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Running Title: Novel nitrotriazole-based compounds as bifunctional anti-Chagasics
Abstract: 3-Nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazole-based amides with a linear, rigid core and 3-nitrotriazole-based fluconazole analogs were synthesized as dual functioning antitrypanosomal agents. Such compounds are excellent substrates for type I nitroreductase (NTR) located in the mitochondrion of trypanosomatids and, at the same time, act as inhibitors of the sterol 14α-demethylase (*T. cruzi* CYP51) enzyme. Because combination treatments against parasites are often superior to monotherapy, we believe that this emerging class of bifunctional compounds may introduce a new generation of antitrypanosomal drugs. In the present work, the synthesis and *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of such compounds is discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

About one sixth of the world’s population, most living in developing countries, suffer from a series of infections collectively known as neglected tropical diseases (NTDs).\(^1\) In addition to killing more than 500,000 people each year, these illnesses impair physical and cognitive development of children, make it difficult to farm or earn a living, and limit productivity in the workplace. Two NTDs are American trypanosomiasis (also known as Chagas disease), which is caused by the protozoan parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi* and is endemic in 21 countries across Latin America, and human African trypanosomiasis (HAT) (also known as African sleeping sickness), which is prevalent across sub-Saharan Africa and caused by *T. brucei rhodesiense* and *T. brucei gambiense*. Although the number of incidences of both infections has significantly declined in the past 20 years due to implementation of vector control initiatives, the diseases still remain a major global health issue, especially since the number of cases in non-endemic sites (United States, Australia, Europe and Japan) is rising, primarily due to international population migration and transfusion of contaminated blood.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^4\)

The therapeutic options for Chagas are currently limited to two drugs, both nitroheterocyclic compounds, nifurtimox (Nfx; Lampit®) and benznidazole (Bnz; Rochagan®), while the success of treatment depends on the phase of the disease. Thus, cure rates of 65-80\% can be achieved in the acute phase, whereas only 15-30\% cure rates have been reported among adults in the chronic stage.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\) Besides the low efficacy in patients with chronic disease, safety and tolerability issues are also major drawbacks for these drugs. Therefore, the development of new, safer and more effective therapeutic treatments remains a key challenge for Chagas disease control.

Currently, inhibitors of the fungal sterol 14\(\alpha\)-demethylase enzyme (CYP51) represent a new form of treatment against Chagas disease and demonstrated promising efficacy in preclinical
Additional pathogen-specific drug discovery efforts have resulted in inhibitors for the orthologous enzyme *T. cruzi* CYP51 (TcCYP51). One such compound, VNI, showed parasitological cures in both the acute and chronic *in vivo* Chagas model. However, the antifungal agent posaconazole revealed ~80% treatment failure in clinical trials in human patients with chronic Chagas disease while Bnz was proven more efficacious. In addition, in newly designed *in vitro* assays, nitroheterocyclic compounds were more efficacious trypanocidal agents than four different CYP51 inhibitors, including antifungal drugs posaconazole and ravuconazole and two pyridine-based compounds, suggesting that inhibitors of sterol biosynthesis may not be as efficient as single chemotherapeutic agents against Chagas disease as they are against fungal infections. Interestingly, a recent study with Bnz and posaconazole administered concomitantly or in sequence suggested a positive interaction between the two drugs, at least in an acute murine infection model.

We have recently demonstrated that another class of nitroheterocyclic compounds, 3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazoles, are very potent antichagasic agents both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, whereas several analogs showed very good *in vitro* efficacy against *T. brucei rhodesiense* as well. These studies clearly demonstrated that 3-nitrotiazole-based compounds are significantly more potent and less toxic than their 2-nitroimidazole-based counterparts, with part of the trypanocidal activity being dependent on the parasite’s expression of an oxygen-insensitive type I nitroreductase (NTR), an enzyme absent from most other eukaryotes. This same enzyme, via a series of 2 electron reduction reactions which lead to the production of toxic metabolites, is responsible for the trypanocidal activity of Nfx, Bnz and other nitroheterocyclic prodrugs in general.
In this work we examined the possibility of synthesizing dual-functioning 3-nitrotriazole-based compounds; such compounds could potentially act as prodrugs via their reducible nitro-group and, at the same time, be inhibitors of CYP51 enzyme via their triazole-based scaffold. The synthesis of bifunctional agents has the advantage of combining the beneficial effects of nitroheterocyclics with the beneficial effects of ergosterol biosynthesis inhibitors in one molecule. To accomplish this task, we synthesized and evaluated in vitro and in vivo linear, rigid 3-nitrotriazole-based amides and 3-nitrotriazole-based carbinols (analogs of fluconazole), as potential antitypansomal/antichagasic agents, NTR substrates and TcCYP51 inhibitors.

- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry

The structures of synthesized compounds are shown in Table 1. Their synthesis is straightforward and based on well-established chemistry outlined in Scheme 1. Thus, amides 2-9 were obtained by nucleophilic substitution of chloroacetamides 1a-g with the potassium salt of 3-nitrotriazole or 2-nitroimidazole under refluxing conditions. Similarly, nitro(triazole/imidazole)-ketones 10-13 were synthesized by nucleophilic substitution of α-bromoketones. Carbinols 14-19 were obtained in one step by using a modified Corey-Chaykovsky reaction; in this one-pot reaction, trimethylsulfoxonium iodide was used in a 2-propanol : aqueous KOH (1:1) solution and the appropriate ketone was added to form in situ an oxirane; then 3-nitrotriazole was added followed by 1-h refluxing. Although the yields by using this method were low, the method is attractive due to its simplicity and short reaction time. The obtained carbinols 14-19 were used as racemic mixtures. When 2-nitroimidazole was used in the modified Corey-Chaykovsky reaction, the bicyclic compound 20 was formed as the final product,
presumably via an intramolecular aromatic nucleophilic substitution of the nitro-group by the hydroxyl-group. The sulfonamide 21 was formed by nucleophilic attack of the sodium salt of 3-nitrotetrazole with the appropriate aziridine-sulfonamide (Scheme 1).

