

1 **Characterization of duplicate gene evolution in the recent**
2 **natural allopolyploid *Tragopogon miscellus* by next-generation**
3 **sequencing and Sequenom iPLEX MassARRAY genotyping**

4
5 Richard J. A. Buggs^{1,2,*}, Srikar Chamala^{1,3,*}, Wei Wu⁴, Lu Gao⁴, Gregory D. May⁵,
6 Patrick S. Schnable⁴, Douglas E. Soltis^{1,3}, Pamela S. Soltis^{2,3}, W. Brad Barbazuk^{1,3}

7
8 ¹Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611.

9 ²Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611.

10 ³Genetics Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32610.

11 ⁴Center for Plant Genomics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011.

12 ⁵National Center for Genome Resources, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505.

13 *These authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

14
15 Corresponding author: Dr W. Brad Barbazuk, Department of Biology, University of
16 Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA.

17 Email: bbarbazuk@ufl.edu

18 Telephone: 352-273-8624

19 Key words: polyploidy, whole genome duplication, *Tragopogon miscellus*, homoeolog
20 evolution, pyrosequencing

21 Running title: Homoeolog loss in a natural allopolyploid

22 Word count: 5813

23

23 **Abstract**

24

25 *Tragopogon miscellus* (Asteraceae) is an evolutionary model for the study of natural
26 allopolyploidy, but until now has been under-resourced as a genetic model. Using 454
27 and Illumina expressed sequence tag sequencing of the parental diploid species of *T.*
28 *miscellus*, we identified 7782 single nucleotide polymorphisms that differ between the
29 two progenitor genomes present in this allotetraploid. Validation of a sample of 98 of
30 these SNPs in genomic DNA using Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX genotyping confirmed
31 92 SNP markers at the genomic level that were diagnostic for the two parental genomes.
32 In a transcriptome profile of 2989 SNPs in a single *T. miscellus* leaf, using Illumina
33 sequencing, 69% of SNPs showed approximately equal expression of both homeologs
34 (duplicate homologous genes derived from different parents), 22% showed apparent
35 differential expression, and 8.5% showed apparent silencing of one homeolog in *T.*
36 *miscellus*. The majority of cases of homeolog silencing involved the *T. dubius* SNP
37 homeolog (164/254; 65%) rather than the *T. pratensis* homeolog (90/254). Sequenom
38 analysis of genomic DNA showed that in a sample of 27 of the homeologs showing
39 apparent silencing, 23 (85%) were due to genomic homeolog loss. These methods could
40 be applied to any organism, allowing efficient and cost-effective generation of genetic
41 markers.

42

43

43 **Introduction**

44

45 Many natural and domesticated plant species are hybrids which have undergone whole-
46 genome duplication. This condition, known as allopolyploidy (Kihara & Ono 1927), may
47 have large effects on both the ecology (e.g. Stebbins 1942; Buggs & Pannell 2007) and
48 evolution (Soltis & Soltis 1999; Adams & Wendel 2005) of a lineage. Genome evolution
49 of allopolyploids has been extensively studied in crop species such as cotton (Adams &
50 Wendel 2004; Udall & Wendel 2006), wheat (Feldman *et al.* 1997; Levy & Feldman
51 2004; Dong *et al.* 2005; Bottley *et al.* 2006), soybean (Joly *et al.* 2004), and tobacco (Lim
52 *et al.* 2004; Petit *et al.* 2007), as well as genetic models such as *Arabidopsis* (Chen *et al.*
53 2004; Chen *et al.* 2008). These studies demonstrate dynamic patterns of evolution, but
54 have limitations due to uncertainties about the precise history and ecological context of
55 the lineages. Furthermore, they cannot provide insights into the early stages of polyploid
56 evolution in nature. It is therefore difficult to know whether certain evolutionary changes
57 took place in the progenitor diploids, upon allopolyploidization, or in the subsequent
58 generations.

59

60 A need therefore exists for natural allopolyploid model organisms with a known history
61 and ecological context (Soltis *et al.* 2004b; Buggs 2008). A handful of species have been
62 identified for this purpose, such as *Senecio cambrensis* (Hegarty *et al.* 2005), *Spartina*
63 *anglica* (Ainouche *et al.* 2004), *Tragopogon mirus* and *T. miscellus* (Soltis *et al.* 2004a).
64 *Tragopogon miscellus* is a particularly tractable evolutionary model for the study of the

65 early generations of allopolyploidy. Its origin can be accurately dated to about 80 years
66 ago (Ownbey 1950; Soltis *et al.* 2004a). The parental diploid species are known and still
67 coexist with their allopolyploid derivative; both reciprocal crosses of the parents exist in
68 natural populations, and at least one of them appears to have originated multiple times
69 (Novak *et al.* 1991; Soltis *et al.* 1995; Symonds *et al.* 2009). *Tragopogon miscellus* is a
70 textbook example of allopolyploid speciation (e.g. Judd *et al.* 2007; Sadava *et al.* 2008).

71

72 Unlike the crop species that have been used to study allopolyploid evolution, the natural
73 allopolyploid evolutionary model systems are under-resourced as genetic models. To
74 date, the best resourced is *S. cambrensis* for which cDNA microarrays have been made to
75 study gene expression (Hegarty *et al.* 2005; Hegarty *et al.* 2006). Until now resources for
76 *T. miscellus* have consisted of DNA sequence tags for only 23 duplicate gene pairs (Tate
77 *et al.* 2006; Buggs *et al.* 2009; Tate *et al.* 2009a), a handful of phylogenetic markers
78 (Mavrodiev *et al.* 2005), and 2000 uncharacterized Sanger ESTs (J. Koh, J. Tate, D.
79 Soltis and P. Soltis, unpubl. data). This paucity of sequence data contrasts with the
80 usefulness of *T. miscellus* as an evolutionary model.

81

82 One key issue in the evolution of allopolyploids is the fate of duplicated genes. Duplicate
83 gene evolution is important for understanding the evolution of the allopolyploids
84 themselves, and may allow for more general statements about the evolution of duplicated
85 genes in non-polyploid organisms. Natural allopolyploid models present systems
86 containing a whole genome's worth of duplicated genes of identical and known age.
87 Duplicated genes may have a variety of evolutionary fates: non-functionalization, sub-

88 functionalization and neo-functionalization (Lynch & Conery 2000). Several studies have
89 examined the evolution of homeologs (genes duplicated by whole-genome duplication) in
90 allopolyploids. Studies in crop species have shown homeolog loss (e.g. Song *et al.* 1995;
91 Kashkush *et al.* 2002) and patterns of homeolog expression suggestive of
92 subfunctionalization (e.g. Adams *et al.* 2003; Flagel *et al.* 2008).

93

94 In natural models, our knowledge of homeolog evolution is limited. In the *S. cambrensis*
95 cDNA microarray, the oligo-nucleotides used did not distinguish between homeologs:
96 measures of gene expression were the total expression of both homeologs. In *T.*
97 *miscellus*, loss and silencing of homeologs occurred in the early generations of
98 allopolyploidy (Tate *et al.* 2006; Buggs *et al.* 2009; Tate *et al.* 2009a) based on analysis
99 of only 20 homeolog pairs using PCR-based methods. New surveys are needed that will
100 move us from a gene-by-gene approach to a genomic level. This requires a dramatic
101 increase in the genomic resources available for plants that are good evolutionary models
102 but not genetic models. We wished to develop a protocol that would produce a large
103 number of homeolog-specific markers in *T. miscellus* at minimal time and expense,
104 allowing us to assess homeologous gene loss and silencing.

