A GRÖBNER BASIS FOR THE GRAPH OF THE RECIPROCAL PLANE

ALEX FINK, DAVID E SPEYER AND ALEXANDER WOO

ABSTRACT. Given the complement of a hyperplane arrangement, let Γ be the closure of the graph of the map inverting each of its defining linear forms. The characteristic polynomial manifests itself in the Hilbert series of Γ in two different-seeming ways, one due to Terao and the other to Huh and Katz. We define an extension of the no broken circuit complex of a matroid and use it to give a direct Gröbner basis argument that the polynomial extracted from the Hilbert series in these two ways agree.

Let \mathcal{A} be an arrangement of n + 1 distinct hyperplanes in an r + 1-dimensional vector space L over some field \Bbbk . Assume that \mathcal{A} is essential, that is, that the intersection of all its hyperplanes is $\{0\}$. We coordinatize \mathcal{A} by fixing a linear form x_i vanishing on the *i*th hyperplane. These linear forms provide an injective linear map $L \to \Bbbk^{n+1}$, and we will identify L with its image in \Bbbk^{n+1} from now on. The hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} is then $\{L \cap (x_i = 0) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}$. The **arrangement complement** $\widetilde{L} = L \setminus \mathcal{A}$ is the complement of the coordinate hyperplanes. We can projectivize $\widetilde{L} \subseteq \Bbbk^{n+1}$ to form $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$.

Naturally associated to \mathcal{A} is a matroid M of rank r + 1 represented over \Bbbk on the set of the n + 1 hyperplanes in \mathcal{A} , with no loops and no collinear points. The matroid M encodes the dependencies among the coordinate functions x_i on L: a set of coordinate functions $\{x_i \mid i \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if \mathcal{C} is a dependent set of M.

An important invariant associated to any matroid, and via this to any hyperplane arrangement, is the **characteristic polynomial**

1

¹⁹⁹¹ AMS Mathematics subject classification. 13F55, 13P10, 05E45, 52C35.

 $Keywords\ and\ phrases.$ characteristic polynomial, reciprocal plane, hyperplane arrangement, no broken circuit complex.

Received by the editors May 28, 2017.

 $\chi_M(q)$, defined as

$$\chi_M(q) = \sum_{F \subseteq M} \mu(\hat{0}, F) \, q^{r+1-r(F)},$$

where the sum is over the flats of the matroid M. Here μ denotes the Möbius function, and r(F) is the rank of the flat F.

The Cremona transformation $\mathbb{P}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is defined by sending $(z_0 : \cdots : z_n)$ to $(z_0^{-1} : \cdots : z_n^{-1})$. The **reciprocal plane** $R_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the closure of the image of the Cremona transformation restricted to $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{L})$, embedded as a closed subvariety of \mathbb{P}^n . The **reciprocal graph** $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the closure of the graph of the Cremona transformation restricted to $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{L})$, embedded as a closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$. Note that $R_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi_2(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$, where π_2 denotes projection to the second factor.

In previous work, two ways have appeared of recovering the characteristic polynomial from the above geometry. Terao [7, Thm. 1.2] shows, in characteristic zero, that the Hilbert series of (the projective coordinate ring of) $R_{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by

$$H(R_{\mathcal{A}};t) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} w_i \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^i,$$

where $(-1)^i w_i$ is the coefficient of q^{r+1-i} in $\chi_M(q)$; note that $\chi_M(q)$ is a polynomial in q of degree r+1 whose coefficients alternate in sign. Berget [1, Thm. 4.3] gives a characteristic free proof; another is implicit in [6], discussed below. In terms of the Grothendieck ring $K^0(\mathbb{P}^n)$, the result means that

$$[R_{\mathcal{A}}] = \sum_{i=0}^{r} w_{i+1}[(\mathbb{k}^*)^i],$$

where by $[(\Bbbk^*)^a]$ we mean

$$[(\mathbb{k}^*)^a] = \sum_{j=0}^a (-1)^j \binom{a+1}{j} [\mathbb{P}^{a-j}].$$

On the other hand, Huh and Katz [4, Thm. 1.1] show that the cohomology class of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ in $H^{2(2n-r)}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ is given by

$$[\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}] = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \overline{w}_{i} [\mathbb{P}^{r-i} \times \mathbb{P}^{i}],$$