**Biological Evaluation.**

*Anti-parasitic activity.* Compounds were tested for antitrypanosomal activity against *T. cruzi* amastigotes and bloodstream form (BSF) of *T. b. rhodesiense*. Dose response curves were constructed from which the concentration of compound that inhibits parasite growth by 50 % (IC$_{50}$) was calculated for each parasite (Table 1). In addition, compounds were tested for toxicity in L6 rat skeletal myoblasts, the host cells for *T. cruzi* amastigotes, in order to calculate a selectivity index for each parasite (SI = IC$_{50L6}$/IC$_{50parasite}$) (Table 1). The TDR (Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, World Health Organization) criteria for activity were adapted to interpret the data.$^{27}$ Thus, for *T. cruzi* amastigotes, an IC$_{50}$ of <4.0 µM, between 4.0-60 µM or >60 µM, designates ‘active’, ‘moderately active’ or ‘inactive’ compounds, respectively, whereas for BSF *T. b. rhodesiense*, IC$_{50}$ values of <0.5 µM, between 0.5-6.0 µM or > 6.0 µM identify ‘active’, ‘moderately active’ or ‘inactive’ compounds, respectively. In addition, SI values should ideally be ≥50 and ≥100 for *T. cruzi* and *T. b. rhodesiense*, respectively.$^{27}$

All the 3-nitrotetrazole-based rigid amides (2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9) except 4, and all the 3-nitrotetrazole-based carbinols (14, 17-19), as well as the fluconazole-like 3-nitrotetrazole-based sulfonamide 21, were active antichagasic agents with acceptable selectivity indices (Table 1). Only 3 compounds (two 3-nitrotetrazole-based amides and one 3-nitrotetrazole-based carbinol) were active (2) or moderately active (5, 14) anti-HAT agents with acceptable SI values. In addition, ketone 11, the precursor of carbinol 19 showed a moderate anti-HAT activity with
acceptable selectivity. As observed before, compound 7, the 2-nitroimidazole-based analog of 6, was borderline active against T. cruzi amastigotes but with an unacceptable SI value (Table 1).

**Analysis of antichagasic activity.** *Linear, rigid amides 2-9:* Although a detailed SARs evaluation cannot be conducted due to the limited number of compounds studied, we made the following observations: A chloro-substituent in the *para* position of a diphenyl-core in the rigid amide 5 increased the efficacy against *T. cruzi* by a factor of 3 compared to the cyano-substituted analog 2. Although 5 was slightly more toxic to L6 cells than compound 2, its SI for *T. cruzi* was also increased about 2-fold compared to 2 due to its better anti-chagasic efficacy; this cannot be attributed to lipophilicity since both compounds share similar clogP values, but perhaps to its lower PSA value (Table 1). Chloro-substitution in the phenylthiazole-core of 3 was also beneficial for its antichagasic activity and selectivity compared to the difluoro-substitution in the analog 6. However, in this case, increased antichagasic efficacy may be related to the increased lipophilicity of 3 compared to 6. Reversing the order between the thiazole and phenyl rings in amide 8 slightly decreased antichagasic activity compared to 6 and once again, this reduction may be related to slightly lower lipophilicity compared to 6. Furthermore, this reversal lead to a slightly more toxic compound (compare 8 to 3 and 6). Replacing the terminal phenyl ring in the biphenyl core of 2 or 5 with a piperidinic group in 9, resulted in reduced efficacy against *T. cruzi*, which seems to be attributed to a decreased clogP value (Table 1). Compound 4 with a thiodiazole ring in the rigid core failed to show either good antichagasic activity or acceptable selectivity. However, compound 4 has significant solubility problems and may have not been evaluated properly. Although compound 7, the 2-nitroimidazole analog of 6, showed borderline antichagasic activity according to the TDR criteria, it was the most toxic rigid amide in L6 cells
and failed to show an acceptable SI for \textit{T. cruzi}. Compound 7 was about 8.7-fold less active against \textit{T. cruzi} compared to its 3-nitrotriazole-analog 6, even though the latter was 1.5 times less lipophilic, suggesting that the reason for the reduced antichagasic activity of 7 was related to the 2-nitroimidazole ring. All 3-nitrotriazole-based rigid amides, except 4, were more potent antichagasic agents than Bnz, with compound 5 being 45-fold more potent than Bnz (Table 1).

\textit{Carbinols and their precursors.} With regard to the carbinols in Table 1, which were racemic mixtures, we observe the following: Lipophilicity and the presence of the nitro-group in the triazole-ring played a significant role in the antichagasic activity. Thus, the 3-nitrotriazole-based carbinol 14, with a terminal imidazole ring in the core, was significantly less lipophilic than carbinol 17, with a terminal phenyl ring in the core, and 32-fold less potent against \textit{T. cruzi} amastigotes than 17 (Table 1). On the other hand, carbinol 15, the analog of 14 without the 3-nitro group in the triazole ring, had a negative clogP value and was inactive against \textit{T. cruzi}. Interestingly, carbinol 16, the analog of 17 without the 3-nitro group in the triazole ring, was still active against \textit{T. cruzi}, most likely because of its relatively high lipophilicity. However, the lack of the nitro-group resulted in a 7-fold reduction in antichagasic potency compared to 17. Carbinol 18 is the mono-nitrotriazole analog of fluconazole. This compound was 36-fold less potent than its more lipophilic analog 19, which has an additional phenyl ring in the side chain. However, compound 18 was 8.5-fold more potent than fluconazole, which is less lipophilic and lacks the nitro-group (Table 1). Carbinol 19 was the most potent antichagasic compound tested and demonstrated the highest SI value. In addition, 19 was about 68-fold more potent than Bnz (Table 1). The bicyclic compound 20 was formed \textit{in situ} from the corresponding 2-nitroimidazole analog of fluconazole presumably by intramolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution of the nitro-group by the hydroxyl group. This compound, although slightly more
lipophilic than 18, was about 5-fold less potent against *T. cruzi*, presumably because it lacks the nitro group. In addition, compound 20 was moderately active and marginally selective (SI > 49.4) for *T. cruzi* parasite. In compound 21 we replaced the hydroxyl-group with a tosylnitro-group. Compound 21 was selectively active against *T. cruzi*, but its potency was about 3-fold less compared to carbinol 17 with a similar lipophilicity. An increased PSA value compared to 17 may have played a role for this reduction in this case.

