

## **Fetus-derived DLK1 is required for maternal metabolic adaptations to pregnancy and is associated with fetal growth restriction**

Mary AM Cleaton<sup>1</sup>, Claire L Dent<sup>2</sup>, Mark Howard<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer A Corish<sup>3</sup>, Isabelle Gutteridge<sup>3</sup>, Ulla Sovio<sup>4</sup>, Francesca Gaccioli<sup>4</sup>, Nozomi Takahashi<sup>3</sup>, Steven R Bauer<sup>5</sup>, D Steven Charnock-Jones<sup>4</sup>, Theresa L Powell<sup>6</sup>, Gordon CS Smith<sup>4</sup>, Anne C Ferguson-Smith<sup>1,3</sup>, Marika Charalambous<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Trophoblast Research, Department of Physiology, Development, and Neuroscience, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Endocrinology, William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Cambridge; NIHR Cambridge Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre, Cambridge, UK.

<sup>5</sup>Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, U. S. Food and Drug Administration, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Section for Neonatology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, USA.

Corresponding author [m.charalambous@qmul.ac.uk](mailto:m.charalambous@qmul.ac.uk).

## Abstract

Pregnancy is a state of high metabolic demand. Fasting diverts metabolism to fatty acid oxidation, and the fasted response occurs much more rapidly in pregnant women than in the non-pregnant state. The product of the imprinted *Delta-like homologue 1* gene (DLK1) is an endocrine signaling molecule that reaches a high concentration in the maternal circulation during late pregnancy. By utilising murine models with deleted *Dlk1* we show that the fetus is the source of maternal circulating DLK1. In the absence of fetally-derived DLK1, the maternal fasting response is impaired. Furthermore, we found that maternal circulating DLK1 levels predict embryonic mass in mice and can differentiate healthy small for gestational age (SGA) from pathologically small infants in a human cohort. Therefore measurement of DLK1 in maternal blood may be a valuable method for diagnosing human disorders associated with impaired *DLK1* expression, and to predict poor intrauterine growth and complications of pregnancy.

## Introduction

Adaptations to maternal carbohydrate and lipid metabolism occur during pregnancy to ensure a continuous supply of nutrients to the fetus [1]. In late gestation fasting rapidly diverts maternal metabolism to fatty acid (FA) oxidation, and reductions in maternal plasma glucose and insulin combined with elevated FAs and ketones are seen in fasted pregnant women hours before these changes are observed in the non-pregnant state -a phenomenon known as ‘accelerated starvation’ [2].

DLK1 is the product of an imprinted gene that is predominantly expressed from the paternally-inherited chromosome during fetal development [3] [4]. It is a single-pass transmembrane protein that can be cleaved by extracellular proteases to give rise to a circulating form [5]. This soluble moiety reaches a high concentration in the maternal circulation during late pregnancy [6] [7] [8]. DLK1, also known as fetal antigen 1 (FA1) and pre-adipocyte factor 1 (PREF1), is known to play a crucial role in adipose homeostasis[9]. Our previous studies with genetically-modified mice showed that DLK1 shifts nutrient metabolism towards FA oxidation, both in the context of the transition from birth to weaning [10], and following high-fat feeding, in part through modulation of the growth hormone axis [11]. Since pregnancy is similarly associated with global shifts in nutrient partitioning, we hypothesised that high DLK1 levels during this period might modulate maternal metabolic adaptations.

Here we show that the fetus is the source of maternal circulating DLK1 during pregnancy. In the absence of fetally-derived DLK1, maternal ketone levels are not elevated during fasting, suggesting that DLK1 is part of the accelerated starvation response. Furthermore, lack of DLK1 during the mother’s development additionally impairs her ability to respond to the metabolic demands of pregnancy. We demonstrate that circulating DLK1 levels are positively associated with embryonic mass in mouse pregnancies and that DLK1 levels are significantly lower in pregnant women who go on to deliver a small for gestational age (SGA) infant. SGA is simply a descriptive term for an infant whose birth weight falls below a statistical threshold, but is of clinical interest because a proportion of these infants are small because of fetal growth restriction (FGR) [12]. We found that there is no significant difference in DLK1 levels between healthy SGA and controls, but there is a highly statistically significant reduction in DLK1 in FGR. These data support our findings in the mouse that

reducing DLK1 dosage compromises pregnancy. Moreover, measuring DLK1 has considerable potential utility in the clinic to identify pregnancies that will require additional monitoring and obstetrical intervention.

## Results

### Maternal circulating DLK1 is derived from the conceptus

To determine the source and function of high maternal DLK1 in pregnancy we utilised genetically modified mice that lack a functional copy of *Dlk1*[13]. We first explored the effect of loss of a functional *Dlk1* gene in breeding-age females. Total body mass did not vary between genotypes (wild-type (WT), Null (*Dlk1<sup>m-/p-</sup>*) and Mat (maternal heterozygotes, *Dlk1<sup>m-/p+</sup>*), **Supplementary Fig. 1a**) but body composition was markedly different. At 12 weeks, virgin Null females had increased abdominal white adipose tissue (WAT), and reduced muscle mass compared to *Dlk1*-expressing females (**Supplementary Fig. 1b, 1c**). These alterations in body composition are likely to be due to loss of *Dlk1* during embryogenesis, since *Dlk1* Null embryos had reduced size due to a reduction in skeletal length and lean mass from at least as early as E18.5 (**Supplementary Fig. 1d-f**). These animals caught up in weight in the preweaning period, but body composition was not normalised (**Supplementary Fig. 1g, 1b-c**). Elevated WAT mass at 12 weeks was accompanied by an increase in serum leptin levels (**Supplementary Fig. 1h**). In humans high BMI is associated with elevated DLK1[14], suggesting that levels of this protein might be modulated by nutritional status.

Next, we compared DLK1 in plasma from virgin WT females, with levels at 15 days post conception (E15.5) in WT females that had been mated to WT males. Plasma DLK1 levels increased approximately 5-fold during the first 2 weeks of mouse pregnancy (**Fig. 1a**). As *Dlk1* is expressed predominantly from the paternally-inherited chromosome[3] [4], a cross between a Null mother and a WT father produces offspring that express *Dlk1* at WT levels, since they all inherit an intact *Dlk1* gene from their father (they are maternal heterozygotes for the deleted allele (*Dlk1<sup>m-/p+</sup>*, Mats)). Conversely, a cross between a *Dlk1*-expressing mother (either a Mat or WT) and a Null father produces offspring that do not express *Dlk1* (they are, respectively, homozygotes or paternal heterozygotes for the deleted allele (*Dlk1<sup>m-/p-</sup>* or *Dlk1<sup>m+/p-</sup>*, Nulls or Pats)) since maternally inherited *Dlk1* is repressed by imprinting. All crosses are summarised in **Fig. 1b**. In pregnancies where both the mother and the conceptus had inherited the ablated *Dlk1* gene (Null x Null), DLK1 could not be detected in the maternal plasma (**Fig. 1a and 1c**), confirming that there was no residual DLK1 produced from the ablated *Dlk1* allele.

We conducted serial measurements of maternal plasma DLK1 in crosses of mice where the mother, the conceptus, or both were unable to express *Dlk1* (**Fig. 1b, 1c**). DLK1 was detected at high levels in maternal plasma only if the conceptus retained the ability to express *Dlk1* (**Fig. 1c**). Thus, the conceptus is the source of elevated maternal plasma DLK1 in pregnancy. DLK1 levels in maternal plasma began to rise between E9.5 and E11.5 in all crosses that contained *Dlk1*-expressing conceptuses (**Fig. 1c**). This rise coincides with the time of formation of the definitive placenta in the mouse[15].

Unexpectedly, Null females crossed with WT males had equal or higher levels of plasma DLK1 compared with WT or Mat females crossed to WT males (**Fig. 1d**). Since DLK1 levels are known to correlate with the number of conceptuses in the litter[6], we investigated whether litter size was affected by maternal genotype. Consistently, Null mothers had larger litters than mothers with a functional copy of *Dlk1* (**Fig. 1e** and **Supplementary Table 1**). This was not due to a sampling error caused by controlling our cohort to exclude extremes of litter size and genotype ratio, as when we pooled the data for all crosses generated, Null females consistently produced approximately one additional conceptus compared with WT or Mat females (WT and Mat mean litter size =  $7.0 \pm 0.3$ ,  $n = 40$  litters; Null mean litter size  $8.2 \pm 0.3$ ,  $n = 48$  litters,  $p < 0.001$ , Mann Whitney test). Appropriate maternal leptin levels are necessary for conception and survival of the early embryo[16]; leptin increases the invasiveness of the early embryo[17] and enhances ovulation[18]. Our finding that Null females had increased fecundity is consistent with their elevated leptin levels (**Supplementary Fig. 1h**).

When the amount of maternal plasma DLK1 per expressing conceptus was calculated, those in the Null x WT cross produced a similar amount of DLK1 to conceptuses in WT x WT or Mat x WT crosses (**Fig. 1e** and **Supplementary Table 1**). However, litters where only half of the conceptuses had an intact *Dlk1* allele (Null x Mat cross, **Fig. 1b**) produced significantly more DLK1 per conceptus than litters where all were able to express *Dlk1* (**Fig. 1f**).

