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Abstract

This paper considers amplify-and-forward (AF) two-way relay networks, where an energy constrained relay node harvests energy from the received radio-frequency signal. Based on time switching (TS) receiver, we separate the energy harvesting (EH) phase and the information processing (IP) phase in time. In the EH phase, three practical wireless power transfer policies are proposed: 1) dual-source (DS) power transfer, where both sources transfer power to the relay; 2) single-fixed-source (SFS) power transfer, where a fixed source transfers power to the relay; and 3) single-best-source (SBS) power transfer, where a source with strongest channel transfers power to the relay. In the IP phase, a new comparative framework of the proposed wireless power transfer policies is presented in two bi-directional relaying protocols, known as multiple access broadcast (MABC) and time division broadcast (TDBC). To characterize the performance of the proposed policies, new analytical expressions are derived for the outage probability, the throughput, and the system energy efficiency. Numerical results corroborate our analysis and show: 1) the DS policy performs the best in terms of both outage probability and throughput among the proposed policies, 2) the TDBC protocol achieves lower outage probability than the MABC protocol, and 3) there exists an optimal value of energy harvesting time fraction to maximize the throughput. A pivotal conclusion is reached that the SBS policy offers an optimal tradeoff between performance and power consumption since SBS consumes the least power but offers a comparable performance to that of DS.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Energy harvesting (EH) is an effective means to prolong the life of a wireless network, and has recently received remarkable attention. The recent research has shown that ambient radio-frequency (RF) signals is a new promising source for harvesting energy [1, 2]. The motivation behind this approach lies in the fact that most devices are surrounded by RF signals, and potentially, energy and information can be carried together by the RF signals during transmission. As a consequence, a new energy harvesting solution, which can achieve simultaneous wireless...
information and power transfer (SWIPT), was initially proposed [3]. Inspired by this concept, two practically realizable receiver designs, namely time switching (TS) receiver and power splitting (PS) receiver, were proposed for a multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) wireless broadcast system to enable SWIPT [4]. The recent state-of-the-art research on SWIPT mainly focuses on practical receiver designs [5–8]. The work in [9] was extended in [5] by considering imperfect channel state information (CSI) at the transmitter. Based on TS receiver, the secure D2D communication in cognitive radio networks was investigated with invoking a wireless power transfer model [6]. Moreover, with the aid of compressive sensing and matrix completion, the throughput of wireless powered cognitive radio networks was analyzed in [7]. Based on PS receiver, in [8], an optimal power splitting rule at the receiver was derived to achieve tradeoffs for outage/energy as well as rate/energy both in delay-limited and delay-tolerant transmission modes.

The aforementioned literature on EH all considered the point-to-point system. For cooperative systems, the recent research works about SWIPT are based on two common relay protocols, namely, amplify-and-forward (AF) relay protocol and decode-and-forward (DF) relay protocol [10–12]. For the AF relay system, a TS-based relaying (TSR) protocol and a PS-based relaying (PSR) protocol were proposed to harvest energy from the received RF signal at the energy constrained relay [10]. For DF relay system with SWIPT, a novel wireless energy harvesting DF relaying protocol was proposed in [11] for underlay cognitive networks to enable secondary users can harvest energy from the primary users. Furthermore, a cooperative SWIPT nonorthogonal multiple access protocol was proposed in [12]. Due to the loss of spectral efficiency induced by one-way relaying, two-way relaying which can complete the information exchange within two time slots was proposed in [13]. Moreover, in order to enhance the transmission reliability in TWRN, the comparison of a multiple access broadcast (MABC) protocol and a time division broadcast (TDBC) protocol were investigated in [14]. Based on the PS receiver, a two time-slot two-way relaying protocol, facilitating EH phase and IP phase simultaneously was analyzed in [15] to apply energy harvesting in two-way relay networks (TWRN).

The principal challenges in TWRN with wireless power transfer to an energy constrained relay are: 1) to improve the energy efficiency of the power transfer from the sources to the relay; and 2) to enhance transmission reliability and throughput among all the nodes. Motivated by these two challenges, we propose three practical policies to efficiently transfer power with two protocols to reliably process information in TWRN with an energy constrained relay. Different from the aforementioned work [15], this paper presents a new comparative framework for multiple access broadcast (MABC) and TDBC protocols based on the TS receiver. As the extension of [16] which only consider the throughput, this work further considers outage probability and energy efficiency. The primary contributions of our paper are summarized as follows.

- In the EH phase, we propose the DS, SFS, and SBS power transfer policies to harvest energy at the energy constrained relay node. In the IP phase, we present a new comparative framework for each of the three wireless power transfer policies in two bi-directional relaying protocols, namely MABC protocol and TDBC protocol.
- We derive new analytical expressions for each of the DS, SFS, and SBS policies in MABC and TDBC by evaluating: 1) the outage probability; 2) the throughout both in the delay-limited transmission mode and delay-
tolerant transmission mode; and 3) the system energy efficiency both in the delay-limited transmission mode and the delay-tolerant transmission mode.

- Comparing the DS, SFS, and SBS policies, our results show: 1) the DS policy performs the best both in terms of outage probability and throughput; and 2) the SBS is the most energy efficient policy. It is worth noting that the SBS policy offers an optimal tradeoff between performance and power.
- Comparing the MABC and TDBC, our results show: 1) the outage probability of TDBC is lower than that of MABC since TDBC has diversity gain; and 2) there exits an optimal energy harvesting time fraction value for each of the proposed policies in MABC and TDBC protocols to achieve the maximum throughput.

II. System Model

We consider a half-duplex TWRN, where the exchange of information between two single-antenna sources $S_A$ and $S_B$ is facilitated by an energy constrained intermediate amplify-and-forward (AF) relay $R$ with single antenna. Based on TS receiver, we separate the EH and the IP phases in time, i.e., during the EH phase, the relay harvests energy from the source signals with wireless power transfer, and during the IP phase, the relay forwards information using the harvested energy. We consider MABC and TDBC protocols in the IP phase. All the channels are modeled as quasi-static block Rayleigh fading channels which means the channel condition remains unchanged in a frame. We denote $h_{AR}$, $h_{BR}$, and $h_{AB}$ as the channel coefficients of $S_A \rightarrow R$, $S_B \rightarrow R$, and $S_A \rightarrow S_B$ links, respectively. The channel power gains $|h_{AR}|^2$, $|h_{BR}|^2$, and $|h_{AB}|^2$ are exponentially distributed random variables (RVs) with the means $\Omega_A = K (d_{AR})^{-\zeta}$, $\Omega_B = K (d_{BR})^{-\zeta}$, and $\Omega_C = K (d_{AB})^{-\zeta}$, respectively, where $K$ is a frequency dependent constant, $d_{AR}$, $d_{BR}$, and $d_{AB}$ denote the distances of $S_A \rightarrow R$, $S_B \rightarrow R$, and $S_A \rightarrow S_B$ links, respectively, and $\zeta$ represents the path-loss exponent.

A. Multiple Access broadcast (MABC)

In this protocol, besides the time in the EH phase, two time slots are required in the IP phase. As shown in Fig.1(a), we denote the transmission time for one frame as $T_1$. $\alpha$ is the fraction of time that the relay harvests energy from the source signals, where $0 < \alpha < 1$. The beginning $\alpha T_1$ block time is the EH time, and the remaining
Consider the first slot, the signal received at $R$ can be expressed as
\[ y_R = \sqrt{P_A} h_{AR} x_A + \sqrt{P_B} h_{BR} x_B + n_R^{(R)}, \tag{1} \]
where $n_R^{(R)}$ is denoted as the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) at the relay $R$ with variance $\sigma_R^2$.