Some ketones, precursors of the carbinols in Table 1, were also evaluated for antichagasic activity. Interestingly, the 3-nitrotriazole-based ketones 10 and 11, precursors of carbinols 18 and 19, respectively, were found to be selectively active against *T. cruzi* (Table 1). The pattern of lipophilicity and the presence of the nitro-group in the triazole ring were consistent with our predictions for efficacy. Thus, the more lipophilic 11 was more potent than 10, whereas ketone 12, the analog of 11 without the nitro-group, was only moderately active against *T. cruzi* and did not fulfill the TDR selectivity criteria. Once again, the 2-nitroimidazole analog of 11, ketone 13, was only moderately active against *T. cruzi* parasites, but also the most toxic compound to the host cells. From this evaluation, it appears that 3-nitrotriazole-based ketones represent another class of antichagasic agents. Finally, compound 22, the precursor of carbinols 14 and 15, was inactive against *T. cruzi* (Table 1).

Analysis of anti-HAT activity. Linear, rigid amides 2-9: With regard to the anti-HAT activity of amides 2-9, biphenyl amides 2 and 5 (which were active and moderately active, respectively) were more potent against *T. b. rhodesiense* than phenylthiazole-amides 3, 6, 7 and 8. In fact, none of the latter demonstrated an acceptable selective anti-HAT activity (Table 1). The phenyl-thiodiazoleamidine 4 did not show any anti-HAT activity, in part due to solubility issues as mentioned earlier, whereas the piperidinophenylamide 9 was inactive, perhaps because
of planarity issues in the side chain. Interestingly, the 2-nitroimidazole-based phenylthiazole-amide 7 demonstrated a lower IC₅₀ value against *T. b. rhodesiense* than its 3-nitrotriazole-based analog 6, possibly due to the higher lipophilicity of the first. Nonetheless, both were inactive against this parasite.

*Carbinols and their precursors.* Only carbinol 14 was moderately active with acceptable selectivity against *T. b. rhodesiense*. Carbinol 17 with increased lipophilicity was more active than 14 but not selective enough for this parasite. Similarly, carbinol 19 was more active than the less lipophilic analog 18, but also lacked an acceptable selectivity for *T. b. rhodesiense*. Linear, rigid carbinols lacking the nitro-group (15, 16) were inactive against *T. b. rhodesiense*. Interestingly, the precursor of carbinol 19, the lipophilic enough 3-nitrotriazole-based ketone 11, was moderately active and had an acceptable selectivity for *T. b. rhodesiense*.

*Involvement of type I Nitroreductase.* Representative 3-nitrotriazole-based linear rigid amides and carbinols, with IC₅₀ values against *T. cruzi* ranging from 33 nM to 3.29 μM, were evaluated as substrates of recombinant TbNTR and TcNTR enzymes (Table 2). Enzyme specific activity was measured as oxidized NADH per min per mg of protein. All tested nitrotriazoles were excellent substrates of Tb and TcNTR enzymes. Thus, tested nitrotriazoles were metabolized by Tb and TcNTR at rates approximately 2-fold higher than Bnz, whereas TbNTR can metabolize nitrotriazoles (and Bnz) at rates 2 to 3-fold greater than those of TcNTR. In addition, blood stream form *T. b. brucei* parasites overexpressing TbNTR were 2 to 3-fold more susceptible to compound 2 compared to parasites not induced to express elevated levels of TbNTR (IC₅₀: 0.36 ± 0.01 vs 0.84 ± 0.16). However, no correlation existed between parasitic IC₅₀ values (Table 1) and activation rate by TcNTR or TbNTR, suggesting that antiparasitic activity was not exclusively dependent on NTR-induced activation.
**TcCYP51 inhibition.** Azole-based scaffolds are effective inhibitors of the *T. cruzi* enzyme sterol 14α-demethylase (TcCYP51). By using eburicol as substrate, TcCYP51 is a central enzyme in parasitic sterol biosynthesis, an essential pathway in all life stages of *T. cruzi* for the formation of ergosterol and related structures from lanosterol. Being azoles, our compounds could be potential inhibitors of TcCYP51. Moreover, reduction of the nitro group by P450 reductase (which normally reduces the Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ in the heme cofactor of CYP51) could potentially cause irreversible binding to the protein. Benznidazole was found to be an irreversible inhibitor of TcCYP51, although with weak affinity for the enzyme. Binding of a hererocyclic compound to CYP51 can be quantified spectroscopically by measuring a dose-response type 2 shift that occurs upon coordination of a Lewis-base atom of the ligand to the heme iron of the enzyme. The first 3-nitrotiazole-based compound we tested as a potential TcCYP51 ligand was chlorothiophene-2-sulfonamide 23, with a flexible core (Table 1). The biological properties of 23 have been described before and are included in Table 1 for reference. This compound displayed a week affinity toward TcCYP51, providing a high $K_d$ value of 65.2 ± 5.3 (Table 3). Therefore, we assumed that compounds with a more rigid core could better fit in the active site of the enzyme and thus act as stronger inhibitors. Indeed, compound 2 with a linear rigid core inhibited the enzyme with an initial $I/E_2$ ratio (molar ratio of inhibitor/enzyme which causes a 2-fold decrease in enzyme activity) of 4 in a 5 min reaction. However, this ratio was increased to 36 in the 1 h reaction, indicating that inhibition by 2 was reversible. The 3-nitro-triazole-fluconazole analog 18 binds to TcCYP51 and induces the same typical type 2 spectral response as fluconazole (Fig. 1), indicating at least initial coordination of the triazole N4 to the P450 heme iron. However, 18 was a weaker binder than fluconazole ($K_d$ 0.606 versus 0.230 μM) (Table 3). To the contrary, carbinol 19, an analog of fluconazole with an elongated rigid side chain, elicited
the spectral response in TcCYP51 with an apparent $K_d$ 10-fold stronger than fluconazole. In the reconstituted CYP51 reaction, 19 produced $I/E_2$ ratios of $<1$ and 3, in 5 min and 1 h reactions, respectively (Table 3 and Fig. 2). Furthermore, for carbinol 19 at high $I/E$ ratios ($\geq 20$), the inhibition seems to be irreversible, although further experiments are needed for verification (Fig. 2). Correlation exists between in vitro antichagasic potency and inhibition of TcCYP51 for the nitro-compounds 2, 19 and 23. However, compound 18 was more potent in killing T. cruzi amastigotes than fluconazole (Tables 1), although the latter was a stronger TcCYP51 inhibitor (Table 3). This might be related to the nitro-group of 18, making this compound bifunctional. Crystallography studies could shed more light on the orientation of these bifunctional agents in the TcCYP51 active site.