#### Fetal, not placental, origin of maternal circulating DLK1

To distinguish whether the placenta or the embryo is the source of maternal plasma DLK1, we utilised additional models of *Dlk1* dosage manipulation. We have previously described a transgenic line that recapitulates *Dlk1* expression in the embryo, but not in the placenta[19] (**Fig. 2a**). We crossed the transgene onto a *Dlk1*<sup>-/-</sup> background (Null–TG) and measured transgene-specific *Dlk1* expression in the embryo and placenta (**Fig. 2b, c**). As expected, DLK1 expression in the embryo closely resembled the WT, but DLK1 was lost from the fetal endothelial compartment of the Null–TG placenta. However, DLK1 expression was retained in a small population of cells in the placental labyrinth; these cells had large nuclei, consistent with a trophoblast identity, and contributed 24% of the WT *Dlk1* expression (**Fig. 2d**). The placenta is a potential source of circulating DLK1 since, like the embryo, it expresses cleavable isoforms *Dlk1A* and *B*. As previously reported, the transgene recapitulated the isoform-specific *Dlk1* expression[11] (**Fig2e**). We crossed Null–TG fathers to Null mothers to generate litters where all *Dlk1* was transgene-derived (**Supplementary Fig. 2**). We found that maternal circulating DLK1 levels were not different from WT x WT crosses (**Fig. 2f**, **Supplementary Table 1**), indicating that DLK1 originates from either the embryo or a trophoblast population of the placenta.

We used a conditional allele of *Dlk1*[20] to ablate expression in all of the cells of the embryo and the fetal endothelium of the placenta, using the *Meox2Cre*[21] (**Fig. 2g**). DLK1 was largely absent in the embryo when the conditional allele (*Dlk1*<sup>fl/fl</sup>) was crossed to the *Meox2Cre* (**Fig. 2h**). Confirmation that the *Meox2Cre* was active in the fetal endothelium of the placenta was achieved by crossing to a *mTmG* reporter line[22] (**Fig. 2i**). As predicted, DLK1 expression in the placenta was absent from the fetal endothelial compartment in the conditional knock-out line (**Fig 2i**). In the embryo and placenta residual *Dlk1* expression was 14–17% of WT levels following conditional targeting (**Fig 2j, k**). We crossed *Meox2Cre*<sup>+/-</sup> mothers to either WT or *Dlk1*<sup>fl/fl</sup> fathers to generate litters where *Dlk1* was

undeleted or deleted in half of the litter (**Supplementary Fig. 2** – since the *Meox2Cre* is lethal in the homozygous state we were unable to generate a fully deleted litter). We found that maternal circulating DLK1 levels in *Dlk1*-deleted litters were approximately 50% of those where DLK1 was not deleted (**Fig. 2I** and **Supplementary Table 1**), indicating that maternal circulating DLK1 does not originate from the trophoblast cells of the placenta. Taken together these data show that the source of maternal circulating DLK1 is the embryo, not the placenta.

### Pregnancies lacking DLK1 have compromised lipid metabolism

We next investigated whether *Dlk1* expression dosage was relevant to the metabolic adaptations of pregnancy. Our experimental design allowed us to assess the contribution of both maternal *Dlk1* genotype, and the presence or absence of circulating DLK1 from the conceptus (**Fig. 1b**). During pregnancy, total body weight and food intake did not significantly differ between the groups (**Supplementary Table 2**) despite the larger litters in Null females (**Fig. 1e**, **Supplementary Table 1**). When weight gain was normalised for number of conceptuses in the litter or total litter mass, Null females gained relatively less weight over the course of pregnancy (**Fig. 3a, b**). WAT, brown adipose tissue (BAT) and liver mass increased during pregnancy (**Supplementary Table 3**). Virgin and pregnant Null females had enlarged abdominal WAT deposits compared to WT and Mat (**Supplementary Table 3**), but consistent with their reduced overall weight gain, Null females gained less WAT during pregnancy compared with *Dlk1*-expressing females (**Fig. 3c**). This gain was depot-specific, since the Null females had a similar retroperitoneal WAT mass to Mat and WT females when virgins and when pregnant at E15.5. As in virgins, muscle mass was reduced in the pregnant Null females, and brain mass did not differ between the groups (**Supplementary Table 3**). In summary, females lacking *Dlk1* during their own development had altered body composition as virgins, and gained less adipose tissue during pregnancy, suggesting that maternal loss of *Dlk1* function limits adipose plasticity during pregnancy. Conceptus-derived circulating DLK1 did not modify any of these body composition parameters (**Supplementary Tables 2 and 3**).

In contrast, circulating DLK1 did modify levels of circulating maternal metabolites. While Null x WT responded to pregnancy with a reduction in total cholesterol and HDL-C, pregnant Null females lacking conceptus-derived DLK1 (Null x Null) had a much less marked reduction in HDL-C (**Supplementary Table 4**). In addition, while Mat females with a normal pregnancy-induced rise in DLK1 had elevated levels of circulating ketones, Mat females without pregnancy-associated DLK1 did not. These changes occurred without alterations to circulating insulin levels (**Supplementary Table 4**) in free-fed females.

Upon fasting, Null females without pregnancy-induced DLK1 production failed to elevate their circulating ketones and were relatively hyperglycaemic, suggesting that the switch from glucose to fatty-acid fuel utilisation had not occurred (**Fig. 3d, e**), despite normal insulin levels (**Fig. 3f**). This was supported by the finding that Null mothers with circulating DLK1 had elevated hepatic expression of *Hmgcs2* (encoding a rate limiting enzyme in the ketogenesis pathway, **Fig. 3g**) compared to Null mothers without circulating DLK1. As in non-fasted animals, Null mothers without conceptus-derived DLK1 did not experience the same magnitude of decrease in circulating HDL-C compared to Null females with circulating DLK1 (**Fig. 3h, i**). Combined, these data suggest that failure to elevate DLK1 during pregnancy prevents normal maternal metabolic adaptations – specifically reduced HDL-C and an accelerated response to starvation by the induction of ketogenesis.

We previously reported that non-pregnant transgenic mice with DLK1 levels elevated to a similar level as those during pregnancy (DLK1 levels in *Dlk1*-transgenic females at 6 months  $343 \pm 37$ ng/mL compared to WT (x WT) females at 12 weeks, E15.5,  $223 \pm 24$ ng/mL) have reduced circulating cholesterol levels and increased peripheral FA utilisation, in part due to increased growth hormone (GH) production[11]. GH levels are elevated in pregnancy in both humans and rodents (although the source of rodent GH in pregnancy is not clear[23], as they lack the placental GH gene variant found in primates[24]). Since GH-like molecules promote maternal adaptations to pregnancy[24], we asked if circulating GH was affected by *Dlk1* during gestation. GH levels were elevated 13-fold by E15.5 of pregnancy in WT mice, but pregnancies entirely lacking *Dlk1* had much less marked elevation in GH levels (approximately 3-fold in the Null x Null cross, **Fig. 3j**). These changes occurred in the absence of alterations to endocrine regulators of GH; estradiol and corticosterone (**Fig. 3k, l**), and pituitary *Gh* mRNA levels were unaffected (**Supplementary Fig. 3**). We concluded that pregnancies without a conceptus-derived rise in maternal plasma DLK1 have altered fuel metabolism, which may in part be due to impaired GH release.

### Maternal circulating DLK1 reports fetal outcome

We compared embryo and placental weights of conceptuses with similar genotype in different maternal and litter contexts (**Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table 5**). Null embryos and placentae were smaller than WT or Mats, and neither the maternal genotype (and associated litter size) nor the presence/absence of circulating DLK1 affected weight at this stage (E15.5, **Supplementary Table 5**). However, Mat embryos in mixed litters with Null littermates (Null x Mat crosses) were significantly larger than Mat or WT embryos in any other context. Interestingly, since this group of conceptuses generated a greater amount of DLK1 per conceptus (**Fig. 1e**), this demonstrates a direct link between embryonic size and maternal circulating DLK1 levels. Placental size was not similarly increased at this gestational stage (**Supplementary Table 5**).

We therefore sought to determine whether there was any relationship between maternal plasma DLK1 levels and fetal growth in pregnant women. We used data and samples from a previously described prospective cohort, the Pregnancy Outcome Prediction study[25, 26]. A total of 4,512 women having first pregnancies were recruited and outcome data were available from 4,200. We studied a sample of 45 women who delivered a baby with a customized birth weight percentile less than the 5<sup>th</sup> (SGA) at term and had a plasma sample available which had been obtained around 36 weeks of gestational age (wkGA). We then identified two comparison groups: (1) matched controls (available for 43 out of the 45 cases), (2) a random sample from the cohort. In the former comparison group, women were one-to-one matched on the basis of maternal age, body mass index, smoking, fetal sex and mode of delivery to controls delivering a normally grown infant, i.e. samples were analysed as a matched case control study [27]. The maternal and outcome characteristics of these three groups are tabulated (**Supplementary Table 6**). Analysis of the matched cases and controls indicates no significant differences between the groups in either the matching characteristics or the gestational age of measurement of DLK1 (**Supplementary Table 7**). However, compared with the matched controls, the birth weights of their infants were 820 g lower on average.