105

106 Sequencing of cDNA or expressed sequence tags (EST) provides a rapid method for gene
107 discovery and can be used to identify transcripts associated with specific biological
108 processes. As such, it is often a first step in the genomic characterization of an organism.
109 Variation in ESTs can be characterized by single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs),
110 which are single-base differences between haplotypes. Transcript-associated SNPs can be

111 used to develop allele-specific assays for the examination of *cis*-regulatory variation
112 within a species (Guo *et al.* 2004; Stupar & Springer 2006) and may provide a rapid
113 means to investigate differential expression and gene gain/loss within polyploids. EST
114 collections and SNP discovery rely on DNA sequencing, which until recently was
115 prohibitively costly for most evolutionary studies.

116

117 Recent advances in high-throughput sequencing technology provide rapid and cost-
118 effective means to generate sequence data (Stupar & Springer 2006; Ellegren 2008;
119 Hudson 2008). This new paradigm, termed flow-cell sequencing (reviewed in Holt &
120 Jones 2008), consists of stepwise determination of DNA sequence by iterative cycles of
121 nucleotide extensions done in parallel on huge numbers of clonally amplified template
122 molecules. This massively parallel approach enables DNA sequence to be acquired at
123 extremely high depths of coverage in less time and for less cost than traditional
124 sequencing. The 454-FLX produces 200,000 sequences per run with ~200-300 bp lengths
125 (100 Mb). With new Titanium reagents, this can be increased to over 1 million sequences
126 with ~350-400 bp read lengths (400-600 Mb per run). In contrast, the Illumina Genome
127 Analyzer (GA) II DNA sequencing instrument can produce >80 million sequences, each
128 of which is 36bp in length (> 2 Gb). Short read lengths can confound assembly and
129 alignment programs, but the reduction in read length vs. increased depth of coverage is an
130 acceptable trade-off for many re-sequencing applications such as transcript expression
131 profiling (Eveland *et al.* 2008), *in vivo* DNA binding site detection (Johnson *et al.* 2007)
132 and polymorphism detection (Barbazuk *et al.* 2007; Novaes *et al.* 2008; Van Tassell *et al.*
133 2008). In the latter application, a high volume of short reads is very powerful in

134 discriminating sequence variants, enabling reliable SNP discovery, so long as each read is
135 long enough and accurate enough to align uniquely to the reference sequences.

136

137 To permit gene discovery and genomic tool development in species with few genomic
138 resources, we designed a hybrid sequencing approach. In this approach, the Roche 454
139 sequencer is first used to generate transcriptome or genomic sequences that can be
140 assembled and used as reference sequences (as in, e.g. Novaes *et al.* 2008). We then use
141 this reference for subsequent alignment of Illumina short reads. This method gains
142 maximum leverage from the longer read lengths of 454 sequencing and the deeper
143 coverage of Illumina. Assembling 454 sequence reads is less problematic than Illumina
144 reads, making it the high-throughput sequencing method of choice for species with few
145 genomic resources, and it is particularly useful in transcriptome characterization (Cheung
146 *et al.* 2006; Emrich *et al.* 2007; Cheung *et al.* 2008; Novaes *et al.* 2008). The 454
147 assemblies can therefore be used for gene annotation and the Illumina sequences used to
148 identify SNPs and examine relative expression differences.

149

150 Once SNPs have been identified, a highly efficient way to validate them and carry out
151 large-scale surveys of their frequencies is the Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX genotyping
152 platform (Gabriel *et al.* 2009). In this method, a short section of DNA containing a SNP
153 is amplified from an individual by PCR. This is followed by a high-fidelity single-base
154 primer extension reaction over the SNP being assayed, using nucleotides of modified
155 mass. The different alleles therefore produce oligonucleotides with mass differences that
156 can be detected using highly accurate Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-

157 Of-Flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. Up to 40 different SNPs can be multiplexed
158 in one assay if primers are designed by custom software to give unique mass ranges for
159 each SNP. This method is especially suited for detecting homeologs which differ in only
160 a few SNPs as, unlike microarrays which rely on hybridization of oligonucleotides, it
161 detects differences by single-nucleotide extension over SNPs.

162

163 In this paper we demonstrate the utility of hybrid next-generation sequencing and
164 Sequenom genotyping for the study of homeolog evolution in *T. miscellus*. We report the
165 transcriptome characterization of *T. dubius*, one of the diploid progenitors of *T. miscellus*,
166 with 454 sequencing and the subsequent discovery of over 24,000 SNPs between *T.*
167 *dubius* and the other parental diploid species, *T. pratensis*, using Illumina sequencing.
168 We validated a subset of 98 SNPs that represent homeolog pairs in *T. miscellus* at the
169 genomic level using Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX genotyping. In addition, expression
170 profiling of a *T. miscellus* individual using Illumina sequencing was performed. We
171 assessed the utility of this profile for the selection of candidate genes for the investigation
172 of loss from the genome. These methods could be applied to any organism, allowing
173 efficient and cost-effective generation of genetic markers.

174

175

176 **Materials and methods**

177 Seeds were collected from natural populations of allotetraploid *T. miscellus* (Soltis and
178 Soltis collection number 2671) and its diploid parent species, *T. dubius* (collection no.

179 2674) and *T. pratensis* (collection no. 2672), in Oakesdale, WA. The three species grow
180 in sympatry in this location, and this fact, together with microsatellite data (Symonds *et*
181 *al.* 2009), suggest that the diploid populations were the source of the progenitors of the
182 allotetraploid population. These seeds were germinated and grown in an air-conditioned
183 greenhouse with supplementary lighting at the University of Florida (Gainesville, FL,
184 USA). *T. miscellus* from Oakesdale is the short-liguled form, with *T. pratensis* as the
185 maternal parent (Soltis & Soltis 1989; Soltis *et al.* 1995).

186

187 RNA was extracted from leaf tissue of three individuals from Oakesdale: *T. dubius* 2674-
188 4 (ID no. 3911), *T. pratensis* 2671-1 (ID no. 3912), and *T. miscellus* 2671-1 (ID no.
189 3912). Basal leaf tissue from each plant was flash frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen
190 using a pestle and mortar. RNA extractions were performed following a portion of the
191 CTAB DNA extraction protocol (Doyle & Doyle 1987) and subsequent use of the
192 RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Stanford, CA, USA) with on-column DNase digestion.
193 This method was originally developed for the successful extraction of RNA from
194 *Amborella* and *Nuphar* (Kim *et al.* 2004) and copes well with the latex produced by
195 *Tragopogon* photosynthetic tissue. This was followed by an RNA cleanup using the
196 protocol of the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit. These extractions were quality-checked using the
197 Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

198

199 **454 EST sequencing and processing**

200

201 Using the *T. dubius* RNA, a normalized cDNA library was produced via the following
202 method. The Evrogen MINT cDNA synthesis kit (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia) was used to
203 produce double-stranded cDNA following the manufacturer's protocol. This cDNA was
204 cleaned using the Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega, Madison, WI,
205 USA). The Evrogen TRIMMER cDNA normalization kit (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia)
206 was used to normalize and amplify the cDNA library, following the manufacturer's
207 instructions. In the normalization step, a 0.5 dilution of the duplex-specific nuclease
208 (DSN) was found to be optimal. In the amplification step, 12 cycles were found to be
209 optimal. The resulting normalized library was used for 454 sequencing.