**In vivo antichagasic activity.** Compounds 2, 5, 18 and 19 were evaluated for in vivo antichagasic activity by using an acute T. cruzi infected murine model as described before. Bnz was used in parallel as a positive control. Infected mice (5 mice/group) were treated i.p. for up to 10 consecutive days with each compound, at 15 mg/kg/day, except for compound 5 which was administered at 13 mg/kg/day. The Parasite Index (PI), which is an indicator for parasite clearance, was calculated after 5 and 10 days of treatment. The data from these studies are summarized in Fig. 3. All tested compounds reduced the PI to $< 4\%$ after 10-day treatment with statistical significance compared to the 10-day untreated control. The linear rigid amides 2 and 5 were able to reduce parasites to undetectable levels even after 5 days of treatment. However, only compound 5 yielded a statistically significant different PI value compared to untreated control after 5 days of treatment ($P<0.0001$), whereas for Bnz and compound 2 (treated in the same experiment) the corresponding $P$ value was 0.080 and 0.0819, respectively. Carbinols 18 and 19 provided a PI value of 24.9 and 42.04, respectively, after 5-day administration but
without statistical significance compared to the untreated control ($P = 0.1680$ and 0.2706, respectively). Bnz in this latter experiment provided a PI value of 13.38 after 5-day treatment, also not statistically different from the untreated control ($P = 0.2706$). None of the tested compounds was toxic to mice at the given doses and administration schedule.

**In vitro ADME studies.** To understand better the *in vivo* activity of compounds 2 and 19 and explain the discrepancy between *in vitro* and *in vivo* potency, we performed some *in vitro* ADME studies (Table 4). Compound 2 represents the linear rigid amides and 19 the carbinols. Both compounds demonstrate high Caco 2 permeability$^{31}$ and similar metabolic stability in the presence of murine or human microsomes. Both compounds were quite stable, explaining their good *in vivo* activity after 10-day dosing. The fact that compound 19 was acting slower than 2 may be related to its stronger binding to TcCYP51, a membrane protein, whereas type I NTR is mitochondrial based. Thus, if compound metabolites formed through NTR-activation are the most toxic chemical species for *T. cruzi*, compound 2 would be expected to act faster than 19. Obviously, additional pharmacokinetic parameters (such as volume distribution and protein binding) which can affect the *in vivo* behavior of these compounds cannot be excluded.

It is concluded from the above data that 3-nitrotetrazole-based linear rigid amides and carbinols are potent antichagasic agents via their dual functioning properties as substrates for trypanosomal type I NTR and as inhibitors of CYP51. In addition, these compounds demonstrate excellent Caco 2 permeability and metabolic stability in the presence of human or murine microsomes. Carbinols were slower in clearing the parasites *in vivo* compared to the linear rigid amides, however, both type of compounds were able to clear *T. cruzi* after 10-day treatment. This is apparent in the images shown in Fig. 4. Future experiments in a chronic murine model are
necessary to determine the validity of these bifunctional compounds for the treatment of Chagas disease.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Chemistry. General:** All starting materials and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI), were of research-grade quality and used without further purification. Solvents used were anhydrous and the reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere and exclusion of moisture. Melting points were determined by using a Mel-Temp II Laboratory Devices apparatus (Holliston, MA) and are uncorrected. Proton NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Inova-500 or an Agilent Hg-400 spectrometer at 500 or 400 MHz, respectively, and were referenced to Me$_4$Si or to the corresponding solvent if the solvent was not CDCl$_3$. High-resolution electrospray ionization (HRESIMS) mass spectra were obtained on an Agilent 6210 LC-TOF mass spectrometer at 11000 resolution. Thin-layer chromatography was carried out on aluminum oxide N/UV$_{254}$ or polygram silica gel G/UV$_{254}$ coated plates (0.2 mm, Analtech, Newark, DE). Chromatography was carried out on preparative TLC alumina GF (1000 microns) or silica gel GF (1500 microns) plates (Analtech). All compounds were purified by preparative TLC chromatography on silica gel or alumina plates and also checked by HPLC (≥ 95% purity).

**Synthesis of chlorides 1a-g:** Some of the chlorides 1a-g are known in the literature and others are unknown or known through libraries but without any reference. The synthesis and spectroscopic data of 1a-g are provided in the supporting material.

**General Synthetic Procedure of amides 2-9:** The potassium salt of 3-nitro-1,2,4-triazole or 2-nitroimidazole (1 eq) was formed in CH$_3$CN (6-10 mL), by refluxing with KOH (1.2 eq) for 30 min. To this suspension 1a-g (1.1 eq) was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed under a nitrogen atmosphere for 9 h. In certain cases, 1a-g was added in CH$_3$CN solution. The reaction
mixture was checked by TLC for completion of the reaction and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and the inorganic salts were filtered away. Upon preparative TLC (silica gel or alumina, depending on the mobility of the major band; ethyl acetate-petroleum ether), the desired product was obtained usually as a powder. Purity was checked also by HPLC and it was > 95%.

\[ N-\{4-(4-cyanophenyl)phenyl\}-2-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)acetamide \ (2): \]

White microcrystalline powder (80%): mp 235 °C (dec); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, (CD\(_3\))\(_2\)CO) \(\delta\): 9.84 (br s, 1H), 8.76 (s, 1H), 7.90-7.75 (m, 8H), 5.46 (s, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{13}\)N\(_6\)O\(_3\) and C\(_{17}\)H\(_{12}\)N\(_6\)NaO\(_3\) m/z [M+H]\(^+\) and [M+Na]\(^+\) 349.1044 and 371.0863, found 349.1056 and 371.0873.

\[ N-\{4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-thiazol-2-yl\}-2-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)acetamide \ (3): \]

White powder (64%): mp > 235 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, (CD\(_3\))\(_2\)CO) \(\delta\): 8.82 (s, 1H), 7.95 (d, \(J=8.5\) Hz, 2H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.46 (d, \(J=8.5\) Hz, 2H), 5.70 (s, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C\(_{13}\)H\(_{10}\)ClN\(_6\)O\(_3\)S and C\(_{13}\)H\(_9\)ClN\(_6\)NaO\(_3\)S m/z [M+H]\(^+\) and [M+Na]\(^+\) 365.0218, 367.0191 and 387.0038, 389.0011, found 365.0231, 367.0200 and 387.0038, 389.0012.

