swaps. Paired samples were hybridised over two 44K Custom microarrays (Agilent) overnight at 65°C, scanned using GenePix 4000B scanner (Axon Instruments) and analysed using Genepix Pro 6.0 software(Axon Instruments). In house normalisation, scripts were applied (to gpr files) and the data uploaded into Genespring 7.3.1 software for analysis. Microarray data can be accessed at ArrayExpress accession E-MTAB-3455.

**Histone levels**

Approximately 4 × 10⁷ cells were harvested following the addition of trichloroacetic acid (TCA) to a final concentration of 10%. Cells were resuspended in 200 μl 10% TCA and then disrupted using a beadbeater with 0.75 ml of glass beads using two pulses of 15 s with 1 min on ice in between. A 500-μl aliquot of 10% TCA was added, and the lysate was recovered from the beads which was then clarified by spinning at 15,000 × g in a microcentrifuge. The resulting pellet was washed three times in acetone, dried and resuspended in 30 μl 100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 1% w/v SDS and 1 mM EDTA. Samples were analysed on SDS–PAGE gels and subjected to Western blotting using anti-histone H3 (Abcam ab1791), anti-histone H2A (Abcam ab13923) and anti-tubulin (TAT-1) antibodies. Western
blots were visualised using a Typhoon FLA9500 (GE Healthcare) and band intensities quantified using ImageQuant.

**RT–PCR and Northern analyses**

RNA was purified as described for microarray analysis and subjected to RT–PCR using a One Step RT-PCR kit (Qiagen). For strand-specific RT–PCR, one primer complementary to the sense or antisense transcript was added during first-strand cDNA synthesis, while the second primer was added prior to the PCR amplification steps. cDNA for quantitative (real-time) RT–PCR was made using a Superscript II kit (Invitrogen). Real-time PCRs were performed using a LightCycler 2.0 PCR system (Roche) and SYBR Green mix (Molecular Probes) using the appropriate primers. Reactions were normalised using primers specific to act1+. Northern analysis was performed as previously described [65].

**MNase digestion of chromatin**

Cells (100 ml) were grown to OD595 = 0.75–8.0 in YESS at 30°C, cross-linked for 20 min at 30°C using 1% formaldehyde and quenched by the addition of glycine to 125 mM. Cells were washed once in CES buffer (50 mM citric acid/50 mM Na2HPO4 [pH 5.6], 40 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 1.2 M sorbitol and 0 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and resuspended in 500 μl of CES buffer with 0.5 mg Zymolase 100-T. Cells were spheroplasted at 30°C for up to 1 h and then washed twice with ice-cold 1.2 M sorbitol. Spheroplasts were then resuspended in 800 μl NP-S buffer (1.2 M sorbitol, 10 mM CaCl2, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 14 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 50 Tris pH 8.0, 0.075% NP-40, 5 mM spermidine, 0.1 mM PMSF, 1% Sigma protease inhibitors cocktail (Sigma P8215)). Spheroplasts were then divided into four 200 μl aliquots, and each aliquot was mixed with 300 μl of NP-S buffer. Three aliquots were digested with between 75 and 187.5 units of MNase (USB) for 10 min at 37°C. Cells were then fixed with 3% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C. The fourth was retained as an undigested control. MNase digestion was terminated by adding EDTA [pH 8.0] to a final concentration of 50 mM and SDS to 0.2%. Reactions were incubated at 65°C overnight with 0.2 mg/ml proteinase K and 10 μg RNase. DNA was purified by extracting twice with phenol:chloroform followed by ethanol precipitation (0.1 volumes of 3 M sodium acetate followed by two volumes of ethanol). Pellets were washed in 70% ethanol and resuspended in water containing 10 μg/ml RNase and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Triplicate digests were pooled and treated with 100 U unmodified T4 polynucleotide kinase (NEB) for 30 min at 37°C to remove 3'-phosphate groups left by MNase. DNA was extracted once more with phenol:chloroform, re-precipitated with sodium acetate and propan-2-ol, washed with 80% ethanol, dried and re-suspended in TE (pH 7.5).

**Chromatin-seq**

DNA fragments were end repaired, 3’-adenylated and ligated to indexed adapters without size selection using Nextflex reagents (Newmarket Scientific, UK). Libraries were amplified with eight cycles PCR using Kapa HiFi PCR master mix (Anachem), primers removed with GeneRead size selection protocol (QiAgen) before quantification by Bioanalyser DNA 7500 assay. Libraries were pooled, denatured and diluted to 6 nM before clustering in a single lane of a high-output illumina flow cell. Sequencing (100 nt) was undertaken on a HiSeq 2500 using TruSeq SBS v3 reagents (Illumina).