210

211 454 sequencing was performed as described in the supplementary material and methods
212 to Margulies *et al.* (2005) with slight modifications as specified by 454 Life Sciences.
213 Briefly, cDNA was sheared by nebulization to a size range of 300 to 800 bp. DNA
214 fragment ends were repaired and phosphorylated using T4 DNA polymerase and T4
215 polynucleotide kinase. Adaptor oligonucleotides "A" and "B" supplied with the 454 Life
216 Sciences sequencing reagent kit were ligated to the DNA fragments using T4 DNA
217 ligase. Purified DNA fragments were hybridized to DNA capture beads and clonally
218 amplified by emulsion PCR (emPCR). DNA capture beads containing amplified DNA
219 were deposited on a 70 x 75 mm PicoTiter plate, and DNA sequences determined using
220 the GS-FLX instrument. This resulted in 822,594 EST sequences. The *T. dubius* 454
221 EST sequences were assembled with the Newbler assembler, a part of the software
222 package distributed with 454 sequencing machines. Newbler is an assembler that takes
223 into account the specifics of pyrosequencing errors to generate accurate contigs

224 (Chaisson & Pevzner 2008). Our assembly used the default directives and a vector
225 trimming database including the Evrogen primer and 454 adapter sequences.

226

227 **Comparisons of 454 ESTs to public sequence database (Annotation)**

228

229 Assembled and annotated contig EST assemblies and singletons were obtained from the
230 curated Gene Indices Project (Quackenbush *et al.* 2000);
231 <http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/>) from three other species in the Asteraceae: *Lactuca*
232 *sativa* (ver. 3.0), *Lactuca serriola* (ver. 1.0) and *Helianthus annuus* (ver. 5.0). These
233 sequences were pooled, formatted into a blastable database and aligned to the *T. dubius*
234 454 EST assemblies with WU-TBLASTX (ver 2.0), which translates both the query and
235 subject sequences in all 6 potential reading frames prior to alignment, to identify the top
236 hit for each *T. dubius* contig (pval $\leq 1e-05$ and $\leq 1e-10$). The *T. dubius* 454 EST
237 contigs were also BLASTX-aligned to *Arabidopsis* CDS sequences (TAIR v. 8) because
238 *Arabidopsis* represents the best curated plant genome available. Top hits for each *T.*
239 *dubius* contig to the *Arabidopsis* protein set were identified (pval $\leq 1e-05$ and $\leq 1e-$
240 10). Similarity search results are summarized in Table 1.

241

242 **Illumina sequencing**

243

244 The RNA extractions from *T. dubius* 2674-4 (ID no. 3911), *T. pratensis* 2672-5 (ID no.
245 3913), and *T. miscellus* 2671-1 (ID no. 3912) were used for Illumina sequencing. Poly
246 A+ RNA was isolated from total RNA through two rounds of oligo-dT selection

247 (Dynabeads mRNA Purification Kit, Invitrogen Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). The mRNA
248 was annealed to high concentrations of random hexamers and reverse transcribed.
249 Following second strand synthesis, end repair, and A-tailing, adapters complementary to
250 sequencing primers were ligated to cDNA fragments (mRNA-Seq Sample Prep Kit,
251 Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Resultant cDNA libraries were size fractionated on
252 agarose gels, and 250 bp fragments were excised and amplified by 15 cycles of
253 polymerase chain reaction. Resultant libraries were quality assessed using a Bioanalyzer
254 2100 and sequenced for 36 cycles on an Illumina GA II DNA sequencing instrument
255 using standard procedures.

256

257 **SNP discovery**

258

259 All Illumina reads from the *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis* parents and the *T. miscellus*
260 allotetraploid were labeled with species identifiers, pooled and aligned to the *T. dubius*
261 454 FLX contigs with the MosaikAligner package (Hillier *et al.* 2008) using the
262 following MosaikAligner parameters: -a (alignment algorithm) all; -p (CPUs used) 8; -
263 mm (maximum mismatch) 2 in a preliminary analysis and 1 in a final analysis; -m
264 (alignment mode) unique; -hs (hash size) 15; -mhp (maximum number of hash positions
265 to use) 100. These alignment parameters ensured that each Illumina sequence aligned to
266 a unique position within the 454 *T. dubius* EST assembly reference sequences and with
267 no more than one base-pair mismatch in the final analysis. Illumina reads that did not
268 align with the 454 contigs under these stringent conditions were discarded from the
269 analysis.

270

271 SNPs were identified within the alignments with the GigaBayes package
272 (<http://bioinformatics.bc.edu/marthlab/GigaBayes>). GigaBayes is a reimplementa-
273 tion of the PolyBayes (Marth *et al.* 1999) SNP discovery tool that has been optimized for next-
274 generation sequences. Arguments to GigaBayes were: --D (pairwise nucleotide diversity)
275 0.001; --ploidy (sample ploidy) diploid; --sample (sequence source) multiple; --anchor; --
276 algorithm banded; --CAL (minimum overall allele coverage) 3; --QRL (minimum base
277 quality value) 20. Custom PERL scripts were written to automate the SNP discovery
278 process on all alignments to reference contigs and to parse the GigaBayes output files
279 (GFF), which contain the site identification of each SNP, its representation within each of
280 the three *Tragopogon* species (*T. dubius*, *T. pratensis* and *T. miscellus*) and its allele
281 usage.

282

283 Any site where both the *T. pratensis* and *T. dubius* homeologs were evidenced in the *T.*
284 *miscellus* data was flagged as a suitable SNP for the study of homeolog loss in *T.*
285 *miscellus*. Where both homeologs were present in at least ten *T. miscellus* Illumina reads,
286 and the observed allelic ratio was more balanced than 70:30 in either direction, we took
287 this as preliminary evidence that both homeologs were equally expressed. In contrast,
288 any site where either the *T. pratensis* or *T. dubius* parental homeolog was present at 10X
289 while the other was absent, was identified as suggestive of either complete silencing of
290 one parental homeolog or genomic homeolog loss.

291

292 **SNP validation**

293

294 A subset of SNPs identified using the above methods was analyzed using the Sequenom
295 MassARRAY iPLEX platform at the Center for Plant Genomics, Iowa State University.
296 Genomic DNA was extracted from leaf tissue of the three plants used for the
297 transcriptome sequencing, using a modified CTAB protocol (Doyle & Doyle 1987).
298 Multiplexed assays were designed using the Sequenom Assay Design 3.1 software for
299 four plexes containing a total of 139 SNPs between *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis*. Of these,
300 42 were scored as “potential gene loss” using the Illumina read data, 77 were scored as
301 “alleles balanced”, 19 were scored as “low coverage in *T. miscellus*” and one had no *T.*
302 *miscellus* reads. This assay design was used to genotype a 384-well plate that included *T.*
303 *dubius*, *T. pratensis* and *T. miscellus* genomic DNA samples (~ 20 ng/ul). The resulting
304 data were analyzed using the MassARRAY Typer 4.0 Analyzer software. Using the
305 manufacturer’s settings, the Sequenom software was used to call SNPs at “aggressive”,
306 “moderate” and “conservative” degrees of confidence.

307

308 **Results**

309

310 **454 Sequencing, assembly and annotation of *T. dubius* cDNA sequences**

311

312 454 FLX sequencing of the normalized *T. dubius* cDNA pool from *T. dubius* leaf tissue
313 produced 822,594 reads (237 bp av. length) representing >195 MB of sequence. These
314 reads have been uploaded to the NCBI Short Read Archive (Accession Number

315 SRA009218.1). Assembly of the 454 FLX reads with the Roche 454 Newbler assembler
316 produced 33,515 contigs (14.7 Mb) with an average length of 439 bp (min = 96, Max =
317 3418), an average depth of 17.6 reads and N50 Contig Size of 626 bp (see Figure 1).