\[ N-\{5-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl\}-2-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl) acetamide \ (4): \]

White powder (63%): mp > 235 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-6d) \(\delta\): 8.93 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, \(J=8.5\) Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, \(J=8.5\) Hz, 2H), 5.57 (s, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{11}\)ClN\(_6\)O\(_4\)S m/z [M+H]\(^+\) 366.0184, 368.0148, found 366.0178, 368.0158.

\[ N-(4'-chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-2-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)acetamide \ (5): \]

Off white powder (77%): mp > 245 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_3\)OD + a drop of DMSO-6d) \(\delta\): 8.73 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, \(J=9.0\) Hz, 2H), 7.61 (dd, \(J=9.0, 4.0\) Hz, 4H), 7.43 (d, \(J=8.0\) Hz, 2H), 5.32 (s, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{11}\)ClN\(_6\)O\(_3\) m/z [M-H]\(^-\) 356.05556, found 356.0563.
N-(4-(3,4-difluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-2-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)acetamide (6): White powder (60%): mp 169-171 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) δ: 11.71 (br s, 1H), 8.80 (s, 1H), 7.83 (ddd, J=14, 7.5, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (m, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.37 (dd, J= 19, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (s, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C₁₅H₁₀F₂N₂O₅S m/z [M+H]+ 367.0419, found 367.0426.

N-(4-(3,4-difluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-2-(2-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)acetamide (7): Off white powder (55%): mp 180-183 °C (dec); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) δ: 11.70 (br s, 1H), 7.82 (ddd, J=12, 7.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (m, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.36 (ddd, J= 16.5, 10.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 5.70 (s, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C₁₄H₁₀F₂N₂O₅S and C₁₄H₁₀F₂N₂NaO₅S m/z [M+H]+ and [M+Na]+ 366.0467 and 388.0286 found 366.0466 and 388.0281.

N-(3-(2-methylthiazol-4-yl)phenyl)-2-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)acetamide (8): White, sticky solid (73%): mp 114-115 °C (dec); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ + 2 drops DMSO-6d) δ: 10.39 (s, 1H), 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.62 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.36 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 2.75 (s, 3H). HRESIMS calcd for C₁₄H₁₅N₆O₅S m/z [M+H]+ 345.0764, found 345.0776.

2-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-N-(4-(piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)acetamide (9): Orange microcrystals (79%): mp 180-182 °C (dec); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ + 1 drop DMSO-6d) δ: 9.19 (br s, 1H), 8.44 (s, 1H), 7.37 (d, J=9.0 Hz,2H), 6.87 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 3.10 (t, J=5.5 Hz, 4H), 1.68 (m, 4H), 1.57 (m, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C₁₅H₁₉N₆O₃ m/z [M+H]+ 331.1513, found 331.1523.

General Synthetic Procedure of ketones 10-13: As in the case of amides 2-9, the potassium salt of 3-nitro-1,2,4-triazole or 2-nitroimidazole or 1,2,4-triazole (1 eq) was formed in CH₃CN (6-10 ml), by refluxing with KOH (1.2 eq) for 30 min and the appropriate α-bromoketone (1 eq) was added to this suspension. The reaction mixture was refluxed under nitrogen atmosphere for 5-6 h. The procedure for separation of the desired ketones 10-13 was analogous to that used for amides 2-9.
1-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-2-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethan-1-one (10): White crystals (85%): mp 102-104 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 8.30 (s, 1H), 8.09 (dd, J=9.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (td, J=9.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (td, J=9.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (d, J=3.0 Hz, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C_{10}H_{7}F_{2}N_{4}O_{3} m/z [M+H]^+ 269.0481, found 269.0487.

1-(4-phenylphenyl)-2-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethan-1-one (11): White powder (95%): mp 175-177 °C; 1H NMR [500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO] δ: 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.16 (d, J=8.5, 2H), 7.84 (d, J=8.5, 2H), 7.70 (d, J=7.5, 2H), 7.48 (t, J=7.5, 2H), 7.41 (t, J=7.5, 1H), 6.15 (s, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C_{16}H_{13}N_{4}O_{3} and C_{10}H_{13}N_{4}NaO_{3} m/z [M+H]^+ and [M+Na]^+ 309.0982 and 331.0802 found 309.0983 and 331.0804.

1-(4-phenylphenyl)-2-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethan-1-one (12): White microcrystals (65%; higher yield based on recovered ketone): mp 159-161 °C; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.28 (s, 1H), 8.07 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (s, 1H), 7.76 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.52-7.44 (m, 3H), 5.71 (s, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C_{16}H_{14}N_{3}O m/z [M+H]^+ 264.1131, found 264.1154.

2-(2-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)-1-(4-phenylphenyl)ethan-1-one (13): White powder (60 %): mp 163-164 °C; 1H NMR [500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO] δ: 8.20 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.92 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, J=1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J=1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (s, 2H). HRESIMS calcd for C_{17}H_{14}N_{3}O_{3} m/z [M+H]^+ 308.1030, found 308.1028.

**General Synthetic Procedure of carbinols 14-19 and compound 20:** The Corey-Chaykovsky reaction was applied for this synthesis and a one-pot reaction was adapted from an international patent because of its short time, albeit with low yields. Briefly, in a 2-necked 25 mL round bottom flask, KOH (2.4 eq) was dissolved in 3 mL distilled water and an equal volume of 2-propanol was added. Trimethylsulfoxonium iodide (1.2 eq) was added and stirred for 15 min under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, the appropriate ketone (1 eq) was added and stirring was continued for 15 min. Finally 3-nitro-1,2,4-triazole or 2-nitroimidazole or 1,2,4-triazole (1.2 eq) was added and the reaction mixture was
refluxed for 1 h. 2-Propanol was evaporated and the aqueous solution was neutralized with 1% HCl. This solution was then extracted with dichloromethane and the organic layer washed with NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and chromatographed by preparative TLC (silica gel, ethyl acetate plus 1% MeOH). When 2-nitroimidazole was used in the reaction mixture, a nucleophilic aromatic substitution of the nitro-group by the hydroxyl took place and the bicyclic-compound 20 was obtained as the final product.