where $(-1)^i \overline{w}_i$ is the coefficient of q^{r-i} in the **reduced characteristic polynomial** $\overline{\chi}_M(q) := \chi_M(q)/(q-1)$. This is a rather surprising coincidence! By the Chern map, the class of a subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ in $H^*(X)$ can be thought of as the leading terms of its class in $K^0(X)$. Hence this coincidence between a K-class and a cohomology class suggests a correspondence between the *leading* terms of $[\Gamma_A] \in$ $K^0(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ and all the terms of $[R_A] = [\pi_2(\Gamma_A)] \in K^0(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Note that this relationship is not simply the one arising from pushforward along the projection, which cannot be computed from only the leading terms of $[\Gamma_A]$.

Proudfoot and the second author [6] give an explanation of the Terao result in terms of combinatorial commutative algebra by showing that R_A has a Gröbner degeneration to the Stanley–Reisner scheme associated to the **no broken circuit complex** Δ_M^{NBC} , whose faces are counted by the characteristic polynomial. The no broken circuit complex is a cone over the vertex corresponding to the first variable, so one can define the **reduced no broken circuit complex** Δ_M^{RNBC} are counted by the reduced characteristic polynomial. (The authors caution the reader that sources differ as to whether Δ_M^{NBC} should be called the "no broken circuit complex".)

In this paper, we give a similar combinatorial commutative algebra explanation for the Huh–Katz result by defining a family of **extended no broken circuit complexes** $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$, one for each total order \prec on $\{0, \ldots, n\}$, and showing that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ has Gröbner degenerations to the Stanley–Reisner schemes of $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$. Our simplicial complexes $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$ are all pure with one facet for every face of Δ_M^{RNBC} , explaining the common appearance of the (reduced) characteristic polynomial in these two different settings.

By counting the faces of $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$, we also obtain the bigraded Hilbert series for $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$, which is

$$H(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}};q,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \overline{w}_{i} \left(1 + \frac{q}{1-q}\right)^{r+1-i} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{i} \left(1 + \frac{t}{1-t}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-qt} \left(\frac{t}{t-1}\right)^{r+1} \chi_{M} \left(\frac{t-1}{(1-q)t}\right).$$

The coordinate ring of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ was recently independently studied by Garrousian, Simis, and Tohaneanu [3]. We recover their results on a presentation for the coordinate ring of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$. They furthermore show that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay (Thm. 4.9). It would be interesting to recover this result by showing that our complexes $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$ are shellable for a well-chosen order \prec . Alexander Lazar has exhibited an example of a non-shellable complex $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$, where M is the projective geometry $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{F}^2)$ and \prec is the natural order.

Acknowledgments. This paper arose from a working group at the Fields Institute thematic semester *Combinatorial algebraic geometry*, which included Laura Escobar, Federico Galetto, Christian Hasse, Alexandra Seceleanu, and Kristin Shaw. We thank the working group for fruitful discussions, Diane Maclagan and Greg Smith for organizing the thematic semester, and the Fields Institute staff for their hospitality.

The first author was supported by EPSRC grant EP/M01245X/1; the second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-1600223; the third author was supported by Simons Collaboration Grant 359792.

1. The extended no broken circuit complex. Given a matroid M of rank r + 1 on a ground set $\{0, \ldots, n\}$, a circuit is a minimal dependent set, and a broken circuit is the result of deleting the *least* element from any circuit in the natural order $0 < 1 < \cdots < n$. The no broken circuit complex Δ_M^{NBC} is the simplicial complex whose minimal *nonfaces* are the broken circuits of M. In other words, the facets of Δ_M^{NBC} are the maximal sets *not* containing a broken circuit. Note that 0 is never in a broken circuit, and hence the vertex 0 is always a cone point of Δ_M^{NBC} . Following Brylawski [2], we define the reduced no broken circuit complex Δ_M^{RNBC} as the simplicial complex obtained by deleting the vertex 0 from Δ_M^{NBC} .

It is a classical result, due to Whitney [8, Sect. 7] for graphical matroids and Brylawski [2, Thm. 3.3e] in general, that the characteristic polynomial of M is given by

$$\chi_M(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^i w_i \, q^{r+1-i},$$

where w_i (which is sometimes known as the *i*-th Whitney number of the first kind) is the number of faces of Δ_M^{NBC} with *i* vertices. Note that the reduced characteristic polynomial satisfies

$$\overline{\chi}_M(q) = \chi_M(q)/(q-1) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \overline{w}_i q^{r-i},$$

where \overline{w}_i is the number of faces of Δ_M^{RNBC} with *i* vertices.

