High energy density in silver niobate ceramics
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Abstract

Solid-state dielectric energy storage is the most attractive and feasible way to store and release high power energy compared to chemical batteries and electrochemical super-capacitors. However, the low energy density (ca. 1 J cm⁻³) of commercial dielectric capacitors has limited their development. Dielectric materials showing field induced reversible phase transitions have great potential to break the energy storage density bottleneck. In this work, dense AgNbO₃ ceramic samples were prepared successfully using solid state methods. Ferroelectric measurements at different temperatures reveal evidence for two kinds of polar regions. One of these is stable up to 70 °C, while the other remains stable up to 170 °C. The associated transition temperatures are supported by second harmonics generation measurements on poled samples and are correlated with the occurrence of two sharp dielectric responses. Average unit cell volume is seen to increase with increasing DC field and has been interpreted in terms of increasing levels of structural disorder in the system. At high electric field the structure becomes ferroelectric with high polarization. This field induced transition exhibits a recoverable energy density of 2.1 J cm⁻³, which represents one of the highest known values for a lead-free bulk ceramic.
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1. Introduction

Research in the area of new energy storage technologies has been galvanized in recent years due to concerns over serious air pollution and climate change. There are growing demands for energy storage devices that have large storage ability, high efficiency, are small in size and pollution-free for applications in transportation, electronics, aerospace engineering etc. Among new energy storage technologies, solid-state dielectric capacitors, as used in high power electronics and related devices, have advantages in term of high power density (~ GW kg\(^{-1}\)), high charge/discharge speed (~ns) and long cycling life-time (>10\(^6\) cycles) compared to chemical batteries and electrochemical super-capacitors.\(^1,2\) However, the energy storage density of commercial dielectric capacitors is relatively low, (ca. 1 J cm\(^{-3}\) for polypropylene thin film capacitors).\(^3,4\)

The recoverable energy density \(W\) of a dielectric material can be calculated as follows:\(^2\)

\[
W = \int_{D_{\text{max}}}^{0} E \, dD
\]

where \(E\) is the external applied electric field and \(D_{\text{max}}\) is the electrical displacement at the highest field. Dielectric materials that exhibit a reversible phase transition from antiferroelectric (AFE) to ferroelectric (FE) behavior under high electric field represent good candidates for high energy storage devices. Lead based materials such as lead lanthanum zirconium titanate (PLZT) have been studied intensively for energy storage applications including thin film processing.\(^5-8\) Compared to thin films, bulk ceramics have advantages in terms of cost of materials processing, and effective volume to store energy for commercial application. Moreover, environmental concerns have prompted an ongoing search for suitable lead-free replacements largely driven by legislation.\(^9\)

Silver niobate (AgNbO\(_3\)) is being investigated as a possible lead-free alternative to PLZT. AgNbO\(_3\) exhibits AFE-like electrical polarization under high applied electric field. Initially, AgNbO\(_3\) was suggested to be ferroelectric, with an orthorhombic
perovskite structure, based on its non-linear dielectric response and X-ray powder diffraction pattern.\textsuperscript{10,11} Piezoelectric, pyroelectric, and electrical polarization measurements appeared to confirm the FE behavior of AgNbO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{12,13}. The structure was later investigated using high-resolution X-ray powder diffraction, neutron powder diffraction, and electron diffraction by a number of research groups who found that AgNbO\textsubscript{3} shows AFE behaviour and the structure is well described by the centrosymmetric $Pbcm$ space group, which is stable to around 350 °C.\textsuperscript{14-16} However, this structure is not consistent with the occurrence of ferroelectricity, which requires the structure to be non-centrosymmetric. Fu et al. successfully confirmed the AFE-like nature of bulk AgNbO\textsubscript{3} through measurements of electrical polarization hysteresis loops, with the observation of double electrical polarization hysteresis under an applied field of 220 kV cm\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{17} In 2011, Yashima et al. suggested a non-centrosymmetric polar model in space group $Pmc2_1$ to demonstrate the structure-property relationship through a comprehensive analysis of convergent beam electron diffraction, neutron powder diffraction and synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction data.\textsuperscript{18} They suggested that the $Pmc2_1$ structure evolves into the $Pbcm$ structure when approaching 70 °C. More recently, based on calculations of defect formation energy, Moriwake et al. suggested polarization of randomly oriented Ag-O defect clusters in the $Pbcm$ matrix, resulting in observed weak FE behavior in this system.\textsuperscript{19}

Fabricating high quality AgNbO\textsubscript{3} bulk ceramics is challenging due to the fact that Ag\textsubscript{2}O, typically used as a starting material, decomposes at ca. 200 °C,\textsuperscript{20} which can result in large defect concentrations in the ceramics and has a significant influence on electrical properties. Here, we report the preparation of high quality AgNbO\textsubscript{3} ceramic, allowing for a detailed investigation of the structure-property relationship in this important lead free system.

2. Experimental procedure
AgNbO$_3$ ceramic samples were prepared using conventional solid-state reaction methods with sintering under flowing oxygen. Stoichiometric amounts of Ag$_2$O (99.7%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, China) and Nb$_2$O$_5$ (99.99%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent, China) powders were ball-milled in ethanol for 12 h using a planetary ball mill (QM-3SP4, Nanjing University Instrument, China). After drying, the mixtures were calcined at 850 °C for 6 h, using a pipe furnace (GLS-1600X, Hefei Kejing, China), in flowing oxygen at a rate of 500 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The calcined powders were milled in ethanol for 4 h and after drying, the powders were mixed with 5 wt% polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) solution and then pressed into pellets with a diameter of 1.5 cm and ca. 1.5 mm thickness under 400 MPa pressure. The pellets were heated at a rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$ to 600 °C and held at this temperature for 2 h to burn off the PVA. The samples were subsequently sintered at temperatures between 1050 and 1120 °C in oxygen at a flow rate of 500 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ for 6 h, followed by cooling to ambient temperature at a rate 5 °C min$^{-1}$.

The density of the ceramic samples was measured in water using the Archimedes method. The surface morphology of the sintered samples was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Quanta FEG 250, FEI, USA). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) experiments were performed using a JEOL 2010 transmission electron microscope with a LaB$_6$ filament, operated at 200 kV. For TEM experiments, self-supported 3-mm AgNbO$_3$ discs were prepared by the following procedure: (1) samples were mechanically polished down to 300 μm, (2) 3 mm diameter discs were cut using a Gatan Ultrasonic cutter, after which they were further polished mechanically down to a thickness of 100 μm, (3) dimple grinding was performed on the two sides of each disc, using 3 μm, 1 μm and ¼ μm grits, (4) final polishing to reach electron transparency was carried out using a Gatan PIPs system, with Ar-ion beams operated at 2 kV; the beam angles were initially set to 4° and in the final stage to 2°.

For average crystalline structure analysis, the ceramic samples were crushed into fine ceramic powders. X-ray power diffraction data were collected on a PANalytical
X’Pert Pro diffractometer (PANalytical, Cambridge, UK), fitted with an X’Celerator detector, in \( \theta \theta \) geometry using Ni-filtered Cu-Ko radiation \((\lambda = 1.5418 \ \text{Å})\), over the 20 range 5° to 120° in steps of 0.0167°, with an effective count rate of 200 s per step. Data were calibrated with an external LaB₆ standard. For analysis of poled bulk samples, the unpolished bulk samples were first coated with silver electrodes and the samples poled with an applied field of 5 MV m⁻¹ for 20 min, using a high voltage supply source. The silver electrodes were subsequently removed in acetone solution, prior to X-ray data collection. Structural analysis was carried out using the Rietveld method with the GSAS suite of programs.²¹ Initial models were based on the structures reported by Levin et al.¹⁶ for the non-polar structure in space group \( \text{Pbcm} \) and Yashima et al.¹⁸ for the polar structure in space group \( \text{Pb}2_{1}m \) (transformed from \( \text{Pmc}2_{1} \) originally reported by Yashima et al.¹⁸ to ensure axial assignments consistent with the non-polar structure).

Second harmonic generation (SHG) measurements were carried out using an optical SHG detector, with a Nd:YAG laser, \( \lambda = 1.064 \ \text{µm} \). Measurements on poled bulk samples were carried out on heating and cooling up to ca. 270 °C.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was conducted on ground unpoled ceramic powder in air at a scan rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, with a Mettler Toledo DSC-822 (Mettler, Toledo, USA).

For measurement of dielectric properties, the ceramic samples were polished down to a thickness of ~0.5 mm and coated with silver paste. The temperature-dependent dielectric permittivity on heating and cooling was obtained by measuring the capacitance with an LCR meter (an Agilent E4284) connected to a computer-controlled temperature chamber. For measurement of electrical polarization loops, the bulk samples were polished down to a thickness of ~400 µm and coated with Au electrodes. The polarization-field \((P-E)\) and polarization current–field \((I-E)\) loops were obtained using a ferroelectric hysteresis measurement tester (NPL, Teddington, UK). The differential permittivity-field \((dD/dE \text{ vs. } E)\) loops were calculated from the \(P-E\) loops.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Structure

Ceramic samples with a relative density of ca. 97% were obtained after sintering at 1090 °C. The resulting translucent ceramic disks were yellow in color. Scanning electron micrographs (Fig. 1) reveal block shaped grains with an average grain size of ~5 µm (Fig.1a), with the high density confirmed in images of the fracture surface (Fig. 1b).

The X-ray powder diffraction data for a ground ceramic powder of AgNbO$_3$ was modelled in both the Pbcm and Pb21m space groups. A comparison of the crystal and refinement parameters is summarized in Table 1, with the fitted diffraction profiles and refined structural parameters given as supplementary data (Fig. S1 and Table S1). Both Pbcm and Pb21m models fit the data well, with R$_{wp}$ values of 0.0858 and 0.0851, respectively. An $F$-test based on extrapolation of the method of Hamilton$^{22}$ shows the improvement in the R$_{wp}$ value in the Pb21m model to be insignificant at the 99.5% confidence level compared to that from the Pbcm model. Indeed, simulated powder patterns based on the literature data for the polar and non-polar structures are virtually identical (Fig. S2). The Pbcm space group is a supergroup of Pb21m, but with additional systematic absences due to the c-glide perpendicular to the b-axis. There is no evidence in the X-ray diffraction data for the presence of h0l or 00l reflections with $l = 2n + 1$ consistent with the polar structure (Fig. 2), which suggests that the Pbcm space group is a better description of the average structure. Both structures are based on a $\sqrt{2}a$, $\sqrt{2}a$, 4a supercell of the pseudo-cubic perovskite cell, giving eight pseudo-cubic sub-cells per supercell. The structures show $(a^-,b^-,c^+)/(a^-,b^-,c^-)$ titling of the niobate octahedra.

Selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of AgNbO$_3$ (0kl, 0k0 $k = 2n$) viewed along the [-1 -1 0], [0 0 1] and [2 0 1] zone axes are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. In contrast to the X-ray experiment, there is clear evidence for the presence of 00l reflections with $l = 2n + 1$ (tilting experiments confirmed this was not due to double
diffraction), which breaks the systematic absence condition for a $c$-glide perpendicular to the $b$-axis (Fig.3h) and consequently the patterns can only be indexed in the lower symmetry space group $Pb2_1m$. While the SAED patterns appear to confirm polar regions, the absence of these additional reflections in the X-ray powder diffraction data indicates that the majority of the sample is non-polar.

The presence of weak 0$k$0 reflections in Fig. 3g was confirmed as being due to double diffraction. It was found that grains predominantly consist of several domains, 200-500 nm in size. Typical orientation domain boundaries are shown in Figs. 3 (c-d) and Fig. 4b. In addition to domain boundaries, numerous stacking faults along the $c$-direction of the orthorhombic lattice were observed in different grains (Figs. 3c and Fig. 4), due to the presence of additional octahedral layers in the unit cell.

In order to further investigate the structural polarity of AgNbO$_3$ samples, optical second harmonics generation (SHG) measurements were carried out on heating and cooling cycles for a poled ceramic sample. The temperature dependence of the SHG signal (Fig. 5) on heating revealed a decrease in the signal up to around 170 °C, where it disappears completely. Interestingly, there appears to be a small step in the plot at around 70 °C on heating. On cooling, the SHG signal is very weak, suggesting that the majority of the sample is non-polar, which is consistent with the XRD results.

3.2 Thermal analysis and Dielectric response

The DSC thermograms on heating and cooling are shown in Fig. 6. A strong endothermic event is observed on heating at $ca.$ 350 °C, with a much weaker endothermic event at $ca.$ 370 °C. The corresponding exotherms on cooling occur at $ca.$ 330°C and $ca.$ 365 °C, respectively. Using neutron diffraction, Sciau et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported that silver niobate underwent a phase transition between two orthorhombic phases with different octahedral titling systems, \textit{i.e.} $(a',b',c')/(a',b',c')$ for $Pbcm$ and $(a^0,b^0,c^0)$ for $Cmcm$ space groups near 350 °C. With further increase of temperature, the orthorhombic $Cmcm$ phase further evolves into a tetragonal $P4/mmb$ phase, with $(a^0,b^0,c^0)$ titling near 380 °C. AgNbO$_3$ transforms into a cubic phase ($Pm\overline{3}m$) above...
580 °C. In the present study, the thermal event at lower temperature is attributed to the $Pbcm \leftrightarrow Cmcm$ phase transition while the weak thermal event at high temperature is assigned to the $Cmcm \leftrightarrow P4/mbm$ phase transition. There are no other major thermal events below ca. 350 °C, which would be indicative of a first order phase transition. However, there is a significant change in slope of the baseline, which may support a second order transition at around 170 °C (Fig. 6).

Figs. 7 show the real and imaginary components of dielectric permittivity on heating and cooling cycles at 1 MHz. There is a maximum in dielectric permittivity at ca. 350 °C, which shows a degree of thermal hysteresis and is associated with the $Pbcm \leftrightarrow Cmcm$ phase transition. A small shoulder is observed on heating at around 380 °C, consistent with the $Cmcm \leftrightarrow P4/mbm$ transition. Unlike the DSC data (Fig. 6), three dielectric anomalies are observed below ca. 350 °C. A dielectric anomaly is clearly observed at ca. 70 °C (Fig. 6a), indicative of a phase transition. Furthermore, a sharp dielectric anomaly is observed near 170 °C on cooling, which is virtually undetectable on heating and has been observed previously. Another broad diffuse dielectric response is seen at around 260 °C. It was suggested that in early studies that the two dielectric anomalies near 70 °C and 260 °C, were associated with two phase transitions, denoted $M_1 \leftrightarrow M_2$ and $M_2 \leftrightarrow M_3$, respectively. There is some debate in the literature about the nature of these transitions, particularly regarding the structural details. Sciau et al. and Levin et al. suggested that average structure of all three $M$ phases was well described in the $Pbcm$ space group. However, Yashima et al. later reported that the $M_1 \leftrightarrow M_2$ transition involves a change in polarity (i.e. $Pb2_1m$ to $Pbcm$). The sharp dielectric anomaly at ca. 170 °C is assigned to the freezing temperature ($T_f$) of the antipolar dipoles in the $Pbcm$ lattice. At high temperature, the antipolar dipoles are arranged randomly because Nb$^{5+}$ cations are randomly disordered over eight sites displaced in the cubic <111> direction, although two of them are preferred. On cooling the occupancy probabilities for the remaining six sites decreases gradually and vanishes below $T_f$, where the Nb$^{5+}$ cations order into antipolar arrays, resulting in the sharp dielectric response observed.
3.3. Electrical polarization response and energy storage

Fig. 8 shows electric polarization loops measured at 10 Hz. A ferroelectric-like $D$-$E$ hysteresis loop is observed below 2 MV m$^{-1}$ (Fig. 8a). FE domain switching is confirmed by current peaks in the $I$-$E$ loop, denoted as $\pm E_1$. The remnant polarization is about $4 \times 10^{-4}$ C m$^{-2}$ (i.e., 0.04 µC cm$^{-2}$). This is consistent with a value reported by Kania et al.$^{12}$ and confirms the presence of polar regions as indicated by our TEM data. Fig. 8b depicts $D$-$E$ and $I$-$E$ loops under an applied field of 6 MV m$^{-1}$. At this higher applied field, additional broad current peaks are observed around 2.5 MV m$^{-1}$ (denoted as $\pm E_2$). The remnant polarization is about $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ C m$^{-2}$ (i.e., 0.25 µC cm$^{-2}$), which is five times larger than that under an applied field of 2 MV m$^{-1}$. These results indicate the polarization reversal of another type of polar structure. Thus the results suggest two FE domain switching phenomena after electrical polarization.

Fig. 9 shows the thermal variation of electrical polarization at 10 Hz, with detail of the positive part of the $I$-$E$ loops on heating and cooling, shown in Fig. 10. It can be seen that the intensity of the current peaks at $\pm E_1$ gradually decreases and the peaks disappear completely at 100 °C. Similarly, the intensity of current peaks at $\pm E_2$ also gradually decreases with increasing temperature and the peaks disappear at 180 °C. The results support the suggestion of two FE domain switching phenomena at high applied field (6 MV m$^{-1}$), with two different transition temperatures, which appear to correlate with the dielectric anomalies at ca. 70 °C and 170 °C (Fig. 7).

Fig. 11 shows the variation of unit volume after poling under different DC fields. There is a clear increase in volume with increasing field. Larger unit cell volume (i.e. lower density) is typically the result of increased disorder, as occurs for example in order-disorder transitions. The observed increase in unit cell volume is therefore consistent with increasing structural disorder.$^{28}$ This cannot be explained by domain switching, but could be associated with a field induced transition from non-polar to polar phases at least for part of the ceramic, which results in higher remnant polarization in samples after application of an applied field (Fig.8) and is consistent with the enhanced SHG signal for poled samples (Fig.5).
Fig. 12 shows electrical polarization loops measured at 1 Hz under a maximum applied field of $\pm 17.5 \text{ MV m}^{-1}$. Typical antiferroelectric-like double $P-E$ behavior is observed. Field induced transitions from the initial AFE-like to FE states are marked as $\pm E_F$ (ca. $\pm 12.5 \text{ MV m}^{-1}$), while the reverse transitions are marked as $\pm E_B$. The results are consistent with those of Fu et al.\textsuperscript{17} Two additional current peaks (denoted as $\pm E_U$) are observed at ca. $5 \text{ MV m}^{-1}$. The presence of the $\pm E_U$ peaks indicate that the structure exhibits remnant polarization (ca. $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ C m}^{-2}$) at zero field after high field cycling. The observed AFE-like double $P-E$ hysteresis under high field cycling is suggested to originate from an electrical field-induced reversible intermediate polar state to an FE state. The larger remnant polarization after high field cycling compared to that after application of an intermediate field (Fig. 8), indicates that a significantly greater proportion of the sample is polar, and suggests a ferrielectric-like structure for AgNbO$_3$ after high field cycling, which is consistent with the field induced ferroelectric $Pmc2_1$ structure based on first principles calculations\textsuperscript{29}. The field induced reversible transition to the FE state is linked with a high recoverable energy density ($W_{\text{rec}} = 2.1 \text{ J cm}^{-3}$) in AgNbO$_3$ ceramics at room temperature. Table 2 shows the values of electrical polarization and energy density of AgNbO$_3$ compared to those of other well-known bulk ceramic materials. It can be seen that AgNbO$_3$ exhibits a higher field induced polarization and higher energy density than any other lead-free bulk ceramic.

Fig. 13 shows a comparison of electrical polarization loops of a fresh sample and a sample after high field ($\pm 17.5 \text{ MV m}^{-1}$) cycling under a maximum field of $\pm 6 \text{ MV m}^{-1}$. The measurement was carried out on cooling. The intensity of the current peaks at $\pm E_1$ showed little change between samples. In contrast, the current peaks at $\pm E_2$ show a significant increase in intensity after high-field cycling. The behavior suggests that the current peaks at $\pm E_2$ have the same origin as the $\pm E_U$ peaks (Fig. 12). $E_1$ and $E_2$ decrease to zero at ca. $70^\circ \text{C}$ and ca. $170^\circ \text{C}$, corresponding to the $M_1 \leftrightarrow M_2$ and $T_f$ transitions, respectively. Both sets of current peaks shift to higher field (Fig. 14) consistent with harder domain switching at lower temperatures. The two current peaks remain down to $-150^\circ \text{C}$, indicative of two polar structures.
4. Conclusions

Single phase AgNbO$_3$ ceramics were successfully prepared, with relative densities above 97%. While X-ray powder diffraction is unable to distinguish between polar and non-polar structures, TEM results suggest the presence of submicron polar regions. This is consistent with observed domain switching in ferroelectric loops at low fields up to 70 °C. At fields of around ±6 MV m$^{-1}$ a further ferroelectric domain switching phenomenon occurs and is attributed to a field induced transition (non-polar to polar) up to 170 °C ($T_f$), confirming the structure is polarizable below this temperature. At a much higher field of ±17.5 MV m$^{-1}$, there is a transition from a ferrielectric-like structure to a ferroelectric structure, the latter exhibiting a high polarization (40 µC cm$^{-2}$). This transition is associated with a recoverable energy density of 2.1 J cm$^{-3}$, which is one of the highest known values for a bulk dielectric ceramic and double the value of materials currently used in commercial devices for dielectric energy storage.
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**Table 1** Comparison of crystal and refinement parameters for AgNbO$_3$ ground ceramic powder at 20 °C in polar and non-polar space groups. Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Polar</th>
<th>Non-polar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula</td>
<td>AgNbO$_3$</td>
<td>AgNbO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>248.77</td>
<td>248.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>$Pbcm$</td>
<td>$Pb$_2$1m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>$a = 5.5509(1)$ Å</td>
<td>$a = 5.5510(1)$ Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 5.6060(1)$ Å</td>
<td>$b = 5.6062(1)$ Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 15.6552(4)$ Å</td>
<td>$c = 15.6558(4)$ Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>487.16(3) Å$^3$</td>
<td>487.21(3) Å$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calculated)</td>
<td>6.784 g cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>6.783 g cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-factors$^a$</td>
<td>$R_{wp} = 0.0858$</td>
<td>$R_{wp} = 0.0851$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_p = 0.0637$</td>
<td>$R_p = 0.0633$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_{ex} = 0.0607$</td>
<td>$R_{ex} = 0.0607$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_{F^2} = 0.0703$</td>
<td>$R_{F^2} = 0.0705$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of variables</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of profile points used</td>
<td>6580</td>
<td>6580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ For definition of R-factors see reference$^{21}$
Table 2 Comparison of energy storage capability of bulk ceramics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dielectrics</th>
<th>Ceramic compositions</th>
<th>$W_{\text{rec}}$ (J cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$D_{m}$ (μC cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$E_{m}$ (kV cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFE-like</td>
<td>(Pb$<em>{0.85}$Ba$</em>{0.08}$Sr$<em>{0.03}$La$</em>{0.03}$(Zr$<em>{0.74}$Sn$</em>{0.22}$Ti$_{0.04}$)O$_3$</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>~20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Wang$^{30}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Pb$<em>{0.97}$La$</em>{0.02}$(Zr$<em>{0.58}$Sn$</em>{0.35}$Ti$_{0.05}$)O$_3$</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>~25</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Liu$^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.89(Na$<em>{0.5}$Bi$</em>{0.5}$)TiO$<em>3$-0.06BaTiO$<em>3$-0.05(K$</em>{0.5}$Na$</em>{0.5}$)NbO$_3$</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Gao$^{32}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Na$<em>{0.42}$Bi$</em>{0.44}$Al$<em>{0.06}$Ba$</em>{0.06}$)TiO$_3$</td>
<td>0.4-0.6</td>
<td>~20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Borkar$^{33}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.90(Na$<em>{0.5}$Bi$</em>{0.5}$)TiO$_3$-0.10KNbO$_3$</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Luo$^{34}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.9[(0.92Na$<em>{0.5}$Bi$</em>{0.5}$)TiO$_3$-0.08BaTiO$_3$]-0.1NaNbO$_3$</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Xu$^{35}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNbO$_3$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.91BaTiO$_3$-0.09BiYbO$_3$</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>~15</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Shen$^{36}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.85BaTiO$<em>3$-0.15Bi(Zn$</em>{2/3}$Nb$_{1/3}$)O$_3$</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Wu$^{37}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.85BaTiO$<em>3$-0.15Bi(Mg$</em>{2/3}$Nb$_{1/3}$)O$_3$</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>~25</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Wang$^{38}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.88BaTiO$<em>3$-0.12Bi(Mg$</em>{1/2}$Ti$_{1/2}$)O$_3$</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>~20</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>Hu$^{39}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxor-FE</td>
<td>Ba(Zr$<em>{0.2}$Ti$</em>{0.8}$)O$<em>3$-(Ba$</em>{0.85}$Ca$_{0.15}$)TiO$_3$</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Puli$^{40}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.80(K$<em>{0.5}$Na$</em>{0.5}$)NbO$<em>3$-0.20Sr(Sc$</em>{0.5}$Nb$_{0.5}$)O$_3$</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>~15</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>Qu$^{41}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraelectrics</td>
<td>(Ba$<em>{0.4}$Sr$</em>{0.6}$)TiO$<em>3$(Ba$</em>{0.4}$Sr$_{0.6}$)TiO$_3$</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>~20</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>Song$^{42}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures

Fig. 1. Scanning Electron Micrographs of AgNbO$_3$ ceramic, (a) original surface with an image the ceramic disk inset and (b) fracture surface.

Fig. 2. Detail of the X-ray diffraction pattern for AgNbO$_3$ ground ceramic powder, showing positions of absent (003) and (103) reflections compared to the observed (113) reflection.
Fig. 3. (a) Overview bright-field image of a grain with several domains; (b) enlargement of the area labeled (B) in (a); (c) high-resolution transmission electron microscopy image of the interface between domains labeled (1) and (2), black arrows indicate the positions of stacking faults; (d) high-resolution transmission electron microscopy image of the area labeled (D) in (a) exhibiting a twin boundary; (e) SAED pattern resulting from the overlapping of diffraction patterns from domains shown in (a); (f), (g), (h) SAED patterns taken from the domains labeled (1), (2) and (3), respectively. (i) SAED patterns taken from the area shown in (D). SAED patterns are indexed in the \( \text{Pb}_2 \text{I}_m \) space group. ‘TB’ stands for a twin boundary. The red arrow in (h) indicates the 003 reflection.
Fig. 4. (a) Bright-field image of AgNbO$_3$ showing several fringe patterns due to stacking faults. (b) Diffraction contrast image of twin domains in AgNbO$_3$ displaying many stacking faults. ‘TB’ denotes a twin boundary. Inset: SAED patterns indexed in the $Pb2_1m$ space group.
Fig. 5. Temperature dependence of the SHG signal $q = \frac{I_{2w}}{I_{2w(SiO_2)}}$ on (a) heating and (b) cooling of a poled ceramic sample.
Fig. 6. DSC thermogram of AgNbO$_3$ powder on heating and cooling.
Fig. 7. Temperature dependence of (a) real ($\varepsilon_r'$) and (b) imaginary ($\varepsilon_r''$) parts of dielectric permittivity in AgNbO$_3$. 


Fig. 8. Ferroelectric D-E and I-E loops measured at 10 Hz for AgNbO$_3$ ceramic at room temperature.
Fig. 9. Ferroelectric D-E and I-E loops measured at 10 Hz for AgNbO₃ ceramic at selected temperatures.
Fig. 10. Thermal dependence of current peaks during ferroelectric tests on AgNbO$_3$ ceramic.
Fig. 11. Refined unit cell volume for AgNbO$_3$ ceramic after poling under different DC fields. Estimated standard deviations are indicated by error bars.
Fig. 12. High field Ferroelectric (a) D-E, I-E and (b) $dD/dE$-E loops measured at room temperature for AgNbO$_3$ ceramic at 1 Hz.
Fig. 13 A comparison of ferroelectric D-E and I-E loops measured at 0.5 Hz for a fresh sample (denoted as “initial”) and the sample after high-field cycling (denoted as “after HE cycle”).
Fig. 14 Temperature dependence of domain switching fields $E_1$ and $E_2$ prior to and post high field cycling, compared to the temperature dependence of dielectric permittivity.