2-[4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl]-1-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propan-2-ol (14): Off white powder (15%): mp 133-134 °C; ¹H NMR [500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO] δ: 8.47 (s, 1H), 8.06 (s, 1H), 7.72 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 4.69 (d, J=4.5 Hz, 2H), 1.68 (s, 3H). HRESIMS calcd for C₁₄H₁₅N₆O₃ m/z [M+H]⁺ 315.1200, found 315.1207.

2-[4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl]-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propan-2-ol (15): White powder (32%): mp 138-140 °C; ¹H NMR [500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO] δ: 8.20 (s, 1H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 4.54 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 2H), 1.57 (s, 3H). HRESIMS calcd for C₁₄H₁₆N₃O m/z [M+H]⁺ 270.1349, found 270.1363.

2-(4-phenylphenyl)-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propan-2-ol (16): White powder (37%; based on recovered ketone the yield was 50%): mp 118-120 °C; ¹H NMR [500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO] δ: 1H 8.20 (s, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.67-7.61 (m, 6H), 7.45 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 1H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 1.55 (s, 3H). HRESIMS calcd for C₁₇H₁₆N₃O m/z [M+H]⁺ 280.1444, found 280.1453.

1-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-2-(4-phenylphenyl)propan-2-ol (17): White powder (19%): mp was not determined; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.17 (s, 1H), 7.63-7.58 (m, 4H), 7.51-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.38 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J=3.5 Hz, 2H), 1.67 (s, 3H). HRESIMS calcd for C₁₇H₁₆N₄NaO₃ m/z [M+Na]⁺ 347.1115, found 347.1124.

2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-1-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-3-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-propan-2-ol (18): White powder (25%): mp 154-156 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.32 (s, 1H), 7.94
(s, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.42 (ddd, J=8.8, 6.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.88-6.79 (m, 2H), 5.66 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d, J=14.4 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J=14.4 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J=14.0 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, J=14.0 Hz, 1H). HRESIMS calec for C_{13}H_{10}F_{2}N_{2}O_{3} m/z [M+H]^+ 352.09697, found 352.0980.

1-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-2-(4-phenylphenyl)-3-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propan-2-ol (19): White powder (33%): mp 90-93 °C (dec); ^1H NMR [500 MHz, (CD$_3$)$_2$CO] δ: 8.46 (s, 1H), 8.23 (s, 1H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.65-7.60 (m, 6H), 7.45 (t, J=8.0, 2H), 7.36 (t, J=7.5, 1H), 5.57 (s, 1H), 4.98 (d, J=14.0, 1H), 4.95 (d, J=14.0, 1H), 4.91 (d, J=14.0, 1H), 4.79 (d, J=14.0, 1H). HRESIMS calec for C_{19}H_{18}N_{3}O_{3} m/z [M+H]^+ 392.1466, found 392.1469.

1-[[2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-2H,3H-imidazo[2,1-b][1,3]oxazol-2-yl]methyl]-1H-1,2,4-triazole (20): White powder (29 %): mp 134-137 °C (dec); ^1H NMR [500 MHz, (CD$_3$)$_2$CO] δ: 8.35 (s, 1H), 7.72 (s, 1H), 7.49 (ddd, J=17.5, 9.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (ddd, J=11.5, 9.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (ddd, J=11.5, 9.0, 3.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J=1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, J=1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, J=15.0 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J=15.0 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (dd, J=11.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (dd, J=11.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H). HRESIMS calec for C_{14}H_{12}F_{2}N_{3}O and C_{14}H_{11}F_{2}N_{3}NaO m/z [M+H]^+ and [M+Na]^+ 304.1004 and 326.0824, found 304.1006 and 326.0829.

4-Methyl-N-[1-(3-nitro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-3-phenylpropan-2-yl]benzene-1-sulfonamide (21): 3-Nitro-1,2,4-triazole (0.68 mmol) was dissolved in dry 1-propanol (5 mL) and then NaH (60%, 1.05 eq) was added. Then the commercially available (Aldrich) S-(+)-2-benzyl-l-(p-tosylaziridine) (0.68 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 h. The reaction mixture was chromatographed on silica gel plates with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (60:40) as eluent. White crystals (36 %): mp 147-148 °C; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 8.18 (s, 1H), 7.43 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (m, 3H), 7.18 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (m, 2H), 4.64 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (dd, J=14.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (dd, J=14.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (m, 1H), 2.96 (dd, J=14.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H),
2.75 (dd, J=14.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H). HRESIMS calcd for C_{18}H_{20}N_{5}O_{4}S m/z [M+H]^+ 402.1231, found 402.1235.

1-(4-(2-methyloxiran-2-yl)phenyl)-1H-imidazole (22): This compound was isolated during the synthesis of compound 14. White crystals (17%): mp 79-81 °C; ^1H NMR [500 MHz, (CD$_3$)$_2$CO] δ: 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.58 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.00 (d, J=5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (d, J=5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.71 (s, 3H). HRESIMS calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{13}$N$_{2}$O m/z [M+H]$^+$ 201.1022, found 201.1024.

**Biological evaluation.** In vitro screening: In vitro activity against *T. cruzi*, *T. b. rhodesiense*, and cytotoxicity assessment using L6 cells (rat skeletal myoblasts) was determined using a 96-well plate format as previously described.$^{31}$ Data were analyzed with the graphic program Softmax Pro (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), which calculated IC$_{50}$ values by linear regression from the sigmoidal dose inhibition curves.

Enzymatic activity studies with Type I NTR. Recombinant TbNTR was prepared and assayed as previously described.$^{33,34}$ The activity of purified his-tagged TbNTR was assessed spectrophotometrically at 340 nm using various nitrotriazole substrates (100 µM) and NADH (100 µM) and expressed as nmol NADH oxidized min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$ of enzyme.