318

319 In comparison with other species in the Asteraceae, 21,498 (64 %) of the *T. dubius* 454
320 EST sequences matched previously characterized EST assemblies (TBLASTX) from
321 *Lactuca sativa*, *L. serriola* and *Helianthus annuus* with p-values of e-5 or better. This low
322 percentage may reflect the low depth and coverage in many of our 454 contigs (Figure 1)
323 or significant divergence among the species. Of the 21,498 hits, 18,526 (86 %) were to
324 unique EST assemblies in this curated database. The 14 % of non-unique contigs may be
325 due to paralogous sequences in *T. dubius*, or to non-overlapping assemblies of *T. dubius*
326 sequence from the same cDNA template, as the ‘shotgun’ nature of 454 sequencing
327 enables simultaneous sampling of discrete template regions. The majority of best matches
328 occurred between *T. dubius* and *L. sativa* (Table 1). In comparison with *A. thaliana*,
329 18,923 *T. dubius* 454 EST contig assemblies match *A. thaliana* CDS sequences
330 (TBLASTX) at p-values of e-5 or better, while a total of 22,946 *T. dubius* contigs hit at
331 least one sequence in either the *A. thaliana* or the Asteraceae collection.

332

333 **SNP discovery**

334

335 Non-normalized cDNA pools sequenced on single lanes of an Illumina GAII analyzer
336 resulted in 7,128,226, 6,840,425 and 6,729,215 reads from *T. dubius*, *T. pratensis* and *T.*
337 *miscellus*, respectively. These reads have been uploaded to the NCBI Short Read Archive

338 (Accession Number SRA009218.1). Alignment of pooled Illumina reads to the *T. dubius*
339 454 assembled EST reference sequences with a mismatch tolerance of 2 bp followed by
340 identification of polymorphic sites that were represented to a minimum of three-fold
341 redundancy in both *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis* revealed >45,000 potential SNPs within
342 10,428 contigs. To reduce the risk of misaligning repetitive or highly paralogous
343 sequences, parameters were adjusted to permit only a single mismatch over the length of
344 the Illumina reads. Of the total pooled *T. dubius*, *T. pratensis* and *T. miscellus* Illumina
345 reads, 11,050,022 (53.4%) aligned. The remaining reads were unaligned because they
346 did not map a unique location in the 454 contig reference sequence collection, or they did
347 not meet the single mismatch criterion. This higher confidence alignment, when parsed
348 for polymorphic sites that were represented to a minimum of three-fold redundancy in
349 both *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis*, resulted in the identification of 24,078 potential SNPs
350 between *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis* within 7,837 unique 454 EST contig reference
351 sequences. To identify an even higher-quality collection of potential SNP sites between
352 *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis*, the aforementioned alignments were parsed for SNP sites that
353 were represented to a minimum depth of 10X in both the *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis* data
354 sets. This high-quality collection that maximizes the likelihood that discovered
355 polymorphic sites represent true SNPs between *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis* consists of
356 7,782 SNPs within 2,885 unique contigs.

357

358 Of the 7,782 SNPs, 2,989 had sufficient *T. miscellus* Illumina reads for transcriptome
359 analysis. Of these, 2,064 (69 %) appeared to show equal homeolog expression in *T.*
360 *miscellus*, 671 (22 %) showed differential expression in *T. miscellus*, and 254 (8.5 %)

361 showed potential homeolog loss in *T. miscellus*. Interestingly, the cases of differential
362 expression were mainly due to higher expression of the *T. dubius* homeolog than of the *T.*
363 *pratensis* homeolog (454/671; 77%) and the majority of the apparent losses were also of
364 the *T. dubius* homeolog (164/254; 65%) rather than the *T. pratensis* homeolog (90/254).

365

366 **SNP validation**

367

368 Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX assays were designed for 139 of the putative SNPs
369 (four plexes). These assays were used to analyze the genomic DNA of the two diploid
370 plants whose transcriptomes were used for 454 and Illumina sequencing. For 19 of the
371 assays, the Sequenom assay failed to call a SNP in both diploid species, and 22 assays
372 only worked in one of the diploid species. This failure rate is comparable to those
373 obtained by other groups (Dunstan *et al.* 2007). Of the 98 informative assays (Table 2),
374 92 (94%) confirmed the SNP calls. In five of the remaining assays, the correct
375 polymorphism was present but there was an extra allele in the genome of one diploid (i.e.
376 heterozygosity) that had not been detected by via transcriptome sequencing. In only one
377 case did the base call differ between the sequencing and Sequenom methods: here
378 Sequenom indicated the same base in both alleles.

379

380 We then examined the Sequenom data for the genomic DNA of the *T. miscellus* plant. Of
381 the 139 SNP assays, 41 did not successfully call any bases within our confidence limits in
382 this plant. In 28 of these 41 cases, the assay also failed to call a SNP in one or both
383 diploid species, but in the remaining 13 cases, the assay called a SNP in both diploid

384 species but not in *T. miscellus* (see Table 2). In another 13 cases, one or more SNPs were
385 called in *T. miscellus*, but a base had only been successfully called in one of the diploids
386 (not shown in Table 2). Thus, in total, 85 of the 139 Sequenom assays (61 %) provided a
387 call. In no cases did we find a SNP homeolog present in *T. miscellus* that had not been
388 found in either *T. dubius* or *T. pratensis* at that locus.

389

390 Only the Sequenom data for 81 assays were used to infer homeolog loss in *T. miscellus*.

391 Of the 85 assays that worked in all three plants, three were excluded due to
392 heterozygosity in *T. dubius* and a fourth because of an identical call in both diploids. Of
393 the 81 assays used, 47 gave evidence in *T. miscellus* of both *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis*
394 SNP homeologs, and 34 gave evidence of only one SNP homeolog. Thus, 41% of the
395 SNP loci give evidence for homeolog loss. Of these, 25 (74%) showed loss of the *T.*
396 *dubius* homeolog, and nine (26%) showed loss of the *T. pratensis* homeolog. If we
397 increase stringency by omitting “aggressive” calls (i.e. less confident Sequenom calls),
398 we find that 69 assays gave a call; of these, 44 gave evidence of both SNP homeologs in
399 *T. miscellus*, and 26 gave evidence of only one SNP homeolog.

400

401 We then compared the Sequenom and Illumina sequence data for the *T. miscellus* plant,
402 to discover how often Illumina expression data had successfully identified a candidate for
403 genomic loss (Table 3). Illumina read counts were correct in 89 % of the cases where
404 there was depth of coverage above 10X per SNP homeolog, and the Sequenom calls were
405 at conservative, moderate and aggressive levels of confidence (listed in descending
406 order). Where Illumina read data had predicted “potential gene loss”, this was shown by

407 Sequenom analysis in 23 of 27 cases (85%). In four cases, homeologs were detected in
408 the genomic DNA by Sequenom but not in the transcriptome by Illumina (i.e. they were
409 scored as “potential gene loss”). This may be due to homeolog silencing. In contrast, four
410 SNP homeologs were detected in the transcriptome by Illumina (i.e. they were scored
411 “alleles balanced”) but were not found in the genome by Sequenom. Manual examination
412 of the mass spectrometer traces for these calls suggested that three of them, which had all
413 been called at the “aggressive” (lowest) level of confidence, did in fact have both
414 homeologs present in the gDNA. In no cases did a contradiction occur where Illumina
415 showed no expression of one homeolog and Sequenom loss of the other homeolog.