In the second time slot, the relay $R$ amplifies the signal with a scaling gain and forwards the scaled signal to $S_A$ and $S_B$ with transmit power $P_R$, which depends on the amount of energy harvested during the energy harvest time. The received signal at $S_i$ ($i \in \{A, B\}$) is given by
\[ y_i = G_1 \sqrt{P_R} h_{iR} y_R + n_i^{(i)}, \tag{2} \]
where $i \in \{A, B\}$, $G_1 = (P_A |h_{AR}|^2 + P_B |h_{BR}|^2 + \sigma_R^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the scaling gain based on the rules of variable gain AF relaying, and $n_i^{(i)}$ is the AWGN with variance $\sigma_i^2$. Substituting (1) into (2), after subtracting self-interference at $S_i$, the signal is given by
\[ \hat{y}_i = G_1 \sqrt{P_R} P_j h_{iR} h_j R x_j + G_1 \sqrt{P_R} h_{iR} n_R^{(R)} + n_i^{(i)}, \tag{3} \]
where $(i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}$, we denote $P_A$ and $P_B$ as the transmit power at $S_A$ and $S_B$, respectively. The relay’s transmit power $P_R$ depends on the amount of energy harvested during the energy harvest time and will be detailed in Section III. Assuming that all the nodes have the same noise level with the variance $\sigma^2$ ($\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_B^2 = \sigma_R^2 = \sigma^2$), the end-to-end signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at $S_i$ is given by
\[ \gamma_i = \frac{G_1^2 P_R P_j |h_{iR}|^2 |h_{jR}|^2}{G_1^2 P_R |h_{iR}|^2 \sigma^2 + \sigma^2}, \tag{4} \]
where $(i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}$.

B. Time Division Broadcast (TDBC)

In this protocol, besides the time in the EH phase, three time slots are required in the IP phase. As shown in Fig.1(b), we denote the transmission time for one frame as $T_2$. The beginning $\alpha T_2$ block time is the EH time, and the remaining $(1 - \alpha) T_2$ block time is the IP time. During the IP phase, each time slot will occupy $(1 - \alpha) T_2/3$. In the first two slots of IP phase, $S_A$ and $S_B$ transmit information to relay $R$ separately by time, then the relay amplifies the mixed signals to the two sources in the third broadcast slot.

Consider the first two time slots, the received signals of $S_i$ and $S_j$ through the direct-path link are denoted as
\[ y_{i,1} = \sqrt{P_j} h_{AB} x_j + n_{i,1}^{(i)}, y_{j,2} = \sqrt{P_i} h_{AB} x_i + n_{2}^{(j)}, \tag{5} \]
respectively, where $(i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}$, $n_{i,1}^{(i)}$ and $n_{2}^{(j)}$ denote the AWGN at $S_i$ and $S_j$ in the first and second slot with variance $\sigma_i^2$ and $\sigma_j^2$, respectively.
For the relay link, the received signals at the relay node after the first two time slots are denoted as

\[ y_{R,1} = \sqrt{P_j} h_{jR} x_j + n_{1}^{(R)}, \quad y_{R,2} = \sqrt{P_i} h_{iR} x_i + n_{2}^{(R)}, \quad (6) \]

respectively, where \((i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}\), \(n_1^{(R)}\) and \(n_2^{(R)}\) denote the AWGN at \(R\) in the first and second slot with variance \(\sigma_R^2\), respectively.

In the third time slot, the relay \(R\) amplifies the signal with a scaling gain and forwards the scaled signal to \(S_A\) and \(S_B\) with transmit power \(P_R\), which depends on the amount of energy harvested during the energy harvest time. The received signal at source \(S_i\) can be expressed as

\[ y_{i,3} = G_2 \sqrt{P_R} h_{iR} (y_{R,1} + y_{R,2}) + n_{3}^{(i)}, \quad (7) \]

where \((i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}\). Here, the relay’s transmit power \(P_R\) depends on the amount of energy harvested during the energy harvest time and will be detailed in Section III.

Each source utilizes maximal radio combining (MRC) to combine the signals from the relay link and the direct link. Assuming that all the nodes have the same noise level with the variance \(\sigma^2\) \((\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_B^2 = \sigma_R^2 = \sigma^2)\), the received SNR after MRC at \(S_i\) is given by

\[ \gamma_i^{\text{MRC}} = \frac{G_2^2 P_R P_j |h_{iR}|^2 |h_{jR}|^2}{G_2^2 P_R |h_{iR}|^2 2\sigma^2 + \sigma^2} + \frac{P_j |h_{AB}|^2}{\sigma^2}, \quad (9) \]

where \((i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}\).

### III. Wireless Power Transfer Policies Design and Performance Analysis

In this section, based on the TS receiver, three wireless power transfer policies, i.e., the DS policy, the SFS policy and the SBS policy are proposed in the EH phase. The MABC and TDBC transmission protocols are considered in the IP phase. In an effort to assess the proposed policies, we derive the compact expressions for principal performance metrics such as outage probability, throughput and system energy efficiency.

#### A. DS power transfer policy for MABC

In this subsection, we consider the DS policy for MABC.

1) **End-to-End SNR**: In this policy, both \(S_A\) and \(S_B\) transfer power to the relay simultaneously, and the energy harvested at the relay can be expressed as

\[ E_h = \eta (P_A |h_{AR}|^2 + P_B |h_{BR}|^2) \alpha T_1, \quad (10) \]
where $0 < \eta \leq 1$ is the energy conversion efficiency which depends on the energy harvesting circuit [17]. Based on (10), the transmit power at the relay is given by

$$P_R = \frac{E_h}{(1 - \alpha)T_1/2} = \frac{2\eta(P_A|h_{AR}|^2 + P_B|h_{BR}|^2)\alpha}{(1 - \alpha)}. \quad (11)$$

Substituting (11) into (4), we obtain a tight high SNR approximation for the end-to-end SNR at $S_i$ as [10, 18]

$$\gamma_i = \frac{\varpi_i XY}{\theta X + 1}, \quad (12)$$

where $(i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}$, $\varpi_j = \frac{P_{2\alpha}{\sigma^2}{\gamma}}{\varpi_i}, \theta = \frac{2\alpha}{(1-\alpha)}, X = |h_{iR}|^2$, and $Y = |h_{jR}|^2$.

**Lemma 1.** We provide a unified approach to derive the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of $\gamma_i$ as

$$F_{\gamma_i}(\gamma) = 1 - \frac{2e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\varpi_i}}}{\Omega_i} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\varpi_i} - \frac{\Omega_i}{\varpi_i} K_1 \left(2\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\varpi_i} \Omega_i}\right)}, \quad (13)$$

where $(i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}$, $K_n(\cdot)$ is $n$th order modified Bessel function of the second kind.

*Proof:* The CDF of $\gamma_i$ is expressed as

$$F_{\gamma_i}(\gamma) = \Pr \left[ Y \leq \frac{\gamma(\theta X + 1)}{\varpi_j X} \right] = 1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma\theta}{\varpi_j}}}{\Omega_i} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\gamma\theta}{\varpi_j} y - \frac{\gamma y}{\varpi_j}} \, dy. \quad (14)$$

Using [19, Eq. (3.324.1)], we obtain the desired result in (13). \hfill \blacksquare

2) **Outage Probability:** We first characterize the performance in terms of the outage probability. In TWRN, the network is defined as in outage if either the transmission from source A to source B or from source B to source A is in outage. Thus, the probability of TWRN is defined as

$$P_{out} = \Pr (R_A \leq R_A^0, \text{or } R_B \leq R_B^0) = \Pr (\gamma_A < \gamma_A^0) + \Pr (\gamma_B < \gamma_B^0) - \Pr (\gamma_A < \gamma_A^0, \gamma_B < \gamma_B^0), \quad (15)$$

where $\gamma_i^0 = 2^{R_i^0} - 1$ for $i \in \{A, B\}$, with $\gamma_A^0$ is the threshold at $S_A$ and $\gamma_B^0$ is the threshold at $S_B$.