Testing *T. cruzi* CYP51 as a potential inhibition target. Selected compounds (2, 18 and 19) were evaluated as CYP51 ligand/inhibitors using purified full-length *T. cruzi* CYP51 protein sample.$^{28}$ Binding affinities of the compounds were estimated using spectral titration. The experiments were performed on a dualbeam Shimadzu UV-240IPC spectrophotometer in the wavelength range 350–450 nm at 25 °C in 2 mL tandem cuvettes at 2 µM P450 and ligand concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 10 µM. The apparent dissociation constants were calculated by plotting the absorbance changes in the difference spectra (ΔA425–390) upon titration against
free ligand concentration and fitting the data to a rectangular hyperbola in Sigma Plot Statistics. Inhibitory potencies of the compounds were compared in the reconstituted CYP51 reaction 5 min and 1 h, at 50/2/1 molar ratio substrate/inhibitor/enzyme. P450 concentration in the reaction mixture was 1 μM. The reaction products were analyzed on a reverse-phase HPLC system (Waters) equipped with a C18 Nova Pak column and a β-RAM detector (INUS Systems, Inc.).

In vivo antichagasic activity assessment of selected compounds. For in vivo studies, a Brazilian strain trypomastigotes from transgenic T. cruzi parasites expressing firefly luciferase were used as described before. Briefly, parasites were injected in Balb/c mice (10^5 trypomastigotes per mouse) and three days later mice were anesthetized by inhalation of isofluorane, followed by an injection with 150 mg/kg of D-luciferin potassium-salt in PBS. Mice were imaged 5 to 10 min after injection of luciferin with an IVIS 100 (Xenogen, Alameda, CA) and the data acquisition and analysis were performed with the software LivingImage (Xenogen) as described before. Treatment with test compounds was started 4 days after infection at a specific concentration (usually 15 mg/kg/day x 10 days) by i.p. injection. The vehicle control was 2% methylcellulose + 0.5% Tween 80 and groups of 5 mice/group were used. In the vehicle control group 10 mice were used. Mice were imaged on days 5 and 10 as above. Parasite index was calculated as the ratio of parasite levels in treated mice compared to the control group and multiplied by 100. The ratio of parasite levels is calculated for each animal dividing the luciferase signal after treatment by the luciferase signal on the first imaging (before treatment). Mean values of all animals in each group ± SD were then used to calculate the parasite index.

In vitro ADME studies. ADME in vitro studies were performed by APREDICA (Watertown, MA). Samples were analyzed by LC/MS/MS using an Agilent 6410 mass spectrometer coupled with an Agilent 1200 HPLC and a CTC PAL chilled autosampler, all controlled by MassHunter
software (Agilent). After separation on a C18 reverse phase HPLC column (Agilent, Waters, or equivalent) using an acetonitrile-water gradient system, peaks were analyzed by mass spectrometry (MS) using ESI ionization in MRM mode.

*Microsomal stability screen:* Each test compound was dissolved in DMSO and incubated (37 °C) at 1 μM final concentration with 0.3 mg/mL of microsomal protein in 100 mM potassium phosphate, 3 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.4, in the presence or absence of 2 mM NADPH (to detect NADPH-free degradation) for up to 60 min as has been described before.¹⁹ Data were reported as % remaining parent compound.

*Caco-2 monolayer permeability studies:* Caco-2 cells grown in tissue culture flasks were trypsinized, suspended in medium, and the suspensions were applied to wells of a collagen-coated BioCoat Cell Environment in 96-well format. The cells were allowed to grow and differentiate for three weeks, feeding at 2-day intervals. For Apical to Basolateral (A→B) permeability, the test agent was added to the apical (A) side at 10 μM final concentration and amount of permeation was determined on the basolateral (B) side after 2 h assay time as described before.¹⁹ Data were expressed as permeability (*Papp*): \[ P_{\text{app}} = \frac{dQ/dt}{C_0A} \], where \( dQ/dt \) is the rate of permeation, \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration of test agent, and \( A \) is the area of the monolayer.³¹
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- **ABBREVIATIONS USED**

NTD, Neglected tropical diseases; *T. cruzi*, Trypanosoma cruzi; *T. brucei*, Trypanosoma brucei; HAT, human African trypanosomiasis; Nfx, nifurtimox (4-(5-nitrofurfurylindenamino)-3-methylthio-morpholine-1,1-dioxide); Bnz, benznidazole (N-benzyl-2-(2-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)acetamide); NTR, type I nitroreductase; TcNTR, *T. cruzi* NTR; TbNTR, *T. brucei* NTR; CYP51, sterol 14α-demethylase enzyme; TcCYP51, *T. cruzi* CYP51; IC₅₀, concentration for 50% growth inhibition; SI, selectivity index; SARs, structure-activity relationships; TDR, Tropical Diseases Research (http://www.who.int/tdr/en/).
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Table 1. *In vitro* antiparasitic activity, host toxicity and physical properties of tested compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID No</th>
<th>T. rhod. IC-50 μM</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>T. cruzi IC-50 μM</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>Cytotox. L6 IC-50 μM</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>Chemical Structure</th>
<th>Bnz/comp</th>
<th>clogP</th>
<th>PSA (Å²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>164.7</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>129.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.060</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>118.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>73.870</td>
<td>16.58</td>
<td>&gt;16.5</td>
<td>&gt;273.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>131.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>2797</td>
<td>125.9</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>2.165</td>
<td>108.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.066</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>121.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.986</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.436</td>
<td>108.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.035</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>121.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.015</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>112.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>34.067</td>
<td>1.194</td>
<td>205.6</td>
<td>245.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>93.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>219.5</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>93.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>51.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.775</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>112.6</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>2.393</td>
<td>45.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>76.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.229</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="chemical结构" /></td>
<td>3.048</td>
<td></td>
<td>84.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. (continuation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID No</th>
<th>T. rhod. IC-50 μM</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>T. cruzi IC-50 μM</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>Cytotox. L6 IC-50 μM</th>
<th>Chemical Structure</th>
<th>Bnz/comp</th>
<th>clogP</th>
<th>PSA (Å²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.838</td>
<td>&gt;173</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>&gt;97</td>
<td>&gt;318.5</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>114.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>153.16</td>
<td>&gt;2.43</td>
<td>157.2</td>
<td>&gt;2.4</td>
<td>&gt;371.7</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>68.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>161.9</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>50.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>21.94</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>96.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>26.610</td>
<td>1.174</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>258.4</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>127.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.877</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>3807.7</td>
<td>126.6</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>67.82</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>127.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>220.86</td>
<td>5.786</td>
<td>&gt;49.4</td>
<td>&gt;286.0</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>52.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>11.596</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>145.1</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>122.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>76.25</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>187.5</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>277.3</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>30.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>9.967</td>
<td>&gt;29.51</td>
<td>&gt;294.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>81.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>243.5</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Chemical Structure" /></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>122.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\textsuperscript{a}T.b. rhodesiense, strain STIB 900 trypomastigotes; \textsuperscript{b} T. cruzi, strain Tulahuen C4 amastigotes; SI is the ratio: IC\textsubscript{50} in L6 cells/IC\textsubscript{50} in each parasite. \textsuperscript{c}Cytotoxicity in host L6 cells. Reference drugs: Melarsoprol (Melars) and Benznidazole (Bnz). The IC\textsubscript{50} value of each reference drug is the mean from multiple measurements in parallel with the compounds of interest. Active and moderately active compounds with acceptable selectivity are colored green and pink, respectively; compounds with an unacceptable selectivity are colored blue whereas inactive compounds are colorless. PSA: polar surface area. All physical properties were predicted by using the Marvin Calculator (www.chemaxon.com). Values are means of 2 to 3 measurements. The SD was < 5%. Data for compound 23 are taken from ref. [17].
Scheme 1. Preparation of compounds 2-21