Different phenotypes of SGA were classified according to research ultrasonographic examination, and the details of this are described elsewhere[26]. Ultrasonic assessment included serial Doppler

flow velocimetry of the uterine and umbilical arteries. Both women and clinicians were blinded to the results of these research scans. High resistance uterine artery flow was defined as a mean pulsatility index in the top decile at 20 wkGA, high resistance umbilical artery flow was defined as a pulsatility index in the top decile at 36 wkGA, and low abdominal circumference growth velocity was defined as a difference in abdominal circumference z score between the 36 and 20 wkGA scans falling in the lowest decile (i.e. this group includes the fetuses which showed the greatest reduction in the relative size of the abdominal circumference at the time of the 36 wkGA scan). Among the 43 SGA pregnancies with matched controls, 20 (47%) had none of these features and were called healthy SGA and 23 (53%) had one or more of these features and were defined as FGR (birth outcomes of these 2 groups are presented in **Supplementary Table 8**).

DLK1 levels were measured in maternal plasma obtained at a mean of 36 weeks and 1 day of gestational age (SD 2.7 days), (**Fig. 4a**). When compared with matched controls (**Supplementary Table 9**), DLK1 was lower overall in women with SGA infants (mean difference =  $-9.44$ ,  $P=0.0001$ ). However, when this population was divided into healthy SGA and FGR, there was no significant difference between healthy SGA and controls (mean difference  $-4.97$ ,  $P=0.22$ ), but there was a highly statistically significant reduction in DLK1 in FGR (mean difference =  $-13.33$ ,  $P<0.0001$ ). When analyzed by the different phenotypes of FGR, there were very strong associations between DLK1 concentration and SGA in the presence of either high resistance umbilical artery Doppler (mean difference =  $-17.13$ ,  $P<0.0001$ ) or low abdominal circumference growth velocity (mean difference =  $-11.96$ ,  $P=0.007$ ).

The strength of the association was then further evaluated by comparing cases with the random sample of the cohort using Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis (**Fig. 4b**). Overall, DLK1 was moderately predictive of SGA: area under the ROC curve (AUROCC) 0.65 (95% CI 0.54 to 0.77,  $P=0.01$ ). Similarly to the comparison with matched controls, there was no significant association between DLK1 levels and healthy SGA (AUROCC=0.59; 95% CI=0.44 to 0.74;  $P=0.22$ ), but there was a highly statistically significant association with FGR (AUROCC=0.71; 95% CI=0.58 to 0.84;  $P=0.001$ ). Again, the strongest association was with SGA combined with high resistance umbilical artery Doppler (AUROCC=0.82; 95% CI=0.70 to 0.93;  $P<0.0001$ ).

## Discussion

During late pregnancy the mother must be able to adapt her metabolism to changes in food availability in order to maintain a constant supply of energy to the fetus. Here we have shown in the mouse that both DLK1 expression in maternal tissues, and circulating DLK1 derived from the fetus are necessary for appropriate metabolic adaptations to pregnancy; specifically to provide metabolic plasticity by allowing the switch to FA utilisation when resources are limited during fasting.

Moreover, since it is derived from the fetus, maternal circulating DLK1 levels provide a non-invasive read-out of embryonic state. Our data indicate that maternal plasma DLK1 levels are lower in pregnancies complicated by FGR, and suggest that DLK1 measurements in women may be clinically useful to differentiate healthy SGA infants from those which are pathologically small, an area of intense clinical interest. Interestingly, lower DLK1 levels were most strongly associated with high resistance patterns of flow in the umbilical artery and slow abdominal circumference growth velocity. The potential clinical significance of clinical measurement of DLK1 is underlined by the fact

that we have previously reported that these two measures were the most effective predictors of neonatal morbidity in pregnancies where the baby was suspected to be small on the basis of ultrasonic fetal biometry[26]. Previous studies have reported contradictory associations between cord blood DLK1 levels and birth weight [28], as well as in complications of pregnancy[29]. Those studies used a commercial ELISA which reports DLK1 levels that were ~100x lower than values reported in both the original pioneering work on DLK1 as a soluble factor in pregnancy[7] and the values measured in this study. This suggests that further assay development may be required before DLK1 is a suitable biomarker for clinical use. However, our data is consistent with a recent study that reported a genomic variant at the imprinted *DLK1–GTL2* locus in humans that segregates with birthweight[30], and with a study where elevated *DLK1* expression was associated with LGA pregnancies[31].

The analysis of human plasma samples focused on blood samples obtained at 36 wkGA. The gestational age of analysis was purposeful, as at this stage of pregnancy delivery is a safe and effective intervention to mitigate the risks of FGR where it is clinically suspected. We have previously outlined a case for screening women for adverse pregnancy outcome in late gestation[32]; around one third of all intrauterine fetal deaths (IUFDs) occur at or after 37 weeks and about 30% of all IUFDs at term are thought to be related to poor fetal growth[12]. However, detection of FGR at earlier gestational ages would also be valuable, and further work could address whether these associations are present at earlier gestational ages, and whether DLK1 is also predictive of other adverse pregnancy outcomes.

In conclusion our findings highlight DLK1 measurement as a valuable prenatal diagnostic for known disorders of impaired fetal DLK1 production (such as Temple syndrome[33]), and indicate that measuring maternal plasma DLK1 may have more general utility to differentiate healthy SGA from pathological complications of pregnancy requiring obstetric intervention.



## Acknowledgements

MAMC was supported by a PhD studentship from the Cambridge Centre for Trophoblast Research. Research was supported by grants from the MRC (MR/J001597/1; MR/L002345/1), The Medical College of Saint Bartholomew's Hospital Trust, Wellcome Trust Investigator Award, EpigeneSys (FP7 Health - 257082), EpiHealth (FP7 Health – 278414), a Herchel Smith Fellowship (NT), NIH RO1 DK89989. Contents are the authors' sole responsibility and do not necessarily represent official NIH views. We thank G Burton for invaluable support, M Constanica and I Sandovici (University of Cambridge, UK) for the Meox2Cre mice. We are extremely grateful to all of the participants in the Pregnancy Outcome Prediction study. This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Cambridge Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre (Women's Health theme), and project grants from the MRC (G1100221) and Sands (Stillbirth and neonatal death charity). The study was also supported by GE Healthcare (donation of two Voluson i ultrasound systems for this study), and by the NIHR Cambridge Clinical Research Facility, where all research visits took place.

## Author Contributions

M.C., M.A.M.C., A.C.F–S., G.C.S.S. conceived and designed the experiments. M.C., M.A.M.C., J.A.C., M.H., I.G., N.T., C.L.D., D.S.C–J. performed the experiments. M.C., M.A.M.C., A.C.F–S., C.L.D. F.G., G.C.S.S. analysed the data. M.C. U.S. performed statistical analysis. S.R.B., T.L.P., A.C.F–S., G.C.S.S. contributed reagents. M.C., M.A.M.C., A.C.F–S., G.C.S.S. wrote the manuscript. M.C., A.C.F–S., G.C.S.S. provided supervision.

## Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

## Figure legends

### Figure 1. The conceptus is the source of elevated maternal plasma DLK1 in late gestation.

(a) Maternal plasma DLK1 levels are elevated during pregnancy. Serum DLK1 levels in non-pregnant WT females (WT NP,  $n = 8$ ), pregnant WT females crossed to WT males at E15.5 gestation (WT x WT,  $n = 10$ ), and pregnant  $Dlk1^{-/-}$  females crossed to  $Dlk1^{-/-}$  males at E15.5 (Null x Null,  $n = 4$ ). (b) Summary of experimental crosses used in the study. (c) Serial plasma DLK1 measurements over the course of pregnancy ( $n = 4$  females/time–point/group). Groups differ significantly by cross, time–point and the interaction between them (each  $p < 0.001$  by Two-way ANOVA). Dotted line represents the detection threshold of the ELISA. (d) Maternal plasma DLK1 at E15.5 ( $n = 7–8$  females/group). Groups differ significantly by cross and DLK1 levels rise only when the conceptus has a functional copy of *Dlk1*. nd = not detected (below assay threshold). (Inset) DLK1 in maternal plasma at E15.5 normalised to number of *Dlk1*–expressing embryos in the litter ( $n = 8$  litters/group). Mat embryos in the Null x Mat cross generate significantly more DLK1 than those in other experimental crosses. (e) Litters from Null mothers have more embryos than litters from WT or Mat mothers. Litter size by maternal genotype in WT ( $n = 8$ ), Null ( $n = 24$ ) and Mat ( $n = 16$ ) mothers. Vertical bars show mean  $\pm$  s. e. m. Groups were compared by Kruskal-Wallis test, with Dunn's Multiple comparison post-hoc test as indicated (a, d, e), \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ .

### Figure 2. Fetus not placenta is the source of maternal circulating DLK1.

(a) Schematic of *Dlk1* expression in Null-TG conceptuses. (b) DLK1 expression (brown staining) the WT, Null and Null–TG embryo. Scale bar 2mm. (c) DLK1 is not detected in the fetal endothelium of the Null-TG placenta but is retained in cells with large nuclei. Scale bars left 500um, right 50um. (d) *Dlk1* expression in the Null-TG, WT levels, and Null placentae ( $n = 7–8$  per group) \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$  by Dunnett's Multiple comparison post-test (vs WT) following One-Way ANOVA. (e) Expression of cleavable (*Dlk1A/B*) and membrane-bound isoforms (*Dlk1C/D*) of *Dlk1* in WT and Null-TG placentae. (f) DLK1 levels in maternal plasma in Null x Null-TG litters ( $n = 7–8$  females/cross). (g) Schematic of *Dlk1* expression in *Meox2Cre/Dlk1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* conceptuses. (h) DLK1 expression in the *Meox2Cre/Dlk1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* embryo compared to a *Meox2Cre/Dlk1<sup>+/+</sup>* control. Scale bar 2mm. (i–top) *Meox2Cre* crossed to the *mTmG* reporter results in GFP+ cells following Cre excision, and mTomato+ in non–recombined cells. (i–bottom) *Dlk1* is not detected the fetal endothelium of the *Meox2Cre/Dlk1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* placenta but some labyrinthine expression of DLK1 is retained. Scale bars left 500um, right 50um. (j) and (k) *Dlk1* expression in the WT, *Meox2Cre/Dlk1<sup>+/+</sup>* and *Meox2Cre/Dlk1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* embryo and placenta (5–8 per group), \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  as in (d). (l) Maternal plasma DLK1 in *Meox2Cre<sup>+/-</sup>* females crossed with *Dlk1<sup>+/+</sup>* (control) or *Dlk1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* males ( $n = 6$  females/cross), \* $p < 0.05$  compared by Students' t–test. All measurements performed at E15.5. Vertical bars show mean  $\pm$  s. e. m.

### Figure 3. Maternal genotype and conceptus-derived DLK1 alters maternal metabolism.

Female weight at E15.5 minus weight on the day of conception (E0.5), divided by the number of live fetuses (a), or total litter mass (sum of all placental and embryonic masses within the litter), (b). Null females gain significantly less weight. (c) Derived abdominal WAT gain during pregnancy –pregnant WAT weight for each cross minus average non–pregnant WAT weight for each genotype, expressed as % WT weight gain. Null females 'gain' significantly less abdominal WAT. Fasted 3–

hydroxybutyrate, 3-OH (**d**), glucose (**e**), insulin (**f**), total cholesterol (**h**) and HDL-cholesterol (**i**) in maternal plasma from Null females crossed to WT or Null males, at E15.5. (**g**) Relative expression of *Hmgcs2* in maternal liver at E15.5, in Null females crossed to WT or Null males, Growth Hormone (GH, **j**), Estradiol (E2, **k**) and Corticosterone (**l**) levels in all groups. Vertical bars show mean  $\pm$  s. e. m ( $n = 6-8$  females per group). Comparisons (a-c, and j-l) were compared by One-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's Multiple comparison post-hoc test as indicated,  $*p < 0.05$ ,  $**p < 0.01$ . Pregnant and NP GH and corticosterone samples did not have equal variance, so only pregnant samples were compared. WT NP vs WT x WT were compared to each other using a Student's t-test with Welch's correction,  $***p < 0.001$ . (**d-i**) were compared by Student's t-test;  $*p < 0.05$ ,  $**p < 0.01$ ,  $***p < 0.001$ .

**Figure 4. Low DLK1 in human pregnancy is associated with pathological SGA.**

(**a**) Scatter plot of differences in DLK1 levels in maternal plasma of 43 pairs of matched pregnant women, differing by SGA outcome. Pathological = any of the fetal growth restriction (FGR) indicators (UTPI 20wk decile 10,  $n = 8$  pairs; UMPI 36wk decile 10,  $n = 10$  pairs; ACGV 20-36wk decile 1,  $n = 12$  pairs). The short horizontal lines represent means of the differences. (**b**) Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis showing the strength of association of maternal plasma DLK1 and SGA comparing cases ( $n = 45$ ) with the random sample of the cohort ( $n = 41$ ). AUC = area under curve, 95% confidence interval (CI) and p values for testing the null hypothesis (AUC = 0.5) are shown on respective graphs.

## Methods

### Animal work

#### Mice.

All animal work was carried out in accordance with UK Government Home Office licencing procedures. Mice were housed in a temperature and humidity controlled room (21 °C, 55 % humidity) with a 12 hour / 12 hour light-dark cycle. All mice were fed standard RM3 (E) diet (Special Diets Services) *ad libitum*, given fresh tap water daily and re-housed in clean cages weekly. Mice were weaned at 21 days postnatum, or a few days later if particularly small. Thereafter, they were housed in single-sex groups (5 per cage maximum) or occasionally singly housed, except when breeding.

The *Dlk1* knock-out line (*Dlk1*<sup>tm1Srba</sup>) results in the replacement of 3.8 kb of the endogenous *Dlk1* allele, including the *Dlk1* promoter and its first three exons, with a neomycin resistance cassette[13]. The *Dlk1* knock-out line was backcrossed onto the C57BL6/J background for at least 10 generations, and then routinely maintained by homozygous crosses (*Dlk1*<sup>tm1Srba / tm1Srba</sup> × *Dlk1*<sup>tm1Srba / tm1Srba</sup>). For experimental purposes, maternal heterozygotes (*Dlk1*<sup>tm1Srba / +</sup>, Mats) and wild-types (*Dlk1*<sup>+ / +</sup>, WTs) were generated. In all cases experimental WT mothers were the age-matched littermates of Mat females, both arising from an intercross between a Mat female and a stock C57BL6/J male.

Conditional *Dlk1* deletion (*Dlk1*<sup>tm1.1Jvs</sup>) mice on a C57BL6/J background were obtained from JAX, backcrossed to generate homozygotes, and genotyped as described previously[20]. *Meox2Cre* heterozygous (*Meox2*<sup>tm1(Cre)Sor / +</sup>) females on a C57BL6/J background were maintained as heterozygotes as described[21]. Conditional mutants were generated by crossing *Meox2Cre*<sup>+ / -</sup> females to *Dlk1*<sup>fl / fl</sup> males, and the recombination event was detected by PCR as described previously[20]. *Meox2Cre*-induced recombination in the fetal endothelium of the placenta was validated by crossing to the mTmG dual reporter line (*Gt(ROSA)26Sor*<sup>tm4(ACTB-tdTomato, -EGFP)Luo / J</sup>), obtained from JAX and genotyped as described previously[22]. These mice ubiquitously express a red fluorescent protein, except following recombination by Cre, which causes GFP to be expressed instead.

#### Experimental cohort.

At eight weeks postnatum, female mice were weighed and excluded from the study if <15g. The blood glucose level was measured in study females and a 20-30 µl blood sample was taken from the tail vein for plasma extraction. Female mice were then mated with stud males in the crosses described in **Fig 1b**. Females were monitored once daily between 08:00 and 10:00 for the presence of a vaginal plug. The day of plug discovery was designated embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5). Additional eight-week-old female WT, Mat and Null mice were not mated, but remained in their home cage until the experimental start day (E0.5-equivalent). Blood sampling was repeated on E0.5, E7.5, E9.5, E11.5, E13.5 and E15.5, between 10:45 and 12:30. From E0.5, the female mice were singly housed and provided with a non-limiting, weighed quantity of standard RM3 (E) diet. The remaining food weight was recorded at E7.5 and E15.5 as an indicator of food intake. On E15.5, the female mice were killed by terminal anaesthesia using ~0.8 mg pentobarbitol (Dolethal; Vetoquinol) per gram body weight, injected intra-abdominally. Upon cessation of the twitch reflex, mice were

exsanguinated by cardiac puncture. Maternal and conceptus tissues were weighed and collected for processing. All dissections were carried out between 12:00 and 17:00. The following exclusion criteria were applied to all mice: (1) if the female mouse did not show evidence of a vaginal plug after 11 days spent with a stud male; (2) if a pregnant mouse carried <5 or >12 live conceptuses at E15.5; (3) if a pregnant mouse with a mixed-genotype litter had an unbalanced conceptus genotype ratio (>3:<1 genotype ratios, when the predicted Mendelian ratio was 1:1); (3) if upon dissection the female mouse was found to have a confounding anatomical abnormality; (4) if the female mouse died during the experiment.

#### Fasted cohort.

On E15.5, the female mice were fasted for 4 hours then their tail blood glucose was measured. They were immediately killed by terminal anaesthesia and exsanguinated as above. All fasts were started between 09:15 and 11:00 and all dissections were carried out between 13:15 and 16:00.

Null-TG mice were generated by crossing *Dlk1* 70kb BAC transgenic mice (Tg<sup>*Dlk1-70C*</sup>) on a C57BL6/J background with the *Dlk1*<sup>tm1<sup>Srba</sup></sup> knock-out line, and genotyped as described above and as previously described[19].

#### Immunohistochemistry.

Immunostaining was carried out on wax-embedded material as described previously[19], using an anti-DLK1 antibody(R&D AF8277).