416

417 **Discussion**

418

419 Genomic resources are scarce for many organisms that are studied in a natural ecological
420 or evolutionary context (Ellegren 2008; Hudson 2008). Here, we demonstrate a protocol
421 that uses next-generation technologies to rapidly develop SNP markers in many hundreds
422 of genes in a species which is a good evolutionary model but which until now has not
423 been a genetic model organism. These SNP markers have many potential uses. We have
424 used them to distinguish between homeologous genes in the recent natural allopolyploid
425 *T. miscellus*. Using transcriptome profiling and Sequenom genotyping, we have detected
426 many cases of gene loss. Below we discuss the biological implications of our findings in
427 *T. miscellus*, and the general utility of the methods described in this paper.

428

429 **Biological implications of findings in *T. miscellus***

430

431 This paper provides the first large-scale analysis of homeologous gene loss in a recent
432 (~40-generation-old) natural allopolyploid. In a single *T. miscellus* individual we found
433 254 cases of putative homeolog loss or silencing by transcriptome profiling with Illumina
434 sequencing (3% of all SNPs). Sequenom analysis confirmed that in a sample of 27 of
435 these SNPs, 23 (85%) were cases of genomic homeolog loss. The remaining 15% are
436 likely to be homeologs that are present in the genome but were not being expressed at the
437 time of sampling in the leaf tissue subject to transcriptome analysis. Homeolog loss
438 therefore appears to be more common than homeolog silencing (i.e. lack of expression of
439 a gene found in the genome) in this species.

440

441 We found preferential loss of *T. dubius* homeologs over *T. pratensis* homeologs in the
442 allopolyploid *T. miscellus* in this study. Illumina read data on the transcriptome suggested
443 loss or silencing of the *T. dubius* homeolog in 164 of 254 SNPs (64%) showing homeolog
444 loss or silencing, and Sequenom analysis of the genome suggested loss of the *T. dubius*
445 homeolog in 25 of 34 SNPs (73%) showing homeolog loss. In earlier studies a similar
446 bias was found: combined results from Buggs *et al.* (2009), Tate *et al.* (2006), and Tate *et*
447 *al.* (2009a) gave 56 *T. dubius* homeolog losses, and 27 *T. pratensis* homeolog losses
448 across multiple populations. Interestingly, we also found a bias in gene expression in our
449 Illumina read data, with *T. dubius* homeologs tending to be expressed more than *T.*
450 *pratensis* homeologs in 77% of the SNPs where we detected differential expression.

451 Because *T. dubius* ESTs were used as the reference sequence we might expect a bias
452 towards the alignment of Illumina reads derived from *T. dubius* homeologs. This possible
453 bias may have contributed to the apparent higher expression of the *T. dubius* homeolog at
454 many loci, but also suggests that the finding of a higher rate of loss of *T. dubius*
455 homeologs is a robust result.

456

457 It is notable that a similar bias towards loss of *T. dubius* genetic material and higher
458 expression of *T. dubius* genes has been found for rDNA in both *T. miscellus* and *T. mirus*,
459 an allopolyploid that has *T. dubius* as the paternal parent and *T. porrifolius* as the
460 maternal parent (Kovarik *et al.* 2005). In both species, concerted evolution has reduced
461 the copy numbers of rDNA units derived mainly from the *T. dubius* diploid parent but,
462 paradoxically, repeats of *T. dubius* origin dominate transcription in most populations
463 studied (Matyasek *et al.* 2007). *Tragopogon mirus* also shows a bias toward loss of *T.*
464 *dubius* homeologs using CAPS markers (Koh *et al.*, submitted)

465

466 What causes the bias towards higher rates of gene loss and increased expression of *T.*
467 *dubius* homeologs? One possibility might be maternal effects due to cytoplasmic-nuclear
468 interactions. The *T. miscellus* plant in the current study, as well as all *T. mirus* plants and
469 the majority of *T. miscellus* plants included in other studies, has *T. dubius* as the paternal
470 parent. Perhaps selection favors maintaining ancestral similarity in the cytoplasmic and
471 nuclear genomes. Another explanation might be the higher genetic variability of *T.*
472 *dubius* populations (Soltis *et al.* 1995); it is possible that the *T. dubius* individual that we
473 examined from Oakesdale was not genetically identical to the actual *T. dubius* progenitor

474 of *T. miscellus* from Oakesdale. However, it seems unlikely that the bias is due to the
475 selection of an inappropriate *T. dubius* genotype in this study as the other studies cited
476 above as showing the same pattern have examined multiple *T. dubius* individuals. Our
477 results also agree with those found in other species. In synthetic allopolyploids of
478 *Brassica*, genomic changes occur more often in the paternal genome (Song *et al.* 1995).
479 In natural *Gossypium hirsutum* (Flagel *et al.* 2008) and synthetic *Arabidopsis*
480 allopolyploids (Wang *et al.* 2006), homeolog expression biases also tend to be in favor of
481 the paternal genome. In maize, it has recently been shown that paternal genomic
482 imprinting influences gene expression patterns in hybrids (Swanson-Wagner *et al.* 2009).
483
484 One mechanism by which homeolog loss may occur in *T. miscellus* is homeologous
485 recombination, in which fragments of chromosomes can be lost. Ownbey (1950)
486 observed multivalent formation in early generations of natural *T. miscellus*, and rare
487 patterns of isozyme variation in *T. miscellus* are consistent with homeologous
488 recombination (Soltis *et al.* 1995). More recently, Lim *et al.* (2008) and Tate *et al.*
489 (2009b) report multivalent formation in both natural and synthetic *Tragopogon*
490 allopolyploids, along with unisomy, trisomy, and reciprocal translocations in natural
491 *Tragopogon* allopolyploids. Homeologous recombination appears to have caused loss of
492 chromosome fragments in re-synthesised *Brassica* allopolyploids (Song *et al.* 1995;
493 Gaeta *et al.* 2007). Another possible mechanism of homeolog loss is gene conversion, as
494 has been found for rRNA genes in both *T. miscellus* and *T. mirus* (Kovarik *et al.* 2005;
495 Matyasek *et al.* 2007).
496

497 High-throughput SNP discovery together with the genotyping of many natural *T.*
498 *miscellus* plants of independent origin and F₁ hybrids will enable us to examine genome-
499 wide patterns of homeolog loss in this species. As SNPs are abundant in many species
500 and easily detected (Gut 2001; Kwok 2001), they are excellent genetic markers for the
501 generation of dense genetic maps that can support marker-assisted selection (MAS) and
502 association genetics programs, as well as inform on genome organization and function
503 (Pavy *et al.* 2008; Slate *et al.* 2009). In *T. miscellus*, application of these markers will
504 enable us to understand further the causes of homeolog loss in this allopolyploid,
505 showing us whether or not homeolog losses occur in linkage groups – implying the loss
506 of large fragments of chromosomes – or in small fragments scattered throughout the
507 genome.

508

509 **Utility of methods**

510

511 In the space of a few months, we have been able to identify at high stringency 7,782
512 homeolog-specific SNP markers within 2,885 unique contigs in *T. miscellus* using next-
513 generation sequencing. The number of homeologous genes available for study has
514 therefore been increased by two orders of magnitude compared to previous studies using
515 a “one gene at a time” approach (Tate *et al.* 2006; Buggs *et al.* 2009; Tate *et al.* 2009a).
516 The number of actual SNPs discovered is likely to be much higher than this, as we were
517 likely over-stringent. We have developed working assays for 85 of these SNPs using
518 Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX technology. This high-throughput approach transforms
519 our ability to study molecular evolution in *T. miscellus*.