\[ \text{R-NH}_2 + \text{Cl-COCl} \xrightarrow{i} \text{Cl-CO-NR} \xrightarrow{ii} \begin{cases} \text{la-g} & \text{2-6, 8, 9} \\ 10: \text{R}_1=3-\text{NO}_2, X=N \\ 11: \text{R}_1=3-\text{NO}_2, X=N \\ 12: \text{R}_1=\text{H}, X=N \\ 13: \text{R}_1=2-\text{NO}_2, X=C \end{cases} \]

\[ \text{N=N} \xrightarrow{\text{iii}} \text{N=N-N-N=H} \quad \begin{cases} 14: (\text{R},\text{S}): \text{R}_1=3-\text{NO}_2, X=N \\ 15: (\text{R},\text{S}): \text{R}_1=\text{H}, X=N \\ 16: (\text{R},\text{S}): \text{R}_1=\text{H}, X=N \\ 17: (\text{R},\text{S}): \text{R}_1=3-\text{NO}_2, X=N \end{cases} \]

\[ \text{R} + (\text{CH}_3)_3\text{SOI} + \text{N=N} \xrightarrow{\text{iv}} \begin{cases} 10 \quad \text{18: (R,S)} \\ 12 \quad \text{19: (R,S)} \end{cases} \]

\[ \text{N=N-CO} + (\text{CH}_3)_3\text{SOI} + \text{N=N} \xrightarrow{\text{iv}} 20 \]

\[ \text{S} + \text{N=N} \xrightarrow{\text{v}} 21: (\text{R},\text{S}) \]

i) Et$_3$N, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT; ii) 3-Nitro-1,2,4-triazole/2-nitroimidazole, KOH, CH$_3$CN, reflux 9h; iii) 3-Nitro-1,2,4-triazole/2-nitroimidazole, KOH, CH$_3$CN, α-bromoketone, reflux 5-6 h; iv) KOH in H$_2$O:2-propanol, reflux 1h; v) NaH (60%), propanol-1, reflux 6 h.
Table 2. Enzymatic activity of recombinant TbNTR and TcNTR toward Bnz and representative 3-nitrotriazoles from Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compound</th>
<th>Specific activity values (nmol NADH oxidized per min per mg NTR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TbNTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bnz</td>
<td>1540 ± 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3172 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3456 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3063 ± 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2681 ± 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A precipitation issue occurred with compound 2 in the assay buffer used. Enzyme assays were carried out in triplicate and repeated twice.
**Table 3.** Binding of compounds to recombinant *T. cruzi* CYP51

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$K_d$ (μM)</th>
<th>$I/E_2$ (5 min)</th>
<th>$I/E_2$ (1 h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.606 ± 0.023</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.012</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>65.2 ± 5.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>0.230 ± 0.029</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bnz*</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$K_d$: Dissociation constant. $I/E_2$: Molar ratio of inhibitor/enzyme which causes a 2-fold decrease in enzyme activity in 5 min and 1 hour reactions. ND: Not determined. *Values for Bnz were taken from Ref. [30].
Table 4. *In vitro* ADME data for compounds 2 and 19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>mean A-&gt;B</th>
<th>Test species</th>
<th>Mean remaining parent, with NADPH (%)</th>
<th>Mean remaining parent, free of NADPH (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;app&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(x10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;cm s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>84.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verapamile</td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranitidine</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Papp: Caco 2 apparent permeability. Permeability ranking: Low: <0.5; Moderate: 0.5 - 5; High: > 5. For permeability measurements 10 μM concentration was used and 2 h assay time. For metabolic stability, 1 μM concentration and 0 and 60 min incubation time was used. Test species represent either human or mouse microsomes.
Fig. 1. Binding of fluconazole and compound 18 (NO$_2$-fluconazole) to *T. cruzi* CYP51. Both compounds produce typical type 2 spectral response in *T. cruzi* CYP51, indicating at least initial coordination of triazole N4 to the P450 heme iron. However, compound 18 is a weaker binder.
Fig. 2. Binding (left panel) and inhibition (right panel) of *T. cruzi* CYP51 by compound 19 in 5 min and 1 h reactions. The same results were obtained independently of the sequence that substrate eburicol (S) or inhibitor (I) were added, namely: Reaction mixture + I/+NADPH, 5 min incubation at 37°C/+S or, Reaction mixture + I+S +/-NADPH.
**Fig. 3.** *In vivo* evaluation of the antichagasic efficacy of compounds 2, 5, 18 and 19 in the acute murine model. Benznidazole (Bnz) data from 2 experiments in parallel were included. All compounds except 5 were administered (i.p.) at 15 mg/kg/day. Compound 5 was administered (i.p.) at 13 mg/kg/day. The *P* values refer to comparisons between treated groups and control group. When *P* values are not given, there was no statistical difference. Groups of 5 mice/group were used. Occasionally, 10 mice were used in the control group.
**Fig. 4.** Images from mice treated with vehicle or compounds 5 and 19.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (nM)</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>TcNTR activity (nmol NAD/min/mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>888 +/− 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3808</td>
<td>1160 +/− 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>T. cruzi CYP51 binding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kd (µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>