Following (15) and using Lemma 1, the outage probability of the DS policy for MABC is given by

$$P_{out}^{DS-MABC} = P_{out}^A + P_{out}^B - P_{out}^{AB}, \quad (16)$$

where $P_{out}^A \triangleq F_{\gamma_A} (\gamma_A^0), P_{out}^B \triangleq F_{\gamma_B} (\gamma_B^0), \text{ and } P_{out}^{AB} \triangleq F_{\gamma_A,\gamma_B} (\gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0), F_{\gamma_A} (\gamma_A^0) \text{ and } F_{\gamma_B} (\gamma_B^0)$ are given in (13), $F_{\gamma_A,\gamma_B} (\gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0)$ is provided in Appendix A with $\varpi_A = \frac{P_A}{\sigma^2(1-\alpha)}, \varpi_B = \frac{P_B}{\sigma^2(1-\alpha)}, \text{ and } \vartheta = \frac{2\alpha}{(1-\alpha)}$.

3) **Throughput analysis:** We now derive the throughput in two different transmission modes, i.e., delay-limited and delay-tolerant.

a) **Delay-limited Transmission:** In delay-limited transmission, the source transmits information at a fixed rate and outage probability plays a pivotal role in the throughput. Given that $S_A$ and $S_B$ transmit information with fixed rates $R_A^0$ and $R_B^0$ bits/sec/Hz, respectively, where $R_A^0 = \log_2 \left(1 + \gamma_A^0\right)$ and $R_B^0 = \log_2 \left(1 + \gamma_B^0\right)$, the throughput is calculated as

$$\tau_i = \frac{(1 - \alpha) T_1/2}{T_1} \left(1 - P_{out}^A R_A^0 + (1 - P_{out}^B) R_B^0\right), \quad (17)$$
where $P_A^{\text{out}} \triangleq F_{\gamma_A}(\gamma^0_A)$ is the outage probability at $S_A$, and $P_B^{\text{out}} \triangleq F_{\gamma_B}(\gamma^0_B)$ is the outage probability at $S_B$, with $F_{\gamma_A}(\gamma^0_A)$ and $F_{\gamma_B}(\gamma^0_B)$ given in (13).

b) Delay-Tolerant Transmission: In delay-tolerant transmission, the throughput is determined by evaluating the ergodic rate. Using (13), the throughput is calculated as

$$\tau_t = \frac{(1 - \alpha) T_1/2 T_1}{T_1} \left( \mathbb{E}\{\log_2 (1 + \gamma_A)\} + \mathbb{E}\{\log_2 (1 + \gamma_B)\} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 \ln 2} \int_0^\infty \ln (1 + x) f_{\gamma_A}(x) dx + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 \ln 2} \int_0^\infty \ln (1 + y) f_{\gamma_B}(y) dy$$

$$= \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 \ln 2} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - F_{\gamma_A} (\lambda)}{1 + \lambda} d\lambda + \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - F_{\gamma_B} (\lambda)}{1 + \lambda} d\lambda \right)$$

$$= \frac{1 - \alpha}{\ln 2} \sum_{(i,j) \in \{A,B\}} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\pi \Omega_i \Omega_j}} K_1 \left( 2 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\pi \Omega_i \Omega_j}} \right) e^{-\lambda_{ij} \Omega_j} d\lambda, \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbb{E}\{\cdot\}$ is the expectation operator, $(a)$ is obtained by using the partial integration.

4) System Energy Efficiency: Based on throughput analysis, we proceed to examine the system energy efficiency considering different wireless power transfer policies in the EH phase and different information transmission protocol in the IP phase.

The definition of energy efficiency is given by

$$\eta^{EE} = \frac{\text{Total amount of data delivered}}{\text{Total energy consumed}}. \quad (19)$$

For the TWRN system energy efficiency, the total amount of data delivered is denoted as the sum throughput from $S_A$ to $S_B$ and from $S_B$ to $S_A$ via the energy constrained relay $R$. The total power consumed is denoted as the sum of the transmit power $P_A$ at $S_A$ and $P_B$ at $S_B$, both including the power consumed in the EH phase and the IP phase. Since the relay’s transmit power $P_R$ depends on the amount of energy harvested during the EH phase, relay does not cost extra energy. Based on throughput analysis in Section III-A3, the system energy efficiency for the DS policy in the MABC protocol is expressed as

$$\bar{\eta}^{EE}_* \Phi = \tau_\Phi \frac{1}{2} \frac{T_1}{(P_A + P_B)(1 + \alpha)}, \quad (20)$$

where $\Phi \in \{l, t\}$. $\bar{\eta}^{EE}_* l$ is the system energy efficiency in delay-limited transmission mode and $\bar{\eta}^{EE}_* t$ is the system energy efficiency in delay-tolerant transmission mode.

B. DS power transfer policy for TDBC

In this subsection, we consider the DS policy for TDBC.

1) End-to-End SNR: As suggested in Section III-A1, the energy harvested at the relay can be expressed as

$$E_h = \eta |P_A| h_{AR}|^2 + P_B |h_{BR}|^2) \alpha T_2. \quad (21)$$

Based on (21), the transmit power at the relay is given by

$$P_R = \frac{E_h}{(1 - \alpha) T_2/3} = \frac{3\eta \alpha (P_A |h_{AR}|^2 + P_B |h_{BR}|^2)}{(1 - \alpha)}. \quad (22)$$
Substituting (22) into (9), we obtain a tight high SNR approximation for the end-to-end SNR at $S_i$ as

$$
\gamma_{i}^{MRC} = \frac{\omega_j XY}{\bar{X} + 1} + \Psi_j Z,
$$

where \((i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}\), \(\omega_j = \frac{3\alpha_0 P_j}{\sigma^2 (1-\alpha)}\), \(\vartheta = \frac{6\alpha_0}{1-\alpha}\), \(X = |h_i F|^2\), \(Y = |h_j F|^2\), \(\Psi_j = \frac{P_j}{\sigma^2}\), and \(Z = |h_{AB}|^2\).

**Lemma 2.** The CDF of $\gamma_{i}^{MRC}$ is

$$
F_{\gamma_{i}^{MRC}} (\gamma) = 1 - e^{-\frac{3\alpha_0 P_j}{\sigma^2 (1-\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi \vartheta j \omega_j \varphi_j}}} - a_1 e^{b_1 \gamma} \int_0^{\gamma} e^{-c_1 \lambda^2} \lambda^2 K_1 (t_1 \lambda) d\lambda,
$$

where \((i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}\), \(a_1 = \frac{4}{\pi \vartheta j \omega_j \varphi_j} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi \vartheta j \omega_j \varphi_j}}\), \(b_1 = -\frac{1}{\pi \vartheta j \omega_j \varphi_j}\), \(c_1 = \left( \frac{\vartheta}{\pi \vartheta j \omega_j \varphi_j} - \frac{1}{\pi \vartheta j \omega_j \varphi_j} \right)\), and \(t_1 = \sqrt{\frac{4}{\pi \vartheta j \omega_j \varphi_j}}\).

**Proof:** The CDF of $\gamma_{i}^{MRC}$ is expressed as

$$
F_{\gamma_{i}^{MRC}} (\gamma) = \Pr \left[ \frac{\omega_j XY}{\bar{X} + 1} + \Psi_j Z \leq \gamma \right]
$$

With the help of (13), we can obtain the result in (24).

2) **Outage Probability:**

**Lemma 3.** The joint distribution function of $F_{\gamma_{A}^{MRC}, \gamma_{B}^{MRC}}$ for the DS policy in the TDBC protocol can be expressed as

$$
F_{\gamma_{A}^{MRC}, \gamma_{B}^{MRC}} (\gamma_A, \gamma_B) = \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma_A}{\varphi_A}, \frac{\gamma_B}{\varphi_B} \right\} F_{\gamma_A, \gamma_B} (\gamma_A - \varphi_A \gamma, \gamma_B - \varphi_B \gamma) e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\varphi}} d\gamma,
$$

where $F_{\gamma_A, \gamma_B}$ is provided in the Appendix A with \(\varphi_A = \frac{3\alpha_0 P_A}{\sigma^2 (1-\alpha)}\), \(\varphi_B = \frac{3\alpha_0 P_B}{\sigma^2 (1-\alpha)}\), and \(\vartheta = \frac{6\alpha_0}{1-\alpha}\).