520

521 The use of transcriptome sequencing with polyA purification is valuable for targeting
522 functional genes for SNP discovery, as clearly shown by this study. However, there is the
523 possibility that when these markers are then used to study the genome, polymorphisms
524 will be discovered due to the presence of silent homeologs. In a few cases we found this:
525 six of 139 Sequenom SNP assays found polymorphisms in genomic DNA of diploid
526 plants that had not been detected by Illumina sequencing in the transcriptome. This was
527 an acceptably low level of polymorphism that was undiscovered by transcriptome
528 sequencing. However, it should be noted that *T. dubius* and *T. pratensis* are mostly
529 selfing species (Cook & Soltis 1999; Cook & Soltis 2000) with limited polymorphism in
530 their introduced ranges in North America (Soltis *et al.* 1995; Symonds *et al.* 2009).
531 Outcrossing species with high heterozygosity may pose more difficulties in analysis.

532

533 Sequenom MassARRAY Typer 4.0 Analyzer software uses a three-parameter model to
534 calculate the significance of each putative genotype. This compares the size of peaks for
535 the possible bases at each SNP site and the peak for the unextended primer. Where an
536 assay is not working well, the non-extended primer will be found in greater abundance
537 than the extended oligonucleotides. For genotypes which are called, the degree of
538 confidence that can be placed on the call is described as “conservative”, “moderate”, or
539 “aggressive” in the software output. We found that four calls (three called at the
540 “aggressive” (lowest) level of confidence and one at the “moderate” level) were not
541 reliable due to failure to detect a base that was in fact present (a false negative). Manual

542 examination of the mass-spectrometer trace in most cases allowed the call to be
543 corrected.

544

545 This “false negative” problem is likely to be due to the malfunction of these specific
546 assays, rather than the reliability of “aggressive” calls in general. Certain assays can
547 function well in calling different bases in homozygotes, but in a heterozygote the primers
548 bind preferentially to one allele, resulting in a false homozygote call. One reason why this
549 occurs is if there is another SNP close to the SNP site that is being assayed (Liu *et al.*
550 2009). Preferential binding of primers can be assessed by genotyping more individuals
551 that are expected to be heterozygous. If they all appear to be homozygous, then the
552 Sequenom assay for that SNP should be rejected. We did this (see below) and found that
553 these assays did not work correctly in multiple individuals. In addition, if we discard all
554 aggressive Sequenom calls, we find that the correspondence between the Illumina and
555 Sequenom data rises only slightly from 89% to 93%. This also suggests that there is not a
556 general problem with the reliability of “aggressive” calls.

557

558 This study also demonstrates that transcriptome profiling using Illumina sequencing is a
559 useful method for identifying candidate homeologs for the study of homeolog loss in an
560 allopolyploid species. This allows us to target these genes for developing SNP-typing
561 assays, saving both time and money. The major cost in using Sequenom genotyping is the
562 production of primers. Each SNP requires three primers: two for an initial amplification
563 of the target region and one for the SNP-typing reaction. Once these primers have been
564 synthesized, many samples can be SNP-typed at relatively low cost. We made use of this

565 fact by screening an additional 94 individuals: a total of 87 diploid and *T. miscellus* plants
566 from five natural populations, two 50-year-old herbarium specimens and five artificial
567 crosses. Preliminary analyses of this survey allowed us to identify polymorphisms in the
568 diploid plants and calculate allelic diversity. This data set showed repeatability of some
569 homeolog losses in natural *T. miscellus* populations of different origins. Finally, we also
570 found the first evidence for rare loss of alleles in F₁ hybrids between *T. dubius* and *T.*
571 *pratensis*. Robust analysis of this data set is ongoing.

572

573 **Broader applicability**

574

575 Transcriptome sequencing by 454 has many potential applications in ecology (Ellegren
576 2008; Wang *et al.* 2009; Wheat 2008). It has been used for the *de novo* characterization
577 of the transcriptome of the Glanville fritillary butterfly (Vera *et al.* 2008) and the
578 *Eucalyptus grandis* genome (Novaes *et al.* 2008). Recent work in model organisms has
579 used short-read sequencing to study differences in expression of SNP-containing alleles,
580 for example in micro-RNAs in mice (Kim & Bartel 2009). Sequenom MassARRAY
581 genotyping has been used to study allelic expression in hybrid maize (Stupar & Springer
582 2006) and levels of homeolog expression in allopolyploid cotton (Flagel *et al.* 2008;
583 Chaudhary *et al.* 2009; Flagel *et al.* 2009). This study demonstrates the effectiveness of a
584 hybrid Illumina and 454 sequencing approach and Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX
585 genotyping to increase dramatically our ability to study the evolution of duplicated genes
586 in natural allopolyploids such as *T. miscellus*. These methods could be applied to any
587 organism, allowing efficient and cost-effective generation of SNP markers.

588

589

590

591 **Acknowledgments**

592 We thank William Farmerie and Regina Shaw of the Interdisciplinary Center for
593 Biotechnology Research at the University of Florida for 454 sequencing, and Michael
594 Sandford for technical support. This work was supported by the University of Florida,
595 and NSF grants MCB-034637, DEB-0614421, DEB-0919254, and DEB-0919348.

596

597 **References**

- 598 Adams KL, Cronn R, Percifield R, Wendel JF (2003) Genes duplicated by polyploidy
599 show unequal contributions to the transcriptome and organ-specific reciprocal
600 silencing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*
601 *of America*, **100**, 4649-4654.
- 602 Adams KL, Wendel JF (2004) Exploring the genomic mysteries of polyploidy in cotton.
603 *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **82**, 573-581.
- 604 Adams KL, Wendel JF (2005) Polyploidy and genome evolution in plants. *Current*
605 *Opinion in Plant Biology*, **8**, 135-141.
- 606 Ainouche ML, Baumel A, Salmon A (2004) *Spartina anglica* C. E. Hubbard: a natural
607 model system for analysing early evolutionary changes that affect allopolyploid
608 genomes. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **82**, 475-484.

609 Barbazuk WB, Emrich SJ, Chen HD, Li L, Schnable PS (2007) SNP discovery via 454
610 transcriptome sequencing. *Plant Journal*, **51**, 910-918.

611 Bottley A, Xia GM, Koebner RMD (2006) Homoeologous gene silencing in hexaploid
612 wheat. *Plant Journal*, **47**, 897-906.

613 Buggs RJA (2008) Towards natural polyploid model organisms. *Molecular Ecology*, **17**,
614 1875-1876.

615 Buggs RJA, Doust AN, Tate JA, *et al.* (2009) Gene loss and silencing in *Tragopogon*
616 *miscellus* (Asteraceae): comparison of natural and synthetic allotetraploids.
617 *Heredity*, **103**, 73-81.

618 Buggs RJA, Pannell JR (2007) Ecological differentiation and diploid superiority across a
619 moving ploidy contact zone. *Evolution*, **61**, 125 - 140.

620 Chaisson MJ, Pevzner PA (2008) Short read fragment assembly of bacterial genomes.
621 *Genome Research*, **18**, 324-330.

622 Chaudhary B, Flagel L, Stupar RM, *et al.* (2009) Reciprocal silencing, transcriptional
623 bias and functional divergence of homeologs in polyploid cotton (*Gossypium*).
624 *Genetics*, **182**, 503-517.