**Bioinformatics**

Paired reads were aligned to the ASM294v1.17 reference genome using Bowtie 0.12.7 [66] with command line flags: -n 0 –trim3 64 –maxins 5000 –fr -k 1 –sam. Aligned read pairs were sorted according to chromosome and then into a range of size classes based on the SAM format ISIZE value (difference between 5’ end of the mate read and the 3’ end of the first mapped read) plus or minus 20%. Mono-nucleosome-sized reads are, therefore, represented as 150 ± 30 bp. To define the genomic position of MNase-resistant chromatin entities, we mapped the mid-point position of the read pairs in a particular size class. Frequency distributions of the mid-point positions were then calculated using 10-bp bins. To mark positions of peak maxima, and for k-means clustering, the frequency distributions were lightly smoothed by taking a 3-bin moving average. For all other analyses and displays, the frequency distributions were smoothed using an Epanechnikov kernel density estimate (using the Perl module Statistics::KernelEstimation-0.05 with bandwidth = 30) to match the profile of the previously published S. pombe nucleosome position data set (Gene Expression Omnibus GSE40451 [30]). All frequency distributions were output in the zero-referenced, chromosome base, three-column.sgr format (chromosome number, feature/bin position, mid-point frequency value) for rendering with the Integrated Genome Browser [67] and for further processing. Average cumulative chromatin particle position frequency distributions at, and surrounding, genomic features were calculated using the script SiteWriterCFD as described previously [28,68], with values for each bin normalised to the average cumulative frequency value obtained for all bins within the feature window. Protein-coding gene transcription start site (TSS) positions were taken from the previously published data set [32]. Nucleosome positions in the WT biorep1 data set were defined using a simple heuristic peak summit marking process (script PeakMarker-lite; read frequency threshold set to 25) (Script EV1). Clustering was performed with Cluster 3 using k-means and the Euclidean distance similarity metric [69]. Clusters were displayed using JavaTreeView 1.1.6 with values plotted as log2; centre = 3.0; and contrast value = 10. Wild-type DNA sequence data (low Mnase digestion) can be found in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA): accession number SRS712792. Other MNase sequence data can be accessed via Gene Expression Omnibus GSE67410.

**ChIP analysis**

For Swi6 ChIP, strains were grown in PMG complete media to exponential growth phase at 25°C. Cells were then fixed with 3% formaldehyde for 18 min at room temperature. Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed as previously described [70]. In short, fixed cells were suspended in 400 μl ChIP lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% DOC, 1% Triton X-100) and disrupted by beadbeating (2 × 1 min). Chromatin was then sheared by probe sonication (3 × 20 s) and immunoprecipitated
with anti-GFP (Life Technologies). Cross-linking was reversed by overnight incubation with TES followed by proteinase K digestion. After DNA purification, samples were subject to real-time qPCR. Abo1-GFP, Spt16-GFP, Pob3-GFP, histone H3 and H3K9me2 ChIP were performed as above but with the following modifications; cells were grown at 30°C in YESS and were fixed by the addition of formaldehyde to a final concentration of 1% for 15 min at room temperature; chromatin was sheared using a Diagenode Bioruptor using approximately 30 cycles (30 s ON/OFF). Chromatin was immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP (Life Technologies A11122), anti-H3 (Abcam ab1791) or anti-H3K9me2 (Abcam ab1220). Immunoprecipitated DNA was recovered as described previously [71].

Co-immunoprecipitations

Whole-cell extracts, prepared as previously described [65], were pre-cleared with 40 μl Sepharose A beads for 1–2 h at 4°C on rotat- ing wheel. The resulting supernatant was transferred to a fresh microcentrifuge tube and incubated with 40 μl Sepharose A beads and 1.5 μl anti-GFP (Life Technologies) at 4°C overnight on a rotat- ing wheel. Sepharose A beads were activated by centrifugation and washed 3–6 times in buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 0.5% [v/v] NP-40 [IGEPAL], 1 mM PMSF, 54 mM NaF, 5 μM NaVO₄, 1 μl/ml aprotinin, 5 μg/ml pepstatin A and 5 μg/ml leupeptin) before being resuspended in 40 μl Laemmli buffer (0.1% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.0005% bromophenol blue, 10% glycerol, 2% [w/v] SDS and 63 mM Tris–Hcl [pH 6.8]). Samples were then analysed on 9% SDS–polyacrylamide gels followed by Western blotting.

Microscopy

Cells were pelleted in a microcentrifuge at 3,000 × g for 2 min before pellets were resuspended in ~20 μl of medium. Microscope slides were coated in poly lysine and allowed to dry before the addition of 5 μl of the cell suspension. Cells were allowed to dry, and 5 μl DAPI containing mounting medium (Vectorshied™ with DAPI [Vector laboratories]) was added. Images were obtained using Zeiss Axioint 200M with 100× oil immersion lens and Axiovision soft- ware.

Statistics

P-values were calculated using two-tailed unpaired t-tests, and the statistical significance is shown in the relevant Figure. P-values for the overlaps in microarray gene lists were calculated in Excel and are based on hypergeometric distribution using all coding genes as the background population size. For comparison of nucleosome position frequency values at −4 and +4 nucleosomes surrounding protein-coding gene TSSs, the data were subsampled into 29 random 100 TSSs subsets to avoid the tendency of P-values to become inaccurate with large total n [72]. Normality and variance were checked using the plot command in R 3.2.2, and P-values were calculated using Perl module Statistics::Depend- dantTTest-0.03.

Expanded View for this article is available online.
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