Given a second partial order \prec on the set $\{0, \ldots, n\}$, we define the **extended no broken circuit complex** $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$ as follows. The complex $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$ has 2(n + 1) vertices, which we denote $\{x_0, \ldots, x_n, y_0, \ldots, y_n\}$. Given any face $F \in \Delta_M^{\text{RNBC}}$ (including $F = \emptyset$), let L(F) be the basis of M containing F which is lexicographically maximal with respect to \prec . To be precise, let $i\uparrow = \{j \mid i \prec j\}$ be the up-set generated by i in \prec . Then $i \in L(F)$ if $i \in F$ or

$$\operatorname{rk}(i\uparrow \cup F) > \operatorname{rk}((i\uparrow \setminus \{i\}) \cup F).$$

Given any $F \in \Delta_M^{\text{RNBC}}$, define

$$\overline{F} = \{y_i \mid i \in F\} \cup \{x_j \mid j \in L(F) \setminus F\} \cup \{y_0\}.$$

Thus, putting aside y_0 which will be a cone point, L(F) is the set of subscripts of vertices of \overline{F} , with F distinguished within it as the set of subscripts of y-variables. The facets of $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$ are the sets \overline{F} ; in other words,

$$\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}} = \{ G \mid G \subseteq \overline{F}, F \in \Delta_M^{\text{RNBC}} \}.$$

2. Squarefree initial ideals and Stanley-Reisner rings. Let $S = \Bbbk[x_0, \ldots, x_n, y_0, \ldots, y_n]$ be the bihomogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$, and fix a total term order on S. Given $f \in S$, init f is the largest monomial in the support of f. Given an ideal $I \subseteq S$, its initial ideal is init $I = \{ \text{init } f \mid f \in I \}$. Since our term order is a total order, init I is a monomial ideal. It is a general fact that there exists a flat degeneration from the scheme V(I) to V(init I) preserving the Hilbert series and hence the cohomology class.

Let Δ be a simplicial complex with vertex set $\{x_0, \ldots, x_n, y_0, \ldots, y_n\}$. The Stanley–Reisner ideal $I(\Delta) \subseteq S$ is the squarefree monomial ideal generated by $\prod_{v \in A} v$ for all subsets A such that $A \not\subseteq \Delta$. The nonzero monomials of $S/I(\Delta)$ are precisely those whose variables are faces of Δ , so the big raded Hilbert series with x-degree counted by q and y-degree counted by t is given by

(1)
$$H(S/I(\Delta);q,t) = \sum_{F \in \Delta} \left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^{x(F)} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{y(F)},$$

where the sum is over all faces F in Δ and x(F) and y(F) denote respectively the number of x-vertices and y-vertices in F.

Since $I(\Delta)$ is squarefree, the subscheme $V(\Delta)$ of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$ defined by $I(\Delta)$ is reduced, and its irreducible components are the subspaces spanned by $v \in F$ as F ranges over the *maximal* faces of Δ . Hence the class of $V(\Delta)$ in $H^*(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ is

(2)
$$[V(\Delta)] = \sum_{F} [\mathbb{P}^{x(F)-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{y(F)-1}],$$

where the sum is now over all faces F of maximal dimension (which in general may not be all maximal faces).

3. Main theorem and proof. Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the reciprocal graph. Fix a total order \prec on $\{0, \ldots, n\}$. Let > be a term order on S such that $x_i > x_j$ if $i \prec j$ while $y_i > y_j$ if i > j, and any term that is a multiple of y_0^a is less than any term of the same degree that is not. Then

- (1) (Also [3, Thm. 4.2]) The defining ideal $I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}) \subseteq S$ is generated by the following elements:
 - $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} a_i x_i$, where \mathcal{C} is a circuit and the $a_i \in \mathbb{k}$ define the relation given by the circuit.
 - $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} a_i \prod_{j \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{i\}} y_j$, where \mathcal{C} is a circuit and a_i are as above.
 - $x_i y_i x_0 y_0$, for all i with $1 \le i \le n$
- (2) The initial ideal init $I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}) \subseteq S$ is generated by the following elements:
 - $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{B}} y_i$, where \mathcal{B} is a broken circuit
 - $x_j \prod_{i \in I} y_i$, where I is any subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (so not including 0) and $\operatorname{rk}(j \uparrow \cup I) = \operatorname{rk}((j \uparrow \setminus \{j\}) \cup I)$. (Note this includes the degenerate case where $j \in I$.)

