

Prospective risk of stillbirth and neonatal complications in twin pregnancies: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Fiona Cheong-See

Clinical Research Fellow

Women's Health Research Unit, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, 58 Turner Street, United Kingdom E1 2AB

Ewoud Schuit

Postdoctoral Research Fellow

Julius Centre for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Stanford Prevention Research Center, Stanford University, 1265 Welch Rd, Palo Alto, Stanford, CA 94305

David Arroyo-Manzano

Biostatistician

Clinical Biostatistics Unit, Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Ctra. Colmenar Viejo, km. 9100 28034, Madrid, Spain

Asma Khalil

Consultant Obstetrician

Fetal Medicine Unit, St George's Healthcare NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom SW17 0QT

Jon Barrett

Senior Scientist

Evaluative Clinical Sciences, Women & Babies Research Program, Sunnybrook Research Institute, 2075 Bayview Ave, Toronto, ON M4N 3M5, Canada

KS Joseph

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of British Columbia, 1190 Hornby Street - 4th Floor, Vancouver, BC Canada V6Z 2K5

Elizabeth Asztalos

Associate Professor

Department of Newborn & Developmental Paediatrics, Women & Babies Research Program, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, 2075 Bayview Ave, Toronto, ON M4N 3M5, Canada

Karien Hack

MD and PhD in Obstetrics & Gynaecology

*Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Diakonessenhuis, Bosboomstraat 1
3582 KE Utrecht, The Netherlands*

Liesbeth Lewi

Assistant Professor in Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Department of Obstetrics-Gynecology, University Hospitals, Herestraat 49

3000 Leuven, Belgium

Department of Development and Regeneration: Pregnancy, Fetus and Neonate, KU

Leuven, Belgium

Arianne Lim

Gynaecologist

Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Academic Medical Center, Meibergdreef 9,

1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Sophie Liem

MD in Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Academic Medical Centre, Meibergdreef 9,

1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Jane E Norman

Professor of Maternal and Fetal Health

*University of Edinburgh MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, The Queen's Medical
Research Institute, Edinburgh, United Kingdom EH16 4TY*

John Morrison

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology and Pediatrics

*Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Mississippi Medical Center,
Jackson, USA*

C Andrew Combs

Associate Director of Research

*Obstetrix Collaborative Research Network, The Center for Research, Education and
Quality, Mednax National Medical Group, 1301 Concord Terrace, Sunrise, Florida
33323, USA*

Thomas J Garite

*Professor Emeritus of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University of California Irvine, Irvine,
California 92697 USA*

*Director of Research & Education, Obstetrix Collaborative Research Network, The
Center for Research, Education and Quality, Mednax National Medical Group, 1301
Concord Terrace, Sunrise, Florida 33323, USA*

Kimberly Maurel

Associate Director

Obstetrix Collaborative Research Network, The Center for Research, Education and Quality, Mednax National Medical Group, 1301 Concord Terrace, Sunrise, Florida 33323, USA

Vicente Serra

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Maternal-Fetal Medicine Unit, Instituto Valenciano de Infertilidad, University of Valencia, Spain; Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia, Jefe Servicio Obstetricia Hospital U P La FE, Torre F, planta 3a, Bulevar Sur s/n 46026 Valencia, Espana

Alfredo Perales

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Department of Obstetrics, University Hospital La Fe, Valencia, Calle Fernando Abril Martorell, 106, 46026 València Spain; Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia.

Line Rode

Senior Resident

Center of Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Blegdamsvej 9, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

Katharina Worda

Specialist in Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Medical University of Vienna, Spitalgasse 23, 1090 Wien, Austria

Anwar Nassar

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Riad El Solh, Beirut 1107 2020, Lebanon

Mona Aboulghar

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology

The Egyptian IVF Center, Maadi and Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo University Road, Oula, Giza, Egypt

Dwight Rouse

Principal Investigator for the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units (MFMU) Research Network and Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Department of OB/GYN, Women and Infants Hospital, Brown University Women and Infants Hospital, 101 Dudley Street, Providence, Rhode Island, 02905 USA

Elizabeth Thom

Research Professor of Biostatistics & Epidemiology

*The Biostatistics Center, George Washington University, 6110 Executive Blvd #750,
Rockville, MD 20852, USA*

Fionnuala Breathnach

*Consultant Obstetrician & Gynaecologist, Senior Lecturer in Maternal Fetal Medicine
Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Rotunda Hospital, Parnell Square, Dublin,
Ireland*

Soichiro Nakayama

Assistant Professor

*Department of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Osaka Medical Center and Research Institute
for Maternal and Child Health, 840, Murodocho, Izumi, Osaka, Japan, Zip Code 594-
1101*

Francesca Maria Russo

MD in Obstetrics & Gynaecology

*Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Milano-Bicocca, Piazza
dell'Ateneo Nuovo, 1, 20126 Milano, Italy*

Julian N Robinson

Chief of Obstetrics and Associate Professor

*Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology,
Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA*

Jodie M. Dodd

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology

*The The Robinson Research Institute, and Discipline of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, The
University of Adelaide, 72 King William Road, North Adelaide SA 5006, Australia*

Roger B Newman

Professor and Maas Chair for Reproductive Sciences

*Department of Ob-Gyn, Medical University of South Carolina, 165 Cannon St # 503,
Charleston, South Carolina 29403, USA*

Sohinee Bhattacharya

Senior Lecturer

University of Aberdeen

*Dugald Baird Centre for Research on Women's Health, Aberdeen Maternity Hospital,
Cornhill Road, Aberdeen AB25 2ZL, Scotland*

Selphee Tang

Data Analyst

*Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Alberta Health Services, 4th Floor, North
Tower, Foothills Medical Centre, 1403-29 Street NW, Calgary, Alberta T2N 2T9
Canada*

Ben Willem J. Mol

*Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology
Australian Research Centre for Health of Women and Babies, Robinson Institute, The
University of Adelaide, 72 King William Road, North Adelaide SA 5006, Australia*