625 Chen M, Ha M, Lackey E, Wang JL, Chen ZJ (2008) RNAi of met1 reduces DNA
626 methylation and induces genome-specific changes in gene expression and
627 centromeric small RNA accumulation in *Arabidopsis* allopolyploids. *Genetics*,
628 **178**, 1845-1858.

629 Chen ZJ, Wang J, Tian L, *et al.* (2004) The development of an *Arabidopsis* model system
630 for genome-wide analysis of polyploidy effects. *Biological Journal of the Linnean*
631 *Society*, **82**, 689-700.

632 Cheung F, Haas B, Goldberg S, *et al.* (2006) Sequencing *Medicago truncatula* expressed
633 sequenced tags using 454 Life Sciences technology. *BMC Genomics*, **7**, 272.

634 Cheung F, Win J, Lang J, *et al.* (2008) Analysis of the *Pythium ultimum* transcriptome
635 using Sanger and Pyrosequencing approaches. *BMC Genomics*, **9**, 542.

636 Cook LM, Soltis PS (1999) Mating systems of diploid and allotetraploid populations of
637 *Tragopogon* (Asteraceae). I. Natural populations. *Heredity*, **82**, 237-244.

638 Cook LM, Soltis PS (2000) Mating systems of diploid and allotetraploid populations of
639 *Tragopogon* (Asteraceae). II. Artificial populations. *Heredity*, **84**, 410-415.

640 Dong Y, Liu Z, Shan X, *et al.* (2005) Allopolyploidy in wheat induces rapid and heritable
641 alterations in DNA methylation patterns of cellular genes and mobile elements.
642 *Russian Journal of Genetics*, **41**, 890-896.

643 Doyle J, Doyle JL (1987) Genomic plant DNA preparation from fresh tissue-CTAB
644 method. *Phytochemical Bulletin*, **19**, 11-15.

645 Dunstan S, Hue N, Rockett K, *et al.* (2007) A TNF region haplotype offers protection
646 from typhoid fever in Vietnamese patients. *Human Genetics*, **122**, 51-61.

647 Ellegren H (2008) Sequencing goes 454 and takes large-scale genomics into the wild.
648 *Molecular Ecology*, **17**, 1629-1631.

649 Emrich SJ, Barbazuk WB, Li L, Schnable PS (2007) Gene discovery and annotation
650 using LCM-454 transcriptome sequencing. *Genome Research*, **17**, 69-73.

651 Eveland AL, McCarty DR, Koch KE (2008) Transcript profiling by 3'-untranslated
652 region sequencing resolves expression of gene families. *Plant Physiology*, **146**,
653 32-44.

654 Feldman M, Liu B, Segal G, *et al.* (1997) Rapid elimination of low-copy DNA sequences
655 in polyploid wheat: A possible mechanism for differentiation of homoeologous
656 chromosomes. *Genetics*, **147**, 1381-1387.

657 Fligel LE, Chen L, Chaudhary B, Wendel JF (2009) Coordinated and fine-scale control
658 of homoeologous gene expression in allotetraploid cotton. *Journal of Heredity*,
659 **100**, 487-490.

660 Fligel LE, Udall J, Nettleton D, Wendel J (2008) Duplicate gene expression in
661 allopolyploid *Gossypium* reveals two temporally distinct phases of expression
662 evolution. *BMC Biology*, **6**, 16.

663 Gabriel S, Ziaugra L, Tabbaa D (2009) SNP genotyping using the Sequenom
664 MassARRAY iPLEX platform. *Current Protocols in Human Genetics*, **60**,
665 2.12.11-12.12.18.

666 Gaeta RT, Pires JC, Iniguez-Luy F, Leon E, Osborn TC (2007) Genomic changes in
667 resynthesized *Brassica napus* and their effect on gene expression and phenotype.
668 *Plant Cell*, **19**, 3403-3417

669 Guo M, Rupe MA, Zinselmeier C, *et al.* (2004) Allelic variation of gene expression in
670 maize hybrids. *Plant Cell*, **16**, 1707-1716.

671 Gut IG (2001) Automation in genotyping of single nucleotide polymorphisms. *Human*
672 *Mutation*, **17**, 475-492.

673 Hegarty M, Barker G, Wilson I, *et al.* (2006) Transcriptome shock after interspecific
674 hybridization in *Senecio* is ameliorated by genome duplication. *Current Biology*,
675 **16**, 1652-1659.

676 Hegarty M, Jones J, Wilson I, *et al.* (2005) Development of anonymous cDNA
677 microarrays to study changes to the *Senecio* floral transcriptome during hybrid
678 speciation. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 2493-2510.

679 Hillier LW, Marth GT, Quinlan AR, *et al.* (2008) Whole-genome sequencing and variant
680 discovery in *C. elegans*. *Nature Methods*, **5**, 183-188.

681 Holt RA, Jones SJM (2008) The new paradigm of flow cell sequencing. *Genome*
682 *Research*, **18**, 839-846.

683 Hudson ME (2008) Sequencing breakthroughs for genomic ecology and evolutionary
684 biology. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **8**, 3-17.

685 Johnson DS, Mortazavi A, Myers RM, Wold B (2007) Genome-wide mapping of in vivo
686 protein-DNA interactions. *Science*, **316**, 1497-1502.

687 Joly S, Rauscher JT, Sherman-Broyles SL, Brown AHD, Doyle JJ (2004) Evolutionary
688 dynamics and preferential expression of homeologous 18S-5.8S-26S nuclear
689 ribosomal genes in natural and artificial Glycine allopolyploids. *Molecular*
690 *Biology and Evolution*, **21**, 1409-1421.

691 Judd WS, Campbell CS, Kellogg EA, Stevens PF, Donoghue MJ (2007) *Plant*
692 *Systematics: A Phylogenetic Approach*, Third Edition edn. Sinauer, Sunderland,
693 MA.

694 Kashkush K, Feldman M, Levy AA (2002) Gene loss, silencing and activation in a newly
695 synthesized wheat allotetraploid. *Genetics*, **160**, 1651-1659.

696 Kihara H, Ono T (1927) Chromosomenzahlen und systematische Gruppierung der
697 *Rumex*-Arten. *Zeitschrift für Zellforschung und mikroskopische Anatomie*, **4**, 475-
698 481.

699 Kim J, Bartel DP (2009) Allelic imbalance sequencing reveals that single-nucleotide
700 polymorphisms frequently alter microRNA-directed repression. *Nature*
701 *Biotechnology*, **27**, 472-477.

702 Kim S, Yoo M-J, Albert VA, *et al.* (2004) Phylogeny and diversification of B-function
703 MADS-box genes in angiosperms: evolutionary and functional implications of a
704 260-million-year-old duplication. *American Journal of Botany*, **91**, 2102-2118.

705 Kovarik A, Pires JC, Leitch AR, *et al.* (2005) Rapid concerted evolution of nuclear
706 ribosomal DNA in two *Tragopogon* allopolyploids of recent and recurrent origin.
707 *Genetics*, **169**, 931-944.

708 Kwok PY (2001) Methods for genotyping single nucleotide polymorphisms. *Annual*
709 *Review of Genomics and Human Genetics*, **2**, 235-258.

710 Levy AA, Feldman M (2004) Genetic and epigenetic reprogramming of the wheat
711 genome upon allopolyploidization. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **82**,
712 607-613.

713 Lim KY, Matyasek R, Kovarik A, Leitch AR (2004) Genome evolution in allotetraploid
714 *Nicotiana*. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **82**, 599-606.