A GRÖBNER BASIS FOR THE GRAPH OF THE RECIPROCAL PLANE 7

(3) The initial ideal init $I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal $I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}})$ of the extended no broken circuit complex.

Proof. Let J be the ideal generated by the elements listed in part (1), and let K be the ideal generated by the elements of part (2). We will show that $J \subseteq I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$ and that $I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}) \subseteq K \subseteq \text{init } J$. We first explain how to conclude the proof using these facts.

Since $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$ is pure-dimensional, $I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}})$ defines an equidimensional and reduced scheme. Hence if A is a monomial ideal containing $I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}})$ such that we have the equality

$$[V(S/A)] = [V(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}})] \in H^*(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n)$$

of cohomology classes (or equivalently of bidgrees), then $A = I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}})$ [5, Exer. 8.13]. By construction, for each face $F \in \Delta_M^{\text{RNBC}}$, we have a facet $\overline{F} \in \Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$, and every facet has r + 2 vertices. Furthermore, \overline{F} has r - |F| + 1 x-vertices and |F| + 1 y-vertices. Hence, by Equation (2),

$$[V(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\mathrm{ENBC}})] = \sum_{F \in \Delta_M^{\mathrm{RNBC}}} [\mathbb{P}^{r-|F|} \times \mathbb{P}^{|F|}],$$

where the sum is over all faces F of Δ_M^{RNBC} .

On the other hand, Huh and Katz [4, Thm. 1.1] show that

$$[\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}] = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \overline{w}_{i} [\mathbb{P}^{r-i} \times \mathbb{P}^{i}].$$

where $(-1)^i \overline{w}_i$ is the coefficient of q^{r-i} in $\overline{\chi}_M(q)$. Since \overline{w}_i is the number of faces of Δ_M^{RNBC} with *i* vertices, $[\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}] = [V(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}})]$. Taking an initial ideal preserves the cohomology class, so $[V(\text{init } I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}))] = [V(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}})]$, and init $I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}) = I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}})$. Therefore,

$$I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}) = K = \text{init } J = \text{init } I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}),$$

and $J = I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$.

To show $J \subseteq I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$, we show the generators of each type vanish on $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$. The generators involving only the *x* variables come from the relations defining the linear space *L*. On a point in \widetilde{L} where $x_i \neq 0$ for all i,

$$y_i = \frac{1}{x_i},$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$x_i y_i = x_j y_j$$

for all i and j, and in particular for j = 0. Also, given a relation

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} a_i x_i = 0$$

on L coming from a circuit C, we have relations

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{C}}\frac{a_i}{y_i}=0,$$

or, clearing denominators,

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{C}}a_i\prod_{j\in\mathcal{C}\setminus\{i\}}y_j=0.$$

To show $K \subseteq \text{init } J$, for each generator of $g \in K$, we find $f \in J$ such that init f = g. If \mathcal{B} is a broken circuit, then \mathcal{B} is some circuit \mathcal{C} with its first element removed, and hence $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{B}} y_i$ is the leading term of

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{C}}a_i\prod_{j\in\mathcal{C}\setminus\{i\}}y_j.$$

On the other hand, given a subset $I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}$ and some element $j\in\{0,\ldots,n\}$ such that

$$\operatorname{rk}(j\uparrow \cup I) = \operatorname{rk}((j\uparrow \setminus \{j\}) \cup I),$$

either we are in the degenerate case where $j \in I$, where $x_j y_j \in \text{init } J$ since $x_j y_j - x_0 y_0 \in J$, or there is some circuit C including j and a subset of $(j\uparrow \setminus \{j\}) \cup I$. Let

$$h = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C}} a_k x_k \in J$$

be the relation given by \mathcal{C} . Consider

$$h' = \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i\right) h \in J.$$

A GRÖBNER BASIS FOR THE GRAPH OF THE RECIPROCAL PLANE 9

We can write

$$h' = a_j \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i\right) x_j + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \cap (j\uparrow \setminus \{j\})} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i\right) x_k + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \setminus j\uparrow} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i\right) x_k.$$