Javier Zamora

*Head of Clinical Biostatistics Unit, Director of Clinical Epidemiology Research Area
Clinical Biostatistics Unit, Hospital Ramón y Cajal (IRYCIS), Ctra. Colmenar Viejo, km.
9100 28034, Madrid, Spain
CIBER Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain*

Senior Lecturer

*Women's Health Research Unit, Barts and the London School of Medicine and
Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, 58 Turner Street, London E1 2AB, United
Kingdom*

Basky Thilaganathan*

*Professor and Director of Fetal Medicine
Fetal Medicine Unit, St George's Healthcare NHS Trust, London SW17 0QT United
Kingdom*

Shakila Thangaratinam*

*Professor of Maternal and Perinatal Health
Women's Health Research Unit, Barts and the London School of Medicine and
Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, 58 Turner Street, London E1 2AB, United
Kingdom*

*joint last authors

A Global Obstetrics Network (GONet) Collaboration

Author for Correspondence

Javier Zamora

Clinical Biostatistics Unit

Hospital Ramón y Cajal (IRYCIS)

Ctra. Colmenar Viejo, km. 9100 28034,

Madrid, Spain

Women's Health Research Unit, Barts and the London School of Medicine and
Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, 58 Turner Street, London E1 2AB,
United Kingdom

E Mail: javier.zamora@hrc.es Ph: +34 913368103

Keywords

Twin pregnancy, monochorionic, dichorionic, stillbirth, neonatal mortality

Word count = 3292

ABSTRACT

Study question

What are the risks of stillbirth and neonatal complications by gestational age in uncomplicated monochorionic and dichorionic twin pregnancies?

Methods

We searched Medline, Embase and Cochrane databases (until December 2015) without language restrictions for studies of women with uncomplicated twin pregnancies, which reported rates of stillbirth and neonatal outcomes at various gestational ages. We excluded pregnancies with unclear chorionicity, monoamniocity and twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. Meta-analyses of observational studies and cohorts nested within randomised studies were undertaken. We computed prospective risk of stillbirth for each study at a given week of gestation, and compared with the risk of neonatal death amongst deliveries in the same week. We estimated the gestational age-specific risk differences for stillbirths and neonatal deaths in monochorionic and dichorionic twin pregnancies after 34 weeks of gestation.

Study answer and limitations

Thirty-two studies (29,685 dichorionic, 5,486 monochorionic pregnancies) were included. In dichorionic twin pregnancies beyond 34 weeks (15 studies, 17,830 pregnancies), the prospective weekly risk of stillbirths from expectant management and the risk of neonatal death from delivery were balanced at 37 weeks' gestation (risk difference 1.2/1000; 95% CI -1.3 to 3.6, $I^2 = 0\%$). Delay in delivery by a week (until 38 weeks) led to an additional 8.8 perinatal deaths per 1000 pregnancies (95% CI 3.6 to

14.0 /1000, $I^2 = 0\%$) compared to previous week. In monochorionic pregnancies beyond 34 weeks (13 studies, 2,149 pregnancies), there was a trend towards increase in stillbirths than neonatal deaths after 36 weeks, with an additional 2.5 per 1000 perinatal deaths, which was not significant (95% CI -12.4 to 17.4/1000, $I^2 = 0\%$). The rates of neonatal morbidity showed a consistent reduction with increasing gestational age in mono and dichorionic pregnancies, and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit was the commonest neonatal morbidity. The actual risk of stillbirth near term may be higher than reported estimates due to the policy of planned delivery in twin pregnancies.

Conclusions

In order to minimise perinatal deaths, delivery should be offered at 37 weeks' gestation in uncomplicated dichorionic twin pregnancies, and considered at 36 weeks in monochorionic pregnancies.

Systematic review registration

PROSPERO CRD420140075382014

Word count = 346

INTRODUCTION

Twin pregnancies are high risk, with a thirteen-fold increase in stillbirth rates in monochorionic, and a five-fold increase in dichorionic twins, compared to singleton pregnancies.¹⁻³ Uncomplicated twin pregnancies are often delivered early in an attempt to prevent late stillbirth. Delivery before term predisposes to prematurity-associated neonatal complications.¹ Since 2005, the number of patient safety incidents involving multiple pregnancies, including unexpected stillbirth and neonatal deaths, has risen by 419% in UK, and peaked in 2013/14, resulting in payouts of over £90 million.^{4,5} The optimal gestational age for delivery that minimises fetal and neonatal complications in twin pregnancies is not known. Current recommendations vary on the timing of delivery, starting from 34 until 37 weeks' gestation in monochorionic,⁶ and from 37 to 39 weeks in dichorionic twin pregnancies.⁷⁻¹⁰

Women and their partners, clinicians, and guideline makers need robust estimates of stillbirth risk from continuing the pregnancy, and neonatal risk from early delivery, to decide on the optimal timing of delivery. Existing reviews have focused mainly on stillbirth risk without taking into account the neonatal outcomes.¹¹ There are no published data on gestation and chorionicity specific perinatal mortality and morbidity in twins to guide decision-making on the timing of delivery.¹² Furthermore, randomised trials on timing of delivery in twins are not adequately powered to provide robust estimates of benefit.^{13,14}

We summarised data from individual studies to quantify the prospective risks of stillbirth in women with uncomplicated monochorionic and dichorionic twin

pregnancies, as well as the risks to the newborn, when delivered after 34 weeks' gestation, and at various gestational ages,.