#### Serum and tissue biochemistry.

Enzymatic assay kits were used for determination of plasma FFAs (Roche), TAGs and glycerol (Sigma-Aldrich), and Cholesterol (Dade-Behring). ELISA kits were used for measurements of Insulin (Crystalchem), Estradiol (Calbiotech), Leptin and Growth Hormone (Millipore), all according to manufacturers' instructions. Blood glucose levels were measured using a glucose meter (One Touch Ultra, LifeSpan, UK). The methods for the mouse DLK1 ELISA and tissue determination of TAG were described previously [10]. The human DLK1 ELISA was used for measurement on maternal plasma according to the manufacturers' instructions (Adipogen).

#### Real-time quantitative PCR.

mRNAs were analysed by RT-PCR as described [10]. Quantification was performed using the relative standard curve method, and target gene expression was normalised to the expression of a reporter gene (mean of *Hprt* and  $\alpha$  tubulin for liver samples,  $\beta$  actin for pituitary samples, and mean of all 3 for e15.5 placenta and embryo samples), the expression of which did not differ between the groups. All primers (sequences in **Supplementary Table 10**) amplified with efficiency greater than or equal to 85%.

#### Statistical analysis of animal data.

All statistical tests were performed using the GraphPad Prism Software version 4.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA. Data was tested for Normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) and statistical evaluation applied accordingly. Tests, significance values and number of samples analysed are indicated in the respective figure/table legends, and all error bars represent the standard error of the mean (s.e.m).

## *Human data*

### Study design and participants.

All samples and data were obtained from the Pregnancy Outcome Prediction (POP) study as described previously[25, 26]. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Cambridgeshire Research Ethics Committee (reference 07/H0308/163). Participants provided written consent. The plasma had been frozen on the day of collection and stored at -80°C. Measurement of DLK1 was performed blind to both the ultrasonic and outcome data.

Maternal characteristics of the SGA infants included in this analysis were representative of the whole POP study population (**Supplementary Table 11**). Since the analysis required a 36 week sample, all SGA infants included were born at term whereas 15% of the SGA cases not included in the analysis were born preterm. Therefore, the SGA infants not included in the analysis weighed less at birth. However, the distribution of the birth weight percentile was not markedly different between the groups. The distribution of DLK1 concentration was fairly normal in cases and unmatched controls, and also the difference in DLK1 concentration between cases and matched controls was normally distributed.

### Analysis.

The association between DLK1 and SGA was assessed by the ROC curve analysis using random controls as a comparison group and this analysis was repeated for each SGA phenotype. Group means of DLK1 were compared using independent two-sample t tests between SGA cases and random controls. Paired t tests were used to compare SGA cases and their matched controls. Power analyses were performed for both types of tests. Sample size calculation demonstrated that the paired analyses were well powered. We had 90% power to detect a 7.3 ng/mL within-pair difference in DLK1 measurements (n=43 pairs), assuming the observed standard deviation of the difference (14.5 ng/mL) and alpha=0.05 (two sided). The power to detect the observed difference of 9.44 ng/mL was 98.6%. For the analysis of the different phenotypes of FGR we attained 80% power to detect a difference of a 9.6 ng/mL, assuming the average observed standard deviation of the difference within the extreme decile (9.6 ng/mL), n=10 pairs and alpha=0.05. In our unpaired overall analysis, we had 80% power to detect a 7.8 ng/mL difference in DLK1 between the groups (sample sizes 45 and 41), assuming the observed combined standard deviation of the measurement (12.7 ng/mL) and alpha=0.05. All analyses were performed using Stata 14.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

## References

1. Butte, N.F., *Carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in pregnancy: normal compared with gestational diabetes mellitus*. Am J Clin Nutr, 2000. **71**(5 Suppl): p. 1256S-61S.
2. Metzger, B.E., et al., "Accelerated starvation" and the skipped breakfast in late normal pregnancy. Lancet, 1982. **1**(8272): p. 588-92.
3. Schmidt, J.V., et al., *The Dlk1 and Gtl2 genes are linked and reciprocally imprinted*. Genes Dev, 2000. **14**(16): p. 1997-2002.
4. Takada, S., et al., *Delta-like and Gtl2 are reciprocally expressed, differentially methylated linked imprinted genes on mouse chromosome 12*. Curr Biol, 2000. **10**(18): p. 1135-8.
5. Smas, C.M., L. Chen, and H.S. Sul, *Cleavage of membrane-associated pref-1 generates a soluble inhibitor of adipocyte differentiation*. Mol Cell Biol, 1997. **17**(2): p. 977-88.
6. Bachmann, E., et al., *Mouse fetal antigen 1 (mFA1), the circulating gene product of mdlk, pref-1 and SCP-1: isolation, characterization and biology*. J Reprod Fertil, 1996. **107**(2): p. 279-85.
7. Floridon, C., et al., *Does fetal antigen 1 (FA1) identify cells with regenerative, endocrine and neuroendocrine potentials? A study of FA1 in embryonic, fetal, and placental tissue and in maternal circulation*. Differentiation, 2000. **66**(1): p. 49-59.
8. Carlsson, H.E., et al., *Purification, characterization, and biological compartmentalization of rat fetal antigen 1*. Biol Reprod, 2000. **63**(1): p. 30-3.
9. Smas, C.M. and H.S. Sul, *Pref-1, a protein containing EGF-like repeats, inhibits adipocyte differentiation*. Cell, 1993. **73**(4): p. 725-34.
10. Charalambous, M., et al., *Imprinted gene dosage is critical for the transition to independent life*. Cell Metab, 2012. **15**(2): p. 209-21.
11. Charalambous, M., et al., *DLK1/PREF1 regulates nutrient metabolism and protects from steatosis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2014. **111**(45): p. 16088-93.
12. Moraitis, A.A., et al., *Birth weight percentile and the risk of term perinatal death*. Obstet Gynecol, 2014. **124**(2 Pt 1): p. 274-83.
13. Raghunandan, R., et al., *Dlk1 influences differentiation and function of B lymphocytes*. Stem Cells Dev, 2008. **17**(3): p. 495-507.
14. Chacon, M.R., et al., *Human serum levels of fetal antigen 1 (FA1/Dlk1) increase with obesity, are negatively associated with insulin sensitivity and modulate inflammation in vitro*. Int J Obes (Lond), 2008. **32**(7): p. 1122-9.
15. Rossant, J. and J.C. Cross, *Placental development: lessons from mouse mutants*. Nat Rev Genet, 2001. **2**(7): p. 538-48.
16. Malik, N.M., et al., *Leptin requirement for conception, implantation, and gestation in the mouse*. Endocrinology, 2001. **142**(12): p. 5198-202.
17. Schulz, L.C., et al., *Effect of leptin on mouse trophoblast giant cells*. Biol Reprod, 2009. **80**(3): p. 415-24.
18. Roman, E.A., A.G. Ricci, and A.G. Faletti, *Leptin enhances ovulation and attenuates the effects produced by food restriction*. Mol Cell Endocrinol, 2005. **242**(1-2): p. 33-41.
19. da Rocha, S.T., et al., *Gene dosage effects of the imprinted Delta-like homologue 1 (Dlk1/Pref1) in development: implications for the evolution of imprinting*. PLoS Genet, 2009. **5**(2): p. e1000392.
20. Appelbe, O.K., et al., *Conditional deletions refine the embryonic requirement for Dlk1*. Mech Dev, 2013. **130**(2-3): p. 143-59.
21. Tallquist, M.D. and P. Soriano, *Epiblast-restricted Cre expression in MORE mice: a tool to distinguish embryonic vs. extra-embryonic gene function*. Genesis, 2000. **26**(2): p. 113-5.
22. Muzumdar, M.D., et al., *A global double-fluorescent Cre reporter mouse*. Genesis, 2007. **45**(9): p. 593-605.

23. El-Kasti, M.M., et al., *The pregnancy-induced increase in baseline circulating growth hormone in rats is not induced by ghrelin*. J Neuroendocrinol, 2008. **20**(3): p. 309-22.
24. Soares, M.J., *The prolactin and growth hormone families: pregnancy-specific hormones/cytokines at the maternal-fetal interface*. Reprod Biol Endocrinol, 2004. **2**: p. 51.
25. Pasupathy, D., et al., *Study protocol. A prospective cohort study of unselected primiparous women: the pregnancy outcome prediction study*. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth, 2008. **8**: p. 51.
26. Sovio, U., et al., *Screening for fetal growth restriction with universal third trimester ultrasonography in nulliparous women in the Pregnancy Outcome Prediction (POP) study: a prospective cohort study*. Lancet, 2015. **386**(10008): p. 2089-97.
27. Rotham, K.J.G., S, *Modern Epidemiology*. 1998, Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven.
28. de Zegher, F., et al., *Abundance of circulating preadipocyte factor 1 in early life*. Diabetes Care, 2012. **35**(4): p. 848-9.
29. Schrey, S., et al., *The adipokine preadipocyte factor-1 is downregulated in preeclampsia and expressed in placenta*. Cytokine, 2015. **75**(2): p. 338-43.
30. Moore, G.E., et al., *The role and interaction of imprinted genes in human fetal growth*. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 2015. **370**(1663).
31. Kappil, M.A., et al., *Placental expression profile of imprinted genes impacts birth weight*. Epigenetics, 2015. **10**(9): p. 842-9.
32. Smith, G.C., *Researching new methods of screening for adverse pregnancy outcome: lessons from pre-eclampsia*. PLoS Med, 2012. **9**(7): p. e1001274.
33. Ioannides, Y., et al., *Temple syndrome: improving the recognition of an underdiagnosed chromosome 14 imprinting disorder: an analysis of 51 published cases*. J Med Genet, 2014. **51**(8): p. 495-501.