Note that, if $k \in \mathcal{C}$ and $k \not\succ j$, then $k \in I$, so

$$h' = a_j \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i\right) x_j + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \cap (j \uparrow \backslash \{j\})} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i\right) x_k + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \backslash j \uparrow} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} y_i\right) x_k y_k.$$

Since $x_i y_i - x_0 y_0 \in J$ for all i,

$$\begin{aligned} h'' &= h' + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \setminus j\uparrow} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} y_i \right) (x_0 y_0 - x_k y_k) \\ &= a_j \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i \right) x_j + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \cap (j\uparrow \setminus \{j\})} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i \right) x_k \\ &+ \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \setminus j\uparrow} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} y_i \right) x_k y_k + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \setminus j\uparrow} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} y_i \right) (x_0 y_0 - x_k y_k) \\ &= a_j \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i \right) x_j + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \cap (j\uparrow \setminus \{j\})} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I} y_i \right) x_k + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C} \setminus j\uparrow} a_k \left(\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} y_i \right) x_0 y_0 \\ &\in J. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is the leading term, since it contains no y_0 and $x_j > x_k$ for all $k \succ j$, so

$$\operatorname{init} h'' = x_j \prod_{i \in I} y_i \in K.$$

To show $I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}) \subseteq K$, suppose

$$\prod_{a \in A} \prod_{b \in B} x_a y_b \notin K.$$

Then B does not contain a broken circuit, and for every $a \in A$,

$$\operatorname{rk}((B \setminus \{0\}) \cup a^{\uparrow}) > \operatorname{rk}((B \setminus \{0\}) \cup (a^{\uparrow} \setminus \{a\})).$$

Note $B \setminus \{0\}$ is a face $F \in \Delta_M^{\text{RNBC}}$, and $A \subseteq L(F)$ by our condition on elements of A, so

$$\{x_a \mid a \in A\} \cup \{y_b \mid b \in B\} \subseteq L(F) \in \Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}.$$

Hence,

$$\prod_{a \in A} \prod_{b \in B} x_a y_b \notin I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}).$$

4. Hilbert series. In this section, we state and prove our formula for the bigraded Hilbert series of $S/I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$ and show how the Terao and Huh–Katz results follow from this formula.

Proposition 2. The bigraded Hilbert series of $S/I(\Gamma_A)$ is

$$H(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}};q,t) = \frac{1}{1-qt} \left(\frac{t}{t-1}\right)^{r+1} \chi_M\left(\frac{t-1}{(1-q)t}\right).$$

Proof. Because $I(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}})$ is an initial degeneration of $I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$, it has the same Hilbert function. Computing $H(\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}; q, t)$ is an enumerative problem, by (1).

We carry out this count by means of a partition of the faces of $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$. To wit, given any face $F \in \Delta_M^{\text{RNBC}}$, let

$$J(F) = \{ G \in \Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}} \mid G \cap \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} = \{ y_i \mid i \in F \} \}.$$

The subscripts of the *y*-vertices (not including y_0) of any facet of $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$ make up a face of Δ_M^{RNBC} , so the same is true for any face of $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$. Hence $\{J(F) \mid F \in \Delta_M^{\text{RNBC}}\}$ gives a partition of $\Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$.

Next we show that J(F) is in fact the interval $[\{y_i \mid i \in F\}, \overline{F}]$, so that $G \in J(F)$ if and only if

$$\{y_i \mid i \in F\} \subseteq G \subseteq \overline{F}.$$

Since $\overline{F} \cap \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} = F$, we have $[\{y_i \mid i \in F\}, \overline{F}] \subseteq J(F)$. Now suppose we have a face $G \in J(F) \subseteq \Delta_{M,\prec}^{\text{ENBC}}$. Then $G \subseteq \overline{H}$ for some $H \supseteq F \in \Delta_M^{\text{RNBC}}$. However, if $F \subseteq H$, then $L(F) \setminus F \supseteq L(H) \setminus H$, where L(F) has the meaning it had in Section 1, because if j is independent of $H \cup (j\uparrow \setminus \{j\})$, then j is also independent of $F \cup (j\uparrow \setminus \{j\})$. Hence, $G \subseteq \overline{F}$.