METHODS

We conducted the systematic review based on a prospective protocol¹⁵ and reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Appendix 1).¹⁶

Identification of studies

We searched the major electronic databases Medline, Embase and Cochrane Library using the NHS Evidence website and Cochrane online library platforms from inception until December 2015 for studies on twin pregnancies reporting rates of stillbirth. Search terms representing the participants ('monochorionic' OR 'dichorionic' OR 'twin pregnancy' OR 'multiple pregnancy') were combined with outcome terms ('stillbirth' OR ('fetal or foetal or fetus or foetus' AND 'death or demise or mortality')). We supplemented this search with an added search for neonatal outcomes in twin pregnancies (Appendix 2). We searched the reference lists of included studies. There were no language restrictions. Additionally, we contacted individual authors members of the collaborative research networks such as Global Obstetric Network (GONet),¹⁷ Evidence Based Medicine Connect (EBM Connect)¹⁸, and the Twin pregnancies Individual Participant Data (IPD) Meta-Analysis group for relevant data.¹⁹

Study selection

Two independent reviewers (FCS and ES) selected the studies by a two-stage process. In the first stage, the abstracts and titles of citations were assessed for their eligibility. In the second stage, we obtained the full texts of the studies that appeared to fulfill the inclusion criteria for evaluation.

We included both observational cohort studies and cohorts nested in randomised studies on rates of stillbirth or neonatal outcomes in monochorionic and/or dichorionic twin pregnancies. We excluded studies with the following characteristics: unclear chorionicity, monoamnicity, unable to exclude twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome in fetuses, and outcomes not provided in weekly or two weekly gestational periods.

We defined stillbirth as a baby born without signs of life after the viability age, or any other definition used by the authors. Neonatal mortality was defined as neonatal death up to 28 days from delivery. For infants born after 34 completed weeks of gestation, we considered the following morbidity outcomes to be clinically relevant: need for assisted ventilation, respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), septicemia, hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy or neonatal seizures, and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit. For preterm infants, born between 26 and 33⁺⁶ weeks' gestation, in addition to the above, we assessed the rates of bronchopulmonary dysplasia, necrotising enterocolitis, significantly abnormal cranial ultrasound scan (cystic periventricular leukomalacia or grade 3 or 4 intraventricular hemorrhage), and retinopathy of prematurity (stages 3 to 5) (Appendix 3).

Quality assessment and data extraction

Two independent reviewers (FCS and ES) evaluated the quality of the studies by investigating separate parameters for the internal validity (the extent to which the information is probably free from bias) and external validity (the representativeness of the population).²⁰⁻²² We evaluated individual parameters such as study design, method of sampling, adequacy of follow-up, ascertainment of the outcome, and appropriate determination of gestational age, and chorionicity for internal validity. Studies with features such as prospective design, consecutive or random recruitment of patients, follow-up rates of over 80%, and use of first trimester ultrasound signs to determine chorionicity and gestational age were considered to have low risk of bias. Studies without these features or with unclear reporting were classified to have high risk of bias. We categorised the studies with the following criteria to be highly representative for external validity: clear definition of uncomplicated twin pregnancies, exclusion of pregnancies where one or both of the babies were diagnosed antenatally with growth restriction or major congenital abnormalities. Any discrepancies were resolved after discussion with a third reviewer (ST).

Analysis

We undertook separate analyses for risks of stillbirth and neonatal complications in monochorionic and dichorionic twin pregnancies in two periods: from 34 weeks' gestation and beyond, and early preterm (<34 weeks) gestation. From 34 weeks onwards, we estimated the risks by weekly gestational ages, with the 34-week period representing pregnancies entering the 34⁺⁰ to 34⁺⁶ weeks' gestation with live fetuses, and so forth. For early preterm (<34 weeks) gestation, we estimated risks of outcomes by two weekly intervals.

We computed the weekly prospective risk of stillbirth by dividing the number of stillbirths observed at that week by the number of women at risk in the same week. For a given gestational age, we defined women at risk of stillbirth as those who were still pregnant at the beginning of the week. We corrected for deliveries in that week by subtracting half the number of women who delivered that week.²³ For risk of neonatal death, we used a similar approach and divided the number of neonatal deaths observed to the number of deliveries at that week.

In pregnancies beyond 34 weeks' gestation, we assessed the competing risks of expectant management versus delivery at a particular gestational age, for each study. We defined the risk of perinatal death at a given gestational week as the difference between stillbirth and neonatal death risk for deliveries in that week. This provided a direct measure of benefit or harm from expectant management vs. immediate delivery strategy. A risk difference ≤ 0 indicates a reduction in risk of perinatal death with expectant management at that gestational age, compared with immediate delivery. We pooled risk differences from individual studies using a fixed effect model weighted by the inverse of its variance. We computed I-squared as an estimation of between-study heterogeneity and assumed values lower than 50% as little heterogeneity and I-squared greater than 75% as substantial heterogeneity.

We estimated the weekly risk of neonatal outcomes by fitting multi-level random effects logistic regression models with gestational age as the unique categorical independent variable. The units of the analysis were pregnancies (first level) that were clustered within studies (second level of the analysis). We obtained point estimates of

the risk of each event by the gestational period along with its corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). We planned prior to analysis to restrict our evaluation up to the gestational week for which robust, unbiased data were available.

Sensitivity analysis was planned before analysis to exclude studies involving pregnancies complicated by congenital abnormalities, and those with low external validity. We assessed publication bias and small studies effect using funnel plots representing overall event rate (in logit scale) versus its standard error. We used Begg's²⁴ and Egger's²⁵ tests to assess funnel asymmetry.

RESULTS

Identification of studies

From 2574 citations, we included 32 studies reporting on 35,171 women with twin gestations (Figure 1).^{14,26-53} Eighteen studies provided data on both monochorionic and dichorionic,^{14,28,30,32,34,36,38,40-43,46-49,52,54,55} seven on only monochorionic,^{27,29,35,37,39,45,51} and seven on only dichorionic twin pregnancies.^{26,31,33,44,50,53,56} Twenty-three authors provided relevant unpublished data.^{14,26,28,30-33,36,37,39-41,45-52,54-56}

Characteristics and quality of the included studies

Fifteen studies on dichorionic pregnancies (17,830 women), and 13 on monochorionic pregnancies (2,149 women) provided weekly stillbirth data after 34 weeks' gestation. The corresponding neonatal death rates were provided by 13 (n=10,333) studies for dichorionic, and 11 (n=1,461) for monochorionic pregnancies. Overall, 14 studies excluded pregnancies complicated by fetal growth restriction, and 28 studies excluded

pregnancies with major congenital abnormalities. The diagnosis of fetal growth restriction and congenital abnormalities were made antenatally. The postmortem findings of the stillborn babies were reviewed for evidence of growth restriction in two studies. There were no major differences between the studies in the definitions of stillbirths, neonatal mortality, and morbidity outcomes (Appendix 3).