715 Lim KY, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, *et al.* (2008) Rapid chromosome evolution in recently
716 formed polyploids in *Tragopogon* (Asteraceae). *PLoS ONE*, **3**, e3353.

717 Liu S, Chen D, Makarevitch I, *et al.* (2009) High-throughput genetic mapping of mutants
718 via quantitative SNP-typing. *Genetics*, **submitted**.

719 Lynch M, Conery JS (2000) The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes.
720 *Science*, **290**, 1151-1155.

721 Marth GT, Korf I, Yandell MD, *et al.* (1999) A general approach to single-nucleotide
722 polymorphism discovery. *Nat Genet*, **23**, 452-456.

723 Matyasek R, Tate JA, Lim YK, *et al.* (2007) Concerted evolution of rDNA in recently
724 formed *Tragopogon* allotetraploids is typically associated with an inverse
725 correlation between gene copy number and expression. *Genetics*, **176**, 2509-2519.

726 Mavrodiev EV, Tancig M, Sherwood AM, *et al.* (2005) Phylogeny of *Tragopogon* L.
727 (Asteraceae) based on internal and external transcribed spacer sequence data.
728 *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, **166**, 117-133.

729 Novaes E, Drost D, Farmerie W, *et al.* (2008) High-throughput gene and SNP discovery
730 in *Eucalyptus grandis*, an uncharacterized genome. *BMC Genomics*, **9**, 312.

731 Novak SJ, Soltis DE, Soltis PS (1991) Ownbey *Tragopogons* - 40 Years Later. *American*
732 *Journal of Botany*, **78**, 1586-1600.

733 Ownbey M (1950) Natural hybridization and amphiploidy in the genus *Tragopogon*.
734 *American Journal of Botany*, **37**, 487-499.

735 Pavy N, Pelgas B, Beauseigle S, *et al.* (2008) Enhancing genetic mapping of complex
736 genomes through the design of highly-multiplexed SNP arrays: application to the
737 large and unsequenced genomes of white spruce and black spruce. *BMC*
738 *Genomics*, **9**, 21.

739 Petit M, Lim K, Julio E, *et al.* (2007) Differential impact of retrotransposon populations
740 on the genome of allotetraploid tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*). *Molecular Genetics*
741 *and Genomics*, **278**, 1-15.

742 Quackenbush J, Liang F, Holt I, Pertea G, Upton J (2000) The TIGR gene indices:
743 reconstruction and representation of expressed gene sequences. *Nucleic Acids*
744 *Res*, **28**, 141-145.

745 Sadava DE, Heller HC, Orians GH, Purves WK, Hillis DM (2008) *Life: the science of*
746 *biology*, Eighth edn. Macmillan, New York.

747 Slate J, Gratten J, Beraldi D, *et al.* (2009) Gene mapping in the wild with SNPs:
748 guidelines and future directions. *Genetica*, **136**, 97-107.

749 Soltis DE, Soltis PS (1989) Allopolyploid speciation in *Tragopogon*: insights from
750 chloroplast DNA. *American Journal of Botany*, **76**, 14-18.

751 Soltis DE, Soltis PS (1999) Polyploidy: recurrent formation and genome evolution.
752 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **14**, 348-352.

753 Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Pires JC, *et al.* (2004a) Recent and recurrent polyploidy in
754 *Tragopogon* (Asteraceae): cytogenetic, genomic and genetic comparisons.
755 *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **82**, 485-501.

756 Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Tate JA (2004b) Advances in the study of polyploidy since Plant
757 Speciation. *New Phytologist*, **161**, 173-191.

758 Soltis PS, Plunkett GM, Novak SJ, Soltis DE (1995) Genetic variation in *Tragopogon*
759 species: additional origins of the allotetraploids *T. mirus* and *T. miscellus*
760 (Compositae). *American Journal of Botany*, **82**, 1329-1341.

761 Song K, Lu P, Tang K, Osborn TC (1995) Rapid genome change in synthetic polyploids
762 of *Brassica* and its implications for polyploid evolution. *Proceedings of the*
763 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **92**, 7719-7723.

764 Stebbins GL (1942) Polyploid Complexes in Relation to Ecology and the History of
765 Floras. *American Naturalist*, **76**, 36-45.

766 Stupar RM, Springer NM (2006) *Cis*-transcriptional variation in maize inbred lines B73
767 and Mo17 leads to additive expression patterns in the F-1 hybrid. *Genetics*, **173**,
768 2199-2210.

769 Swanson-Wagner R, DeCook R, Jia Y, *et al.* (2009) Widespread paternal genomic
770 imprinting of trans-eQTL influences gene expression patterns in maize hybrids.
771 *Science*, **submitted**

772 Symonds VV, Soltis PS, Soltis DE (2009) The dynamics of polyploid formation in
773 *Tragopogon* (Asteraceae): recurrent formation, gene flow, and population
774 structure. *Evolution*, **submitted**.

775 Tate J, Joshi P, Soltis K, Soltis P, Soltis D (2009a) On the road to diploidization?
776 Homoeolog loss in independently formed populations of the allopolyploid
777 *Tragopogon miscellus* (Asteraceae). *BMC Plant Biology*, **9**, 80.

778 Tate JA, Ni ZF, Scheen AC, *et al.* (2006) Evolution and expression of homeologous loci
779 in *Tragopogon miscellus* (Asteraceae), a recent and reciprocally formed
780 allopolyploid. *Genetics*, **173**, 1599-1611.

781 Tate JA, Symonds VV, Doust AN, *et al.* (2009b) Synthetic polyploids of *Tragopogon*
782 *miscellus* and *T. mirus* (Asteraceae): 60 Years after Ownbey's discovery. *Am. J.*
783 *Bot.*, **96**, 979-988.

784 Udall JA, Wendel JF (2006) Polyploidy and crop improvement. *Crop Science*, **46**, S3-
785 S14.

786 Van Tassell CP, Smith TPL, Matukumalli LK, *et al.* (2008) SNP discovery and allele
787 frequency estimation by deep sequencing of reduced representation libraries.
788 *Nature Methods*, **5**, 247-252.

789 Vera JC, Wheat CW, Fescemyer HW, *et al.* (2008) Rapid transcriptome characterization
790 for a nonmodel organism using 454 pyrosequencing. *Molecular Ecology*, **17**,
791 1636-1647.

792 Wang J, Tian L, Lee H-S, *et al.* (2006) Genomewide nonadditive gene regulation in
793 *Arabidopsis* allotetraploids. *Genetics*, **172**, 507-517.

794 Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M (2009) RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for
795 transcriptomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **10**, 57-63.

796 Wheat C (2008) Rapidly developing functional genomics in ecological model systems via
797 454 transcriptome sequencing. *Genetica*, **Online First 18 October 2008**

798
799
800

800
801

Table 1: Results of *Tragopogon dubius* similarity searches (BLASTX).

Sequence collection used for similarity searches	Number of 454 contigs with similarity @ 1e-05	Number of Annotation sequences hit @ 1e-05	Number of 454 contigs with similarity @ 1e-10	Number of Annotation sequences hit @ 1e-10
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> , <i>Lactuca serriola</i> and <i>Helianthus annuus</i> Gene Index	21,498 (<i>Lactuca sativa</i> : 11,080 <i>Lactuca serriola</i> : 6078 <i>Helianthus annuus</i> : 4340)	16,611	18,526 (<i>Lactuca sativa</i> : 9,731 <i>Lactuca serriola</i> : 5264 <i>Helianthus annuus</i> : 3531)	14,914
Arabidopsis annotated peptides	18,923	11,086	16,412	10,180

802