Using Lemma 2 and 3, following (15), the outage probability of the DS policy for TDBC is given by

$$
P_{out-DDBC}^{DS} = P_{out}^A + P_{out}^B - P_{out}^{AB},
$$

where $P_{out}^A \triangleq F_{\gamma_{A}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_A)$, $P_{out}^B \triangleq F_{\gamma_{B}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_B)$, and $P_{out}^{AB} \triangleq F_{\gamma_{A}^{MRC}, \gamma_{B}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_A, \gamma^0_B)$. Here, $F_{\gamma_{A}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_A)$ and $F_{\gamma_{B}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_B)$ are given in (24), $F_{\gamma_{A}^{MRC}, \gamma_{B}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_A, \gamma^0_B)$ is provided in (26).

3) **Throughput Analysis:**

a) **Delay-Limited Transmission:** As suggested in Section III-A3, in delay-limited transmission, the throughput is calculated as

$$
\tau = \frac{1 - \alpha}{T_2/3} \left( 1 - P_{out}^A \right) R_A^0 + \left( 1 - P_{out}^B \right) R_B^0,
$$

where $P_{out}^A \triangleq F_{\gamma_{A}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_A)$ and $P_{out}^B \triangleq F_{\gamma_{B}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_B)$, $F_{\gamma_{A}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_A)$ and $F_{\gamma_{B}^{MRC}} (\gamma^0_B)$ are given in (24).

b) **Delay-Tolerant Transmission:** In delay-tolerant transmission, using (24), the throughput is calculated as

$$
\tau = \frac{1 - \alpha}{3 \ln 2} \sum_{(i,j) \in \{(A,B)\}} e^{-b_1 \text{Ei} (b_1)} + \frac{a_1}{3 \ln 2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-c_1 \lambda^2} \lambda^2 K_1 (t_1 \lambda) \text{Ei} \left( (\lambda^2 + 1) b_1 \right) d\lambda,
$$

where $\text{Ei} (\cdot)$ is the exponential integral function [19, eq. (8.211.1)].
4) System Energy Efficiency: As suggested in Section III-A4, based on the throughput analysis in Section III-B3, the system energy efficiency for the DS policy in the TDBC protocol is expressed as

\[ \eta_{EE}^\Phi = \frac{\tau_\Phi}{3} \left( \frac{P_A + P_B}{1 + 2\alpha} \right), \]

where \( \Phi \in \{l, t\} \).

C. SFS power transfer policy for MABC

In this subsection, we consider the SFS policy for MABC.

1) End-to-End SNR: In this policy, only a fixed source \( S_A \) or \( S_B \) transfers power to the relay. Without loss of generality, we assume this source is \( S_A \), the energy harvested at the relay can be expressed as

\[ E_h = \eta P_A |h_{AR}|^2 \alpha T_1. \]

(31)

Based on (31), the transmit power at the relay is given by

\[ P_R = \frac{E_h}{(1 - \alpha)T_1/2} = \frac{2\eta \alpha P_A |h_{AR}|^2}{(1 - \alpha)}. \]

(32)

Substituting (32) into (4), we obtain a tight high SNR approximations for the end-to-end SNR at \( S_A \) and \( S_B \) as

\[ \gamma_A = \frac{a_2 \Psi_A X^2 Y}{b_2 \Psi_A X^2 + \Psi_B Y + \Psi_A X}, \]

(33)

and

\[ \gamma_B = \frac{a_2 \Psi_A X^2 Y}{b_2 \Psi_A X Y + \Psi_A X + \Psi_B Y}, \]

(34)

respectively, where \( X = |h_{AR}|^2, Y = |h_{BR}|^2, a_2 = \frac{2\eta \alpha}{(1 - \alpha)}, b_2 = \frac{2\eta \alpha}{(1 - \alpha)}, \Psi_A = \frac{P_A}{\sigma^2}, \) and \( \Psi_B = \frac{P_B}{\sigma^2} \).

Lemma 4. The CDF of \( \gamma_A \) in (33) is

\[ F_{\gamma_A} (\gamma) = 1 - \frac{1}{\Omega_A} \int_{X_1}^\infty e^{-\left( \frac{\gamma b_2 \Psi_A X^2 + \Psi_B Y + \Psi_A X}{\Omega_B (a_2 \Psi_A X^2 - b_2 \Psi_B Y + \Psi_A X)} \right)} dX, \]

(35)

with \( X_1 = \frac{\gamma}{b_2 \Psi_A} \), and the CDF of \( \gamma_B \) in (34) is

\[ F_{\gamma_B} (\gamma) = 1 - \frac{1}{\Omega_B} \int_{X_2}^\infty e^{-\left( \frac{\gamma a_2 \Psi_A X^2}{\Omega_B (a_2 \Psi_A X^2 - b_2 \Psi_B Y + \Psi_A X)} \right)} dX, \]

(36)

with \( X_2 = \frac{b_2 \gamma + \sqrt{(b_2 \gamma)^2 + 4a_2 \Psi_B \gamma}}{2a_2 \Psi_A} \).

Proof: The proof is accomplished in the similar method as the proof of Lemma 1. ■

2) Outage Probability: Using Lemma 4, following (15), the outage probability of the SFS policy for MABC is given by

\[ P_{out}^{FS-MABC} = P_{out}^A + P_{out}^B - P_{out}^{AB}, \]

(37)

where \( P_{out}^A \triangleq F_{\gamma_A}(\gamma_A^0), P_{out}^B \triangleq F_{\gamma_B}(\gamma_B^0), \) and \( P_{out}^{AB} \triangleq F_{\gamma_A,\gamma_B}(\gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0) \). Here, \( F_{\gamma_A}(\gamma_A^0) \) and \( F_{\gamma_B}(\gamma_B^0) \) are given in (35) and (36), respectively, and \( F_{\gamma_A,\gamma_B}(\gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0) \) is provided in Appendix B.
3) Throughput analysis:

a) Delay-Limited Transmission: In this mode, the expression for the throughput is the same as (17), where 
\[ P_{\text{out}}^A = F_{\gamma_A} (\gamma_0^A) \] and 
\[ P_{\text{out}}^B = F_{\gamma_B} (\gamma_0^B) \]. 

b) Delay-Tolerant Transmission: In this mode, similar to (18), the throughput is calculated as 
\[ \tau_t = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 \ln 2} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - F_{\gamma_A} (\lambda)}{1 + \lambda} d\lambda + \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - F_{\gamma_B} (\lambda)}{1 + \lambda} d\lambda \right), \] 
where \( F_{\gamma_A} (\lambda) \) and \( F_{\gamma_B} (\lambda) \) are given in (35) and (36), respectively.

4) System Energy efficiency: As suggested in Section III-A4, based on throughput analysis in Section III-C3, the system energy efficiency for the SFS policy in the MABC protocol is expressed as 
\[ \eta^{EE} = \frac{\tau_t}{P_A \alpha + \frac{1}{2} (P_A + P_B) (1 - \alpha)}. \]

D. SFS power transfer policy for TDBC

In this subsection, we consider the SFS policy for TDBC.