The qualities of the studies were adequately representative in 27 (27/32, 84%), and inadequately or unclearly representative in 5 (5/32, 16%) (Figure 2). Fifteen of the included studies (15/32) were prospective, and of these 12 (12/32, 38%) were nested cohorts in randomised trials. Most studies used random or consecutive sampling methods (31/32, 97%), achieved adequate follow-up (26/32, 81%), and had low ascertainment bias in determining stillbirth outcome (31/32, 97%). Twenty studies had a low risk of misclassification bias for gestational age assessment (20/32, 63%), and chorionicity determination (25/32, 78%).

Stillbirth and neonatal mortality beyond 34 weeks' gestation

Dichorionic twin pregnancies

The prospective risk of stillbirth was 1.2 per 1000 pregnancies (95% CI 0.7-1.8) at 34⁺⁰⁻⁶ weeks, with the corresponding risk of neonatal death of 6.7 per 1000 pregnancies (95% CI 3.3 to 13.5) (Table 1). The risks of stillbirth were significantly lower than the risks of neonatal deaths at 34⁺⁰⁻⁶ (risk difference -5.8/1000, 95% CI -10.4 to -1.2/1000, I²=0%), and 35⁺⁰⁻⁶ weeks' gestation (risk difference -5.1/1000, 95% CI -8.7 to -1.6/1000, I²=0%). The perinatal risks were balanced at 37⁺⁰⁻⁶ weeks (risk difference 1.2/1000, 95% CI -1.3 to 3.6/1000, I²=0%), beyond which the stillbirth risks (10.6, 95% CI 7.1 to

15.3) significantly outweighed the neonatal death risk (1.5/1000, 95% CI 0.7 to 3.3) from delivery (risk difference 8.8/1000, 95% CI 3.6 to 14/1000, $I^2=0\%$) (Figure 3). Analysis by excluding fetuses with congenital abnormalities showed results similar to the main analysis (Appendix 6). Exclusion of studies with low external validity showed a trend towards increased stillbirth risk than neonatal death beyond 37⁺⁰⁻⁶ weeks, which was not statistically significant.

Monochorionic twin pregnancies

At 34 weeks, the prospective risk of stillbirth and neonatal mortality rates in monochorionic pregnancies were 0.9 (95% CI 0.1 to 3.4) and 12.1 (95% CI 4.2 to 34.3) respectively. The risks of neonatal death were higher than stillbirth at 34⁺⁰⁻⁶ (risk difference -15.6/1000, 95% CI -40.4 to 9.1/1000, $I^2=0\%$) and 35⁺⁰⁻⁶ weeks (risk difference -2.4/1000, 95% CI -17.6 to 12.8/1000, $I^2=0\%$) which were not statistically significant (Figure 3). Beyond 36⁺⁰⁻⁶ weeks, we observed a trend where the risk of stillbirth (9.6/1000, 95% CI 3.9 to 19.7) was higher than neonatal deaths (3.6/1000, 95% CI 1.2 to 11.1) with a risk difference of 2.5/1000 (95% CI -12.4 to 17.4/1000, $I^2=0\%$). Sensitivity analysis by excluding studies with congenitally malformed fetuses (Appendix 6), and studies with low external validity showed similar findings.

All analyses were restricted until 38 weeks for monochorionic twin pregnancies and until 39 weeks for dichorionic twin pregnancies due to the non-availability of robust data beyond this period.

Neonatal morbidity beyond 34 weeks' gestation

We observed a consistent and significant reduction in the rates of assisted ventilation, respiratory distress syndrome, admission to neonatal intensive care unit, and septicaemia with increasing gestational age in babies of both monochorionic and dichorionic twin pregnancies (Table 2). Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) admission in the infants was the commonest complication in monochorionic and dichorionic twin pregnancies.

Stillbirth and neonatal outcomes in early preterm twin pregnancies

The cumulative risks of stillbirth and risks of neonatal deaths by two weekly gestational periods in early preterm twin pregnancies (between 26 to 33 weeks and 6 days gestation) are provided in Appendix 4. Early preterm neonatal outcomes in two-weekly epochs are shown in Appendix 5. Neonatal morbidity reduced with increasing gestational age in all twin pregnancies. The commonest neonatal complications were respiratory distress syndrome, septicaemia, admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) and need for assisted ventilation, in both monochorionic and dichorionic pregnancies.

Publication bias and small studies effect

Funnel plots found a slight asymmetry for stillbirth outcome in monochorionic pregnancies. Smaller studies tended to show lower stillbirth rates than larger studies (Begg's test p-value = 0.139 and Egger's test p-value = 0.014). We did not find evidence of publication bias for other outcomes

DISCUSSION

Our study provides comprehensive estimates comparing risks of stillbirth, and neonatal mortality at various gestational ages, which is required for planning delivery in uncomplicated twin pregnancies. In dichorionic twin pregnancies the perinatal risks are balanced until 37⁰⁺⁶ weeks' gestation, and until 36⁰⁺⁶ in monochorionic pregnancies, with higher risks of stillbirths than neonatal deaths beyond this gestation.

We have undertaken the largest and most robust systematic review to-date on stillbirths and neonatal outcomes in twin pregnancies. In addition to the stillbirth risk at each gestational week, we provided risk estimates of the other equally important consequence of early delivery, namely neonatal death. Ours is the first review to provide chorionicity and gestational age-specific neonatal morbidity estimates in twin pregnancies. All the included studies were relatively recent and published within the last ten years. The sharing of unpublished aggregate and individual patient data by authors enabled us to provide our findings in clinically relevant weekly intervals. We chose the gestational timeframes to reduce bias from varied lengths of follow up. We minimised heterogeneity by excluding studies without clear details on twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. Our sensitivity analyses allowed us to assess the risks in pregnancies not complicated by congenital malformation, and by fetal growth restriction.