Figure 1




Figure 2






Figure 3

**a**



**b**



**Fig. 4**

## Supplementary Material

### Supplementary Tables

Cross (Maternal x paternal)	Litter parameters, E15.5						
		Maternal plasma DLK1 (ng/ml)	No live conceptuses	No resorptions	No expressing <i>Dlk1</i>	Maternal plasma DLK1 (ng/ml/expr. conceptus)	Total litter mass (g)
WT x WT	Mean	223	7.3	0.4	7.3	32.4	3.9
	S.e.m	24	0.4	0.3	0.4	4.4	0.2
							<i>n</i> = 8
Null x WT	Mean	275	8.4	1.1	8.4	33.1	4.5
	S.e.m	27	0.5	0.4	0.5	2.6	0.3
							<i>n</i> = 8
Null x Mat	Mean	178	8.5	0.3	3.9	45.8	4.5
	S.e.m	17	0.2	0.2	0.3	2.8	0.1
							<i>n</i> = 8
Null x Null	Mean	absent	8.8	0.4	0	n/a	4.3
	S.e.m		0.3	0.3			0.2
							<i>n</i> = 8
Mat x WT	Mean	270	7.1	0.6	7.1	38.4	3.6
	S.e.m	18	0.5	0.2	0.5	2.2	0.3
							<i>n</i> = 8
Mat x Null	Mean	49	7.6	0.5	0	n/a	3.9
	S.e.m	5	0.3	0.3			0.2
							<i>n</i> = 8
Null x Null-TG	Mean	240	8.4	0.6	5.0	46.9	
	S.e.m	33	0.2	0.1	0.2	1.6	
							<i>n</i> = 6
<i>Meox2Cre</i> <sup>+/-</sup> x WT	Mean	268.6	9.2	0.7	9.2	30.4	
	S.e.m	23	0.5	0.3	0.5	3.4	
							<i>n</i> = 6
<i>Meox2Cre</i> <sup>+/-</sup> x <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>fl/fl</sup>	Mean	180	8.4	0.5	4.5	42.6	
	S.e.m	28	0.7	0.3	0.6	7.8	
							<i>n</i> = 6

**Suppl Table 1.** Litter parameters following intercrosses of *Dlk1*-expressing (WT and Mat) and non-expressing (Null) mice, and crosses to discover the source of maternal circulating DLK1 (Null x Null-TG and *Meox2Cre*<sup>+/-</sup> x *Dlk1*<sup>fl/fl</sup>). Mean and standard error of the mean (s.e.m) is shown for *n* = 6-8 litters per cross. No expressing conceptuses is generated by genotyping litters; based on its imprinting status WT and Mat offspring are counted as expressing *Dlk1* and Pat and Nulls as non-expressing. Total litter mass is the sum of all placental and embryonic masses within the litter.

Cross	Body Weight Gain and Food Intake (g)							
(Maternal x paternal)		Weight at E0.5	Weight at E7.5	Weight at E15.5	Gain E0.5 to E7.5	Gain E7.5 to E15.5	Food consumed E0.5 to E7.5	Food consumed E7.5 to E15.5
WT NP	Mean	21.0	21.6	22.4	0.8	0.8	31.0	30.7
	s.e.m	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.7	1.1
	n	8						
WT x WT	Mean	19.7	21.9	30.5	2.7	8.6	29.1	33.4
	s.e.m	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.2	0.4	1.0	1.3
	n	8						
Null NP	Mean	19.8	21.1	21.6	1.5	0.5	28.8	29.8
	s.e.m	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.4	1.4	0.9
	n	8						
Null x WT	Mean	21.7	23.9	32.9	2.8	9.0	28.9	33.6
	s.e.m	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.0
	n	8						
Null x Mat	Mean	20.8	22.9	31.9	2.5	9.0	28.1	32.3
	s.e.m	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.3	1.0	1.6
	n	8						
Null x Null	Mean	21.4	23.9	32.4	2.5	8.5	28.2	32.5
	s.e.m	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.3	0.3	1.2	1.0
	n	8						
Mat NP	Mean	20.7	21.7	21.7	1.0	0.0	30.6	29.0
	s.e.m	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	1.3	0.7
	n	7						
Mat x WT	Mean	18.4	20.6	29.0	2.4	8.4	27.4	31.2
	s.e.m	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.3	0.4	1.0	1.3
	n	8						
Mat x Null	Mean	20.1	22.2	31.0	2.6	8.7	28.0	33.2
	s.e.m	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.4	1.1	0.8
	n	8						

**Suppl. Table 2.** Maternal body weight and food intake parameters following intercrosses of *Dlk1*-expressing (WT and Mat) and non-expressing (Null) mice. Mean and standard error of the mean (s.e.m) is shown for  $n = 7-8$  litters per cross. No difference in body weight gain or food intake were observed between maternal genotypes/crosses.

Cross	Maternal tissue mass, E15.5 (g)						
		Liver	Abd. WAT (L+R depots)	Retrop. WAT (L+R depots)	Intersc. BAT (L+R depots)	GC+SL muscle (R)	Brain
WT NP	Mean	1.08	0.18 <sup>a, d</sup>	0.032 <sup>a</sup>	0.067 <sup>a</sup>	0.123	0.489
	s.e.m.	0.04	0.01	0.002	0.006	0.004	0.004
	n	8	8	8	8	8	8
WT x WT	Mean	1.55	0.27 <sup>a</sup>	0.061 <sup>a</sup>	0.126 <sup>a</sup>	0.122	0.481
	s.e.m.	0.06	0.02	0.005	0.011	0.006	0.01
	n	8	8	8	8	8	8
Null NP	Mean	1.06	0.28 <sup>b, d</sup>	0.034 <sup>b</sup>	0.059 <sup>b</sup>	0.107	0.487
	s.e.m.	0.04	0.04	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.006
	n	8	8	8	8	7	7
Null x WT	Mean	1.70	0.33 <sup>b</sup>	0.060 <sup>b</sup>	0.102 <sup>b</sup>	0.116	0.477
	s.e.m.	0.06	0.02	0.005	0.007	0.003	0.007
	n	8	8	8	8	6	8
Null x Mat	Mean	1.70	0.36	0.057	0.100	0.107	0.473
	s.e.m.	0.07	0.03	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.003
	n	8	8	8	8	7	8
Null x Null	Mean	1.73	0.35	0.051	0.115	0.115	0.484
	s.e.m.	0.07	0.04	0.008	0.009	0.003	0.004
	n	8	8	8	8	6	8
Mat NP	Mean	1.10	0.17 <sup>c</sup>	0.024 <sup>c</sup>	0.066 <sup>c</sup>	0.121	0.474
	s.e.m.	0.04	0.02	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.008
	n	7	7	7	7	6	7
Mat x WT	Mean	1.64	0.26 <sup>c</sup>	0.057 <sup>c</sup>	0.116 <sup>c</sup>	0.109	0.459
	s.e.m.	0.05	0.02	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008
	n	8	8	8	8	7	8
Mat x Null	Mean	1.62	0.28	0.060	0.112	0.129	0.485
	s.e.m.	0.08	0.03	0.005	0.009	0.002	0.005
	n	8	8	8	8	7	8

**Suppl Table 3.** Organ weights in age-matched virgins and pregnant mice at E15.5. Organs dissected were intra-abdominal WAT (including both gonadal and renal deposits, Abd WAT); retroperitoneal WAT (Retrop. WAT); Interscapular BAT (Intersc. BAT); hindlimb muscle (gastrocnemius (GC) and soleus (SL) combined) and the brain. For the adipose tissue both left (L) and right (R) depot weights were combined. Groups (except BAT) were compared by One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-hoc tests as indicated: WT NP vs WT x WT<sup>a</sup>; Null NP vs Null x WT<sup>b</sup>; Mat NP vs Mat x WT<sup>c</sup>; NP WT x NP Null<sup>d</sup>; Abd WAT, retrop. WAT, and liver weight increased with pregnancy for all 3 maternal genotypes. Non-pregnant Null abdominal WAT was heavier than non-pregnant WT Abd-WAT<sup>d</sup>. BAT weight increased with pregnancy for all 3 maternal genotypes, compared by Kruskal-Wallis test

with Dunn's post-hoc test (WT NP vs WT x WT<sup>a</sup>; Null NP vs Null x WT<sup>b</sup>; Mat NP vs Mat x WT<sup>c</sup>; NP WT x NP Null<sup>d</sup>). All post-hoc tests<sup>(a-d)</sup>  $p < 0.05$ .