1) End-to-End SNR: As suggested in Section III-C1, the energy harvested at the relay can be expressed as 
\[ E_h = \eta P_A |h_{AR}|^2 \alpha T_2. \]

Based on (40), the transmit power at the relay is given by 
\[ P_R = \frac{E_h}{(1 - \alpha) T_2 / 3} = \frac{3 \eta P_A |h_{AR}|^2}{(1 - \alpha)}. \]

Substituting (41) into (9), we obtain a tight high SNR approximations for the end-to-end SNR at \( S_A \) and \( S_B \) as 
\[ \gamma_{\text{MRC}}^A = \frac{a_3 \Psi_B X^2 Y}{b_3 \Psi_A X^2 + \Psi_B Y + \Psi_A X} + \Psi_B Z, \] 
and
\[ \gamma_{\text{MRC}}^B = \frac{a_3 \Psi_A X^2 Y}{b_3 \Psi_A X^2 + \Psi_B Y + \Psi_A X} + \Psi_A Z, \]
respectively, where \( a_3 = \frac{3 \eta \alpha}{(1 - \alpha)}, b_3 = \frac{6 \eta \alpha}{(1 - \alpha)} \), \( X = |h_{AR}|^2 \), \( Y = |h_{BR}|^2 \), and \( Z = |h_{AB}|^2 \).

Lemma 5. The CDF of \( \gamma_{\text{MRC}}^A \) in (42) is 
\[ F_{\gamma_{\text{MRC}}^A} (\gamma) = 1 - e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\Omega_A \Omega_B}} - \frac{1}{\Omega_A \Omega_B} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\Omega_B (b_3 \Psi_A X^2 + \Psi_B Y + \Psi_A X)}} - \frac{\gamma}{\Omega_A} dx dz, \] 
with \( X_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_A (z)}{a_3 \Psi_A}}, \) and the CDF of \( \gamma_{\text{MRC}}^B \) in (43) is 
\[ F_{\gamma_{\text{MRC}}^B} (\gamma) = 1 - e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\Omega_A \Omega_B}} - \frac{1}{\Omega_A \Omega_B} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\Omega_B (b_3 \Psi_B Y + \Psi_B Y + \Psi_A X)}} - \frac{\gamma}{\Omega_A} dx dz, \]
where \( X_2 = \frac{b_3 \gamma_B (z) + \sqrt{(b_3 \gamma_B (z))^2 + 4 b_3 \Psi_B \gamma_B (z)}}{2 a_3 \Psi_A} \), \( \gamma_A (z) = \gamma - \Psi_B z \), and \( \gamma_B (z) = \gamma - \Psi_A z. \)
2) Outage Probability:

**Lemma 6.** The joint distribution function of $F_{\gamma_A^MRC, \gamma_B^MRC}$ for the SFS policy in the TDBC protocol can be expressed as

\[
F_{\gamma_A^MRC, \gamma_B^MRC} (Y_A, Y_B) = \int_0^{\min\left\{ \frac{Y_A}{\gamma_A}, \frac{Y_B}{\gamma_B} \right\}} F_{\gamma_A, \gamma_B} (Y_A - \Psi_B z, Y_B - \Psi_A z) e^{-\frac{\epsilon_z}{\Omega_C}} dz,
\]

where $F_{\gamma_A, \gamma_B}$ is provided in Appendix B, with interchanging the parameters $a_3 \rightarrow a_2$ and $b_3 \rightarrow b_2$.

Using Lemma 5 and 6, following (15), the outage probability of the SFS policy for MABC is given by

\[
P_{out}^{SFS-TDBC} = P_{out}^A + P_{out}^B - P_{out}^{AB},
\]

where $P_{out}^A \triangleq F_{\gamma_A^MRC} (\gamma_A^0)$, $P_{out}^B \triangleq F_{\gamma_B^MRC} (\gamma_B^0)$, and $P_{out}^{AB} \triangleq F_{\gamma_A^MRC, \gamma_B^MRC} (\gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0)$. Here, $F_{\gamma_A^MRC} (\gamma_A^0)$, $F_{\gamma_B^MRC} (\gamma_B^0)$, and $F_{\gamma_A^MRC, \gamma_B^MRC} (\gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0)$ are given in (44), (45), and (46), respectively.

3) Throughput Analysis:

(a) **Delay-Limited Transmission:** In this mode, the expression for the throughput is the same as (28), where $P_{out}^A \triangleq F_{\gamma_A^MRC} (\gamma_A^0)$ and $P_{out}^B \triangleq F_{\gamma_B^MRC} (\gamma_B^0)$, $F_{\gamma_A^MRC} (\gamma_A^0)$ and $F_{\gamma_B^MRC} (\gamma_B^0)$ are given in (44) and (45), respectively.

(b) **Delay-Tolerant Transmission:** In this mode, similar to (29), the throughput is calculated as

\[
\tau_t = \frac{1 - \alpha}{3 \ln 2} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - F_{\gamma_A^MRC} (\gamma)}{1 + \lambda} d\lambda + \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - F_{\gamma_B^MRC} (\gamma)}{1 + \lambda} d\lambda \right),
\]

where $F_{\gamma_A^MRC} (\lambda)$ and $F_{\gamma_B^MRC} (\lambda)$ are given in (44) and (45), respectively.

4) **System Energy Efficiency:** As suggested in Section III-A4, based on the throughput analysis in Section III-D3, the system energy efficiency for the SFS policy in the TDBC protocol is expressed as

\[
\eta_{EE} = \frac{\tau_\Phi}{P_A \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{2} (P_A + P_B) (1 - \alpha)},
\]

where $\Phi \in (l, t)$.

**E. SBS power transfer policy for MABC**

In this subsection, we consider the SBS policy for MABC.

1) **End-to-End SNR:** In this policy, we select the strongest channel to transfer power to the relay, the energy harvested at the relay can be expressed as

\[
E_h = \eta P_k \max \left\{ |h_{AR}|^2, |h_{BR}|^2 \right\} \alpha T_1,
\]

where $P_k = \begin{cases} P_A, & |h_{AR}|^2 \geq |h_{BR}|^2 \\ P_B, & |h_{AR}|^2 < |h_{BR}|^2 \end{cases}$. Based on (50), the transmit power at the relay is given by

\[
P_R = \frac{E_h}{(1 - \alpha) T_1/2} = \frac{2 \eta P_k \max \left\{ |h_{AR}|^2, |h_{BR}|^2 \right\}}{(1 - \alpha)}.
\]
\[ F_{\gamma_i}(\gamma) = \int_{\max(K_1, K_3)}^{\infty} \chi_1(\kappa)d\kappa + \int_{\max(K_2, K_4)}^{\infty} \chi_2(\kappa)d\kappa + U(K_3 - K_1) \left( e^{-\frac{K_1}{\kappa}} - e^{-\frac{K_3}{\kappa}} - m_i \left( e^{-\frac{K_1}{\kappa m_i}} - e^{-\frac{K_3}{\kappa m_i}} \right) \right) + U(K_4 - K_2) \left( e^{-\frac{K_2}{\kappa m_i}} - e^{-\frac{K_4}{\kappa m_i}} - m_j \left( e^{-\frac{K_2}{\kappa m_i}} - e^{-\frac{K_4}{\kappa m_i}} \right) \right) + 1 - e^{-\frac{K_1}{\kappa m_j}} - e^{-\frac{K_2}{\kappa m_j}} + m_i e^{-\frac{K_1}{\kappa m_i}} + m_j e^{-\frac{K_2}{\kappa m_i}}. \]  

(53)

Substituting (51) into (4), we obtain a tight high SNR approximation for the end-to-end SNR at \( S_i \) as

\[ \gamma_i = \frac{a_4 \Psi_k \Psi_j \max \{ |h_i R|^2, |h_j R|^2 \}}{b_4 \Psi_k \max \{ |h_i R|^2, |h_j R|^2 \}} [|h_i R|^2 |h_j R|^2] \]

(52)

where \((i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\} \), \( a_4 = \frac{2\gamma}{(1-\alpha)}, b_4 = \frac{2\gamma}{(1-\alpha)}, \Psi_i = \frac{P_1}{\sigma^2}, \Psi_j = \frac{P_2}{\sigma^2} \), and \( \Psi_k = \frac{P_0}{\sigma^2} \).