Our findings were limited by the policy of planned delivery beyond 37 and 38 weeks gestation in most studies. This reduced the available sample size near term, particularly in monochorionic pregnancies, and may have underestimated the risk of stillbirth in the last epoch. Although we observed an increased prospective risk of stillbirth than neonatal death beyond 36 weeks in monochorionic pregnancies, the differences were not statistically significant. This was due to the gradual decline in the number of

pregnancies available for analysis, which may be attributed to the policy of elective delivery near term. Most studies did not provide details on whether stillbirth was diagnosed antenatally or at birth. However, given the policy of regular ultrasound for fetal monitoring in most units, we expect the interval between diagnosis and delivery to be small. The variation observed in the clinical management of twin pregnancies and neonatal care after delivery between centres may also have influenced the outcomes.⁵⁷ The small study effects that we observed for stillbirth outcomes in monochorionic pregnancies could be attributed to selective reporting or publication of data from centres showing good outcomes and small sample sizes. We ensured that all data were available from 34 weeks for women in randomised trials, but it is possible that women with early stillbirth would not be in the analysis.

We have taken a pragmatic approach by including all twin pregnancies not complicated by twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. We were not able to provide separate estimates for individual causes of neonatal mortality, or for elective and emergency deliveries. The results did not vary after excluding pregnancies complicated by fetal growth restriction, one of the main indications for emergency delivery. We only focused on short-term neonatal morbidity due to paucity of data.^{58,59} We provided the risk estimates per pregnancy and not per fetus, as it is likely that mothers would consider the prospective risk of death in either of their fetuses *in utero* or after delivery to be equally important. However, this limited our ability to distinguish between those pregnancies with a single or double adverse outcome.

Primary studies,^{13,14,27,37,60} systematic reviews,¹¹ and guideline bodies^{7,61} were limited in their interpretation of evidence on the timing of delivery in twin pregnancies due to paucity of data and methodological inadequacies. Firstly, they compared the risks of stillbirth in twin pregnancies at various gestational weeks with those at (or) near term, without considering the inherent longitudinal design with women repeatedly observed during the pregnancy.⁶² Secondly, some studies made risk estimations using survival analysis (Kaplan-Meier method). Delivery was not considered as a competing event for the outcome of stillbirth, and may have overestimated the risk.⁶³ Thirdly, studies did not provide gestational age-specific pooled estimates for significant neonatal morbidity.^{11,64} Fourthly, existing recommendations on the timing of delivery are based on gestational age-specific stillbirth risk, and do not formally take into account the benefit gained by reducing neonatal deaths.^{11,65} Finally, the risks of fetal death in twins were not assessed beyond 36 weeks gestation, and the rationale behind the choice of the gestational ages for elective delivery is not clear.⁷ Other large epidemiological studies on perinatal outcomes in twins were limited by the lack of detail regarding the chorionicity, and the definition of uncomplicated monochorionic pregnancies.^{66,67}

Some current recommendations offer expectant management of uncomplicated dichorionic twin pregnancies until 38⁰⁺⁶.^{6,8} Based on our findings, this poses a risk of additional 8.8 perinatal deaths compared to delivery a week earlier. Although the estimates for monochorionic pregnancies are not precise, the current policy of delivery at 34⁰⁺⁶ weeks as advocated in some guidelines^{6,8} has the potential to incur high perinatal deaths. The information on risks provided in twin pregnancies will

complement the ongoing national and international efforts in the to reduce the rates of stillbirths⁶⁸ and unexpected neonatal complications in babies born near term.

With a tenth of all twin pregnancies delivering before 32 weeks, our estimates on early preterm neonatal mortality and morbidity provide crucial information to counsel mothers at risk of early preterm delivery.⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ Our work has fulfilled the unmet needs in this area, where current estimates on the predicted probability of survival of newborns, especially early preterm twins, are based on extrapolated data from small samples, and do not take into account the effects of chorionicity.¹² Although we did not incorporate economic evaluation in our review, avoiding early delivery has the potential for huge savings to the healthcare system, by up to \$70,000 per infant.⁶⁰

The feasibility of a definitive randomised trial on optimal timing of delivery in twin pregnancies is limited, given the huge numbers needed to assess outcomes.^{13,14}

Individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis will allow us to assess the effect of factors such as monitoring of the fetuses, level of newborn care, and mode of delivery on outcomes. There is a need to study the effects of delivery before 37 weeks and the loss of a co-twin in monochorionic pregnancies on long-term infant neurodevelopment.^{59,72,73}

CONCLUSION

Delivery should be offered to mothers with dichorionic pregnancies at 37 weeks, and considered at 36 weeks in monochorionic twin pregnancies, to minimise the risk of perinatal deaths near term. Our estimates of fetal and neonatal outcomes at various

gestational ages in twin pregnancies should be taken into account while making decisions on timing of delivery.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN

1. Twin pregnancies are at higher risk of stillbirth than singleton pregnancies
2. Stillbirth risk increases with advancing gestational age in uncomplicated monochorionic and dichorionic twin pregnancies
3. The risk of neonatal mortality and morbidity reduces with increasing gestational age in singletons

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

1. Women with dichorionic twin pregnancies should be offered delivery at 37 weeks' gestation to prevent significant increase in stillbirths from expectant management compared to neonatal deaths from delivery.
2. In monochorionic twin pregnancies delivery should be considered at 36 weeks' gestation due to the potential increased risks of stillbirths than neonatal deaths.
3. Gestation specific risks of neonatal outcomes in early preterm twin gestations that are provided will aid in the counselling of mothers at risk of early preterm delivery

Word count = 3292

DETAILS OF CONTRIBUTORS

Shakila Thangaratinam and Basky Thilaganathan were involved in the conception of the study and the design. Shakila Thangaratinam developed the review protocol. Fiona Cheong-See undertook the search. Fiona Cheong-See and Ewoud Schuit selected the studies, and undertook data extraction. Javier Zamora and David Arroyo-Manzano performed all statistical analyses. Asma Khalil, Jon Barrett, KS Joseph, Elizabeth Asztalos, Karien Hack, Liesbeth Lewi, Arianne Lim, Sophie Liem, Jane E Norman, John Morrison, C Andy Combs, Thomas J Garite, Kimberly Maurel, Vicente Serra, Alfredo Perales, Line Rode, Katharina Worda, Anwar Nassar, Mona Aboulghar, Dwight Rouse, Elizabeth Thom, Fionnuala Breathnach, Francesca M Russo, Julian N Robinson, Jodie Dodd and RBN Soichiro Nakayama contributed to primary study data. Jon Barrett, KS Joseph and Elizabeth Asztalos provided further input into the analysis. Fiona Cheong-See wrote the first draft with input from Shakila Thangaratinam. All authors provided critical input into the manuscript.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BMJ editions and any other BMJ PGL products and sublicences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors report that there are no conflicts of interest. All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years, no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

TRANSPARENCY DECLARATION

The lead author (the manuscript's guarantor) affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned (and, if relevant, registered) have been explained.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval was not required.

ROLE OF FUNDING SOURCE

There was no funding for this review. There were no study sponsors for this review.

FIGURES, TABLES AND APPENDICES

- Figure 1 Study selection process in the systematic review on prospective risk of stillbirth and neonatal complications in uncomplicated twin pregnancies
- Figure 2 Quality of studies included in the systematic review on prospective risk of stillbirth and neonatal complications in uncomplicated twin pregnancies
- Figure 3 Prospective risks of stillbirths from expectant management compared to neonatal mortality risks from delivery at weekly intervals from 34 week's gestation in twin pregnancies
- Table 1 Prospective risk of stillbirth and neonatal death risk in weekly intervals in uncomplicated dichorionic and monochorionic twin pregnancies from 34 weeks' gestation
- Table 2 Individual neonatal morbidity outcomes in monochorionic and dichorionic twin pregnancies after 34 weeks gestation
- Appendix 1 PRISMA checklist for the systematic review of stillbirth and neonatal complications in uncomplicated twin pregnancies
- Appendix 2 Search strategy in Medline for the systematic review on prospective risk of stillbirth and neonatal complications in uncomplicated twin pregnancies

- Appendix 3 Study characteristics of included studies in the systematic review on prospective risk of stillbirth and neonatal complications in uncomplicated twin pregnancies
- Appendix 4 Risks of stillbirths and neonatal deaths in dichorionic and monochorionic twin pregnancies between 26⁺⁰ weeks and 33⁺⁶ weeks
- Appendix 5 Rates of neonatal complications for monochorionic and dichorionic twin pregnancies delivered at various gestational ages between 26⁺⁰ weeks and 33⁺⁶ weeks
- Appendix 6 Risks of stillbirths from expectant management compared to neonatal mortality risks from delivery at weekly intervals from 34 week's gestation in studies on twin pregnancies without major congenital abnormalities

REFERENCES

1. Peter C, Wenzlaff P, Kruempelmann J, Alzen G, Bueltmann E. Perinatal morbidity and early neonatal mortality in twin pregnancies. *Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2013;3(78-89).
2. Ortibus E, Lopriore E, Deprest J, et al. The pregnancy and long-term neurodevelopmental outcome of monochorionic diamniotic twin gestations: a multicenter prospective cohort study from the first trimester onward. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. May 2009;200(5):494 e491-498.
3. Russo FPE, Pelizzoni F, Todyrenchuk L, Bernasconi D et al. Stillbirths in singletons, dichorionic and monochorionic twins: a comparison of risks and causes. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2013;170:131-136.
4. Poulter D. Multiple Births. *Parliamentary business* 2014; Information on the number of obstetrics claims received between 1 April 2004 and 2031 March 2014 which have involved a multiple pregnancy are set out in the following tables. Available at: <http://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/written-questions-answers-statements/written-question/Commons/2014-12-05/217336/>. Accessed 24/01/2016, 2016.
5. Gynaecologists RAaNZCoOa. Management of monochorionic twin pregnancy. *C-Obs 42: RANZCOG*; 2014:1-11.
6. ACOG Practice Bulletin #114: Multifetal Gestations: Twin, Triplet, and Higher-order Multifetal Pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol*. May 2014;123(5):1118-1132.
7. NICE. Multiple pregnancy. The management of twin and triplet pregnancies in the antenatal period. NICE clinical guideline 129. *National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence*. 2011.
8. Spong CY, Mercer BM, D'Alton M, Kilpatrick S, Blackwell S, Saade G. Timing of indicated late-preterm and early-term birth. *Obstet Gynecol*. Aug 2011;118(2 Pt 1):323-333.
9. Cleary-Goldman J, D'Alton ME. Prospective risk of intrauterine death of monochorionic-diamniotic twins. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. Mar 2007;196(3):e11; author reply e11-12.
10. Vayssiere C, Benoist G, Blondel B, et al. Twin pregnancies: guidelines for clinical practice from the French College of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians (CNGOF). *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. May 2011;156(1):12-17.
11. Danon D, Sekar R, Hack KE, Fisk NM. Increased stillbirth in uncomplicated monochorionic twin pregnancies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. Jun 2013;121(6):1318-1326.
12. Draper ES, Manktelow B, Field DJ, James D. Prediction of survival for preterm births by weight and gestational age: retrospective population based study. *BMJ*. Oct 23 1999;319(7217):1093-1097.
13. Suzuki S, Otsubo Y, Sawa R, Yoneyama Y, Araki T. Clinical trial of induction of labor versus expectant management in twin pregnancy. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2000;49(1):24-27.
14. Dodd JM, Crowther CA, Haslam RR, Robinson JS. Elective birth at 37 weeks of gestation versus standard care for women with an uncomplicated twin pregnancy at term: the Twins Timing of Birth Randomised Trial. *BJOG*. Jul 2012;119(8):964-973.

15. Fong F, Zamora J, Schuit E, Hack K, Lewi L et al. Optimal timing of delivery in women with twin pregnancies: A meta-analysis of evidence. *PROSPERO: International prospective register of systematic reviews*. Vol CRD420140075382014.
16. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med*. Jul 21 2009;6(7):e1000100.
17. Mol BW, Ruifrok AE. Global alignment, coordination and collaboration in perinatal research: the Global Obstetrics Network (GONet) Initiative. *American journal of perinatology*. Mar 2013;30(3):163-166.
18. EBM Connect. <http://blizard.qmul.ac.uk/research-generation/538-ebm-connect.html>, 2014.
19. Schuit E, Stock S, Groenwold RH, et al. Progestogens to prevent preterm birth in twin pregnancies: an individual participant data meta-analysis of randomized trials. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2012;12:13.
20. Glasziou P, Irwig L, Bain C, Colditz G. Systematic reviews in health care. A practical guide. Second ed. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2004:67-73.
21. Latthe P, Latthe M, Say L, Gulmezoglu M, Khan KS. WHO systematic review of prevalence of chronic pelvic pain: a neglected reproductive health morbidity. *BMC public health*. 2006;6:177.
22. Khan K, Wojdyla D, Say L, Gulmezoglu AM, Van Look PFA. WHO analysis of causes of maternal death: a systematic review. *Lancet*. 2006;367(9516):1066-1074.
23. Kramer MS, Liu S, Luo Z, Yuan H, Platt RW, Joseph KS. Analysis of perinatal mortality and its components: time for a change? *American journal of epidemiology*. Sep 15 2002;156(6):493-497.
24. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*. Dec 1994;50(4):1088-1101.
25. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. Sep 13 1997;315(7109):629-634.
26. Aboulghar MM, Aboulghar MA, Amin YM, Al-Inany HG, Mansour RT, Serour GI. The use of vaginal natural progesterone for prevention of preterm birth in IVF/ICSI pregnancies. *Reproductive biomedicine online*. Aug 2012;25(2):133-138.
27. Barigye O, Pasquini L, Galea P, Chambers H, Chappell L, Fisk NM. High risk of unexpected late fetal death in monochorionic twins despite intensive ultrasound surveillance: a cohort study. *PLoS Med*. Jun 2005;2(6):e172.
28. Barrett JF, Hannah ME, Hutton EK, et al. A randomized trial of planned cesarean or vaginal delivery for twin pregnancy. *The New England journal of medicine*. Oct 3 2013;369(14):1295-1305.
29. Berezowsky A, Yinon Y, Mazaki-Tovi S, Weisz B, Mazkereth R, Schiff E, Lipitz S. Neonatal outcome at late prematurity of uncomplicated monochorionic twins: what is the optimal time for delivery? *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2014;210(1):S101.

30. Breathnach FM, McAuliffe FM, Geary M, et al. Optimum timing for planned delivery of uncomplicated monochorionic and dichorionic twin pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol.* Jan 2012;119(1):50-59.
31. Briery CM, Veillon EW, Klauser CK, et al. Progesterone does not prevent preterm births in women with twins. *Southern medical journal.* Sep 2009;102(9):900-904.
32. Burgess JL, Unal ER, Nietert PJ, Newman RB. Risk of late-preterm stillbirth and neonatal morbidity for monochorionic and dichorionic twins. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* Jun 2014;210(6):578 e571-579.
33. Combs CA, Garite T, Maurel K, Das A, Porto M. 17-hydroxyprogesterone caproate for twin pregnancy: a double-blind, randomized clinical trial. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* Mar 2011;204(3):221 e221-228.
34. Domingues AP, Fonseca E, Vasco E, Moura P. Should apparently uncomplicated monochorionic twins be delivered electively at 32 weeks? *The journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine : the official journal of the European Association of Perinatal Medicine, the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the International Society of Perinatal Obstet.* Nov 2009;22(11):1077-1080.
35. Farah N, Hogan J, Johnson S, Stuart B, Daly S. Prospective risk of fetal death in uncomplicated monochorionic twins. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* Mar 2012;91(3):382-385.
36. Hack KE, Derks JB, Elias SG, et al. Increased perinatal mortality and morbidity in monochorionic versus dichorionic twin pregnancies: clinical implications of a large Dutch cohort study. *BJOG.* Jan 2008;115(1):58-67.
37. Hack KE, Derks JB, Elias SG, et al. Perinatal mortality and mode of delivery in monochorionic diamniotic twin pregnancies \geq 32 weeks of gestation: a multicentre retrospective cohort study. *BJOG.* Aug 2011;118(9):1090-1097.
38. Lee YM, Wylie BJ, Simpson LL, D'Alton ME. Twin chorionicity and the risk of stillbirth. *Obstet Gynecol.* Feb 2008;111(2 Pt 1):301-308.
39. Lewi L, Jani J, Blickstein I, et al. The outcome of monochorionic diamniotic twin gestations in the era of invasive fetal therapy: a prospective cohort study. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* Nov 2008;199(5):514 e511-518.
40. Liem S, Schuit E, Hegeman M, et al. Cervical pessaries for prevention of preterm birth in women with a multiple pregnancy (ProTWIN): a multicentre, open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* Oct 19 2013;382(9901):1341-1349.
41. Lim AC, Schuit E, Bloemenkamp K, et al. 17alpha-hydroxyprogesterone caproate for the prevention of adverse neonatal outcome in multiple pregnancies: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol.* Sep 2011;118(3):513-520.
42. Mahony R, Mulcahy C, McAuliffe F, Herlihy CO, Carroll S, Foley ME. Fetal death in twins. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* Nov 2011;90(11):1274-1280.
43. McPherson JA, Odibo AO, Shanks AL, Roehl KA, Macones GA, Cahill AG. Impact of chorionicity on risk and timing of intrauterine fetal demise in twin pregnancies. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* Sep 2012;207(3):190 e191-196.