A GRÖBNER BASIS FOR THE GRAPH OF THE RECIPROCAL PLANEL

The contribution of J(F) to the Hilbert function is

(3)
$$\sum_{G \in J(F)} \left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^{x(G)} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{y(G)} \\ = \left(1 + \frac{q}{1-q}\right)^{|L(F)\setminus F|} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{|F|} \left(1 + \frac{t}{1-t}\right) \\ = \frac{t^r}{(1-q)(1-t)^{r+1}} \left(\frac{1-t}{(1-q)t}\right)^{r-|F|},$$

since |L(F)| = r + 1 for all F. The Hilbert function is the sum of these contributions, and there are \overline{w}_i faces F of Δ_M^{RNBC} with |F| = i, giving

$$H(S/I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}});q,t) = \frac{t^{r}}{(1-q)(1-t)^{r+1}} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{r} \overline{w}_{i} \left(\frac{1-t}{(1-q)t}\right)^{r-i}$$
$$= \frac{(-t)^{r}}{(1-q)(1-t)^{r+1}} \cdot \overline{\chi}_{M} \left(\frac{t-1}{(1-q)t}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-qt} \left(\frac{t}{t-1}\right)^{r+1} \chi_{M} \left(\frac{t-1}{(1-q)t}\right).$$

Since $R_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the second projection of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$, we may recover $H(R_{\mathcal{A}};t)$ by evaluating q at 0, corresponding to intersection with the subring $\Bbbk[y_0, \ldots, y_n]$ of S. This evaluation is

$$H(S/I(\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}); 0, t) = \left(\frac{t}{t-1}\right)^{r+1} \chi_M\left(\frac{t-1}{t}\right)$$

agreeing with the result of Terao.

Agreement with the result of Huh and Katz, invoking (2), was used in our proof. Note, though, that this cohomology class can also be calculated directly from the Hilbert series using the method of multidegrees [5, Sect. 8.5].

5. Example: Braid arrangement for A_3 . We work out the details for the matroid of braid arrangement of A_3 , also known as the graphical arrangement for the complete graph K_4 . This is a matroid of rank 3 on 6 elements, with characteristic polynomial $\chi_{K_4}(q) = q^3 - 6q^2 + 11q - 6$ and reduced characteristic polynomial $\overline{\chi}_{K_4}(q) = q^2 - 5q + 6$. Considered as a set of vectors in \mathbb{k}^4 , we can realize the arrangement as

 $x_0 = e_1 - e_2, x_1 = e_1 - e_3, x_2 = e_1 - e_4, x_3 = e_2 - e_3, x_4 = e_2 - e_4,$ and $x_5 = e_3 - e_4$. The circuits of this arrangement are:

$$\{ x_0 - x_1 + x_3, x_1 - x_2 + x_5, x_0 - x_2 + x_4, x_3 - x_4 + x_5, x_0 - x_1 + x_4 - x_5, x_0 - x_2 + x_3 + x_5, x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + x_4 \}.$$

The broken circuits are the sets $\{1,3\}, \{2,5\}, \{2,4\}, \{4,5\}, \{1,4,5\}, \{2,3,5\}$ and $\{2,3,4\}$. (The last three broken circuits contain other broken circuits and hence are non-minimal nonfaces.) The facets of the no broken circuit complex $\Delta_{K_4}^{\text{NBC}}$ are $\{0,1,2\}, \{0,1,4\}, \{0,1,5\}, \{0,2,3\}, \{0,3,4\}, \text{ and } \{0,3,5\}$. The number of facets should be the constant term of the characteristic polynomial, which is correct. The facets of the reduced no broken circuit complex $\Delta_{K_4}^{RNBC}$ are $\{1,2\}, \{1,4\}, \{1,5\}, \{2,3\}, \{3,4\}, \text{ and } \{3,5\}, \text{ and the other faces are the empty set and the five vertices.}$

Let us take \prec to be the natural order \leq . The facets of the extended no broken circuit complex $\Delta_{K_4,\leq}^{\rm ENBC}$ are

$$\begin{array}{l} \{y_0, x_2, x_4, x_5\}, \{y_0, y_1, x_4, x_5\}, \{y_0, y_2, x_4, x_5\}, \{y_0, y_3, x_2, x_5\}, \\ \{y_0, y_4, x_2, x_5\}, \{y_0, y_5, x_2, x_4\}, \{y_0, y_1, y_2, x_4\}, \{y_0, y_1, y_4, x_5\}, \\ \{y_0, y_1, y_5, x_4\}, \{y_0, y_2, y_3, x_5\}, \{y_0, y_3, y_4, x_2\}, \text{ and } \{y_0, y_3, y_5, x_2\}. \end{array}$$