\textbf{Lemma 7.} The CDF of \( \gamma_i \) in (52) is given by (53) at the top of this page, where \((i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\} \), where \( U(x) \) is the unit step function with a jump discontinuity at \( x = 0 \), that is \( U(x) = \begin{cases} 1, x > 0 \\ 0, x \leq 0 \end{cases} \), \( \chi_1(\kappa) = \frac{1}{\Omega} e^{-\frac{\kappa}{\Omega}} - \frac{1}{\Omega} e^{-\frac{\kappa}{\Omega}} - \frac{b_4 \Psi_k \gamma^2 + \Psi_k \gamma}{2a_4 \Psi_i}, K_1 = \frac{b_4 \Psi_k \gamma^2 + 4a_4 \Psi_j \gamma}{2a_4 \Psi_i}, K_2 = \frac{b_4 \Psi_k \gamma^2 + \Psi_k \gamma}{2a_4 \Psi_i}, K_3 = \frac{b_4 \Psi_k \gamma^2 + 4a_4 \Psi_j \gamma}{2a_4 \Psi_i}, \) and \( K_4 = \frac{b_4 \Psi_k \gamma^2 + \Psi_k \gamma}{2a_4 \Psi_i} \).

\textbf{Proof:} The CDF in (52) can be expressed as

\[ F_{\gamma_i}(\gamma) = \Pr \left[ \frac{a_4 \Psi_k \Psi_j \max \{ X, Y \} XY}{b_4 \Psi_k \max \{ X, Y \} X + \Psi_i X + \Psi_j Y} \leq \gamma \right] \]

\[ = \Pr [ X \Delta_1 \leq \Psi_j Y, X \leq Y, \Delta_1 \geq 0 ] \]

\[ + \Pr [ Y \Delta_2 \leq b_4 \Psi_j X^2 + \Psi_i \gamma X, X > Y, \Delta_2 \geq 0 ] \]

\[ + \Pr [ X > Y, \Delta_2 < 0 ] + \Pr [ X \leq Y, \Delta_1 < 0 ], \]

(54)

where \( \Delta_1 = a_4 \Psi_j^2 Y^2 - b_4 \Psi_j Y - \Psi_i \gamma \) and \( \Delta_2 = a_4 \Psi_i \Psi_j X^2 - \Psi_j \gamma \). Based on (54), we can obtain (53) in the similar method as the proof of Lemma 1.

2) \textit{Outage Probability:} Using Lemma 7, following (15), the outage probability of the SBS policy for MABC is given by

\[ P_{out}^{SBS-MABC} = P_{out}^A + P_{out}^B - P_{out}^{AB}, \]

(55)

where \( P_{out}^A \triangleq F_{\gamma_A} \left( \gamma_A^0 \right) \) and \( P_{out}^B \triangleq F_{\gamma_B} \left( \gamma_B^0 \right) \), and \( P_{out}^{AB} \triangleq F_{\gamma_A, \gamma_B} \left( \gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0 \right) \). Here, \( F_{\gamma_A} \left( \gamma_A^0 \right) \) and \( F_{\gamma_B} \left( \gamma_B^0 \right) \) are given in (53) at the top of next page, and \( F_{\gamma_A, \gamma_B} \left( \gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0 \right) \) is provided in Appendix C.

3) \textit{Throughput analysis:}

\textit{a) Delay-Limited Transmission:} In this mode, the expression for the throughput is the same as (17), where \( P_{out}^A \triangleq F_{\gamma_A} \left( \gamma_A^0 \right) \) and \( P_{out}^B \triangleq F_{\gamma_B} \left( \gamma_B^0 \right) \). Here, \( F_{\gamma_A} \left( \gamma_A^0 \right) \) and \( F_{\gamma_B} \left( \gamma_B^0 \right) \) are given in (53).
b) Delay-Tolerant Transmission: In this mode, similar to (18), the throughput is calculated using (38) where $F_{\gamma A}$ ($\lambda$) and $F_{\gamma B}$ ($\lambda$) are given in (53).

4) System Energy Efficiency: As suggested in Section III-A4, based on the throughput analysis in Section III-E3, the system energy efficiency for the SBS policy in the MABC protocol is expressed as

$$\eta_{EE} = \frac{\tau_{\Phi}}{P_k \alpha + \frac{1}{2} (P_A + P_B) (1 - \alpha)},$$

where $\Phi \in (l, t)$, and $P_k = \begin{cases} P_A, |h_{AR}|^2 \geq |h_{BR}|^2 \\ P_B, |h_{AR}|^2 < |h_{BR}|^2 \end{cases}$.

F. SBS power transfer policy for TDBC

In this subsection, we consider the SBS policy for TDBC.

1) End-to-End SNR: As suggested in Section III-E1, the energy harvested at the relay can be expressed as

$$E_h = \eta P_k \max \left\{ |h_{AR}|^2, |h_{BR}|^2 \right\} \alpha T_2,$$

where $P_k = \begin{cases} P_A, |h_{AR}|^2 \geq |h_{BR}|^2 \\ P_B, |h_{AR}|^2 < |h_{BR}|^2 \end{cases}$. Based on (57), the transmit power at the relay is given by

$$P_R = \frac{E_h}{(1 - \alpha) T_2 / 3} = \frac{3 \eta \alpha P_k \max \left\{ |h_{AR}|^2, |h_{BR}|^2 \right\}}{(1 - \alpha)}.$$

Substituting (58) into (9), we obtain a tight high SNR approximation for the end-to-end SNR at $S_i$ as

$$\gamma_{i}^{MRC} = \Psi_j |h_{AB}|^2 + \frac{a_5 \Psi_k \Psi_j \max \left\{ |h_{IR}|^2, |h_{IR}|^2 \right\} |h_{IR}|^2 |h_{JR}|^2}{b_5 \Psi_k \max \left\{ |h_{IR}|^2, |h_{JR}|^2 \right\} |h_{IR}|^2 + \Psi_i |h_{IR}|^2 + \Psi_j |h_{JR}|^2},$$

where $(i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}$, $a_5 = \frac{3 \eta \alpha}{(1 - \alpha)}$, $b_5 = \frac{6 \alpha \sigma}{\sigma^2}$, $\Psi_i = \frac{P_A}{\sigma^2}$, $\Psi_j = \frac{P_B}{\sigma^2}$, and $\Psi_k = \frac{P_k}{\sigma^2}$.

Lemma 8. The CDF of $\gamma_{i}^{MRC}$ in (59) is

$$F_{\gamma_{i}^{MRC}} (\gamma) = \int_0^\gamma F_{\gamma_{j}} (\gamma - \Psi_j z) e^{-\frac{z}{\Omega_C}} \frac{\Omega_C}{\Omega_C} dz,$$

where $(i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}$, and $F_{\gamma_{i}}$ is given in (53).

2) Outage Probability:

Lemma 9. The joint distribution function of $F_{\gamma_{i}^{MRC}, \gamma_{j}^{MRC}}$ for the SBS policy in the TDBC protocol can be expressed as

$$F_{\gamma_{i}^{MRC}, \gamma_{j}^{MRC}} (\Upsilon_A, \Upsilon_B) = \int_0^{\min \left\{ \frac{\Upsilon_A}{\Psi_A}, \frac{\Upsilon_B}{\Psi_B} \right\} F_{\gamma_{i}^{MRC}, \gamma_{j}^{MRC}} (\Upsilon_A - \Psi_B z, \Upsilon_B - \Psi_A z) e^{-\frac{z}{\Omega_C}} \frac{\Omega_C}{\Omega_C} dz,$$

where $F_{\gamma_{i}^{MRC}, \gamma_{j}^{MRC}}$ is provided in Appendix C, with interchanging the parameters $a_5 \rightarrow a_4$ and $b_5 \rightarrow b_4$. 