44. Morikawa M, Yamada T, Yamada T, Sato S, Cho K, Minakami H. Prospective risk of stillbirth: monochorionic diamniotic twins vs. dichorionic twins. *J Perinat Med.* Apr 2012;40(3):245-249.
45. Nakayama S, Ishii K, Kawaguchi H, et al. Perinatal outcome of monochorionic diamniotic twin pregnancies managed from early gestation at a single center. *The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research.* Apr 2012;38(4):692-697.
46. Norman JE, Mackenzie F, Owen P, et al. Progesterone for the prevention of preterm birth in twin pregnancy (STOPPIT): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* Jun 13 2009;373(9680):2034-2040.
47. Rode L, Klein K, Nicolaides KH, Krampfl-Bettelheim E, Tabor A. Prevention of preterm delivery in twin gestations (PREDICT): a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial on the effect of vaginal micronized progesterone. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* Sep 2011;38(3):272-280.
48. Rouse DJ, Caritis SN, Peaceman AM, et al. A trial of 17 alpha-hydroxyprogesterone caproate to prevent prematurity in twins. *The New England journal of medicine.* Aug 2 2007;357(5):454-461.
49. Russo F, Pozzi E, Pelizzoni F, et al. Stillbirths in singletons, dichorionic and monochorionic twins: a comparison of risks and causes. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* Sep 2013;170(1):131-136.
50. Serra V, Perales A, Meseguer J, et al. Increased doses of vaginal progesterone for the prevention of preterm birth in twin pregnancies: a randomised controlled double-blind multicentre trial. *BJOG.* Jan 2013;120(1):50-57.
51. Smith NA, Wilkins-Haug L, Santolaya-Forgas J, et al. Contemporary management of monochorionic diamniotic twins: outcomes and delivery recommendations revisited. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* Aug 2010;203(2):133 e131-136.
52. Southwest Thames Obstetric Research Collaborative (STORK). Prospective risk of late stillbirth in monochorionic twins: a regional cohort study. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* May 2012;39(5):500-504.
53. Suzuki S, Inde Y, Miyake H. Comparison of short-term outcomes of late preterm singletons and dichorionic twins and optimal timing of delivery. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2010;30(6):574-577.
54. Awwad J, Usta I, Ghazeeri G, et al. A randomised controlled double-blind clinical trial of 17-hydroxyprogesterone caproate for the prevention of preterm birth in twin gestation (PROGESTWIN): evidence for reduced neonatal morbidity. *BJOG.* Aug 27 2014.
55. Bhattacharya SBS. Unpublished data from Aberdeen Maternity and Neonatal Databank2015.
56. Wood S, Tang S, Ross S, Sauve R. Stillbirth in twins, exploring the optimal gestational age for delivery: a retrospective cohort study. *BJOG.* Sep 2014;121(10):1284-1290; discussion 1291.
57. Braunholtz DA, Edwards SJ, Lilford RJ. Are randomized clinical trials good for us (in the short term)? Evidence for a "trial effect". *Journal of clinical epidemiology.* Mar 2001;54(3):217-224.
58. Lorenz JM. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of twins. *Semin Perinatol.* Jun 2012;36(3):201-212.

59. Woythaler MA, McCormick MC, Smith VC. Late preterm infants have worse 24-month neurodevelopmental outcomes than term infants. *Pediatrics*. Mar 2011;127(3):e622-629.
60. Sullivan AE, Hopkins PN, Weng HY, et al. Delivery of monochorionic twins in the absence of complications: analysis of neonatal outcomes and costs. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. Mar 2012;206(3):257 e251-257.
61. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 144: Multifetal gestations: twin, triplet, and higher-order multifetal pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol*. May 2014;123(5):1118-1132.
62. Tang M-L, Tang, NS. Exact Tests for Comparing Two Paired Proportions with Incomplete Data. *Biom J*. 2004;46(1):72-82.
63. Fong F, Thangaratinam S, Zamora J. Increased stillbirth in uncomplicated monochorionic twin pregnancies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. Dec 2013;122(6):1302.
64. Helmerhorst FM, Perquin DA, Donker D, Keirse MJ. Perinatal outcome of singletons and twins after assisted conception: a systematic review of controlled studies. *BMJ*. Jan 31 2004;328(7434):261.
65. Lee YM. Delivery of twins. *Semin Perinatol*. Jun 2012;36(3):195-200.
66. Kahn B, Lumey LH, Zybert PA, et al. Prospective risk of fetal death in singleton, twin, and triplet gestations: implications for practice. *Obstet Gynecol*. Oct 2003;102(4):685-692.
67. Cheung YB, Yip P, Karlberg J. Mortality of twins and singletons by gestational age: a varying-coefficient approach. *American journal of epidemiology*. Dec 15 2000;152(12):1107-1116.
68. Gynaecologists) RRCoOa. Each Baby Counts. 2016; <https://http://www.rcog.org.uk/eachbabycounts>. Accessed 24/01/2016, 2016.
69. Laws PJ HL. *Australia's mothers and babies 2006*. Sydney, Australia: AIHW National Perinatal Statistics Unit;2008.
70. Elliott JP. High-order multiple gestations. *Semin Perinatol*. Oct 2005;29(5):305-311.
71. Chan A SJ, Nguyen A, Sage L. *Pregnancy Outcome in South Australia 2007*. Adelaide, Australia: Pregnancy Outcome Unit;2008.
72. Berard A, Le Tiec M, De Vera MA. Study of the costs and morbidities of late-preterm birth. *Archives of disease in childhood. Fetal and neonatal edition*. Sep 2012;97(5):F329-334.
73. Hillman SC, Morris RK, Kilby MD. Co-twin prognosis after single fetal death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. Oct 2011;118(4):928-940.