Cross		Free-fed serum metabolites, E15.5							
(Maternal x paternal)		Glucose (mmol/l)	Insulin (ng/ml)	Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	TAG (mg/ml)	NEFAs (μmol/l)	3'OH butyrate (μmol/l)
<i>WT NP</i> ( <i>n</i> = 8)	<i>Mean</i> <i>s.e.m.</i>	7.6 0.3	0.25 0.04	1.84 0.10	0.87 0.06	0.76 0.04	0.40 0.03	233 40	368 48
<i>WT x WT</i> ( <i>n</i> = 8)	<i>Mean</i> <i>s.e.m.</i>	7.0 0.5	0.42 0.04	1.58 0.08	0.52 0.04	0.64 0.05	0.81 0.10	173 50	401 68
<i>Null NP</i> ( <i>n</i> = 8)	<i>Mean</i> <i>s.e.m.</i>	7.2 0.4	0.35 0.06	2.00 0.10	0.89 0.04	0.91 0.07	0.39 0.02	185 36	396 49
<i>Null x WT</i> ( <i>n</i> = 8)	<i>Mean</i> <i>s.e.m.</i>	7.3 0.3	0.49 0.12	1.64 0.05	0.48 <sup>a</sup> 0.02	0.71 0.06	0.81 0.10	148 17	424 83
<i>Null x Null</i> ( <i>n</i> = 8)	<i>Mean</i> <i>s.e.m.</i>	7.0 0.3	0.44 0.15	1.80 0.06	0.60 <sup>a</sup> 0.02	0.73 0.05	0.92 0.06	152 11	414 29
<i>Mat NP</i> ( <i>n</i> = 7)	<i>Mean</i> <i>s.e.m.</i>	7.8 0.3	0.25 0.03	1.71 0.08	0.77 0.06	0.72 0.03	0.42 0.04	237 43	429 58
<i>Mat x WT</i> ( <i>n</i> = 8)	<i>Mean</i> <i>s.e.m.</i>	6.9 0.5	0.44 0.06	1.58 0.11	0.54 0.05	0.52 0.08	0.99 0.12	218 17	475 <sup>b</sup> 38
<i>Mat x Null</i> ( <i>n</i> = 8)	<i>Mean</i> <i>se.m.</i>	6.8 0.2	0.43 0.04	1.60 0.08	0.56 0.03	0.64 0.09	0.79 0.11	157 26	340 <sup>b</sup> 58

**Suppl Table 4.** Maternal metabolites in free-fed plasma at E15.5.

All groups were compared by One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-hoc tests as indicated: Null x WT vs Null x Null<sup>a</sup>, Mat x WT vs Mat x Null<sup>b</sup>. All post-hoc tests<sup>(a-b)</sup>  $p < 0.05$ .

Cross (Maternal x paternal)		Conceptus genotype			
		WT	Mat	Pat	Null
<i>All crosses</i>	Embryo mean (mg)	431	445	418	405
	S.e.m.	9	9	9	7
	Placenta mean (mg)	101	100	98	92
	S.e.m.	3	2	3	2
	<i>n (litters)</i>	16	24	8	24
	<i>n (conceptuses)</i>	94	96	32	166
<i>WT x WT (n = 8 litters)</i>	Embryo mean (mg)	438			
	S.e.m.	11			
	Placenta mean (mg)	107			
	S.e.m.	6			
<i>Null x WT (n = 8 litters)</i>	Embryo mean (mg)		442		
	S.e.m.		15		
	Placenta mean (mg)		100		
	S.e.m.		4		
<i>Null x Mat (n = 8 litters)</i>	Embryo mean (mg)		<b>471</b>		404
	S.e.m.		<b>12</b>		9
	Placenta mean (mg)		100		88
	S.e.m.		1		2
<i>Null x Null (n = 8 litters)</i>	Embryo mean (mg)				402
	S.e.m.				16
	Placenta mean (mg)				90
	S.e.m.				1
<i>Mat x WT (n = 8 litters)</i>	Embryo mean (mg)	424	420		
	S.e.m.	15	14		
	Placenta mean (mg)	95	98		
	S.e.m.	2	3		
<i>Mat x Null (n = 8 litters)</i>	Embryo mean (mg)			418	410
	S.e.m.			9	9
	Placenta mean (mg)			98	99
	S.e.m.			3	4

**Suppl Table 5. Wet weights of embryos and placentas from each cross at E15.5, by conceptus genotype.** A grey box indicates that the embryo genotype is not generated by that particular cross. Mat embryos in Null x Mat litters (bold) are significantly heavier than other Mat embryos (Null x WT: 443 ± 6 mg, *n* = 67; Null x Mat: 471 ± 7, *n* = 29; Mat x WT = 420 ± 8, *n* = 30; One-way ANOVA *p* = 0.0003, Bonferroni's Multiple comparison test Null x WT vs Null x Mat, *p* < 0.05; Mat x WT vs Null x Mat, *p* < 0.001).

Characteristic	Case (SGA-cust<5 <sup>th</sup> ) (n=45)	Matched control (n=43)	Unmatched control (n=41)
<b>Maternal characteristics</b>			
Age, years	31 (27 to 34)	31 (28 to 34)	31 (26 to 35)
Age stopped FTE, years	18 (16 to 23)	21 (19 to 23)	21 (18 to 23)
Missing	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)	0 (0.0)
Height, cm	165 (160 to 170)	167 (160 to 172)	164 (161 to 169)
Deprivation quartile			
1 (lowest)	14 (31)	11 (26)	11 (27)
2	7 (16)	11 (26)	10 (24)
3	11 (24)	8 (19)	6 (15)
4 (highest)	9 (20)	11 (26)	10 (24)
Missing	4 (8.9)	2 (4.7)	4 (9.8)
Ethnicity			
Non-white	2 (4.4)	4 (9.3)	4 (9.8)
White	42 (93)	39 (91)	37 (90)
Missing	1 (2.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Married	22 (49)	26 (60)	28 (68)
Smoker	4 (8.9)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.4)
Any alcohol consumption	2 (4.4)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.4)
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	25 (23 to 30)	25 (22 to 28)	24 (22 to 28)
Gestational age at 36 week blood sampling, days	253 (252 to 255)	254 (252 to 254)	253 (252 to 254)
<b>Birth outcomes</b>			
Gestational age, weeks	40.7 (39.3 to 41.3)	40.6 (39.4 to 41.1)	40.4 (39.3 to 41.6)
Induction of labour	13 (29)	8 (19)	15 (37)
Mode of delivery			
Spontaneous vaginal	19 (42)	21 (49)	13 (32)
Operative vaginal	10 (22)	6 (14)	15 (37)
Pre-labour caesarean	7 (16)	8 (19)	3 (7.3)
Intrapartum caesarean	9 (20)	8 (19)	10 (24)
<b>DLK1 (ng/mL)</b>	34 (28 to 43)	44 (36 to 53)	41 (33 to 54)

**Suppl Table 6.** Characteristics of the study cohort, by case-control status. Data are expressed as median (IQR) or n (%) as appropriate. For fields where there is no category labelled "missing", data

were 100% complete. Case group consist of 43 matched cases and 2 women who were originally part of the unmatched control group and were re-labelled as cases (SGA-cust<5<sup>th</sup>).

Matching characteristic	Difference: case - control (n=43 pairs)
Gestational age at 36 week blood sampling, days	0 (-1 to 2)
Smoking at booking	
No difference	40 (93)
Case: smoker, control: non-smoker	2 (4.7)
Case: non-smoker, control: smoker	1 (2.3)
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	1.8 (-1.6 to 4.0)
Maternal age, years	-1.1 (-2.7 to 3.2)
Mode of delivery	
No difference	41 (95)
Case: intrapartum caesarean, control: vaginal	1 (2.3)
Case: vaginal, control: pre-labour caesarean	1 (2.3)
Fetal sex	
No difference	42 (98)
Case: female, control: male	1 (2.3)

**Suppl Table 7.** Difference in the matching characteristics between cases and controls, paired analysis  
Data are expressed as median (IQR) or n (%) as appropriate.

<b>Birth outcomes</b>	<b>FGR (n=23)</b>	<b>Healthy SGA (n=20)</b>	<b>P value</b>
Gestational age, weeks	40.3 (38.9 to 41.6)	40.8 (40.1 to 41.2)	0.35
Birth weight, g	2640 (2370 to 2880)	2848 (2700 to 2920)	0.047
Birth weight percentile	1 (1 to 3)	3 (2 to 4)	0.022
ACGV percentile	8.9 (2.2 to 53.0)	28.2 (12.9 to 45.9)	0.12
Induction of labour	9 (39)	4 (20)	0.20
Mode of delivery			
Spontaneous vaginal	12 (52)	5 (25)	
Operative vaginal	3 (13)	7 (35)	0.086
Pre-labour caesarean	5 (22)	2 (10)	
Intrapartum caesarean	3 (13)	6 (30)	
Preeclampsia	2 (8.7)	0 (0.0)	0.49
Any neonatal morbidity	6 (26)	4 (20)	0.73

**Suppl Table 8.** Comparison of birth outcomes between SGA infants with and without any indication of FGR in the POP study. Data are expressed as median (IQR) or n (%) as appropriate. All 43 SGA infants were liveborn at term. Spearman correlation coefficient between DLK1 difference from the matched control and birth weight percentile was 0.41. P values are for differences between groups, calculated using the two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test for continuous variables and the Pearson Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. Abbreviations: ACGV = abdominal circumference growth velocity and FGR = fetal growth restriction.