Using Lemma 8 and 9, following (15), the outage probability of the SBS policy for TDBC is given by

\[ P_{\text{out}}^{\text{SBS-TDBC}} = P_{\text{out}}^A + P_{\text{out}}^B - P_{\text{out}}^{AB}, \]  

where \( P_{\text{out}}^A \Deltaq= F_{\gamma_A}^{MRC} (\gamma_A^0) \), \( P_{\text{out}}^B \Deltaq= F_{\gamma_B}^{MRC} (\gamma_B^0) \), and \( P_{\text{out}}^{AB} \Deltaq= F_{\gamma_A}^{MRC} \gamma_{\gamma_B}^{MRC} (\gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0) \). Here, \( F_{\gamma_A}^{MRC} (\gamma_A^0) \) and \( F_{\gamma_B}^{MRC} (\gamma_B^0) \) are given in (60), and \( F_{\gamma_A}^{MRC} \gamma_{\gamma_B}^{MRC} (\gamma_A^0, \gamma_B^0) \) is given in (61).

3) Throughput Analysis:
   a) Delay-Limited Transmission: In this mode, the expression for the throughput is the same as (28), where \( P_{\text{out}}^A \Deltaq= F_{\gamma_A}^{MRC} (\gamma_A^0) \) and \( P_{\text{out}}^B \Deltaq= F_{\gamma_B}^{MRC} (\gamma_B^0) \), \( F_{\gamma_A}^{MRC} (\gamma_A^0) \) and \( F_{\gamma_B}^{MRC} (\gamma_B^0) \) are given in (60).
   b) Delay-Tolerant Transmission: In this mode, similar to (29), the throughput is calculated using (48), where \( F_{\gamma_A}^{MRC} (\lambda) \) and \( F_{\gamma_B}^{MRC} (\lambda) \) are given in (60).

4) System Energy Efficiency: As suggested in Section III-A4, based on the throughput analysis in Section III-F3, the system energy efficiency for the SBS policy and the TDBC protocol is expressed as

\[ \eta_{\text{EE}} = \frac{\tau_{\phi}}{P_k \alpha + \frac{1}{2} (P_A + P_B) (1 - \alpha)}, \]  

IV. Numerical Results

In this section, numerical results are presented to illustrate performance including outage probability, throughput and system energy efficiency for different wireless power transfer policies in the EH phase and different transmission protocols in the IP phase. We assume that the co-ordinates of the relay (R), the source (A), and (B) are (1;0.5), (0;0), (2;0), respectively. Hence, the distances are calculated as \( d_{AR} = \sqrt{5}/2 \), \( d_{BR} = \sqrt{5}/2 \), and \( d_{AB} = 2 \). In the simulations, without any loss of generality, we assume frequency dependent constant \( K = 1 \). We also set the
Fig. 3: Throughput in delay-limited transmission mode with SNR=10 dB, $\eta = 0.8$, $d_{AR} = \sqrt{5}/2$, $d_{BR} = \sqrt{5}/2$, and $d_{AB} = 2$.

path-loss exponent $\zeta = 4$, the threshold value $\gamma^0_A = \gamma^0_B = 0$ dB. We assume identical source transmit power at A and B with $P_A = P_B = P$ for simplicity and SNR $= \frac{P}{\sigma^2}$. In the figures, the solid curves represent the TDBC protocol and the dashed curves represent the MABC protocol. We mark the Monte Carlo simulation points for
Fig. 5: System energy efficiency in delay-limited transmission mode with $\alpha = 0.5$, $\eta = 0.8$, $d_{AR} = \sqrt{5}/2$, $d_{BR} = \sqrt{5}/2$, and $d_{AB} = 2$.

Fig. 6: System energy efficiency in delay-tolerant transmission mode with $\alpha = 0.5$, $\eta = 0.8$, $d_{AR} = \sqrt{5}/2$, $d_{BR} = \sqrt{5}/2$, and $d_{AB} = 2$.

all cases with ‘●’. In each figure, we see precise agreement between the Monte Carlo simulation points and the analytical curves.

Fig. 2 plots the outage probability versus SNR. We can observe the approximations are very close with the exact
It is shown that the TDBC protocol achieves lower outage probability than the MABC protocol, since the TDBC applies MRC technique to achieve larger diversity gain. For the MABC protocol, we see that the DS policy achieves the lowest outage probability, since it transfers the largest power to the relay. For the TDBC protocol, we see that the achievable outage probability of the proposed policies is still DS > SBS > SFS. However, it is worth noting that the SBS policy performs almost identically as the DS policy both in the MABC protocol and the TDBC protocol.

Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 plot the throughput versus $\alpha$ in delay-limited and in delay-tolerant transmission modes, respectively. Several observations are drawn: 1) in both transmission modes, as $\alpha$ increases, the throughput first increases and then decreases. This is because increasing $\alpha$ means the relay receives more power, but less time for information transmission. Hence there exits an optimal value which provides a tradeoff between power transfer and information transmission; 2) in both transmission modes, for small $\alpha$, TDBC achieves higher throughput, by applying maximal radio combining (MRC) to obtain the diversity gain. For large $\alpha$, MABC performs better than TDBC due to its higher spectrum efficiency; and 3) in the delay-limited transmission mode, for each power transfer policy, the optimal value of TDBC achieves higher throughput than that of MABC. This is due to the fact that in this mode the throughput is determined by the outage probability and TDBC achieves the lowest outage probability.

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 plots the system energy efficiency versus SNR in delay-limited transmission mode and in delay-tolerant transmission mode, respectively. One can observe is that the energy efficiency of the proposed policies in these two modes are SBS > SFS > DS in both MABC and TDBC protocols. It can be seen that the MABC protocol achieves higher energy efficiency than the TDBC protocol in delay-tolerant mode. It is worth noting that for the SFS policy, the MABC and the TDBC has almost the same system energy efficiency.

Comparing the three proposed power transfer policies from Fig. 2 to Fig. 6. Some observations are concluded as follows: 1) DS policy performs the best in terms of outage probability and throughout but consumes the most energy; 2) SBS is the most energy efficient policy but demands instantaneous feedback information; and 3) SFS policy has the lowest system implementation complexity but performs the worst in terms of outage probability and throughout. Therefore, it is of importance to select a proper policy according to the practical scenario based on our analysis and numerical results.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, amplify-and-forward two-way relay networks with an energy constrained relay node harvesting energy by wireless power transfer was considered. Based on the recently widely adopted time switching receiver architectures which separates energy harvesting phase and information processing phase in time, we proposed three wireless power transfer policies, namely, dual-source power transfer, single-fixed-source power transfer, and single-best-source power transfer. We also considered multiple access broadcasting protocol and time division broadcasting protocol in the information processing phase. New outage probability expressions for different power transfer policies and different transmission protocols were derived to determine the system reliability. From the perspective of delay-limited and delay-tolerant transmission modes, the throughput and energy efficiency were
examined. Numerical results were presented to verify the analysis and compare the three wireless power transfer policies and two transmission protocols and provide useful insights into the practical design of the two-way relaying network with an energy constrained relay.

APPENDIX A

The joint distribution function of $F_{\gamma A, \gamma B}$ for the DS policy in the MABC protocol is calculated as

$$F_{\gamma A, \gamma B} (\Upsilon_A, \Upsilon_B) = \Pr (\gamma_A < \Upsilon_A, \gamma_B < \Upsilon_B)$$

$$= \Pr \left( Y \leq \frac{\Upsilon_A (\theta X + 1)}{\omega A X}, X \leq \frac{\Upsilon_B (\theta Y + 1)}{\omega B Y} \right)$$

$$= \int_0^{\Omega_A} \int_0^{\Omega_B} \frac{e^{-\frac{\Upsilon_A}{\omega A X}}}{\Omega_A} \frac{e^{-\frac{\Upsilon_B}{\omega B Y}}}{\Omega_B} dy dx + \int_0^{\Omega_A} \int_0^{\Omega_B} \frac{e^{-\frac{\Upsilon_A}{\omega A X}}}{\Omega_A} \frac{e^{-\frac{\Upsilon_B}{\omega B Y}}}{\Omega_B} dx dy$$

$$= 1 - e^{-\frac{\kappa_A^2}{\omega A X}} - \sum_{i,j \in \{A, B\}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\Upsilon_A}{\omega A X}}}{\Omega_A} \frac{e^{-\frac{\Upsilon_B}{\omega B Y}}}{\Omega_B} \int_{\Omega_J} (e^{-\frac{\Upsilon_A}{\omega A X}} + 1) d\kappa, \quad (64)$$

where $K_i^0 = \left( \beta_1 + \sqrt{\beta_1^2 + 4\omega_2 \Upsilon_j (\Upsilon_j)^2 \theta^2} \right) \sqrt{\omega_2} \Upsilon_j \theta$ with $\beta_1 = \theta^2 \theta_j \Upsilon_j + \omega_2 \Upsilon_j - \omega_2 \Upsilon_j, (i, j) \in \{(A, B), (B, A)\}$.

APPENDIX B

The joint distribution function of $F_{\gamma A, \gamma B}$ for the SFS policy in the MABC protocol is calculated as follows:

$$F_{\gamma A, \gamma B} (\Upsilon_A, \Upsilon_B) = \Pr (\gamma_A < \Upsilon_A, \gamma_B < \Upsilon_B)$$

$$= \Pr [Y \Delta_1 \leq \Psi_A X Y_A, Y \Delta_2 \leq \Upsilon_B X \Psi_A (b_2 X^2 + 1)]$$

$$= 1 - e^{-\min(X_1, X_2)} \int_{X_2}^{\infty} \varphi_1 (x) dx + U (X_0 - \max\{X_1, X_2\}) \int_{X_1}^{X_0} \varphi_2 (x) dx$$

$$+ U (X_2 - X_1) \int_{X_1}^{X_2} \varphi_1 (x) dx + U (X_1 - X_2) \int_{X_2}^{X_0} \varphi_2 (x) dx,$$  \quad (65)

where $\Delta_1 = a_2 \Psi_A X^2 - b_2 \Psi_A X Y_A - \Psi_B Y_A, \Delta_2 = a_2 \Psi_B X^2 - \Upsilon_B \Psi_B$, $\varphi_1 (x) = \frac{1}{\Omega_A} e^{-\frac{x}{\Omega_A}} - \frac{1}{\Omega_A} e^{-\frac{x}{\Omega_B (a_2 \Psi_B x^2 - b_2 \Psi_B X_A - \Psi_B Y_A) - \Omega_A}}$, $\varphi_2 (x) = \frac{1}{\Omega_B} e^{-\frac{x}{\Omega_B}} - \frac{1}{\Omega_B} e^{-\frac{x}{\Omega_B (a_2 \Psi_B x^2 - b_2 \Psi_B X_A - \Psi_B Y_A) - \Omega_B}}$, and $X_1 = \frac{b_2 Y_A + \sqrt{(b_2 Y_A)^2 + 4a_2 X A \Psi_A}}{2a_2 \Psi_A}$, $X_2 = \frac{\Upsilon_B}{\Psi_A}$, and $X_0 = \frac{\beta_2 + \sqrt{(\beta_2)^2 + 4a_2 b_2 X A (\Upsilon_B)^2}}{2a\Upsilon_B \Psi_A}$, with $\beta_2 = a_2 \Psi_B Y_A + b_2^2 \Psi_A Y_A - a \Psi_A X_B$. 
The joint distribution function of $F_{\gamma_A, \gamma_B}$ for the SBS policy in the MABC protocol is calculated as follows:

$$F_{\gamma_A, \gamma_B} (\Upsilon_A, \Upsilon_B) = \Pr (\gamma_A < \Upsilon_A, \gamma_B < \Upsilon_B)$$

$$= \Pr [Y \Delta_{x_1} \leq \Psi_A X \Upsilon_A, Y \Delta_{x_2} \leq \Psi_A \Upsilon_B X (b_4 X + 1), X \geq Y]$$

$$+ \Pr [X \Delta_{y_1} \leq \Psi_B Y \Upsilon_A, X \Delta_{y_2} \leq \Psi_B \Upsilon_B Y (b_3 Y + 1), X < Y]$$

$$= \sum_{i,j \in (A,B)} \left( \int_{\max\{K_0, K_1, K_2, K_3\}}^{\infty} \phi_1 (\kappa) d\kappa + \Theta_1 \int_{\max\{K_1, K_2, K_4\}}^{K_0} \phi_2 (\kappa) d\kappa \right)$$

$$+ \Theta_2 \left( e^{-\frac{\max\{K_1, K_2\}}{m_2}} - e^{-\frac{\min\{K_1, K_2\}}{m_2}} - m_4 \left( e^{-\frac{\max\{K_1, K_2\}}{m_4}} - e^{-\frac{\min\{K_1, K_2\}}{m_4}} \right) \right) + \Theta_3 \int_{\max\{K_1, K_2\}}^{K_1} \phi_2 (\kappa) d\kappa$$

$$+ \Theta_4 \left( e^{-\frac{K_2}{m_2}} - e^{-\frac{\min\{K_1, K_2\}}{m_2}} - m_4 \left( e^{-\frac{K_2}{m_4}} - e^{-\frac{\min\{K_1, K_2\}}{m_4}} \right) \right) + \Theta_5 \int_{\max\{K_1, K_2\}}^{K_2} \phi_1 (\kappa) d\kappa$$

$$+ \Theta_6 \left( e^{-\frac{K_1}{m_2}} - e^{-\frac{\min\{K_2, K_3\}}{m_2}} - m_4 \left( e^{-\frac{K_1}{m_4}} - e^{-\frac{\min\{K_2, K_3\}}{m_4}} \right) \right) + 1 - e^{-\frac{\min\{K_1, K_2\}}{m_4}} - m_4 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{\min\{K_1, K_2\}}{m_4}} \right) \right),$$

where $\Delta_{x_1} = a_4 \Psi_A^2 X - b_4 \Psi_A X \Upsilon_A - \Psi_A \Upsilon_A$, $\Delta_{x_2} = a_4 \Psi_A \Psi_B Y^2 - \Psi_B \Upsilon_B$, $\Delta_{y_1} = a_4 \Psi_B^2 Y^2 - b_4 \Psi_B Y \Upsilon_A - \Psi_A \Upsilon_A$, $\Delta_{y_2} = a_4 \Psi_B \Psi_A Y^2 - \Psi_A \Upsilon_B$, $\phi_1 (\kappa) = \frac{\beta}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\kappa}{m_2}} - \frac{\beta}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\kappa}{m_4}}$, $\phi_2 (\kappa) = \frac{\beta}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\kappa}{m_2}} - \frac{\beta}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\kappa}{m_4}}$, $\Theta_1 = U (K_0 - \max\{K_1, K_2, K_3\})$, $\Theta_2 = U (\min\{K_3, K_4\} - \min\{K_1, K_2\})$, $\Theta_3 = U (K_1 - \max\{K_2, K_4\})$, $\Theta_4 = U (\min\{K_1, K_3\} - K_2)$, $\Theta_5 = U (K_2 - \max\{K_1, K_3\})$, $\Theta_6 = U (\min\{K_2, K_3\} - K_1)$.

$$K_0 = \left( \frac{\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4a_4 b_4^2 \Psi_A^2 \Upsilon_A (\Psi_A + \Psi_B)}}{2a_4 b_4 \Psi_A \Psi_B} \right),$$

and $K_4 = \frac{b_4 \Psi_A \Upsilon_A + \sqrt{(b_4 \Psi_A \Upsilon_A)^2 + 4a_4 b_4 \Psi_A \Psi_B \Upsilon_A (\Psi_A + \Psi_B)}}{2a_4 \Psi_A \Psi_B}$.
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