Hence the cohomology class of $V(\Delta_{K_4,\leq}^{\text{ENBC}})$ in $H^*(\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^5)$ is

$$[V(\Delta_{K_4,\leq}^{\text{ENBC}})] = [\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^0] + 5[\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1] + 6[\mathbb{P}^0 \times \mathbb{P}^2]$$

The ideal $I(\Gamma_{K_4})$ can be presented, with each polynomial written in term order, as

$$\begin{split} I(\Gamma_{K_4}) &= \langle x_0 - x_1 + x_3, x_1 - x_2 + x_5, x_0 - x_2 + x_4, x_3 - x_4 + x_5, \\ & x_1y_1 - x_0y_0, x_2y_2 - x_0y_0, x_3y_3 - x_0y_0, x_4y_4 - x_0y_0, x_5y_5 - x_0y_0, \\ & y_1y_3 - y_0y_3 + y_0y_1, y_2y_5 - y_1y_5 + y_1y_2, y_2y_4 - y_0y_4 + y_0y_2, \\ & y_4y_5 - y_3y_5 + y_3y_4 \rangle. \end{split}$$

The initial ideal init $I(\Gamma_{K_4})$ is given by

init $I(\Gamma_{K_4}) = \langle x_0, x_1, x_3, x_2y_1, x_2y_2, x_4y_3, x_5y_1y_2, y_3y_4x_5, y_1y_3, y_2y_5, y_2y_4, y_4y_5 \rangle$. For example, $x_2y_1 \in \text{init } I(\Gamma_{K_4})$ since 2 is dependent on $\{1, 5\} \subseteq \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$.

A GRÖBNER BASIS FOR THE GRAPH OF THE RECIPROCAL PLANE 3

The Hilbert series of $S/I(\Gamma_{K_4})$ is given by

$$H(\Gamma_{K_4};q,t) = \left(1 + \frac{q}{1-q}\right)^3 \left(1 + \frac{t}{1-t}\right) + 5\left(1 + \frac{q}{1-q}\right)^2 \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right) \left(1 + \frac{t}{1-t}\right) + 6\left(1 + \frac{q}{1-q}\right) \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{t}{1-t}\right) = \left(\frac{t^3}{(1-qt)(t-1)^3}\right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{t-1}{(1-q)t}\right)^3 - 6\left(\frac{t-1}{(1-q)t}\right)^2 + 11\left(\frac{t-1}{(1-q)t}\right) - 6\right).$$

Setting q = 0 gives

$$H(R_{K_4};t) = 1 + 6\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right) + 11\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^2 + 6\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^3$$
$$= \left(\frac{t^3}{(t-1)^3}\right)\left(\left(\frac{t-1}{t}\right)^3 - 6\left(\frac{t-1}{t}\right)^2 + 11\left(\frac{t-1}{t}\right) - 6\right).$$

REFERENCES

1. Andrew Berget. Products of linear forms and Tutte polynomials. *Euro. J. Comb.*, 31(7):1924–1935, 2010.

2. Thomas Brylawski. The broken-circuit complex. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 234(3):417–433, 1977.

3. Mehdi Garrousian, Aron Simis, and Stefan O. Tohaneanu. A blowup algebra of hyperplane arrangements. *preprint* arXiv:1701.03470, 2017

4. June Huh and Eric Katz. Log-concavity of characteristic polynomials and the Bergman fan of matroids. Math. Ann., 354(3):1103–1116, 2012.

5. Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels. *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, volume 227 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2205.

6. Nicholas Proudfoot and David Speyer. A broken circuit ring. Beiträge Algebra Geom., 47(1):161–166, 2006.

7. Hiroaki Terao. Algebras generated by reciprocals of linear forms. J. Algebra, 250(2):549–558, 2002.

8. Hassler Whitney. A logical expansion in mathematics. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 38(8):572–579, 1932.

14 ALEX FINK, DAVID E SPEYER AND ALEXANDER WOO

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, 327 MILE END ROAD, LONDON E1 4NS, UNITED KINGDOM Email address: a.fink@qmul.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, 2844 East Hall, 530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043, USA Email address: speyer@umich.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO, 875 PERIMETER DRIVE, MS 1103, MOSCOW, ID 83844-1103, USA Email address: awoo@uidaho.edu