Comparison	Test	Difference (mean)	P value
All cases (n=45) vs. unmatched controls (n=41)	Unpaired t test, equal variances	-6.93	0.011
Matched cases vs. matched controls (n=43 pairs)	Paired t test, difference $\neq$ 0	-9.44	0.0001
UTPI 20wkGA			
Highest decile (n=8 pairs)	Paired t test, difference $\neq$ 0	-7.64	0.094
Deciles 1-9 (n=32 pairs)	Paired t test, difference $\neq$ 0	-10.49	0.0002
Highest decile vs. deciles 1-9	Paired t test, equal variances	2.85	0.59
UMPI 36wkGA			
Highest decile (n=10 pairs)	Paired t test, difference $\neq$ 0	-17.13	<0.0001
Deciles 1-9 (n=33 pairs)	Paired t test, difference $\neq$ 0	-7.11	0.014
Highest decile vs. deciles 1-9	Paired t test, unequal variances*	-10.02	0.0029
ACGV 20-36wkGA			
Lowest decile (n=12 pairs)	Paired t test, difference $\neq$ 0	-11.96	0.0070
Deciles 2-10 (n=31 pairs)	Paired t test, difference $\neq$ 0	-8.47	0.0044
Lowest decile vs. deciles 2-10	Paired t test, equal variances	-3.49	0.49
Any FGR indicator			
Yes (n=23 pairs)	Paired t test, difference $\neq$ 0	-13.33	<0.0001
No (n=20 pairs)	Paired t test, difference $\neq$ 0	-4.97	0.22
Yes vs. No	Paired t test, unequal variances*	-8.36	0.070

**Suppl Table 9.** Comparison of DLK1 between cases and controls. \*Result from variance ratio test for the equality of variances between the groups:  $p < 0.05$ . Abbreviations: UTPI = uterine artery Doppler Pulsatility Index, UMPI = umbilical artery Doppler Pulsatility Index, ACGV = abdominal circumference growth velocity, FGR = fetal growth restriction.

Gene	Primer sequence (forward)	Primer sequence (reverse)	Reference
<i>α-tubulin</i>	AGACCATTGGGGGAGGAGAT	GTGGGTTCAGGTCTACGAA	Charalambous M <i>et al.</i> , 2012.
<i>Hprt</i>	CAGGCCAGACTTTGTTGGAT	TTGCGCTCATCTTAGGCTTT	
<i>Dlk1</i>	GAAAGGACTGCCAGCACAAG	CACAGAAGTTGCCTGAGAAGC	
<i>Hmgcs2</i>	TGGTGGATGGGAAGCTGTCT	GAGGGTGAAAGGCTGGTTGTT	Lambert G <i>et al.</i> , 2006
<i>β-actin</i>	TTCTTTGCAGCTCCTTCGTT	ATGGAGGGGAATACAGCCC	Dorrell C <i>et al.</i> , 2011
<i>Gh</i>	TGGGCAGATCCTCAAGCAAACCTA	GAAGGCACAGCTGCTTTCCACAAA	Barclay <i>et. al.</i> , 2006

**Suppl Table 10. Real-time qPCR primers used in the study.**




Characteristic	SGA in analysis (n=45)	SGA not in analysis (n=178)	P value
<b>Maternal characteristics</b>			
Age, years	31 (27 to 34)	30 (25 to 34)	0.38
Age stopped FTE, years	18 (16 to 23)	20 (18 to 23)	0.47
Missing	0 (0.0)	5 (2.8)	
Height, cm	165 (160 to 170)	165 (160 to 168)	0.31
Deprivation quartile			
1 (lowest)	14 (31)	39 (22)	
2	7 (16)	30 (17)	0.13
3	11 (24)	47 (26)	
4 (highest)	9 (20)	53 (30)	
Missing	4 (8.9)	9 (5.1)	
Ethnicity			
Non-white	2 (4.4)	9 (5.1)	0.88
White	42 (93)	167 (94)	
Missing	1 (2.2)	2 (1.1)	
Married	22 (49)	112 (63)	0.086
Smoker	4 (8.9)	29 (16)	0.21
Any alcohol consumption	2 (4.4)	6 (3.4)	0.73
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	25 (23 to 30)	25 (23 to 29)	0.77
<b>Birth outcomes</b>			
Gestational age, weeks	40.7 (39.3 to 41.3)	39.9 (38.1 to 41.0)	0.012
Birth weight, g	2805 (2460 to 2900)	2625 (2220 to 2820)	0.0020
Birth weight percentile	3 (1 to 3)	2 (1 to 3)	0.052
Induction of labour	13 (29)	60 (34)	0.54
Mode of delivery			
Spontaneous vaginal	19 (42)	90 (51)	
Operative vaginal	10 (22)	33 (19)	0.30
Pre-labour caesarean	7 (16)	19 (11)	
Intrapartum caesarean	9 (20)	36 (20)	

**Suppl Table 11.** Comparison of SGA infants included in the analysis and all other SGA infants in the POP study Data are expressed as median (IQR) or n (%) as appropriate. For fields without a category

labelled "missing", data were 100% complete. P values are for differences between groups, calculated using the two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test for continuous variables and the Pearson Chi-square test for categorical variables, with trend tests where appropriate.

Supplementary Figure 1



## Supplementary Figure 1

Altered body composition in Null females can be detected during embryogenesis.

(a) Total bodyweight of virgin females at 12 weeks does not differ between genotypes. Null females have larger abdominal WAT deposits (b) and reduced muscle (combined gastrocnemius/soleus) (c) than WT and Mat females at 12 weeks. WT and Null  $n = 8$ , Mat  $n = 7$ , compared by One-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's Multiple comparison post-hoc test with WT vs Null and WT vs Mat,  $*p < 0.05$ . Body weight (d), crown rump (C-R) length (e) and tissue weights (f) in embryos at E18.5. Null embryos have reduced mass and length, and reduced lean (hindlimb and forelimb) mass than embryos expressing *Dlk1*, WT  $n > 21$ , Null  $n > 13$ , Mat  $n > 10$ , compared by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's Multiple comparison post-hoc test comparing WT vs Null and WT vs Mat,  $*p < 0.05$ ,  $***p < 0.001$ . (g) Null mice are born small but catch up in the preweaning period. Serial measurements of pup weight from birth to weaning, Nulls weigh significantly less at birth but not thereafter,  $n = 4-11$  per genotype, each time point compared as above.


<b>Cross (Maternal x Paternal)</b>	<b>Mother expressing <i>Dlk1</i></b>	<b>Conceptus genotype/s (wrt <i>Dlk1</i>)</b>	<b>Conceptus expressing <i>Dlk1</i></b>
<b>Null x Null</b> ( <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>-/-</sup> x <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>-/-</sup> )	<b>No</b>	<b>Null (<i>Dlk1</i><sup>-/-</sup>)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Null x Null-TG</b> ( <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>-/-</sup> x <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>-/-</sup> ; <i>Tg</i> <sup><i>Dlk1</i><sup>-70C</sup></sup> )	<b>No</b>	<b>Null (<i>Dlk1</i><sup>-/-</sup>)</b> <b>Null-TG (<i>Dlk1</i><sup>-/-</sup>; <i>Tg</i><sup><i>Dlk1</i><sup>-70C</sup></sup>)</b>	<b>No</b> <b>Yes</b>
<b>Meox2Cre+/- x WT</b> ( <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>+/+</sup> ; <i>Meox2Cre</i> <sup>+/-</sup> x <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>+/+</sup> ; <i>Meox2Cre</i> <sup>-/-</sup> )	<b>Yes</b>	<b>WT (<i>Dlk1</i><sup>+/+</sup>; <i>Meox2Cre</i><sup>-/-</sup>)</b> <b>WT (<i>Dlk1</i><sup>+/+</sup>; <i>Meox2Cre</i><sup>+/-</sup>)</b>	<b>Yes</b> <b>Yes</b>
<b>Meox2Cre+/- x <i>Dlk1</i><sup>fl/fl</sup></b> ( <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>+/+</sup> ; <i>Meox2Cre</i> <sup>+/-</sup> x <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>fl/fl</sup> ; <i>Meox2Cre</i> <sup>-/-</sup> )	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Pat conditional deletion</b> ( <i>Dlk1</i> <sup>+fl</sup> ; <i>Meox2Cre</i> <sup>+/-</sup> ) <b>WT (<i>Dlk1</i><sup>+fl</sup>; <i>Meox2Cre</i><sup>-/-</sup>)</b>	<b>No</b> <b>Yes</b>

## Supplementary Figure 2

### Supplementary Figure 2

Summary of experimental crosses

Experimental crosses used to determine which compartment of the conceptus (embryo, placental fetal endothelium or placental trophoblast) is the source of maternal circulating DLK1 in pregnancy..



Supplementary Figure 3

**Supplementary Figure 3**

Levels of pituitary *Gh* mRNA do not differ between the groups.

Expression levels of *Gh* (a) measured by real-time quantitative PCR in pituitaries from females in the cohort. *Gh* levels did not differ between the groups. Data was normalised to  $\beta$ -actin levels, then expressed as WT = 1. Groups were compared by One-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's Multiple comparison post-hoc test with WT vs Null and WT x WT vs Null x WT and Null x Null, \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ .
